

THE SEPARATION
OF POWERS AND
LEGISLATIVE
INTERFERENCE IN
JUDICIAL PROCESS

Constitutional Principles
and Limitations

Peter Gerangelos




• HART •
PUBLISHING

The Separation of Powers and Legislative Interference in Judicial Process

Constitutional Principles and
Limitations

Peter A Gerangelos



• H A R T •
PUBLISHING

OXFORD AND PORTLAND, OREGON
2009

Published in North America (US and Canada) by
Hart Publishing
c/o International Specialized Book Services
920 NE 58th Avenue, Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213-3786
USA
Tel: +1 503 287 3093 or toll-free: (1) 800 944 6190
Fax: +1 503 280 8832
E-mail: orders@isbs.com
Website: <http://www.isbs.com>

© Peter A Gerangelos 2009

Peter A Gerangelos has asserted his right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as the author of this work.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission of Hart Publishing, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Hart Publishing at the address below.

Hart Publishing Ltd, 16C Worcester Place, Oxford, OX1 2JW
Telephone: +44 (0)1865 517530 Fax: +44 (0)1865 510710
E-mail: mail@hartpub.co.uk
Website: <http://www.hartpub.co.uk>

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Data Available

ISBN: 978-1-84113-661-5

Typeset by Columns Design Ltd, Reading
Printed and bound in Great Britain by
CPI Antony Rowe, Chippenham, Wiltshire

To my parents, A P G and H D G

&

*To the memory of George Winterton,
Professor of Constitutional Law in the University of Sydney.*

Acknowledgements

The commencement and completion of this monograph would not have been possible without the help of many.

I owe a very special debt of thanks to the late George Winterton, Professor of Constitutional Law in the University of Sydney, my doctoral supervisor and later colleague at the Faculty of Law, University of Sydney, for his unfailing and inspired help, guidance and advice from the conception of this project until its final completion. His elevated and uncompromising standards of scholarship highlighted and assisted to overcome the deficiencies in my own. I am particularly indebted to the late Professor Winterton for not once allowing 'the slings and arrows of outrageous fortune' to interfere with his duty as teacher, mentor, colleague, and friend – a debt impossible to repay. He will be remembered as a standard-bearer for high standards in scholarship and academic discourse, especially in constitutional law, as a leading member of the constitutional councils of the Commonwealth of Australia, author of its seminal monograph on executive power, and one of its most eminent constitutional scholars and lawyers, and as a dedicated and selfless teacher, mentor and friend.

My thanks also go to Professors Leslie Zines and Fiona Wheeler, of the Australian National University, and Professor HP Lee of Monash University, for reading this work in its original form and providing invaluable comments and suggestions; to the Faculty of Law of the University of Sydney, in particular Professor Elisabeth Peden in her capacity as Pro Dean, for the assistance, patience and various accommodations extended to me in the final stages to enable an expeditious completion of this work, to Associate Professor Gregory Tolhurst and Professor Stephen Hall for their friendship and encouragement during the difficult periods, to the Attorney-General's Department of the Commonwealth of Australia for awarding me the scholarship which enabled me to undertake the original research for this work and the Australian Government Solicitor for allowing me to take the necessary time away from a busy litigation practice; to the ever-helpful staff of the Law Libraries of the Universities of Sydney and New South Wales; to the Faculty of Law of the University of New South Wales, and in particular Mrs Kerrie Daley, for the very generous support and facilities they provided to me; to Oriel College, Oxford for providing me with the opportunity to spend the initial critical periods of my research in Oxford; to the Bodleian Law Library, Oxford where the initial research for this work was conducted; and to the fellowship and companionship of my fellow research students at the

University of New South Wales and at Oriel College, Oxford. I also wish to extend a special thanks to those who provided the particular encouragement which friendship alone can provide: to Professor Stephen Hall for his strong encouragement to apply for the scholarship which started the whole process and his unflinching support thereafter, to Dr Dimitrios Papadopoulos and Mr John Papadopoulos for having faith when others doubted; to Mr Jim Psychogios for his special support; and to the many friends who helped in one way and another who, although too numerous to mention, are remembered by me. The following people also helped in particular ways and to them I also wish to express my special gratitude: Mrs Roslyn Winterton, Professor Geoffrey Lindell, Professors Carolyn and Razeen Sappideen, Dr James and Mrs Danae Oikonomou, Mr Nicholas and Mrs Lillian Augustinos, Mr George and Mrs Katherine Koutoulas, and especially Mr Spyridon and Mrs Mary Augustinos.

