

ANALYTICAL METHODS OF FOOD AUTHENTICATION

.....
*Edited by P.R. Ashurst
and M.J. Dennis*



BLACKIE ACADEMIC & PROFESSIONAL

An Imprint of Chapman & Hall

Analytical Methods of Food Authentication

Edited by

P.R. ASHURST

Principal of Dr P.R. Ashurst & Associates
Consulting Chemists
Hereford
UK

and

M.J. DENNIS

Head of Food Authenticity and Statutory Methods
CSL Food Science Laboratory
Norwich
UK



BLACKIE ACADEMIC & PROFESSIONAL

An Imprint of Chapman & Hall

London · Weinheim · New York · Tokyo · Melbourne · Madras

**Published by Blackie Academic and Professional,
an imprint of Thomson Science, 2-6 Boundary Row, London SE1 8HN, UK**

Thomson Science, 2-6 Boundary Row, London SE1 8HN, UK

Thomson Science, 115 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10003, USA

Thomson Science, Suite 750, 400 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106, USA

Thomson Science, Pappelallee 3, 69469 Weinheim, Germany

First edition 1998

© 1998 Thomson Science

Thomson Science is a division of International Thomson Publishing **ITP**

Typeset in 10/12 Times by Blackpool Typesetting Services Ltd

Printed in Great Britain by St Edmundsbury Press Ltd, Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk

ISBN 0 7514 0426 8

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publishers. Applications for permission should be addressed to the rights manager at the London address of the publisher.

The publisher makes no representation, express or implied, with regard to the accuracy of the information contained in this book and cannot accept any legal responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions that may be made.

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 97-77015

Analytical Methods of Food Authentication

VISIT OUR FOOD SCIENCE SITE ON THE WEB

<http://www.foodsci.com>

e-mail orders: direct.orders@tps.co.uk

Contributors

Professor M.J. Adams	School of Applied Sciences, University of Wolverhampton, Wolverhampton, UK.
Professor A.T. Andrews	Institute of Cardiff, University of Wales, Cardiff, UK.
Professor E. Anklam	European Commission, Directorate General Joint Research Centre, Environmental Institute, TP 740, Ispra, Italy.
Dr P.R. Ashurst	Dr P.R. Ashurst & Associates, Kingstone, Hereford, UK.
Dr I.J. Colquhoun	Institute of Food Research, Norwich, UK.
Dr H.M. Crews	CSL Food Science Laboratory, Norwich, UK.
Professor W.S. Davidson	Department of Biochemistry, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St Johns, Newfoundland, Canada A1B 3X9.
Dr M.J. Dennis	CSL Food Science Laboratory, Norwich, UK.
Dr G. Henniger	Biochemical Research Division, Boehringer-Mannheim, D-82372 Penzberg, Germany.
Mr D.A. Krueger	Krueger Food Laboratories Inc, Cambridge MA 02139 3170, USA.
Dr M. Lees	Eurofins SA, 44073 Nantes, Cedex 03, France.
Dr M. Lipp	European Commission, Directorate General Joint Research Centre, Environmental Institute, TP 740, Ispra, Italy
Professor N. Low	Department of Applied Microbiology and Food Science, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada S7N 5A8.
Professor E. Märtlbauer	Tierärztliche Fakultät, Lehrstuhl für Hygiene und Technologie der Milch, D 80539 München, Germany.
Mr C.N.G. Scotter	Campden and Chorleywood Food Research Association, Chipping Campden, Gloucestershire, UK.
Dr R. Wilson	Institute of Food Research, Norwich, UK.

Preface

As we stated in the earlier volume *Food Authentication* the adulteration of food is not a new issue. It has, within the past decade become much sharper, partly because of the trend in Europe and other parts of the world to move from compositional legislation to label declaration and partly because of the development of analytical methods. The legal issues surrounding label declarations are pursued with differing vigour by the various enforcement bodies, but this volume is not concerned as such with that aspect.

