# Amphetamine Use, Misuse, and Abuse

Edited by David E. Smith

# AMPHETAMINE USE, MISUSE, AND ABUSE:

PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL AMPHETAMINE CONFERENCE, 1978

Edited by

David E. Smith, M.D.

Donald R. Wesson, M.D.

Millicent E. Buxton

Richard B. Seymour, M.A.

J. Thomas Ungerleider, M.D.

John P. Morgan, M.D.

Arnold J. Mandell, M.D.

Gail Jara

G. K. Hall & Co. Medical Publications Division Boston, Massachusetts

#### AMPHETAMINE USE, MISUSE, AND ABUSE

Smith, David E.

Copyright © 1979 G. K. Hall & Co. Medical Publications Division 70 Lincoln Street Boston, Massachusetts 02111

Library of Congress Catalog No. 79-18583 ISBN 0-8161-2168-0

Includes bibliographies and index.

- Amphetamine.
   Medication Abuse.
   Drug Abuse.
   Amphetamine—Law and Legislation.
- I. Smith, David Elvin, 1939-RM666.A493A46 615'.785

The authors and publisher have worked to ensure that all information in this book concerning drug dosages, schedules, and routes of administration is accurate at the time of publication. As medical research and practice advance, howver, therapeutic standards may change. For this reason, and because human and mechanical errors will sometimes occur, we recommend that our readers consult the PDR or a manufacturer's product information sheet prior to prescribing or administering any drug discussed in this volume.

# AMPHETAMINE USE, MISUSE, AND ABUSE

AMPHETAMINE EVALUATION & PHYSICIAN TRAINING PROJECT 409 Clayton Street San Francisco, California 94117 (415) 626-6763

David E. Smith, M.D., Project Director Donald R. Wesson, M.D., Assistant Project Director

Staff
Stephanie Ross, Administrator
Millicent E. Buxton, Information Coordinator
Terry D'Auray, Financial Coordinator
Honey Kramer, Secretary
Richard B. Seymour, Publication and
Program Design

Special appreciation is expressed to Stephanie Ross and Honey Kramer for their help in preparation of this manuscript, and to Elaine Cohen and Wendy Tiernan for their help at the Conference. In addition, we express appreciation to Don Fletcher and Smith, Kline & French for their assistance with both the National Amphetamine Conference and this publication.

## Acknowledgments

#### CHAPTER 3

This chapter was originally written from the department of psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, and the Massachusetts Mental Health Center, Boston, Massachusetts, for publication in *Psychiatric Annals*.

#### CHAPTER 7

The authors are most obviously indebted to the street drug laboratories that supplied us with data. This project was supported in part by Smith, Kline & French Pharmaceuticals.

#### CHAPTER 10

This work was supported in part by grant 31050-02 from the National Institute of Mental Health.

#### CHAPTER 12

This work was sponsored by the Office of Child Development and the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health and Scientific Affairs, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C., January 11–12, 1971.

#### CHAPTER 15

This chapter was originally written from the Addiction Research Foundation of Ontario and the department of pharmacology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada. We are greatly indebted to Dr. H. B. Cotnam, chief coroner of Ontario; Dr. R. C. Bennett, deputy chief coroner; and Mr. G. Cimbura, chief chemist, Centre for Forensic Science, Toronto, Canada, for their kindness in making available to us the records of amphetamine-related deaths in Ontario. We are specially

grateful to our colleagues, Dr. W. Schmidt and Mrs. J. Moreau, for the calculations that form the basis of table 5.2 and to Mrs. L. Vegers and Miss L. Anglin for their valuable bibliographic assistance.

#### CHAPTER 16

This work was supported by Public Health Service grants NS-027275 from the National Institute of Neurological Diseases and Stroke, GM-16492 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences, and MII-18501; and by Research Scientist Development Award MII-33128 from the National Institute of Mental Health. It was read at the one hundred and twenty-fifth annual meeting of the American Psychiatric Association, 1 and 5 May 1972, Dallas, Texas.

#### CHAPTER 20

This work was supported in part by Career Teacher Grant 6-TO-AA-0701-01 from the National Institute of Alcoholism and Alcohol Abuse and the National Institute of Drug Abuse. The authors would like to thank Tracy L. Veach and Michael Herring for contributing in various ways to the work upon which this chapter is based.

#### CHAPTER 21

The authors gratefully acknowledge the contribution of Dr. Larry Fleckenstein, Director of Drug Information at Alta Bates Hospital, Berkeley, California, for critiquing the manuscript and offering many helpful suggestions.