I acknowledge and am grateful to the very particular inspiration provided by the late Peter and Maria Gerangelos, and the late Demosthenes and Metaxia Zarokostas.

To express the debt of gratitude I owe my parents, Anastasios and Helen Gerangelos, words remain inadequate.

Οι γονείς σχολείο και διδασκαλοί

Above all, I want to acknowledge the special encouragement provided by the unfailing and unconditional support and love of my wife Dimitra, and my sons Thomas, Spyridon and John. I am in their debt for giving up time rightfully theirs to enable me to complete this work. As a husband and father I have cause to be supremely grateful.

Table of Cases

A v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2005] 2 AC 68	202
Agoudimos and Ceffalonian Sky Shipping Co v Greece (2003) 36 EHRR 60	295, 297–9
Alexander’s case see <i>Waterside Workers’ Federation of Australia v JW Alexander Ltd</i> <i>Anagnostopoulos v Greece</i> (App No 39374/98, judgment of 7 November 2000)	293
Anixter v Home-Stake Production Co 977 F 2d 1533 (10th Cir 1992)	147–50, 227
Attorney-General for Australia v R [1957] AC 288	17
Attorney-General for New South Wales v World Best Holdings Ltd (2005) 63 NSWLR 557	307, 309
Attorney-General for Ontario v Attorney-General for Canada [1912] AC 571	61
Bachrach (HA) Pty Ltd v Queensland (1998) 195 CLR 547	113–14
Bakhtar Trust v MD Nayayan [2003] AIR 2236	204–5
Bank of Denver v Southeastern Capitol Group 789 F supp 1092 (D Colo 1992)	150
Barber v Guardian Royal Exchange (Case C–262/99) [1990] ECR I–1899	288–91
Bates v Kimball 2 Chipman 77 (Vt 1824)	220–1
Baxter v Ah Way (1909) 8 CLR 626	22
Berning v AG Edwards & Sons 990 F 2d (7th Cir 1993)	148
Boilermakers case see <i>R v Kirby</i> ; <i>Boilermakers’ Society of Australia</i>	
Bowsher v Synar 478 US 714 (1986)	10, 18
Brandy v Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission (1995) 183 CLR 245 ..	22, 29
Brichard, In re 788 F Supp 1098 (ND Cal 1992)	149
Bruner v United States 343 US 112 (1952)	309
Buckley v Attorney-General [1950] IR 67	6, 168
Building Construction Employees and Builders Labourers’ Federation v Commonwealth (1986) 161 CLR 88	6, 65, 76–78, 81, 83, 85, 89, 96, 105, 108–9, 114, 176, 182, 297, 316, 319
Building Construction Employees and Builders Labourers’ Federation of New South Wales v Minister for Industrial Relations (1986) 7 NSWLR 372	6, 65, 76, 79–85, 89, 97, 108–9, 114, 171–3, 175, 178, 182, 196, 213, 280–2, 286, 316, 319
Bunning v Cross (1978) 141 CLR 54	99
Burmah Oil Company (Burma Trading) Ltd v Lord Advocate [1965] AC 75	5–6, 54, 176, 196–8, 205, 271–7
Bush v Schiavo 885 So 2d 321 (Fla 2004)	163
Calder v Bull 3 Dall 386 (1798)	219–20
Camillo, Re [1988] IR 104	169
Canterino v Wilson 546 F Supp 174 (WD Ky 1982)	158
Carpenter v Wabash Railway Co 309 US 23 (1940)	123
Carson v Carson [1964] 1 WLR 511	308
Cherokee Nation v United States 202 US 101 (1906)	255
Cherokee Nation v United States 270 US 476 (1926)	252, 255–6
Clyne v East (1967) 68 SR (NSW) 385	79, 213
Coleman v Ramada Hotel Operating Co 933 F 2d 470 (CA7 1991)	266
Colonel Aird, re; ex parte Alpert (2004) 209 ALR 311	37
Continental Liqueurs Pty Ltd v GF Heublein and Bos Inc (1960) 103 CLR 422	307
Cooke v Manufactured Homes Inc 998 F 2d 1256 (4th Cir 1993)	148

