


GROUND-WATER CONTAMINATION

FIELD METHODS



COLLINS/JOHNSON

Editors

STP 963



Ground-Water Contamination: Field Methods

A symposium sponsored by
ASTM Committees D-19 on Water
and D-18 on Soil and Rock
Cocoa Beach, FL, 2-7 Feb. 1986

ASTM SPECIAL TECHNICAL PUBLICATION 963
A. G. Collins, National Institute for
Petroleum and Energy Research, and
A. I. Johnson, A. Ivan Johnson, Inc.,
editors

ASTM Publication Code Number (PCN)
04-963000-38



1916 Race Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Ground-water contamination: field methods: a symposium/sponsored by ASTM committees D-19 on Water and D-18 on Soil and Rock, Cocoa Beach, Fl., 2-7 Feb. 1986; A.G. Collins, A.I. Johnson, editors.

(ASTM special technical publication; 963)

"Papers . . . presented at the Symposium on Field Methods for Ground-Water Contamination Studies and Their Standardization"—Foreword.

"ASTM publication code number (PCN) 04-963000-38."

Includes bibliographies and indexes.

ISBN 0-8031-0968-7

1. Water, Underground—Pollution—Measurement—Congresses.

2. Water, Underground—United States—Management—Congresses.

I. Collins, A. Gene. II. Johnson, A. I. (Arnold Ivan), 1919-

III. American society for Testing and Materials. Committee D-19 on Water. IV. ASTM Committee D-18 on Soil and Rock. V. Symposium on Field Methods for Ground-Water Contamination Studies and Their Standardization (1986: Cocoa Beach, Fl.) VI. Series.

TD426.G7 1988

88-10303

628.1'68--dc19

CIP

Copyright © by AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND MATERIALS 1988

NOTE

The Society is not responsible, as a body,
for the statements and opinions
advanced in this publication.

Printed in Baltimore, MD

July 1988

Second Printing, Baltimore, MD

March 1991

Foreword

The papers in this publication, *Ground-Water Contamination: Field Methods*, were presented at the symposium on Field Methods for Ground-Water Contamination Studies and Their Standardization held 2–7 Feb. 1986 in Cocoa Beach, Florida. The symposium was sponsored by ASTM Committees D-19 on Water and D-18 on Soil and Rock. A. G. Collins, National Institute for Petroleum and Energy Research (NIPER), presided as symposium chairman, and A. I. Johnson, A. Ivan Johnson, Inc., presided as symposium vice-chairman. Messrs. Collins and Johnson are editors of this publication.

Related ASTM Publications

Permeability and Groundwater Contaminant Transport, STP 746 (1981), 04-746000-38
Hydraulic Barriers in Soil and Rock, STP 874 (1985), 04-874000-38

A Note of Appreciation to Reviewers

The quality of the papers that appear in this publication reflects not only the obvious efforts of the authors but also the unheralded, though essential, work of the reviewers. On behalf of ASTM we acknowledge with appreciation their dedication to high professional standards and their sacrifice of time and effort.

ASTM Committee on Publications

ASTM Editorial Staff

Helen M. Hoersch
Janet R. Schroeder
Kathleen A. Greene
Bill Benzing

Contents

Overview	1
-----------------	----------

QUALITY ASSURANCE

Panel Discussion

<i>Introduction</i> —WILLIAM J. GBUREK	7
<i>Field Methods for Studying Contaminated Ground Water: A U.S. Geological Survey Perspective</i> —IRWIN H. KANTROWITZ	7
<i>Regulations and Standards</i> —ROBERT SNELLING	11
<i>Standards, Guidelines, and Regulations: A User's Viewpoint</i> —DENNIS C. ERINAKES	11
<i>Agricultural Research Service Approach to Ground-Water Studies</i> — JAMES B. URBAN	13
<i>Water Quality and Reclamation</i> —LYNN A. JOHNSON	14
<i>U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Standards Development for Ground-Water Related Issues</i> —THOMAS J. NICHOLSON	15
Development of Effective Ground-Water Sampling Protocols —MICHAEL J. BARCELONA AND JAMES P. GIBB	17
Quality Assurance Guidelines for Ground-Water Investigations: The Requirements — J. JEFFREY VAN EE AND LESLIE G. MCMILLION	27
Availability and Access to Ground-Water-Related Information and Data —CARL D. TOCKSTEIN AND BRIAN C. DORWART	35

