CRC

## SENSORY EVALUATION TECHNIQUES

Volume II

Morten Meilgaard Gail Vance Civille B. Thomas Carr



# Sensory Evaluation Techniques

### Volume II

#### Authors

#### Morten Meilgaard, D. Tech.

Vice President, Research The Stroh Brewery Company Detroit, Michigan

#### Gail Vance Civille, B.S.

Consultant Sensory Spectrum East Hanover, New Jersey

#### B. Thomas Carr, M.S.

Senior Statistician
The NutraSweet Co., R & D
Mt. Prospect, Illinois



#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Meilgaard, Morten.

Sensory evaluation techniques.

Bibliography: p.
Includes indexes.
1. Sensory evaluation. I. Civille, Gail Vance.
II. Carr, B. Thomas. III. Title.
TA418.5.M45 1987 681.2 87-6613
ISBN 0-8493-5430-7 (set)
ISBN 0-8493-5431-5 (v. 1)
ISBN 0-8493-5432-3 (v. 2)

This book represents information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reprinted material is quoted with permission, and sources are indicated. A wide variety of references are listed. Every reasonable effort has been made to give reliable data and information, but the author and the publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or for the consequences of their use.

All rights reserved. This book, or any parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form without written consent from the publisher.

Direct all inquiries to CRC Press, Inc., 2000 Corporate Blvd., N.W., Boca Raton, Florida, 33431.

1987 by CRC Press, Inc.

International Standard Book Number 0-8493 5430-7 (set) International Standard Book Number 0-8493 5431-5 (v. 1) International Standard Book Number 0-8493 5432-3 (v. 2)

> Library of Congress Card Number 87-6613 Printed in the United States



#### PREFACE

How does one plan, execute, complete, analyze, interpret, and report sensory tests? Hopefully, the practices and recommendations in these two volumes, cover all of those phases of sensory evaluation. The test is meant to provide enough information for a food technologist, a research and development scientist, a cereal chemist, a perfumer, or a similar professional working in industry, academics, or government to conduct good sensory evaluation. The books should also supply useful background to market research, advertising, and legal professionals who need to understand the results of sensory evaluation. They could also give a sophisticated general reader the same understanding.

As a "how to" for professionals, the text aims at a clear and concise presentation of practical solutions, accepted methods, and standard practices. The authors at first intended not to devote text and readers' time to resolving controversial issues. Unfortunately, we encountered quite a few which had to be tackled. This is the first book to give an adequate solution to the subject of similarity testing, see Chapter 6, Section II.G and Statistical Tables T11, T12, and T13 at the end of Volume II. Fully half of all sensory tests are done for purposes of similarity testing, for example when an ingredient must be substituted for another which has become unavailable or too expensive, or when a change in processing is caused by replacement of an old or inefficient piece of equipment. Another first is the unified statistical treatment of all ranking tests with the Friedman statistic, in preference to Kramer's tables. We have taken a fresh look at all statistical methods used for sensory tests and hope that you like our straightforward approach.

Also new is a system called Spectrum<sup>®</sup>, developed by one of us (GVC) for designing procedures of descriptive analysis (Chapter 8). The philosophy behind Spectrum is twofold; (1) to tailor the test to suit the objective of the study (and not to suit a prescribed format) and (2) that the choice of terminology and reference standards are factors too important to be left to the panelists, however well trained. These items should be chosen by the sensory analyst who needs all the accumulated experience of his or her profession for the task.

The authors wish the book to be cohesive and readable; we have tried to substantiate our directions and organize each section so as to be meaningful. We do not want the book to be a turgid set of tables, lists, and figures. We hope to have provided structure to the methods, reason to the procedures, and coherence to the outcomes. We want this to be a reference text that can be read for understanding as well as a handbook that can serve to summarize sensory evaluation practices.

