

CTION MANUAL & SMALL POWI

Second Edition
Scott A. Spiewak

Cogeneration and Small Power Production Manual

Second Edition

Scott A. Spiewak.

Published by **THE FAIRMONT PRESS, INC.**700 Indian Trail
Lilburn, GA 30247



Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Spiewak, Scott A., 1954-Cogeneration and small power production manual.

1. Cogeneration of electric power and heat.

I. Title.

TK1041.S65 1988 621.1'9 87-46191
ISBN 0-88173-059-9 (pbk.)

Cogeneration and Small Power Production Manual.

Second Edition

©1988 by The Fairmont Press, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Published by The Fairmont Press, Inc. 700 Indian Trail Lilburn, GA 30247

P-P20-E5188-0 N8ZI ISBN 0-13-139773-X PH

While every effort is made to provide dependable information, the publisher, authors, and editors cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions.

Printed in the United States of America

Distributed by Prentice Hall A division of Simon & Schuster Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

Prentice-Hall International (UK) Limited, London Prentice-Hall of Australia Pty. Limited, Sydney Prentice-Hall Canada Inc., Toronto Prentice-Hall Hispanoamericana, S.A., Mexico Prentice-Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi Prentice-Hall of Japan, Inc., Tokyo Simon & Schuster Asia Pte. Ltd., Singapore Editora Prentice-Hall do Brasil, Ltda., Rio de Janeiro

EDITORIAL BOARD

Dr. Donald E. Kreps, D.B.A., is Director of Management for the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Environmental Protection, Safety, and Emergency Preparedness, and a Professor of Energy Management. He lends to the editorial board his extensive experience in Federal regulation of energy and in energy policy.

Harvey N. Morris, President of the Morris-Rospond Group, has been an energy consultant to industry, commerce and governments for over 20 years. His clients have included both the U.S. and British governments, OPEC, and many of the Fortune 500 companies. Mr. Morris has been directly involved in over 50 major cogeneration projects, and has also been retained by a number of utilities across the U.S. to provide consulting services relating to cogeneration. In 1978 he was voted Energy Engineer of the Year by AEE at the First World Energy Engineering Congress. He has served on the Board of Governors of the American Association of Engineering Societies and as 1981 President of the Association of Energy Engineers.

Mr. Scott A. Spiewak, is an attorney specializing in matters pertaining to cogeneration and small power production. Mr. Spiewak spent five years with the Department of Energy and its predecessor, the Federal Energy Administration working on matters of regulatory policy and environmental regulation. He teaches extensively in Graduate programs at the George Washington University, Southeastern University and the University of Maryland. He has also published numerous articles on cogeneration and other energy and environmental matters. He may be contacted through the Regulatory Policy Institute, P.O. Box 33458, Farragut Station, Washington, D.C. 20033, (301) 431-4588.

PREFACE

Shortages of electricity are the likely results of the decisions being made today by many utilities to defer capacity expansion. As the economy improves, and demand returns to normal levels, there will not be enough powerplants to fulfill that demand.

At the very least, we are in for staggering increases in the prices of electricity. At worst, we can look to the possibility of rationing, and curtailments of service.

Curtailments, if needed, are most likely to hit the "nonessential" sectors of the economy. Cogeneration is a hedge against the possibility of being declared nonessential.

In addition, under the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978, cogeneration can be profitable, as utilities are required under that Act to purchase power at their avoided costs.

The Cogeneration & Small Power Production Manual is designed for the plant manager or others with the ability to initiate corporate investment decisions. It is not intended exclusively for the expert engineer or attorney, although both might benefit from it. Rather, the Manual will provide the manager with detailed, timely and understandable materials which might serve as the basis of a cogeneration feasibility study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Manual owes much to the work of others. The Editors would like to acknowledge the use of the following sources:

Section A is drawn from a monograph originally published by Prentice-Hall as a part of their Energy Controls series, authored by Mr. Scott Spiewak and Dr. Donald Kreps (Editors).

Section B draws materials from the State of California Air Resources Board Cogeneration Technology and Resource Recovery Status Report, July 29, 1981, and from a Handbook of Industrial Cogeneration prepared for the Department of Energy by Oak Ridge National Laboratory and the TRW Energy Engineering Division in McLean, Virginia, with the assistance of Thermo-Electron Corporation of Waltham, Massachusetts.