GEOPHYSICAL METHODS

Integrating Geophysical and Hydrogeological Data: An Efficient Approach to Remedial Investigations of Contaminated Ground Water —DONALD J. STIERMAN AND LON C. RUEDISILI	43
<i>In Situ</i>, Time Series Measurements for Long-Term Ground-Water Monitoring — RICHARD C. BENSON, MATTHEW TURNER, PAULA TURNER, AND WILLIAM VOGELSONG	58

Combining Surface Geoelectrics and Geostatistics for Estimating the Degree and Extent of Ground-Water Pollution —W. E. KELLY, I. BOGARDI, M. NICKLIN, AND A. BARDOSSY	73
An Application of Impedance-Computed Tomography to Subsurface Imaging of Pollution Plumes —A. TAMBURI, U. ROEPER, AND A. WEXLER	86
The Use of Controlled Source Audio Magnetotellurics (CSAMT) to Delineate Zones of Ground-Water Contamination —A CASE HISTORY—RICHARD M. TINLIN, LARRY J. HUGHES, AND A. ROGER ANZZOLIN	101
 WELL DRILLING AND COMPLETION 	
Ground-Water Monitoring Field Practice—An Overview —CHARLES O. RIGGS AND ALLEN W. HATHEWAY	121
The Modified Reverse-Circulation Air Rotary Drilling Technique for Development of Observation and Monitoring Wells at Ground-Water Contamination Sites —DREW B. BENNETT, MARIO BUSACCA, AND EDWARD E. CLARK	137
Monitoring Well Construction, and Recommended Procedures for Direct Ground-Water Flow Measurements Using a Heat-Pulsing Flowmeter —WILLIAM B. KERFOOT	146
Determining Whether Wells and Piezometers Give Water Levels or Piezometric Levels —ROBERT P. CHAPUIS	162
The Chemical Composition of Leachate from a Two-Week Dwell-Time Study of PVC Well Casing and a Three-Week Dwell-Time Study of Fiberglass Reinforced Epoxy Casing —U. M. COWGILL	172
Adsorption of Selected Organic Contaminants onto Possible Well Casing Materials —JERRY N. JONES AND GARY D. MILLER	185
Design Considerations and Installation Techniques for Monitoring Wells Cased with Teflon PTFE —JOHN F. DABLOW, III, DANIEL PERSICO, AND GRAYSON R. WALKER	199
Design Considerations and the Quality of Data from Multiple-Level Ground-Water Monitoring Wells —FRANKLIN D. PATTON AND H. RODNEY SMITH	206
 WATER SAMPLING (SATURATED AND UNSATURATED ZONES) 	
Verification of Sampling Methods and Selection of Materials for Ground-Water Contamination Studies —MICHAEL J. BARCELONA, JOHN A. HELFRICH, AND EDWARD E. GARSKE	221
Chemical Stability Prior to Ground-Water Sampling: A Review of Current Well Purging Methods —ANDREW W. PANKO AND PETER BARTH	232