Having examined in some detail the main food commodity groups, for it is within commodities that the problem mainly exists, this volume surveys the range of analytical methods that are today applied to the investigation and confirmation of authenticity or adulteration. At the outset it must be recognized that for any food commodity it is invariably the case that there is no single test that will confirm the authenticity of a product. As will be seen almost all types of analysis, both complex and simple, are used in one or another commodity. Two common strands are evident in all authenticity work. One is the creation and maintenance of databases and the other is the handling of the large amounts of data generated.

There is deliberately no chapter in this volume dealing with databases because these will vary to meet the special needs of each commodity. We have, however, included a chapter on the chemometric methods that are now widely used for data processing and evaluation – a direct result of the computing resources that are available universally at relatively low cost.

Stable isotope analyses have become an important tool in the detection of adulteration and chapters are included on both mass spectrometry and nuclear magnetic resonance as alternative ways of obtaining ratios of the key stable isotopes. Mass spectrometry linked to pyrolysis is dealt with in a separate chapter and the significance of both mid- and near-infrared spectroscopy are recognized. Other analytical techniques that each have their own chapters include oligosaccharide analysis, trace elements analysis and the important biochemical techniques such as enzyme based methods, analyses linked to DNA identification and comparison, antibody techniques and electrophoresis. Finally, a short miscellaneous chapter examines some of the simpler but none the less effective tools such as microscopy.

We have also included a chapter on the detection of irradiated foodstuffs which although perhaps not strictly an authenticity issue does rate highly in consensus of consumers and enforcement bodies.

This book is the work of its authors, all eminent and respected specialists in their own fields and the editors are very appreciative of their contributions. We take full responsibility for the overall work ‘warts and all’ and it is hoped the reader will find this a worthy successor to the original volume.

Contents

List of Contributors	xi
Preface	xiii
1 Introduction to methods for food authentication	1
M.J. DENNIS and P.R. ASHURST	
1.1 Aims of food authentication	1
1.1.1 Food producing companies	1
1.1.2 Enforcement authorities	1
1.1.3 National governments	2
1.2 Networks	2
1.3 Sampling	3
1.3.1 National surveillance	3
1.3.2 Food companies	4
1.4 Interpreting databases	4
1.5 Influences on data evaluation	6
1.5.1 Legislation	6
1.5.2 Historical changes	7
1.6 New technology	7
1.6.1 Traditional techniques	7
1.6.2 Food irradiation	8
1.6.3 Genetically modified organisms	11
1.7 Methods of analysis	11
References	13
2 Stable isotope analysis by mass spectrometry	14
D.A. KRUEGER	
2.1 Introduction	14
2.2 Carbon isotopes	16
2.3 Oxygen isotopes	23
2.4 Hydrogen isotopes	26
References	29
3 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance spectroscopy	36
I.J. COLQUHOUN and M. LEES	
3.1 Introduction	36
3.2 Practical considerations	37
3.2.1 Background	37
3.2.2 Sample preparation	37
3.2.3 Acquisition time	38
3.2.4 Quantitative NMR	39
3.2.5 Dynamic range and water suppression	39
3.2.6 Detection limits	40
3.2.7 Signal assignments	40
3.2.8 Data preparation for multivariate analysis	41
3.3 Applications	42
3.3.1 Fruit juices and fruits	42
3.3.2 Wines	51
3.3.3 Vegetable oils	52
3.3.4 Fish and meat	58