The organization of the chapters and sections is also straightforward. Chapter 1 lists the steps involved in a sensory evaluation project and Chapter 2 briefly reviews the workings of our senses. In Chapter 3, we list what's required of the equipment, the tasters, and the samples, while in Chapter 4, we have collected a list of those psychological pitfalls which invalidate many otherwise good studies. Chapter 5 discusses how sensory responses can be measured in quantitative terms. Chapter 6 lists all the common sensory tests for difference, the triangle, duo-trio, etc., as well as the various attribute tests in use, such as ranking and numerical intensity scaling. Thresholds and just-noticeable differences are briefly discussed in Chapter 7, followed by what we consider the main chapters, Chapter 8 on descriptive testing, Chapter 9 on affective tests (consumer tests), and Chapter 10 on selection and training of tasters.

The body of text on statistical procedures is found in Chapters 11 and 12 but in addition, each method (triangle, duo-trio, etc.) is followed by a number of examples showing how statistics are used in the interpretation of each. Basic statistical concepts such as null and alternative hypotheses, Type I and Type II errors and their relation to alpha, beta, and the sample size "n", one-sided vs. two-sided tests, etc. are presented in Chapter 11. We refrain from detailed discussion of statistical theory, preferring instead to give examples. Included

in Chapter 12 are discussions of some commonly used experimental designs, such as the randomized block, split plot, and balanced incomplete block. Chapter 12 also includes a discussion of multiway treatment structures, such as factorial experiments and the ever more frequently used statistical technique of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) in which predictive equations are developed that relate a set of sensory responses to the levels of a set of processing parameters or, alternatively to the proportions of a set of ingredients. Also in Chapter 12 the use of multivariate techniques is briefly discussed. This is a subject still in its infancy and future editions of this book probably will contain expanded treatment of this topic.

At the end of Volume II, the reader will find guidelines for the choice of techniques and for reporting results, plus the usual glossaries, indexes, and statistical tables.

With regard to terminology, the terms "subject", "panelist", "judge", "respondent", and "assesor" are used interchangeably, as are "he", "she", and "(s)he" for the sensory analyst (the sensory professional, the panel leader), and for individual panel members.

Morton Meilgaard Gail Vance Civille B. Thomas Carr

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks are due to our associates at work and our families at home for thoughts and ideas, and for material assistance with typing and editing. Numerous individuals and companies have contributed to the ideas of this book and their application in practice. In particular we wish to acknowledge the emotional contributions of Manon, Frank and Cathy, and the technical ones of our colleagues in ASTM's Subcommittee E-18 on Sensory Evaluation, of whom we would like to single out Louise Aust, Donna Carlton, Andrew Dravnieks, Jean Eggert, Patricia Prell, John J. Powers, and Elaine Skinner. Thanks are due to Rose Marie Pangborn and Ann Noble at Davis, Calif., to Elizabeth Larmond at Agriculture Canada, and to Roland Harper and Derek Land in the U.K. for suggestions and discussions over the years, and to Erik Knudson, Stephen Goodfellow, Dan Grabowski, Cathy Foley, and Clare Dus for help with illustrations, layout, and ideas, also to the Stroh Brewery Co. and Searle Inc. for permission to publish and for the use of facilities and equipment.

#### THE AUTHORS

Morten C. Meilgaard, M.Sc., D. Tech., F. I. Brew. is currently Vice President, Research at the Stroh Brewery Company in Detroit, Mich. He graduated in 1951 in biochemistry and engineering from the Technical University of Denmark, then returned in 1982 to receive a doctorate for a dissertation on beer flavor compounds and their interactions. After 6 years as a chemist at the Carlsberg Breweries, he worked from 1957—1967 as a worldwide consultant on beer and brewing. He then served for 6 years as Director of Research for Cerveceria Cuauhtemoc in Monterrey, Mexico before taking up his present employment in 1973.