#### Contributors

#### American Medical Association 535 Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60610

#### Stephen F. Asnis, M.D.

Assistant Clinical Professor of Psychiatry
University of California
San Francisco, California
Psychiatric Ward Chief, Security Unit
San Francisco General Hospital
Psychiatric Consultant to Pre-Release Unit
San Quentin State Prison
Psychiatric Consultant to Criminal Justice Unit of the County of
San Francisco
San Francisco, California
P.O. Box 425
San Quentin, California 94964

#### James Bakalar, J.D.

Associate Professor of Psychiatry Harvard Medical School Massachusetts Mental Health Center 74 Fenwood Road Boston, Massachusetts 02115

#### Robert C. Baxley, Esq., J.D.

Attorney at Law 1059 Tenth Avenue San Diego, California 92101

#### Arthur Bolter, M.D.

Consultant to the Special Education Department of the Castro Valley United School District Consultant to the Lincoln Child Center

1300 Bancroft Avenue San Leandro, California 94577

#### Peter G. Bourne, M.D.

Coordinating Consultant
United Nations Development Fund and World Health
Organization
1750 New York Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20005

#### Millicent E. Buxton

Information Coordinator
Amphetamine Evaluation & Physician Training Project
Project Coordinator
Substance Abuse and Sexual Concern Project
Haight-Ashbury Free Medical Clinic
409 Clayton Street
San Francisco, California 94117

#### Roger Cadieux, M.D.

Sleep Research and Treatment Center Department of Psychiatry The Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine Hershey, Pennsylvania 17033

#### Carl D. Chambers, Ph.D.

Director Personal Development Institute Lake Geneva Drive Geneva, Florida 32732

#### John N. Chappel, M.D., FRCP(C)

Professor of Psychiatry School of Medical Sciences University of Nevada Reno, Nevada 89557

#### Grace Dammann

Research Consultant Substance Abuse and Sexual Concerns Project Haight-Ashbury Free Medical Clinic 409 Clayton Street San Francisco, California 94117

#### Kenneth A. Durrin

Director
Office of Compliance and Regulatory Affairs
Drug Enforcement Administration
1405 I Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20537

#### Daniel X. Freedman, M.D.

Professor and Chairman Department of Psychiatry University of Chicago 950 East 59th Street Chicago, Illinois 60637

#### Lester Grinspoon, M.D.

Associate Professor of Psychiatry Harvard Medical School Massachusetts Mental Health Center 74 Fenwood Road Boston, Massachusetts 02115

#### Charles O. Jackson, Ph.D.

Professor of History Department of History University of Tennessee Memphis, Tennessee 37916

#### Doreen Kagan, M.S.

Sophie Davis Center for Biomedical Education The City College of New York 138th Street at Convent Avenue New York, New York 10031

#### Harold Kalant, M.D., Ph.D.

Director of Biobehavioral Research Department Addiction Research Foundation 33 Russell Street Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 2S1

#### Oriana Josseau Kalant, Ph.D.

Head of Documentation Unit Addiction Research Foundation 33 Russell Street Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 2S1

#### Anthony Kales, M.D.

Director Sleep Research and Treatment Center Department of Psychiatry The Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine Hershey, Pennsylvania 17033

#### Louis Lasagna, M.D.

Professor and Chairman University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology 601 Elmwood Place Rochester, New York 14642

#### Arnold J. Mandell, M.D.

Professor of Psychiatry Department of Psychiatry University of California at San Diego La Jolla, California 92093

#### John P. Morgan, M.D.

Associate Professor of Pharmacology and Medicine Mt. Sinai School of Medicine Associate Professor Sophie Davis Center for Biomedical Education The City College of New York 138th Street at Convent Avenue New York, New York 10031

#### John A. Newmeyer, Ph.D.

**Executive Director** 

Haight-Ashbury Drug Detoxification, Rehabilitation, and

After-care Project

Haight-Ashbury Research Institute

Haight-Ashbury Free Medical Clinic

529 Clayton Street

San Francisco, California 94117

#### Stuart L. Nightingale, M.D.

Assistant to the Director

Bureau of Drugs

Food and Drug Administration

5600 Fishers Lane

Rockville, Maryland 20857

#### Matthew M. O'Connor

Supervising Special Agent

Narcotic Function

Department of Justice

State of California

2720 Taylor Street

Suite 300

San Francisco, California 94133

#### David E. Smith, M.D.

Project Director

Amphetamine Evaluation & Physician Training Project

Project Director

Substance Abuse and Sexual Concerns Project

409 Clayton Street

San Francisco, California 94117

#### Roger C. Smith, D.Crim.

Director

Correctional Offenders Treatment Unit

Oregon State Hospital

Salem, Oregon

Former Director

Amphetamine Research Project

Department of Pharmacology

University of California Medical Center

San Francisco, California 94122

#### Rogers J. Smith, M.D.

Council on Scientific Affairs American Medical Association 12275 N.W. Old Quarry Road Portland, Oregon 97229