Section C includes materials from an article, Cogeneration for the Industrial End User, first appearing in the magazine, Cogeneration, a Pequot Publication, and authored by Dr. Heinz A. Gorges, P.E. (Editor); from the Guidelines prepared by the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association to assist its membership in fulfilling the requirements of Sections 201 and 210 of PURPA for cogeneration and small power production, prepared by Theodore Barry and Associates, a TB&A Company, and from the Final Report on the Department of Energy's Industrial Cogeneration Optimization Program, prepared by TRW, Inc., McLean, Virginia with assistance from Thermo-Electron Corporation, for the Assistant Secretary for Conservation and Solar Energy, Division of Industrial Energy Conservation. The Appendix to Section C was originally prepared by ESC Energy Corp. for the California Energy Commission in 1980, was updated in 1981 by Kuhn & Kuhn, and is updated on an ongoing basis.

Section D includes materials from contracts too numerous to mention here, but which are listed at the end of that Section. However, note should be made that the basis for this section was research done by the staff of the American Public Power Association in compiling contracts, and that this section is the result of a combination of that compilation, and a number of other contracts made available from utilities listed at the end of Section D.

Section E owes much to materials appearing in the Waste Heat Management Guidebook, edited by Kenneth G. Kreider and Michael B. McNeil for the U.S. Department of Commerce and the Federal Energy Administration. Also, as noted in the text, the model illustrated for implementing the discounted cash flow method is available from Argonne Code center, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois, 60439.

Section A Regulation of Cogeneration and Small Power Production

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION A

REGULATION OF COGENERATION AND SMALL POWER PRODUCTION
Background
Rates for Purchases
Avoided Costs
Incremental Costs
New Facilities 5
Standard Rates for Small Facilities
Supply Characteristics
Contract Rates 8
Factors Affecting Rates for Purchases
Capacity Value
Availability of Capacity 14
Reliability
Length of Contract for Energy or Capacity
Periods During which Unable to Provide Power
System Emergencies
Aggregating with Other Facilities
Purchasing Utility's Need for Energy or Capacity 17
Line Losses
Periods During Which Purchases Are Not Required
Rates for Sales to Cogenerators
Supplementary, Backup, Interruptible and Maintenance Power 21
Interconnection Costs
System Emergencies
Incoming a material and leave the a Charles
Implementation by the States
Review and Enforcement by the FERC
Exemptions From Federal And State Laws and Regulations 28 Exemption From the Federal Power Act
Exemption From the Public Utility Holding Company Act and from Certain State Laws
Availability of Electric Utility System Cost Data
Electric Utility Obligations Under PURPA
Wheeling: Transmission to Other Facilities
Interconnection with Qualifying Facilities
Arbitrage — Simultaneous Purchase and Sale
Net Energy Billing
Requirements for Qualification Under PURPA
Small Power Production Facilities

Criteria for Qualification for Small Power Production Facilities Capacity Fuel Use Criteria For Qualification for Cogeneration Facilities Operation Standards for Topping-Cycle Units Efficiency Standards for Topping-Cycle Units Ownership Criteria Procedures for Obtaining Qualifying Status Fuel Use Act Natural Gas Use Prohibitions Rescinded Appendix - Officials of State Utility Commissioners Concerned with Cogeneration	40 41 43 43 44 45 46 48
SECTION C ENGINEERING AND COGENERATION Selecting An Energy Efficient Cogeneration System To Meet Industrial Process Needs	09 11 12 13 15

Operational Considerations	118
Introduction to Technical Questions and Concerns	119
Characteristics of Generators and Interfacing Apparatus	120
Generators for Parallel Operation	
Induction Generators	
Synchronous Generators	122
Direct Current Generators	
Static Converters	123
Line Commutated Converters	123
Self Commutated Converters	123
Concerns With Parallel Operation	124
Safety	
Equipment and Line Protection	
Line Sectionalizing	
Coordination with Generator Protection	
Quality of Voltage	
Harmonics, Distortion and Interference	
Metering Practices	
Net Energy Metering	
Surplus Energy Metering	
Gross Energy Metering	
Engineered Metering	
Metering Options (Diagrams)	
Optimum Cogeneration Systems Engineering and Design	
The Chemical Industry: Union Carbide, Institute, West Virginia .	
The Textile Industry: J.P. Stevens, Cheraw, South Carolina	
The Paper and Pulp Industry: Scott Paper, Mobile, Alabama	
The Refining Industry: Large Refiner, Norco, Louisiana	
The Food Products Industry: Greyhound, Kansas City, Missouri	
APPENDIX COGENERATION EQUIPMENT: DESCRIPTION AND PRICES	
Combustion Turbines	215
Diesel Engines	
Gas Engines	
Rotary Engines	
Steam Turbines	
Boilers	
Chillers	
Electric Generators	
Emission Controls	
Gear Reducers/Clutches	
Noise Suppression	321