Dr. Meilgaard's professional interest is the biochemical and physiological basis of flavor, and more specifically the flavor compounds of hops and beer and the methods by which they can be identified, namely chemical analysis coupled with sensory evaluation techniques. He has published over 50 papers and received the Schwarz Award for studies of compounds that affect beer flavor. He is founder and past president of the Hop Research Council of the U.S.A., also chairman of the Scientific Advisory Committee of the U.S. Brewers Association, and for 14 years he has been the chairman of the Subcommittee on Sensory Analysis of the American Society of Brewing Chemists.

Gail Vance Civille is the president of Sensory Spectrum, Inc., a consulting firm in the field of sensory evaluation of foods, beverages, pharmaceuticals, paper, fabrics, personal care and other consumer products. She is involved in the selection, implementation and analysis of test methods for solving problems in quality control, research, development, production and marketing. She has trained several flavor and texture descriptive profile panels in her work with industry, universities and government.

As a Course Director for the Center for Professional Advancement, she has conducted several workshops and courses in basic sensory evaluation methods as well as advanced methods and theory. In addition, she has been invited to speak to several professional organizations on different facets of sensory evaluation.

Ms. Civille has published several articles on general sensory methods, as well as sophisiticated descriptive flavor and texture techniques. After graduating from the College of Mount Saint Vincent, New York with a B.S. degree in Chemistry, Ms. Civille began her career as a product evaluation analyst with the General Foods Corporation.

**B. Thomas Carr** is Senior Statistician in the NutraSweet Company, Research & Development Division, where he works closely with NutraSweet's Sensory Evaluation Group on the design and analysis of a wide variety of sensory studies in support of Product/Process Development, QA/QC, and Research Guidance Consumer Tests. Mr. Carr is also actively involved in implementing NutraSweet's automated sensory data-acquisition and data-handling system. Previously Mr. Carr was Supervisor of Statistical Services for CPC International/Best Foods.

Mr. Carr is actively involved in statistical training of scientists, both within NutraSweet and, internationally, in collaboration with the second author, Ms. Civille. In addition, he has been an invited speaker to several professional organizations on the topics of statistical methods and statistical consulting in industry.

Since 1979 Mr. Carr has supported the development of new food ingredients, consumer food products, and OTC drugs by integrating the statistical and sensory evaluation functions into the mainstream of the product development effort. This has been accomplished through the application of a wide variety of statistical techniques including design of experiments, response surface methodology, mixture designs, sensory/instrumental correlation, and multivariate analysis.

Mr. Carr received his B.A. degree in Mathematics from the University of Dayton, and his Master's degree in Statistics from Colorado State University.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### Volume I

Chapt						
		1				
I.	Development of Sensory Training					
Π.	Human Subjects as Instruments					
Π.	Cond	ucting a Sensory Study	2			
Refere	ences	<u> </u>	4			
Chapte	er 2					
		ributes and the Way We Perceive Them	5			
I.		duction				
II.		ory Attributes				
	A.	Appearance				
	В.	Odor/Aroma/Fragrance				
	C.	Consistency and Texture				
	D.	Flavor				
	E.	Noise				
III.		ption				
	A.	Vision				
	B.	Touch				
	C.	Olfaction				
	D.	Chemical/Trigeminal Factors				
	E.	Gustation				
	F.	Hearing				
IV.		ption at Threshold and Above				
		puon at Threshold and Above				
Kelele	nces		19			
Chapte	er 3					
		Test Room, Product, and Panel	21			
I.		duction				
II.		Controls				
	Α.	Development of Test Room Design				
	В.	Location				
	C.	Test Room Design.				
	С.	1. The Booth				
		Descriptive Evaluation and Training Area				
		3. Preparation Area				
		4. Office Facilities				
		5. Entrance and Exit Areas				
	D.					
	D.	General Design Factors				
		1. Color and Lighting				
		2. Air Circulation, Temperature, Humidity				
***	D 1	3. Construction Materials				
III.	Product Controls					
	Α.	-1-I				
	В.	Sample Preparation				
		1. Supplies and Equipment				
		2. Materials	29			