#### Solomon H. Snyder, M.D.

Professor of Psychiatry and Pharmacology Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine Department of Psychiatry and Experimental Therapeutics 725 North Wolfe Street Baltimore, Maryland 21205

#### Constantin R. Soldatos, M.D.

Sleep Research and Treatment Center Department of Psychiatry The Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine Hershey, Pennsylvania 17033

#### Albert J. Stunkard, M.D.

Professor of Psychiatry University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

#### J. Thomas Ungerleider, M.D.

Associate Professor of Psychiatry
Presidential Appointee to the National Commission on Marijuana
and Drug Abuse
UCLA Neuropsychiatric Institute
760 Westwood Place
Los Angeles, California 90024

#### Donald R. Wesson, M.D.

Assistant Clinical Professor of Psychiatry University of California School of Medicine Assistant Project Director Amphetamine Evaluation and Physician Training Project 2999 Regent Street, Suite 520 Berkeley, California 94705

### Introduction

#### David E. Smith, M.D.

This book is intended as a comprehensive review of all aspects of the amphetamine issue. For definitional purposes, amphetamine *use* means the use of this drug for an appropriate medical indication based on sound clinical judgment; amphetamine *misuse* means the use of this drug for nonmedical indications without evidence of dysfunction; amphetamine *abuse* means the use of the drug to the point where it seriously interferes with the individual's physical, economic, or social functioning.

This publication is based on the National Amphetamine Conference held at the University of California Medical Center in San Francisco, 16 and 17 September 1978. Our Amphetamine Evaluation & Physician Training Project, via its Steering Committee, compiled a nationwide panel of experts to present all aspects of the amphetamine issue ranging from therapeutic aspects to abuse in order to reflect the latest state of the art in the field of amphetamine study.

In addition, where appropriate, the panel discussed amphetaminerelated stimulants in order to facilitate comparative analysis. Both the Conference and this book were designed to aid the physician, but other agencies and institutions interfacing with the medical community such as law enforcement agencies and drug treatment programs will find this publication of value.

Section I is a review of amphetamine pharmacology, history, and current political policy issues under the Carter administration. Section II presents the epidemiology of amphetamine and related substances. It is interesting to note that since the amphetamine or "speed" epidemic of the middle 1960s which devastated many communities including the Haight-Ashbury District of San Francisco, the problem of amphetamine abuse has declined quite significantly. This decline was caused, in part, by the Federal Controlled Substances Act of 1970, which increased regulation of physician amphetamine prescription and greatly reduced

diversion of amphetamine from legitimate sources to the drug culture. Amphetamine was placed in Schedule II of the act, which represents the category of prescription psychoactive drugs which have medical usefulness but a high potential for abuse. In addition, production quotas for amphetamine manufacture were arrived at by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), and subsequent implementation of these controls dramatically reduced the diversion of amphetamines in the illicit drug market.

In his review of the current epidemiology of amphetamine abuse. Dr. Newmeyer analyzes the factors that have contributed to the substantial decline in the amphetamine abuse problem, but emphasizes that the abuse of other general central nervous system stimulants, including methylphenidate (Ritalin) and cocaine, have increased. In their chapter, Dr. Morgan and Ms. Kagan evaluate the effects of the Controlled Substances Act of 1970 and its impact on the street amphetamine scene. indicating that street amphetamine quality has greatly deteriorated. At the present time, only a small percentage of the current street amphetamine tablets available to the user actually contain amphetamine and many are adulterated with other substances, including ephedrine and caffeine. This is not only interesting from an epidemiological point of view, but must be taken into consideration by national policymakers in view of national data systems that report adverse amphetamine reactions without toxicological verification. For example, individuals coming into emergency rooms for treatment of drug reactions may not have taken amphetamine at all, but rather consumed a substance sold on the street purported to be amphetamine — certainly not pure, pharmaceutical-quality amphetamine. Consequently, efforts to limit the diversion of amphetamines by further reducing therapeutic indications and production quotas, although effective in the late 1960s, have no significant impact on the present amphetamine abuse problem since the sources of supply are much less from legitimate manufacturers and much more from clandestine laboratories and foreign manufacturers. Regulatory and law enforcement approaches will have to be adapted as the amphetamine abuse situation varies and old solutions become ineffective for new problems.

Section III outlines the therapeutic uses of amphetamine and begins with a review of proper prescribing practices by Dr. Lasagna. At the present time, the therapeutic indications for amphetamine are narcolepsy, hyperkinesis, and short-term treatment for obesity. The editors feel that the well-trained and experienced physician can, by using his or her clinical judgment, effectively employ amphetamine for these and other medical conditions to benefit the patient if such prescription is based on a sound diagnosis and a well-thought-out treatment plan. Dr.