Investigations of Techniques for Purging Ground-Water Monitoring Wells and Sampling Ground Water for Volatile Organic Compounds—JAY UNWIN AND VAN MALTBY	240
Recent Development of Downhole Water Samplers for Trace Organics—JAMES R. FICKEN	253
Field Evaluation of Seven Sampling Devices for Purgeable Organic Compounds in Ground Water—THOMAS E. IMBRIGIOTTA, JACOB GIBS, THOMAS V. FUSILLO, GEORGE R. KISH, AND JOSEPH J. HOCHREITER	258
A Hermetically Isolated Sampling Method for Ground-Water Investigations—BENGT-ARNE TORSTENSSON AND ANDREW M. PETSONK	274
Sampling Interaquifer Connector Wells for Polonium-210: Implications for Gross-Alpha Analysis—CRAIG R. OURAL, SAM B. UPCHURCH, AND H. RALPH BROOKER	290
Suction Lysimeter Operation at Hazardous Waste Sites—LORNE G. EVERETT, LESLIE G. MCMILLION, AND LAWRENCE A. ECCLES	304
LABORATORY AND FIELD ANALYSES	
Measuring Effects of Permeant Composition on Pore-Fluid Movement in Soil—HAROLD W. OLSEN, THOMAS L. RICE, AND ROGER W. NICHOLS	331
Methods for Virus Sampling and Analysis of Ground Water—CHARLES P. GERBA	343
Determination of Aqueous Sulfide in Contaminated and Natural Water Using the Methylene Blue Method—SHARON S. LINDSAY AND MARY JO BAEDECKER	349
Adsorption and Degradation of Enhanced Oil Recovery Chemicals—M. E. CROCKER AND L. M. MARCHIN	358
Monitoring Ground-Water and Soil Contamination by Remote Fiber Spectroscopy—STANLEY M. KLAINER, JOHN D. KOUTSANDREAS, AND LAWRENCE ECCLES	370
Application of a New Technique for the Detection and Analysis of Low Concentrations of Contaminants in Soil—KENT J. VOORHEES, MICHAEL J. MALLEY, JAMES C. HICKEY, RONALD W. KLUSMAN, AND WILLIAM W. BATH	381
Long-Term Permeability Tests Using Leachate on a Compacted Clayey Liner Material—HSAI-YANG FANG AND JEFFREY C. EVANS	397

CASE STUDIES

Field Experimental Methods in Stratified Aquifers—JOEL G. MELVILLE, FRED J. MOLZ, AND OKTAY GÜVEN	407
--	-----

Field Investigation of a Small-Diameter, Cylindrical, Contaminated Ground-Water Plume Emanating from a Pyritic Uranium-Tailings Impoundment— KEVIN A. MORIN AND JOHN A. CHERRY	416
Ground-Water Monitoring Techniques for Non-Point-Source Pollution Studies— CLARK GREGORY KIMBALL	430
Ground-Water Contamination and Land Management in the Karst Area of Northeastern Iowa— P. STAN MITCHEM, GEORGE R. HALLBERG, BERNARD E. HOYER, AND ROBERT D. LIBRA	442
Determining Nonpoint-Source Contamination by Agricultural Chemicals in an Unconfined Aquifer, Dade County, Florida: Procedures and Preliminary Results— BRADLEY G. WALLER AND BARBARA HOWIE	459
A Geologic and Flow-System-Based Rationale for Ground-Water Sampling— JAMES B. URBAN AND WILLIAM J. GBUREK	468
Author Index	483
Subject Index	485

Overview

Fifty percent of the United States' drinking water comes from ground water, 75% of the nation's cities obtain all or part of their supplies from ground water, and the rural areas are 95% dependent upon ground water. Therefore, it is imperative that every possible precaution be taken to protect the purity of the ground water.

Because of the increasing interest in prevention of ground-water contamination and the need for nationally recognized methods for investigation of contamination, a Symposium on Field Methods for Ground-Water Contamination Studies and their Standardization was held 2-7 Feb. 1986, in Cocoa Beach, Florida. The symposium was sponsored and organized by ASTM Committees D-19 on Water and D-18 on Soil and Rock. Symposium Chairman was A. Gene Collins of the National Institute for Petroleum and Energy Research (NIPER), Bartlesville, Oklahoma, and Vice Chairman was Ivan Johnson, A. Ivan Johnson, Inc., Soil and Water Consulting, Arvada, Colorado.

The purposes of the symposium were to foster interdisciplinary communication and to develop information that can be used to prepare guidelines for ground-water contamination studies and also be used to develop field methods that can eventually become ASTM standard methods or practices. To move in a direction to meet these stated purposes, 51 papers were presented on methods related to quality assurance; geophysical exploration; well-drilling; construction, monitoring, and development of monitoring wells; ground-water sampling and sampling in unsaturated soils; soil permeability; nonpoint source investigations; and a variety of actual case histories. Of the presented papers, 37 have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication in this special technical publication.

A session was dedicated to U.S. Government efforts to improve field methods for ground-water contamination studies and federal needs for standards. The session was sponsored by the Ground-Water Subcommittee of the Interagency Advisory Committee on Water Data. Speakers from federal agencies, such as the Environmental Protection Agency, Agricultural Research Service, Geological Survey, and Nuclear Regulatory Commission, held panel discussions on the following topics: (1) Standardization of ground-water monitoring techniques—is it desirable? (2) Quality assurance/quality control of ground-water monitoring—can it be accomplished? and (3) Ground-water monitoring research—does it help? These papers also are included in this STP.