	3. Preparation Procedures
	C. Sample Presentation
	1. Container, Sample Size, Other Particulars
	2. Order, Coding, Number of Samples
	D. Product Sampling31
IV.	Panelist Controls
	A. Panel Training or Orientation
	B. Product/Time of Day
	C. Panelists/Environment
Refere	nces
GI.	
Chapte	
	rs Influencing Sensory Verdicts
I.	Introduction
II.	Physiological Factors
	A. Adaptation
	B. Enhancement or Suppression
III.	Pshychological Factors
	A. Expectation Error
	B. Error of Habituation
	C. Stimulus Error
	D. Logical Error
	E. Halo Effect
	F. Order of Presentation of Samples
	G. Mutual Suggestion
	H. Lack of Motivation
	I. Capriciousness vs. Timidity
IV.	Poor Physical Condition
Refere	nces
Chapte	
Measu	ring Responses
I.	Introduction
II.	Classification
III.	Grading
IV.	Ranking
V.	Scaling
	A. Category Scaling
	B. Line Scales
	C. Magnitude Estimation Scaling
Refere	nces
Chapte	
Differ	ence Tests
I.	Introduction
II.	Overall Difference Tests (Does A Sensory Difference Exist Between
	Samples?)
	A. Triangle Test
	1. Scope of Application
	2. Principle of the Test
	3. Test Subjects. 48

	4.	Test Procedure	48
	5.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results	48
	6.	Example 1: Triangle Difference Test. New Malt	48
	7.	Example 2: Detailed Example of Triangle Difference Test.	
		Foil vs. Paper Wraps for Candy Bar	49
	8.	Example 3: Use of Triangle Test in Selection of Subjects	51
В.	Two-	Out-of-Five Test	52
	1.	Scope of Application	52
	2.	Principle of the Test	53
	3.	Test Subjects	54
	4.	Test Procedure	55
	5.	Example 4: Comparing Textiles for Roughness	55
	6.	Example 5: Emollient in Face Cream	
C.	Duo-	Гrio Test	57
	1.	Scope and Application	57
	2.	Principle of the Test	59
	3.	Test Subjects	. 59
	4.	Test Procedure	. 59
	5.	Example 6: Balanced Reference. Fragrance for Facial Tissue	
		Boxes	
	6.	Example 7: Constant Reference. New Can Liner	
D.	Simpl	e Difference Test	
	1.	Scope and Application	
	2.	Principle of the Test	
	3.	Test Subjects	
	4.	Test Procedure	
	5.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results	. 62
	6.	Example 8: Replacing a Processing Cooker for Barbecue	
		Sauce	
Ε.		"not A" Test	
	1.	Scope and Application	
	2.	Principle of the Test	
	3.	Test Subjects	
	4	Test Procedure	
	5.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results	
	6.	Example 9: New Sweetener Compared with Sucrose	
F.	Differ	rence From Control Test	
	1.	Scope and Application	
	2.	Principle of the Test	
	3.	Test Subjects	
	4.	Test Procedure	
	5.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results	
	6.	Example 10: Analgesic Cream. Increase of Viscosity	
	7.	Example 11: Flavored Peanut Snacks	
G.		ntial Tests	
	1.	Scope and Application	
	2.	Principle of the Test	
	3.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results. Parameters of the Test	. 76
	4.	Example 12: Acceptance vs. Rejection of Two Trainees on a	
	-	Panel	
	5.	Example 13: Sequential Duo-Trio Tests. Warmed-Over Flavor in	
		Beef Patties	77