xii *Table of Cases*

Co-operative de Ahorro v Kidder, Peabody and Co 993 F 2d 269 (1st Cir 1992)	148–9
Cort v Ash 422 US 66 (1975)	123
Costello v DPP [1984] IR 436	215
Draon v France (2006) 42 EHRR 40	300
Durham Holdings Pty Ltd v New South Wales (2001) 205 CLR 399	288
Fairfax's Devisee v Hunter's Lessee 11 US (7 Cranch) 603 (1813)	124
Federal Commissioner of Taxation v Munro (1926) 39 CLR 153	44
Fencott v Muller (1983) 152 CLR 570	4, 73, 94
French v Duckworth 178 F 3d 437 (7th Cir 1999)	154, 237, 244–5
Gilbertson v South Australia (1976) 15 SASR 66	79
Glover v Johnson 957 F Supp 110 (ED Mich 1997)	154
Gopalan v State of Madras [1950] AIR SC 27; [1950] SCR 88	203
Gould v Brown (1998) 193 CLR 346	37
Gratzinger and Gratzingerova v Czech Republic (App No 39794/98, decision of 10 July 2002)	302
Gray v First Winthrop Corp 989 F 2d 1564 (9th Cir 1990)	143, 148
Grimsey v Huff 876 F 2d 738 (9th Cir 1989)	137
Grollo v Palmer (1995) 184 CLR 348	28
Hadix v Johnson 144 F 3d 925 (6th Cir 1998)	154, 244
Hamdam v Rumsfeld 126 S Ct 2749 (2006)	177, 309
Harris v Caladine (1991) 172 CLR 84	193, 210–11
Hayburn's case 2 US 408 (2 Dall 409) (1792)	155, 183, 217–18, 220, 228–9, 232, 237
Henderson v Scientific-Atlanta 971 F 2d 1567 (11th Cir 1992)	147, 149
Hilton v Wells (1985) 157 CLR 57	28
Hoare and Easton v The Queen (1989) 167 CLR 348	212
Hodges v Snyder 261 US 600 (1923)	250–1
Holloway Construction Co v United States Department of Labor 891 F 2d 1211 (CA6 1989)	266
Howard v Commissioners of Public Works (No 3) [1994] 3 IR 394	215
Huddart Parker & Co Pty Ltd v Moorehead (1909) 8 CLR 330	3, 44, 59, 94, 192
Hutchinson v Jauncey [1950] 1 KB 574	307
INS v Chadha 462 US 919 (1983)	10, 13, 18
INS v St Cyr 533 US 289 (2001)	310
James B Beam Distilling Co v Georgia 501 US 529 (1990)	145–6, 148, 150–1, 226
Johnston v Cigna Corp 14 F 3d 486 (10th Cir 1993)	227, 250
Jones v Commonwealth (1987) 71 ALR 497	28
Joseph Suche & Co Ltd, Re (1875) 1 Ch D 48	307
Kable v Director of Public Prosecutions (NSW) (1996) 189 CLR 51	65, 76–77, 79, 82, 113, 213, 283–5
Knight v Knight (1971) 122 CLR 114	75–76, 194
Konizeski v Livermore Labs (In re Consolidated United States Atmospheric Testing Litigation) 820 F 2d 982 (9th Cir 1987)	137
Kopecky v Slovakia (2005) 41 EHRR 43	301–2
Kotsis v Kotsis (1970) 122 CLR 69	194
L'Office Cherifien des Phosphates v Yamashita-Shinnihon Steamship Co [1994] 1 AC 486	307
Lambeth BC v Secretary of State for Social Services (1980) 79 LGR 61	197
Lampf v Gilbertson 501 US 350 (1991)	145–6, 148, 150–1, 226
Landgraf v USI Film Products 511 US 244 (1994)	309
Lauri v Renaud [1892] 3 Ch 402	307–8
Laws Holdings Pty Ltd v Short (1972) 46 ALJR 563	253
Leeth v Commonwealth (1992) 174 CLR 455	89–90, 109
Lewis v Webb 3 Greenleaf 299 (1825); 3 Me 326 (1825)	221
Lim v Minister for Immigration, Local Government and Ethnic Affairs (1992) 176 CLR 1	5–6, 84–90, 94–95, 99, 108–9, 111, 175–6, 179