During another special session, representatives from ASTM Committees D-18 on Soil and Rock, D-19 on Water, and D-34 on Waste Disposal discussed the philosophical approach and standards development progress and plans of their respective committees. This session also provided discussion about activities of an ASTM Ground-Water Coordinating Subcommittee. A third special session presented a panel discussion on quality assurance through education and certification. Opinions and programs were discussed by representatives from the Association of Engineering Geologists, American Institute of Professional Geologists, National Water Well Association, American Institute of Hydrology, and the Interagency Advisory Committee on Water Data. None of the discussion from these special sessions is included in this STP, but the organizers appreciate the efforts of the speakers at these sessions to provide auxiliary information of interest to symposium attendees.

A field trip to the NASA Kennedy Space Center was provided in mid-week to tour the center and to witness a demonstration of construction of ground-water monitoring wells at the center and to observe logging and interpretation of borehole geophysical logging of a well. In association with the symposium, but held during the week preceding the symposium, were the semiannual meetings of the 30 standards-writing subcommittees of ASTM Committee D-18 on Soil and Rock.

The International Symposium on Geotechnical Applications of Remote Sensing and Remote Data Sensing and Data Transmission of the International Association of Hydrological Sciences was held on 31 Jan. 1986. These additional activities provided discussion of techniques that could be of interest to symposium attendees.

This STP has been divided into the following chapters: (1) Quality Assurance, (2) Geophysical Methods, (3) Well Drilling and Completion, (4) Water Sampling (Saturated and Unsaturated Zones), (5) Laboratory and Field Analyses, and (6) Case Studies. The chapter on quality assurance points up that today monitoring and protecting ground water are performed by industry and federal, state, and local government agencies and that the driving forces behind these efforts are regulations in the Clean Water Act (CWA), the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA or Superfund). Data are needed that can be compared against standards in a scientifically and defensible manner; however, the standards may not be available. Development of standard ground-water contamination investigatory procedures are needed to assure quality studies.

Barcelona and **Gibb** identify in their paper the essential elements of a comprehensive sampling protocol used in designing a ground-water quality investigation. This excellent paper points up many of the complex problems involved in a ground-water investigation. Standardized quality assurance guidelines would be helpful in meeting regulations.

The chapter on geophysical methods indicates that these methods are useful because of their relatively low cost and that with further development, subsurface three-dimensional plumes of pollutant ion species may be identifiable. The paper by **Tinlin et al.** describes the application of controlled source audio-frequency/magnetotellurics to locate anomalies resulting from the upward movement of formation brines through improperly plugged wells.

In the well drilling and completion chapter, an excellent overview of ground-water monitoring, well design, and installation technology is given in the paper by **Riggs** and **Hatheway**. Drilling and completion methods along with discussions of why certain materials are used in monitoring well construction also are found in this chapter.

In the chapter on water sampling, **Panko** discusses well purging as a prerequisite to obtaining a representative sample. **Everett et al.** discuss the applications of suction lysimeters in vadose zones to obtain representative samples containing volatile organics. The paper by **Gerba** addresses methods of obtaining samples containing viruses. **Torstensson** and **Petsonk** discuss a sealed pressurized sampling device which is useful for obtaining samples as well as measuring *in situ* pressures and hydraulic conductivity. **Barcelona et al.** discuss sampling verification methods, including purging methods, and the effects that well casing materials can have upon samples.

Oural et al. note the problems in obtaining representative samples for gross-alpha radiation analysis. **Ficken** discusses new downhole devices for obtaining samples containing organics. **Unwin and Maltby** discuss the effects that well purging have upon obtaining representative samples.

The laboratory and field method chapter discusses new methods such as remote fiber spectroscopy (RFS) employed by **Klainer et al.** to detect and monitor ground-water contaminants; this new technology with sufficient development should be viable and could be standardized. **Crocker** and **Marchin** describe their use of a dynamic fluid flowthrough core apparatus to determine chemical interaction between chemical pollutants and ground-water reservoir rock.

The paper by **Lindsay** and **Baedecker** describes a field method for determining sulfide in the concentration range of 0.3 to 1500 μm . An accurate standard field method for determining concentrations of sulfide would be useful and is needed.