Paralleling Equipment
Waste Heat Recovery Systems
SECTION D
COGENERATION CONTRACTS
Identification of Parties
Recitals
General description of authority and objectives
Summary of various contractual provisions
Consideration
Simultaneous purchase and sale
Conditions of delivery
Point of Delivery
Character of Service
Power Factor
Continuity
Obligation to provide continuous service
Obligation to restore service
Right to suspend
Effect on contract
Customer interruption
Rates for Purchases from Facility
Rates for Sale to Facility
Filed tariffs
Separate Agreement
Rate Schedule
Emergency and supplementary service
Rate Revision
Rights and Obligations of Utility and Customer
Ownership, Design, Operation and Maintenance 377
Plans and Specifications
Inspection and Tests
Change of Equipment
Costs
Control and Protective Apparatus
Location 388
Delivery and Amount of Production
Notice

Land Rights
Regulatory Approvals
Codes and Standards
Removal of Equipment
Operations
Date of Operation
Date specified
Relative initial date
Operating Procedure
Separate agreement
Prudent Utility Practice
Qualified Personnel
Right to Parallel Operation
Backfeeding
Protection of Facilities
System Disturbance
Circuit Breaker Operation
Utility Right to Separate Parallel Operation
Curtailment of Service
Right to Require Disconnect
Utility Right to Cancel Agreement
Outage Schedule
Correction of interfering conditions
Reliability
Dispatchability
Load Management Plans
Emergency Availability
Notice
Use 401
Parallel Operations
Safety
Force Majeure
Metering
Ownership and Maintenance
Location
Type of Measurement
Sealed Meters-Testing
Error Factor
Billing
Ingress and Egress
Liability
Loss or Damage
Loss or Damage

For all a second Obstance	117
Employment Claims	
Interruption of Service	
Provisions handled by Appendix	
Several Obligations	
Insurance	
Duration of Contract	
Effective date	420
Term	421
Modification	421
Termination	422
Default/Cancellation Right	422
Effect of Termination	
Assignment Authorization	
Successors and Assigns	
Additional Provisions	
Choice of Law	
Applicable Regulations	
No Dedication	
Administration	
Dispute Resolution	
Notice	
Exclusive Use Contracts	
Land Rights	
Technical Contract Provisions	
Third Party Beneficiaries	
Superseding Contracts	
Duplicate Originals	
Captions	
Waiver	
Breach	
Litigation	430
Provisions by Appendices	430
Definitions	431
Resources	443
Exhibit: Parallel Operation of Customer Generation	447
SECTION E	
FINANCE AND COGENERATION	
Financial Feasibility of Cogeneration	468
Partial Methods of Evaluation	
Payback Method	
Return on Investment Method	+/3

Comprehensive Methods for Evaluating Investment Alternatives 476
Discounting of Costs
Net Present Value Method
Net Annual Value Method
Benefit/Cost Ratio Method
Internal Rate of Return Method
Special Factors to Consider in Investment Analysis 49
Treatment of Income Taxes
Inflation
Uncertainty
Application of Evaluation Methods to Different Kinds of Decisions 500
Calculation of System Economic Performance and Energy Savings . 506
Methodologies for Evaluating Economic and Energy Savings 506
Model Inputs
Technical Characteristics
Financial Parameters51 ⁴
Appendix E-1 Run Sheets
Appendix E-2 Interest Tables
Appendix E-3 Third Party Financing
Appendix E-4 Tax and Cogeneration

REGULATION OF COGENERATION AND SMALL POWER PRODUCTION Background

Under section 201 of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (PURPA), cogeneration facilities and small power production facilities which meet certain standards and which are not owned by electric utilities are eligible for special incentive rates to be paid them by the utilities as required under section 210 of PURPA.