Liyanage v R [1967] 1 AC 259 ...	6, 67–76, 82–84, 89, 95–97, 99–100, 102–6, 108, 110–12, 125, 130–2, 141, 169, 172–3, 175, 178, 180, 276, 281, 316–17
Lodhi v The Queen (2006) 199 FLR 303	6, 177, 307–9
Ludeke, Re; ex parte Australian Building Construction Employees' and Builders Labourers' Federation (No 2) (1985) 159 CLR 636	77
Madrid v Gomez 889 F Supp 1146 (ND Cal 1995)	158
Mahal Chand Sethia v WB, unreported	204
Malloch v Aberdeen Corp 1974 SLT 253	197
Marbury v Madison 5 US (1 Cranch) 137 (1803)	219
Maurice v France (2006) 42 EHRR 42	300–1
McKie v KVP Co Ltd [1948] SCR 698; [1948] 3 DLR 39; [1949] 1 DLR 39	196
Medomak Canning, In re 922 F 2d 895 (CA1 1990)	266
Merrill v Sherburne 1 NH 199 (1818)	221
Milicevic v Campbell (1975) 132 CLR 307	95
Miller v French 530 US 327 (2000)	6, 119, 121, 129, 145, 152–62, 166, 170, 172–3, 175, 235–8, 240–3, 245–8, 268, 313, 316–18
Mistretta v United States 488 US 361 (1989)	10, 20–21
Morrison v Olson 487 US 654 (1988)	10, 20, 218
Moss v Donohoe (1915) 20 CLR 615	308
National & Provincial Building Society, Leeds Permanent Building Society and Yorkshire Permanent Building Society v United Kingdom (1998) 25 EHRR 127	293–5
Nelungaloo Pty Ltd v Commonwealth (1948) 75 CLR 495	65–67, 75, 77, 84–85, 95
New South Wales v Commonwealth (1915) 20 CLR 54	22, 39, 60
Nicholas v R (1998) 193 CLR 173	6, 90–114, 119, 140, 170–3, 175–6, 178–9, 193, 196, 211, 316–17
Nicholson v Western Australia [1972] WAR 168	79
Nock v United States 2 Ct Fl 451 (1867)	254–5
Nolan, Re; ex parte Young (1991) 172 CLR 460	37
O'Shea v DPP [1989] ILRM 309	215
Ogis-Institut Stanislas, Ogec St Pie X and Blanche de Castilles v France (App Nos 42219/98 and 54563/002, judgment of 27 May 2004)	293
Pacific Mutual Life Insurance Co v First Republicbank Corp 997 F 2d 39 (5th Cir 1993)	147, 227
Pennsylvania v Wheeling and Belmont Bridge Co 59 US (18 How) 421 (1855)	129, 133, 138, 156, 159, 184, 194, 214, 222–5, 233–4, 237–8, 240, 245, 249–51, 257, 260, 264, 268, 315
Phillips v Eyre (1870) LR 6 QB 1	306–7
Plaut v Spendthrift Farm Inc 514 US 211 (1995)	6, 17–18, 49, 54–55, 95, 119, 121, 129, 145–52, 154–57, 163, 170, 172–3, 179–80, 195, 211, 225, 227–45, 247–8, 266, 313, 316
Plewa v Chief Adjudication Officer [1994] 3 WLR 317	308
Polyukhovich v Commonwealth (1991) 172 CLR 501	7, 42, 59, 89–90, 95, 100, 102, 105, 247, 292
Pope v United States 100 Ct Cl 375 (1944); reversed 323 US 1 (1944) ..	131, 252, 256–7, 260, 268
Precision Data Holdings Ltd v Wills (1991) 173 CLR 167	3
Pressos Compania Naviera SA v Belgium (1996) 21 EHRR 301	299–302
R v Cox; ex parte Smith (1945) 71 CLR 1	37
R v Davidson (1954) 90 CLR 353	59, 94
R v Dube and Knowles (1987) 46 SASR 118	212
R v Federal Court of Bankruptcy; ex parte Lowenstein (1938) 59 CLR 556	22–23
R v Humby; ex parte Rooney (1973) 129 CLR 231	7, 67, 74–78, 82, 84–85, 95, 108, 194–5, 213
R v Joske; ex parte Australian Building Construction Employees and Builders Labourers' Federation (1974) 130 CLR 87	17, 23