	H.	Similar	rity Testing	. 79
		1.	Scope and Application	. 79
		2.	Principle of the Test	. 79
		3.	Example 14: Triangle Test for Similarity. Blended Table	
			Syrup	. 80
		4.	Example 15: Duo-Trio Similarity Test. Replacing Coffee	
			Blend	. 81
		5.	Additional Discussion	. 84
III.	Attribu	ite Dffe	rence Tests: How Does Attribute X Differ Between Samples?	. 84
Paired	Compa		Γest of Two Samples	
	A.	Directi	onal Difference Test	
		1.	Scope and Application	
		2.	Principle	
		3.	Test Subjects	. 85
		4.	Test Procedure	. 85
		5.	Example 16: Directional Difference (Two-Sided). Crystal Mix Lemonade	86
		6.	Example 17: Directional Difference (One-Sided). Beer	. 00
		O.	Bitterness	87
Paired	Compa	ricone o	of More than Two Samples	
rancu	B.		se Ranking Test — Friedman Analysis	
	D.	1.	Scope and Application	
		2.	Principle of the Test	
		3.	Test Subjects.	
		4.	Test Procedure	
		5.	Example 18: Mouthfeel of Corn Syrup	
	C.		é/Paired Comparisons Test	
	С.	1.	Scope and Application	
		2.	Principle of the Test	
		3.	Test Subjects.	
		4.	Test Procedure	
		5.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results	
		6.	Example 19: Coconut Oil Level in Breakfast Cereal	
	D.		Approaches to Paired Comparisons of More than Two Samples:	. , _
			one-Mosteller, Bradley-Terry, and Morissey-Gullickson	95
Multis	ample I		ice Tests — Randomized (Complete) Block Design	
	E.		ng Test — Friedman Analysis	
			Scope and Application	
		2.	Principle of the Test	
		3.	Test Subjects.	
		4.	Test Procedure	
		5.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results	
		6.	Example 20: Comparisons of Four Sweeteners for Persistence	
		7.	Example 21: Bitterness in Beer Not Agreeing with Analysis	
	F.	Multisa	ample Difference Test — Rating Approach — Evaluation by	
			sis of Variance (ANOVA)	101
		1.	Scope and Application	
		2.	Principle of the Test	
		3.	Test Subjects	
		4.	Test Procedure	
		5.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results	101
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Multis	6.       Example 22: Popularity of Course in Sensory Analysis       101         7.       Example 23: Hop Character in Five Beers       102         ample Difference Tests — Balanced Incomplete Block (BIB) Designs       105         G.       BIB Ranking Test — Friedman Analysis       106         1.       Scope and Application       106         2.       Principle of the Test       106         3.       Test Subjects       106         4.       Test Procedure       106         5.       Example 24: Species of Fish       106         H.       Multisample Difference Test — BIB Design — Rating Approach —
Refere	6. Example 25: Reference Samples of Ice Cream 109
Chapte Detern I. II. III.	
	Volume II
Chapte Descri I. II. III.	ptive Analysis Techniques1Definition1Field of Application1Components of Descriptive Analysis2
IV.	A. Characteristics — The Qualitative Aspect2B. Intensity — The Quantitative Aspect3C. Order of Appearance — The Time Aspect4D. Overall Impression — The Integrated Aspect4Commonly Used Descriptive Test Methods5A. The Flavor Profile Method5B. The Texture Profile Method6
V.	C. The Quantitative Descriptive (QDA) Analysis Method 6 D. Time-Intensity Descriptive Analysis 7 Designing a Descriptive Procedure. The Spectrum Method 8 A. Terminology 8 B. Intensity 9
VI.	C. Other Options