3.4	Site-specific natural isotope fractionation by NMR	59
3.4.1	Introduction	59
3.4.2	Natural isotope fractionation	60
3.4.3	Determining site-specific ratios by NMR	60
3.4.4	^2H -NMR for quantitative determinations of site-specific ratios	61
3.4.5	Choice of isotopic probe for SNIF-NMR [*] analysis	62
3.4.6	Ethanol as isotopic probe	63
3.4.7	Wine authentication	64
3.4.8	Fruit juice authentication	65
3.4.9	Sample treatment: the SNIF-NMR [*] concept	67
3.4.10	Authentication of other sugar-rich products	69
3.4.11	Origin determination of alcoholic beverages	69
3.4.12	Acetic acid as isotopic probe	70
3.4.13	SNIF-NMR [*] applied to other isotopic probes	70
3.4.14	The future	71
	References	72
4	Infrared spectroscopy	76
	C.N.G. SCOTTER and R. WILSON	
4.1	Theory	76
4.2	Mid-infrared spectroscopy: equipment and methods	79
4.3	Near-infrared instrumentation and methods	82
4.3.1	Instrumentation	82
4.3.2	NIR methods	82
4.4	Applications of mid-infrared spectroscopy	83
4.4.1	Fruit products	83
4.4.2	Coffee	84
4.4.3	Edible oils	87
4.5	Applications of NIR spectroscopy	88
4.5.1	Cereals	88
4.5.2	Fruit products	89
4.5.3	Food-grade oils – substituting in part or wholly inferior oils for more expensive types	90
4.5.4	Vegetable fat adulteration of dairy products	91
4.5.5	Animal fat substitutes in sausages	91
4.5.6	Meat species discrimination	91
4.5.7	Classification of Iberian pig carcass quality	91
4.5.8	Discrimination of fresh from frozen-thawed meat	92
4.5.9	Tea – inappropriately described type	92
4.5.10	Coffee – type and coffee content	92
	Acknowledgements	93
	Appendix 4A	93
	References	94
5	Oligosaccharide analysis	97
	N. LOW	
5.1	Introduction	97
5.1.1	Adulterants	98
5.1.2	Carbohydrate classification	99
5.2	Oligosaccharide formation in foods and inexpensive sweeteners	99
5.2.1	Carbohydrate metabolism in plants	99
5.2.2	Oligosaccharide synthesis in plants	100
5.2.3	Oligosaccharide formation in inexpensive sweeteners	100
5.3	Principle of the fingerprint oligosaccharide method	104
5.4	Sample preparation	105
5.4.1	Sample preparation method 1 (SPM1)	105

5.4.2	Sample preparation method 2 (SPM2)	106
5.5	Oligosaccharide fingerprinting employing high performance anion exchange liquid chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAE-PAD)	106
5.5.1	Sample analysis by high performance anion exchange liquid chromatography	106
5.5.2	Interpretation of HPAE-PAD results	110
5.5.3	HPAE-PAD conclusions	119
5.6	Oligosaccharide fingerprinting employing capillary gas chromatography with flame ionization detection (CGC-FID)	120
5.6.1	Sample analysis by capillary gas chromatography with flame ionization detection	120
5.6.2	Interpretation of CGC-FID results	121
5.6.3	Other applications of oligosaccharide fingerprinting by CGC-FID	129
5.6.4	CGC-FID conclusions	129
5.7	Oligosaccharide fingerprinting employing capillary zone electrophoresis	131
5.7.1	Introduction	131
5.7.2	Sample preparation for CZE	132
5.7.3	Interpretation of CZE results	133
5.7.4	CZE conclusions	133
5.8	Conclusions	133
	References	135
6	Enzymic methods of food analysis	137
	G. HENNIGER	
6.1	Introduction	137
6.2	Specificity of enzymatic methods	141
6.2.1	Highly ('absolutely') specific enzymes	141
6.2.2	Less specific enzymes	142
6.2.3	Relative specificity of enzymatic procedures	142
6.2.4	Enzymes with slow side activity	143
6.2.5	Group-specific enzymes	143
6.2.6	Stereo-specific enzymes	144
6.3	The analytes	146
6.3.1	Acetaldehyde	146
6.3.2	Acetic acid	147
6.3.3	Ammonia	147
6.3.4	L-Ascorbic acid ('vitamin C')	148
6.3.5	L-Aspartic acid	148
6.3.6	Cholesterol	149
6.3.7	Citric acid	149
6.3.8	Creatine/creatinine	150
6.3.9	Ethanol	150
6.3.10	Formic acid	151
6.3.11	D-Fructose ('fruit sugar')	152
6.3.12	D-Gluconic acid/D-glucono- δ -lactone	152
6.3.13	D-Glucose ('grape sugar')	153
6.3.14	L-Glutamic acid	153
6.3.15	Glycerol	154
6.3.16	Guanosine-5'-mono-phosphate	154
6.3.17	D-3-Hydroxybutyric acid	154
6.3.18	D-Isocitric acid	155
6.3.19	D-Lactic acid	155
6.3.20	L-Lactic acid	156
6.3.21	Lactose	156
6.3.22	Lactulose	157
6.3.23	L- α -Lecithin	158
6.3.24	D-Malic acid	158