Olsen et al. utilize a laboratory system to measure the effects of permeant composition on pore fluid movement in soil where the soil sample is mounted in a conventional triaxial cell. A specially designed triaxial cell was used by **Fang** and **Evans** to determine the long-term effect of landfill leachate upon compacted clayey liner material.

A direct method using a static trapping device emplaced in the soil to concentrate organic contaminants is described by **Voorhees et al.** The organics are determined with a mass spectrometer.

In the case studies chapter, **Urban** and **Gburek** find that ground-water quality distribution is portrayed in shallow and deep fracture systems, if the sampling design is based upon correct geologic and flow system controls. Flow system controls considered are recharge, lateral flow, and discharge zones.

The paper by **Kimball** describes a comprehensive monitoring and evaluation project conducted to determine nonpoint source pollution impacts from agricultural activities. The goals of the project included strict adherence to high-quality monitoring techniques. **Waller** and **Howie** describe site selection criteria for monitor well placement, monitoring well installation and completion, sampling procedures, quality assurance protocol, and initial results pertinent to a nonpoint-source contamination from agricultural chemicals conducted in an unconfined designated sole-source limestone aquifer. Ground-water contamination in the Karst area of Northeast Iowa was studied by **Stan et al.** The study involved geologic mapping, inventory of ground-water wells, potentiometric surface mapping, dye tracing, historic water quality and land management inventories, stream gaging, spring gaging, land use mapping, and land treatment and chemical use surveys. **Morin** and **Cherry** describe an iterative approach that they employed to obtain high-quality data in a field investigation of a small-diameter contaminated ground-water plume.

According to **Melville et al.**, tracer injection and observation experiments are the best available field methods for determining advection and dispersion in aquifers. To conduct 11 experiments, they designed, constructed, and operated multilevel observation wells.

Overall, the papers emphasize that regulations related to the prevention of ground-water contamination were formulated before methods fully adequate for meeting regulation requirements had been developed to the point where they could be easily converted into consensus standards. Furthermore, in some cases research and experimentation, along with actual practice, have been inadequate to define some methods, materials, and guidelines, especially as they apply to ground-water contamination. Many of the standards obviously needed for investigations of ground-water contamination, to some people, may be just as obviously needed for even wider use in investigations of ground-water availability and associated problems such as land subsidence, artificial recharge, irrigation, and urban and rural supply. Thus, the editors believe that this volume will be helpful in pointing the way for the fine-tuning needed to modify some existing methods for investigations of ground-water contamination or in pointing to research needed for new methods and materials for such investigations, keeping in mind that many methods also are needed, possibly with some modification, for the frequent investigations of ground-water supply. Such work can and should lead to eventual, and as early as possible, production of consensus standards that can be referenced in federal and state regulations. It is hoped that this volume will stimulate action of individuals and organizations in meeting the above-stated needs. ASTM Committees D-18 and D-19 will welcome assistance from those readers who are willing to assist in development of such standards.

The papers published herein received at least three peer reviews and were reviewed by the editors following revisions of papers by the authors. The editors express appreciation to the reviewers who assisted so much in assuring the quality of papers in this special technical publication. Appreciation also is expressed to the speakers at the symposium, the authors who prepared, revised, and provided final papers for publication, and the ASTM staff and officers of Committees D-18 and D-19 for their assistance and support in organizing and publishing the results of this symposium. Thanks also go to the following people who served as the Symposium Steering Committee for the development of the program: Dennis C. Erinakes, U.S. Soil Conservation Service, Fort Worth, Texas; Jack Keeley, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ada, Oklahoma; Conwell C. McCune, Chevron Oil Field Research Company, La Habra, California; Les G. McMillion, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Las Vegas, Nevada; A. G. Ostroff, Ostroff Associates, Dallas, Texas; John B. Robertson, Weston Designers and Constructors, Rockville,

Maryland; Don Viall, ASTM, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; and Alex Crawley, U.S. Department of Energy, Bartlesville, Oklahoma.

A. Gene Collins

National Institute for
Petroleum and Energy
Research, Bartlesville,
OK 74005; co-editor.

A. Ivan Johnson

A. Ivan Johnson, Inc., Arvada,
CO 80003; co-editor.

Quality Assurance