xiv *Table of Cases*

R v Kirby; Boilermakers' Society of Australia (1956) 94 CLR 254; affirmed sub nom Attorney-General for Australia v R (1957) 95 CLR 529	17–18, 22–27, 33–34, 37, 39–40, 44, 59, 196
R v Quinn; ex parte Consolidated Foods Corp (1977) 138 CLR 1	15, 48, 110
R v Richards; ex parte Fitzpatrick and Browne (1955) 92 CLR 157; affirmed 92 CLR 171	37, 193, 211
R v Secretary of State for the Home Department; ex parte Pierson [1998] AC 539	306
R v Secretary of State for the Home Department; ex parte Simms [2000] 2 AC 115	308
R v Tilley (1991) 56 SASR 140	212
R v Trade Practices Tribunal; ex parte Tasmanian Breweries Pty Ltd (1970) 123 CLR 361	3–4, 59, 98, 192
R v White; ex parte Byrnes (1963) 109 CLR 665	37
Rabin v Fivzar Associates 801 F Supp 1045 (SDNY 1992)	148
Radio Corp Pty Ltd v Commonwealth (1938) 59 CLR 170	22
Rathborne v Hamill (1966) 84 WN (Pt 1) (NSW) 504	214
Reid v Reid (1886) 31 Ch D 402	308
Ridgeway v R (1995) 184 CLR 19	90–92, 95–96, 98–102
Robertson v Seattle Audubon Society 914 F 2d 1311 (9th Cir 1990); overruled 503 US 429 (1992)	6, 94, 119, 121, 129, 135–45, 147, 149–52, 155, 161, 170, 172–6, 178–9, 180–2, 228, 241, 316–17
Roche v Kronheimer (1921) 29 CLR 329	22
Schiavo ex rel Schindler v Schiavo 404 F 3d 1270 (11th Cir 2005); application for stay denied 544 US 957 (2005)	162–8, 170, 173, 177–8
Scordino v Italy (App No 36813/97, judgment of 29 July 2004)	293
Seattle Audubon Society v Robertson see Robertson v Seattle Audubon Society	
Sioux Tribe v United States 97 Ct Cl 613 (1942); cert denied 318 US 789 (1943)	258, 262, 319
Smith v Callendar [1901] AC 297	307
Sorby v Commonwealth (1983) 152 CLR 281	95
Springer v Government of the Philippine Islands 277 US 189 (1928)	10
State (Pine Valley Developments Ltd) v Dublin Corporation [1984] IR 407	216–17
Stran Greek Refineries and Stratis Andreadis v Greece (1995) 19 EHRR 293	294–5, 297, 302
System Federation No 91 v Wright 364 US 642 (1961)	251
Ten Oever v Stichting Bedrijfspensioenfonds voor het Glazenwassers-en Schoonmaakbedrijf (Case C–109/91) [1993] ECR I–4879	291
Tracey, Re; ex parte Ryan (1989) 166 CLR 518	25–26, 37
Tyler, Re; ex parte Foley (1994) 181 CLR 18	37
Union Steamship Co of Australia Pty Ltd v King (1988) 166 CLR 1	288
United States v Brainer 691 F 2d 738 (4th Cir 1982)	137
United States v Klein 80 US (13 Wall) 128 (1871)	6–7, 64, 112, 118, 120–2, 124–44, 147, 149–52, 154–55, 159–61, 165–7, 171, 173, 181, 184–5, 188, 224, 228, 235–6, 260, 316–17
United States v Michigan 989 F Supp 853 (WD Mich 1996)	154
United States v Padelford 76 US (9 Wall) 531 (1870)	124
United States v Preston 28 US (3 Peters) 57 (1830)	124
United States v Schooner Peggy 5 US (1 Cranch) 103 (1801) ..	6–7, 122–24, 130, 219–20, 310
United States v Sioux Nation of Indians 207 Ct Cl 234; 518 F 2d 1298 (1975)	259, 262, 319
United States v Sioux Nation of Indians 220 Ct Cl 442 (1979); 601 F 2d 1157 (1979)	259, 319
United States v Sioux Nation of Indians 448 US 371 (1980)	6, 137, 252, 254, 257–66, 268, 319
Vandenbark v Owens-Illinois Glass Co 311 US 538 (1941)	123
Victoria v Robertson (2000) 1 VR 465	307