Appe	ndix 1 -	— Spectrum <sup>®</sup> Reference Lists of Terminology for Descriptive Analysis	
	Α.	Terms Used to Describe Appearance	. 10
	В.	General Flavor Terms	. 11
	C.	Terms Used to Describe Oral Texture (With Procedures and	1.4
	D.	Definitions)	
	D.	Example of Texture Terminology: Oral Texture of Cookies	. 13
		Reference Samples Useful for the Establishment of Spectrum	
Intens		les for Descriptive Analysis	
	Α.	Intensity Scale Values (0 to 15) for some Common Aromatics	. 16
	В.	Intensity Scale Values (1 to 15) for the Four Basic Tastes in Various Products	1.8
	C.	Intensity Scale Values (0 to 15) for Some Common Texture	. 10
	C.	Attributes	10
		Standard Roughness Scale	
		Standard Roughness Scale     Standard Wetness Scale	
		3. Standard Stickiness to Lips Scale	
		4. Standard Springiness Scale	
		5. Standard Hardness Scale	
		6. Standard Cohesiveness Scale	
		7. Standard Fracturability Scale	
		8. Standard Viscosity Scale	
		9. Standard Denseness Scale	
		10. Standard Moisture Absorption Scale	
		11. Standard Cohesiveness of Mass Scale	
		12. Standard Tooth Packing Scale	
Refer	ences		. 23
Chapt			
Affec		sts: Consumer Tests and In-House Panel Acceptance Tests	
I.	Purpo	se and Applications	
	Α.	Product Maintenance	
	В.	Product Improvement/Optimization	
	C.	Development of New Products	
	D.	Assessment of Market Potential	. 27
П.	The S	ubjects/Consumers in Affective Tests	. 27
	Α.	Sampling and Demographics	. 27
	В.	Source of Test Subjects: Employees, Local Residents, the General	
		Population	28
III.	Choice	e of Test Location	29
	Α.	Laboratory Tests	30
	B.	Central Location Tests	30
	C.	Home-Use Tests	30
IV.	Metho	ds Used in Affective Tests/Consumer Tests	31
	Α.	Primary Response: Preference or Acceptance?	
		1. Preference Tests	
		a. Example 1: Paired Preference. Improved Peanut Butter	
		2. Acceptance Tests	
		a. Example 2: Acceptance of Two Prototypes Relative to	. e. <del>.</del>
		a Competitive Product. High Fiber Breakfast Cereal	34
	В.	Assessment of Individual Attributes	
V.		n of Questionnaires	39

VI.	Using (	Other Sensory Methods to Supplement Affective Testing	. 40
	A.	Relating Affective and Descriptive Data	. 40
	В.	Using Affective Data to Define Shelf-Life or Quality Limits	. 40
		1. Example 5: Shelf-Life of Sesame Cracker	. 41
Referer	ıce	0.5555511777733556655577777777777777777777	. 44
Chapte	r 10		
-		Training of Panel Members	15
		ction	
		Development	
		on and Training for Difference Tests.	
111.		Selection	
	Α.	1. Matching Tests	
		Detection/Discrimination Tests	
		5	
		4. Interpretation of Results of Screening Tests	
137	B.	Training	
IV.		on and Training of Panelists for Descriptive Testing	
	Α.	Selection for Descriptive Testing	
		1. Prescreening Questionnaires	
		2. Acuity Tests	
		3. Ranking/Rating Screening Tests for Descriptive Analysis	
	D	4. Personal Interview	
	В.	Training for Descriptive Testing.	
		1. Terminology Development and Introduction to Scaling	
		Initial Practice     Small Product Differences	
	D 1 I	4. Final Practice	
V.			
	A.	Performance	
	B.		
D - C	C.	Rewards and Motivation	
Keiere	nces		01
Chapte	r 11		
Basic 1	Probab	ility and Statistical Methods	63
I.	Introdu	ection	63
II.	Probab	ility	64
	A.	The Normal Distribution	64
		1. Example 1: Normal Probabilities on an Interval	65
		2. Example 2: Normal Tail Probabilities	66
	B.	The Binomial Distrubition	66
		1. Example 3: Calculating Exact Binomial Probabilities	67
		2. Example 4: The Normal Approximation to the Binomial	
III.	Estima	tion Techniques	68
	A.	Estimating the Parameters of a Normal Distribution	69
		1. Example 5: Estimating the Average Perceived Sweetness	
		Intensity in a Cola and Its Standard Deviation	69
	B.	Estimating the Population Proportion p of a Binomial Distribution	
		1. Example 6: A Preference Test	
	C.	Confidence Intervals on $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}$	70