Cogeneration facilities simultaneously produce two forms of useful energy, such as electric power and steam. Cogeneration facilities use significantly less fuel to produce electricity and steam (or other forms of energy) than would be needed to produce the two separately. Thus, by using fuels more efficiently, cogeneration facilities can make a significant contribution to the Nation's effort to conserve its energy resources.

Small power production facilities use biomass, waste or renewable resources, including wind, solar and water, to produce electric power. Reliance on these sources of energy can reduce the need to consume traditional fossil fuels to produce electric power.

Prior to the enactment of PURPA, a cogenerator or small power producer seeking to establish interconnected operations with a utility faced three major obstacles. First, a utility was not generally required to purchase the electric output at an appropriate rate. Secondly, some utilities charged discriminatorily high rates for back-up service to cogenerators and small power producers. Thirdly, a cogenerator or small power producer which provided electricity to a utility's grid ran the risk of being considered an electric utility and thus being subjected to State and Federal regulation as an electric utility.

Sections 201 and 210 of PURPA are designed to remove these obstacles. Each electric utility is required under section 210 to offer to purchase available electric

energy from cogeneration and small power production facilities which obtain qualifying status under section 201 of PURPA. For such purchases, electric utilities are required to pay special incentive rates to cogenerators and small power producers. Section 210 also requires electric utilities to provide electric service to qualifying facilities at rates which are "just and reasonable, in the public interest, and which do not discriminate against cogenerators and small power producers." Section 210(e) of PURPA provides that the Commission can exempt qualifying facilities from most regulations, including State regulation regarding utility rates and financial organization, from Federal regulation under the Federal Power Act, and from the Public Utility Holding Company Act.

The incentive rates which utilities are obligated to pay to qualifying facilities are not required directly by PURPA, but rather by rules promulgated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC).

These rules provide that electric utilities must purchase electric energy and capacity made available by qualifying cogenerators and small power producers at a rate reflecting the cost that the purchasing utility can avoid as a result of obtaining energy and capacity from these sources, rather than generating an equivalent amount of energy itself or purchasing the energy or capacity from other suppliers. To enable potential cogenerators and small power producers to be able to estimate these avoided costs, the rules require electric utilities to furnish data concerning present and future costs of energy and capacity on their systems.

In 1982 the D.C. Circuit vacated this portion of the rules. Its rationale was that FERC had "not adequately justified its adoption of the full avoided cost standard." Specifically, the court stated that it would expect FERC to consider a "percentage of avoided cost approach" under which, for example, the FERC rules might call for 80% of avoided costs to be paid to the qualifying facility, with the rest of the avoided costs inuring to the benefit of the utility ratepayers (American Electric

Power Service Corporation v. FERC. No. 80-1789 (D.C. Cir. Jan. 22, 1982) (AEP).

On May 16, 1983, the Supreme Court overturned the decision of the D.C. Circuit, ruling that FERC did not act arbitrarily or capriciously in promulgating the full avoided cost rule, ending the uncertainty which had plagued contract negotiations as a result of the D.C. circuit ruling. The full text of this landmark decision may be found in Appendix II to section A. With the question of whether full avoided costs is the appropriate standard for sales settled, the implementation of the rule is the next key issue for determining the economic viability of many cogeneration/small power projects. Implementation of the rules is reserved to the State regulatory authorities. Most have either established rate schedules, or are in the process of doing so. However, the states need not implement PURPA by rule. In ruling on the constitutionality of PURPA, the Supreme Court has said that no issue as to interference with the state legislative function is implicated by PURPA because the states are free to implement PURPA in an adjudicatory fashion, i.e., through case by case rate proceedings before the state public utility commissions. FERC v. Mississippi, No. 80-1749 (U.S. June I, 1982).

Rates for Purchases

Section 210(b) of PURPA provides that in requiring utilities to purchase electric energy from a qualifying facility, FERC must ensure that the rates for the purchase are just and reasonable to the electric consumers of the purchasing utility, in the public interest, and nondiscriminatory to qualifying facilities, but that they not exceed the incremental costs of alternative electric energy (the costs of energy to the utility, which, but for the purchase, the utility would generate itself or purchase from another source).

FERC has provided that in the case of new facilities, the rate for purchases meets these statutory requirements if it equals the costs which the utility "avoids" by purchasing electricity from the qualifying facility rather than obtaining it elsewhere or generating it itself.