Victorian Stevedoring & General Contracting Co Pty Ltd v Dignan (1931) 46 CLR 73	21–22
Wakim, re; ex parte McNally (1999) 198 CLR 511	22, 27, 37, 60
Waterside Workers' Federation of Australia v JW Alexander Ltd (1918) 25 CLR 434	22, 60
Wentworth v Rogers (No 5) (1986) 6 NSWLR 534	249
Wheat case see New South Wales v Commonwealth	
Williamson v Ah On (1926) 39 CLR 95	95
Wilson v First County Trust Ltd (No 2) [2004] 1 AC 816	307
Wilson v Minister for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Affairs (1996) 189 CLR 1	28
Zainal bin Hashim v Government of Malaysia [1966] AC 734	307
Zielinski, Pradal and Gonzalez v France [2001] EHRR 19	295–7

Table of Legislation

NATIONAL LEGISLATION

Australia

Builders Labourers' Federation (Cancellation of Registration) Act 1986	
(Cth)	77
s 3	77
(1)	79
(2)	79
(3)	79
(4)	79, 81, 83
s 4(1)	77
Community Protection Act 1994 (NSW)	
s 5	283
Constitution (Cth)	18, 33, 35, 37, 40–42, 93, 118, 311
Ch III	37, 60, 65, 76, 92, 98–100, 102, 107, 110, 113, 196, 211, 319
s 1	59
s 51(xix)	86
s 61	59
s 71	3, 13, 32, 59–60
s 75	27, 88
(v)	88
s 76	27
Constitution (SA)	212
Constitution Act 1902 (NSW)	288
s 5	283
Crimes Act 1914 (Cth)	91
Pt 1AB	91
s 15G	91
(2)	91
s 15X	91–92, 94–109, 111–12
Criminal Code Act 1995 (Cth)	309
Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935 (SA)	
s 302	212
Criminal Law (Sentencing) Act 1988 (SA)	
s 12(2)	212
Customs Act 1901 (Cth)	90, 92, 104
s 233B	90–91
Industrial Arbitration (Special Provisions) Act 1984 (NSW)	79, 82
Land and Tenant (Amendment) Act 1966 (NSW)	213
s 5(3)	213

xviii *Table of Legislation*

Racial Discrimination Act 1975 (Cth)	29
Matrimonial Causes Act 1959 (Cth)	75
s 23	75
Matrimonial Causes Act 1971 (Cth)	74
s 5	74
Migration Act 1958 (Cth)	84
s 54K	84
s 54L	84–87
s 54N	84–87
(2)	87
s 54R	84–85, 87–89
National Wheat Security (Wheat Acquisition) Regulations 1939 (Cth) reg 14	65
War Crimes Amendment Act 1988 (Cth)	292
Wheat Industry Stabilisation Act (No 2) 1946 (Cth) s 11	65

Canada

British North America Act 1867 see Constitution Act 1867	
Constitution see Constitution Act 1867	
Constitution Act 1867	61, 196
KVP Company Act 1950	196

India

Constitution	202–4
Ch IV	203
Arts 124–125	203

Ireland

Constitution	168, 215
Courts of Justice Act 1936 s 62	215
Local Government (Planning and Development) Act 1982 s 6(1)	216–17
(2)	217
Sinn Fein Funds Act 1947	168–9
State Authorities (Development and Management) Act 1993	216

Sri Lanka (formerly Ceylon)

Charter of Justice 1833 68
 Constitution 68
 Criminal Law (Special Provisions) Act (No 1) 1962 67–68

United Kingdom

Act of Settlement 1701 58, 272–3, 275
 Anti-Terrorism, Crime and Security Act 2001 202
 Constitutional Reform Act 2005 58, 273–6
 s 3(1) 275
 (5) 276
 s 33 273, 275
 s 133 273, 275
 Education (Scotland) Act 1973 197
 Human Rights Act 1998 196, 201–2, 291, 302–3
 s 1 303
 s 2 303
 s 3(1) 303
 (2) 303
 s 6 303
 s 10 303
 Sch 1 303
 Sch 2, para 2(b) 303
 National Health Service (Invalid Directions) Act 1980 197
 Supreme Court Act 1981 273, 275
 s 11(3)
 War Damage Act 1965 196–202, 221, 231