		1. Example 7: Confidence Intervals on the Mean μ	71
		2. Example 8: Confidence Interval on the Proportion p	
IV.	Statist	ical Inference	
	Α.	Statistical Hypotheses	
	В.	One-Sided and Two-Sided Hypotheses	
	C.	Type I and Type II Errors	
	D.	Examples: Tests on Means, Standard Deviations, and Proportions	74
		1. Example 9: Testing that the Mean of a Normal Distribution is	
		Equal to a Specified Value	74
		2. Example 10:-Comparing the Means of Two Normal	
		Populations — Paired-Sample Case	76
		3. Example 11: Comparing the Means of Two Normal	
		Populations — Independent (or Two-Sample) Case	77
		4. Example 12: Comparing Standard Deviations from Two Normal	70
		Populations	/8
		5. Example 13: Testing that the Population Proportion is Equal to	70
		a Specified Value	
V	Canal	6. Example 14: Comparing Two Population Proportions	
V.		uding Remarks	
Kelele	nces		01
Chapte	r 12		
		atistical Techniques	83
I.		action	
II.		ation vs. Multiple Observations	
Ш.	•	locking Structure of an Experimental Design	
20.21	Α.	Randomized (Complete) Block Designs	
		1. Randomized Block Analysis of Ratings	
		2. Randomized Block Analysis of Rank Data	
	B.	Balanced Incomplete-Block Designs	
		I. BIB Analysis of Ratings	
		2. BIB Analysis of Rank Data	89
	C.	Split-Plot Designs	90
		1. Split-Plot Analysis of Ratings	90
	D.	Multiple Comparison Procedures	91
		1. One-at-a-Time Multiple Comparison Procedures	
		2. Simultaneous Multiple Comparison Procedures	
IV.	The Tr	reatment Structure of an Experimental Design	
	A.	Factorial Treatment Structures	
	В.	Response Surface Methodology	
V.		ariate Statistical Methods	
Referei	nces		00
CI.			
Chapte		Chaire of Taskeisusa	0.1
		r Choice of Techniques	
L.		Define the Project Objective	
	A. B.	Define the Project Objective.	
	В. С.	Define the Test Objective	
Tabla		s of Problems in Sensory Analysis	
			02

Table 3: Attribute Difference Tests	104
Table 4: Affective Tests	105
Table 5: Descriptive Tests	106
References	106
Chapter 14	
Guidelines for Reporting Results	
I. Introduction	
II. Summary	
III. Objective	
IV. Experimental	
V. Results and Discussion	
References	111
Statistical Tables	113
Table T1: Random Orders of the Digits 1 to 9. Arranged in Groups of Three	
Columns	
Table T2: Binomial Probabilities	
Table T3: The Standard Normal Distribution	120
Table T4: Upper $\alpha$ Probability Points of Student's $t$ Distribution	
Table T5: Upper $\alpha$ Probability Points of $\chi^2$ Distribution	
Table T6: Upper α Probability Points of F Distribution	123
Table T7: Triangle Test for Difference — Critical Number (Minimum) of Correct	
Answers	133
Table T8: Duo-Trio Test for Difference or One-Sided Paired Comparison Test for	
Difference — Critical Number (Minimum) of Correct Answers	134
Table T9: Two-Sided Paired Comparison Test for Difference — Critical Number	
(Minimun) of Correct Answers	136
Table T10: Two-Out-of-Five Test for Difference — Critical Number (Minimum) of	
Correct Answers.	138
Table T11: Triangle Test for Similarity — Critical Number (Maximum) of Correct	
Answers	140
Table T12: Duo-Trio Test for Similarity or Two-Sided Paired-Comparison Test for	
Similarity — Critical Number (Maximum) of Correct Answers	142
Table T13: Two-Out-of-Five Test for Similarity — Critical Number of Correct	
Responses	145
Table T14: Percentage Points of the Studentized Range — Upper $\alpha$ Critical Values	
for Tukey's HSD Multiple Comparison Procedure	148
Too look	153
Index	153