6.3.25 L-Malic acid	158
6.3.26 Maltose	159
6.3.27 Nitrate	159
6.3.28 Oxalic acid	160
6.3.29 Pyruvate	160
6.3.30 Raffinose	161
6.3.31 D-Sorbitol/Xylitol	161
6.3.32 Starch	162
6.3.33 Succinic acid	162
6.3.34 Sucrose ('sugar')	163
6.3.35 Sulphite	163
6.3.36 Urea	164
6.4 Sample preparation	164
6.4.1 Sample preparation methods	164
6.4.2 Special sample preparations	165
6.5 Principles and measuring technique	167
6.5.1 Reaction mechanism	167
6.5.2 Reaction equilibrium	170
6.5.3 Measurement of enzymatic reactions	171
6.5.4 Measurement techniques	172
6.5.5 The reaction	173
6.5.6 Calculation	175
6.6 Interferences with the determination	176
6.6.1 The nature of interference	176
6.6.2 Recognizing interferences	177
6.7 Sensitivity	177
6.8 Conclusion	180
Further reading	181
7 DNA/PCR techniques	182
W.S. DAVIDSON	
7.1 The problem: species identification of raw and processed meat	182
7.2 Protein-based methods	182
7.2.1 Gel electrophoresis	182
7.2.2 Immunology	183
7.2.3 Liquid chromatography	184
7.2.4 Need for alternative approach	184
7.3 DNA-based methods	185
7.3.1 Hybridization	185
7.3.2 PCR direct sequence analysis (FINS)	187
7.3.3 Species specific primers	193
7.3.4 Sex specific primers	194
7.3.5 Amplification of restriction site polymorphisms	195
7.3.6 PCR-single strand conformational polymorphisms (PCR-SSCP)	195
7.3.7 Arbitrary primers (AP-PCR and RAPD)	196
7.3.8 Microsatellites	198
7.4 Summary and future trends	199
Acknowledgements	200
References	200
8 Electrophoretic methods	204
A.T. ANDREWS	
8.1 Introduction	204
8.2 Gel-based methods	205
8.2.1 Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)	205
8.2.2 SDS-PAGE	211