Ungerleider reviews the less common uses of amphetamine and outlines their possible use in non-FDA-approved conditions with particular emphasis on depression, pain, and epilepsy.

Relative to the approved indications for amphetamine in the short-term treatment of obesity, narcolepsy, and hyperkinesis, the prescribing physician should begin with a basic awareness of the FDA guidelines as reflected in the FDA package insert for amphetamine. For example, for the treatment of obesity the FDA package insert and *Physicians' Desk Reference* begins with the following warning:

Amphetamines have a high potential for abuse. They should thus be tried only in weight reduction programs for patients in whom alternative therapy has been ineffective. Administration of amphetamines for prolonged periods of time in obesity may lead to drug dependence and must be avoided. Particular attention should be paid to the possibility of subjects obtaining amphetamines for non-therapeutic use or distribution to others, and the drugs should be prescribed or dispensed sparingly (*Physicians' Desk Reference* 1978).

Obese adult patients instructed in dietary management and treated with anorectic drugs lose more weight on the average than those treated with placebo and diet as determined in relatively short-term clinical trials. The use of amphetamines in the treatment of exogenous obesity is intended as a four-week short-term adjunct to a regimen of weight reduction based on calorie restrictions for patients' refractory to alternative therapy, including repeated diets, group programs, and other drugs. Amphetamines are not recommended for use as anorectic or appetite suppressant agents in children under 12 years of age. The daily dose usually recommended is 1, 10, or 15 mg. amphetamine spansule daily, taken in the morning, or up to 30 mg. of amphetamine tablets daily, taken in divided doses of 5 to 10 mg. approximately 60 min prior to meals. Anorectic medication can also serve as a supplement to diet reeducation programs in association with exercise plans. The major emphasis is on short-term diet control. The major reason for this emphasis on short-term diet control is the development of tolerance with subsequent amphetamine abuse. Dr. Stunkard, however, presents a new theory and a new hope relative to the development of tolerance to appetite suppressant medication and recommends a future direction for research and treatment in this important area.

In prescribing for narcolepsy, the second FDA-approved medical indication, the usual dosage is 5 to 60 mg. of amphetamine per day in divided doses depending on the individual patient response. Narcolepsy seldom occurs in children under 12 years of age, but when it does the suggested initial dose for patients aged 6 to 12 is 5 mg. daily. Daily

dosages may be raised in increments of 5 mg. at weekly intervals until optimal response is obtained. In patients 12 years of age or older, the initial starting dosage should be 10 mg. daily with daily dosage raised in increments of 10 mg. at weekly intervals until optimal response is obtained (*Physicians' Desk Reference*).

Drs. Soldatos, Kales, and Cadieux emphasize in their chapter, Narcolepsy Evaluation and Treatment, that very often narcolepsy is underdiagnosed by the practicing physician and is a more complicated condition and occurs with greater frequency than previously suspected. They present guidelines for the approach to the diagnosis and treatment of narcolepsy.

The third and probably the most controversial medical indication for amphetamines is in the treatment of hyperkinesis, or minimal brain dysfunction, in children. Amphetamine and amphetamine-related compounds such as methylphenidate (Ritalin) are recommended as adjunctive therapy to other remedial psychological, educational and social issues. However, the exact etiology of minimal brain dysfunction is unknown and there is no single diagnostic test available for narcolepsy. The characteristic signs most often observed are chronicity of short attention span, distractibility, emotionality, impulsiveness, moderate to severe hyperactivity, minor neurological signs, and abnormal electroencephalogram (EEG). Learning disabilities are often present, and the diagnosis of minimal brain dysfunction must be based on a complete history and evaluation of the child. Amphetamine treatment is not indicated for all children with minimal brain dysfunction, appropriate educational placement is essential, and psychological and sociological intervention is often necessary. When such remedial measures alone are insufficient, the decision to prescribe stimulant medication depends on the physician's assessment of the chronicity and severity of the child's symptoms. Amphetamine treatment of hyperkinesis is not intended for use in the child whose hyperactivity is due to environmental factors or primary psychiatric disorders. If the diagnosis is correct, the amphetamines or amphetaminelike compounds will have a paradoxical calming effect on hyperactive children with minimal brain dysfunction and will facilitate their educational and social development. Hyperkinesis, however, is often overdiagnosed by the nonmedical community. Consequently, the administration of amphetamine produces a stimulant rather than a calming effect. Conversely, parental and community concern over the development of amphetamine abuse in hyperkinetic children has often produced pressure in which the child is denied the needed medication and as a result is unable to stay in school and progress with his or her educational and social development. There is no evidence that