United States

Abandoned Property Collection Act, ch 120 para 3, 12 Stat 820 (1863) 124
 Act of July 12, 1870, ch 251, 12 Stat 230 124–5, 129, 131, 133, 150, 181
 Civil Rights Act 1991 309
 Constitution 8, 18–19, 32, 34, 57, 125, 164, 187, 311
 Fifth Amendment 228, 258–62
 Eighth Amendment 153
 Art I, s 1 9, 59
 s 9 7, 219, 293
 s 10 219
 Art II, s 1 9, 59

xx *Table of Legislation*

s 3	163
Art III	124, 127–8, 132, 137, 141, 229–30, 264–6, 319
s 1	9, 13, 59, 118, 194, 228
s 2	128
Detainee Treatment Act 2005, Pub L 109–148, 119 Stat 2739	309
Indian Claims Commission Act 1946, codified at USC §70s(b) (1976 edn, Supp II)	258–9
s 20(b)	259
Interior and Related Agencies Appropriation Act 1990	136–42, 150
s 318	137
(b)(3)	136–9
(5)	136–9
(6)(A)	136–40
Northwest Timber Compromise see Interior and Related Agencies Appropriation Act 1990	
Prison Litigation Reform Act 1995, 110 Stat 1321–66 to 1321–77, codified at 18 USC § 3626	153–8, 236, 238
§ 3626(a)(1)(A) (1994 edn)	151
(b)(2)	153, 238
(3)	156, 238
(e)(2)	153
(3)	153
Relief Act 2005 (Act for the Relief of the Parents of Theresa Marie Schiavo), Pub L No 109–3, 119 Stat 15	163–7
s 2	163–5
Securities Exchange Act 1934, codified at 15 USC s 78aa–1	145–52
s 10(b)	145–6, 151
s 27A	145–52, 226–7
(a)	146–7, 149–50
(b)	146–7, 226, 228–9, 231, 234, 238
Shiavo Relief Act see Relief Act 2005	
Special Act of Congress 1919, 40 Stat 1316	255
Special Act of Congress of 27 February 1942	
s 1	256
s 2	256
s 3	256
War Crimes Act 1996	293
1877 Act	258–9

EUROPEAN LEGISLATION

Amsterdam Treaty 1997	
Art 6(2)	304–5

EC Treaty (Treaty of Rome)	
Art 141 (ex 119)	289
Protocol 2	289

INTERNATIONAL LEGISLATION

European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms	
1950	196, 288–305
Art 6	291–305, 320
(1)	295–6
(2)	292
Art 7	291–3, 302–4, 320
(2)	292–3
Art 15(2)	293
Protocol 1, Art 1	292–4, 299–302

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xi
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xvii
1 Introduction	1
I. The Relevant Scenarios	3
II. Definitional Difficulties	7
III. The Original Legal Entrenchment of the Doctrine and the Underlying Rationale	10
IV. The Possibility of General Principles and Interpretational Methodology	14
V. The Purposive Nature of The Separation of Powers Doctrine	29
VI. The Problem of Definition and the Formalist Approach	37
VII. Core Branch Functions?	40
2 Legislative Interference in the Pending Case Scenario: The Foundation of Principle and the Australian Position	57
I. Introduction	57
II. The Australian Constitutional Position and the Early Australian Constitutional Scholars	57
III. Early Development of Principle by the High Court	65
IV. The Foundation of a Discrete Set of Principles Governing the Pending Case Scenario: <i>Liyana v R</i>	67
V. Consolidation of Principle Post- <i>Liyana</i>	74
VI. The Direction Rule at the Crossroads: <i>Nicholas v The Queen</i>	90
VII. The Uncertain Status of the Direction Principle in Australia	113
3 Legislative Interference with Judicial Functions: The Jurisprudence of the United States, Evaluation of Principle, and Towards Resolution	117
I. Introduction	117
II. The Emergence of the Changed Law Rule and the Direction Principle in the United States	121
III. <i>Klein</i> and Its Uncertain Meaning	124
IV. Hart's Thesis and the United States Foundation of the Direction Principle	131
V. The Decline of the Direction Rule: The <i>Robertson</i> Case	135
VI. <i>Robertson's</i> Uncertain Legacy: <i>Plaut v Spendthrift Farm Inc</i>	145