8.2.3	Agarose gel electrophoresis	212
8.2.4	Isoelectric focusing (IEF)	214
8.2.5	Immunoelectrophoresis	218
8.2.6	Two-dimensional methods	220
8.3	Detection of separated components	222
8.3.1	Staining procedures	222
8.3.2	Blotting of gel patterns	227
8.4	Capillary electrophoresis (CE)	228
8.4.1	Capillary zone electrophoresis (CZE)	230
8.4.2	Micellar electrokinetic capillary chromatography (MECC)	230
8.4.3	CE in polymer solutions	231
8.4.4	CE in gel-filled capillaries	232
8.4.5	Capillary isoelectric focusing	232
8.5	Some applications of electrophoretic analysis	233
	References	238
9	Antibody techniques	241
	E. MÄRTLBAUER	
9.1	Introduction	241
9.2	Principles	244
9.2.1	Marker-free methods	244
9.2.2	Enzyme immunoassay	247
9.2.3	Sensitivity	253
9.2.4	Specificity	254
9.3	Specific applications	256
9.3.1	Milk and milk products	256
9.3.2	Meat and meat products	259
9.4	Statistical parameters for quantitative evaluation of EIA	263
9.5	Conclusions	265
	References	266
10	Trace element analysis for food authenticity studies	270
	H.M. CREWS	
10.1	Introduction	270
10.2	General considerations	272
10.3	Flame emission and atomic absorption spectrometry (FES and AAS)	273
10.3.1	Applications using FAAS, FES, ETAAS and AFS	275
10.4	Atomic emission spectrometry (AES)	278
10.4.1	Applications using DCP-AES and ICP-AES	280
10.5	Neutron activation analysis (NAA)	281
10.5.1	Applications of NAA	282
10.6	Mass spectrometry (MS)	283
10.6.1	Applications using thermal ionization MS (TIMS) and inductively coupled plasma-MS (ICP-MS)	287
	References	288
11	Pyrolysis mass spectrometry in food analysis and related fields: principles and application	292
	M. LIPP and E. ANKLAM	
11.1	Introduction	292
11.2	Principles	293
11.2.1	Pyrolysis techniques	293
11.2.2	Ion generation	295
11.2.3	Mass spectrometer	295

11.3 Data evaluation	296
11.4 Food analysis by Py-MS	297
11.4.1 Application to food without special emphasis on proof of authenticity	297
11.4.2 Application to food authentication	298
References	304
12 The principles of multivariate data analysis	308
M.J. ADAMS	
12.1 Introduction	308
12.2 Univariate statistics	308
12.3 Multivariate statistics	310
12.4 Data reduction	313
12.5 Pattern recognition	319
12.5.1 Cluster analysis	319
12.5.2 Discriminant analysis	323
12.5.3 Neural networks	325
12.6 Calibration	326
12.7 Conclusions	335
References	336
Index	337

1 Introduction to methods for food authentication

M.J. DENNIS and P.R. ASHURST

1.1 Aims of food authentication

When food analysts carry out food authenticity tests, what are they trying to achieve? The answer depends very much on the requirements of the customer. Food producers, food enforcement agencies and national governments each have subtly different needs and these must be taken into account when the choice of method of analysis is made.

1.1.1 Food producing companies

Reputable food producers want to ensure the products they manufacture meet the demands of legislation in the countries where they are sold. They also wish to avoid paying a premium price for an inferior commodity. Equally the costs of the authenticity test are important and, in some manufacturing situations, the speed with which the test can be accomplished may be very important. Although food companies will wish to use soundly based methodology to meet their obligations under due diligence legislation, they do not necessarily need the degree of method validation which is appropriate in other situations. Thus, when purchasing raw materials, they are in a position to specify the composition of the material they are buying. They do not necessarily have to justify this specification; they can simply say 'this is not the product I want'. One consequence of this is that the larger, more scientifically aware companies, can be among the first to adopt new technologies in food authentication when these meet a perceived need.

1.1.2 Enforcement authorities

In contrast, method validity is of crucial importance to enforcement authorities. It is vital that they can show that the methods used are defensible in a court of law. Thus, the methods must be rigorously defined and their validity established either through long usage, or preferably, through collaborative testing. Enforcement authorities will therefore tend to concentrate on validating and applying methodologies developed by universities and research institutes rather than undertaking cutting-edge research. In this, their position is similar to that of the food companies, who do not consider it their role to devote resources to research but are content to apply the best methods currently available.

1.1.3 *National governments*

The organization of the enforcement of food law differs between countries. However, it is not unusual for law enforcement to be undertaken at a local or district level. This is the case in the UK where enforcement is generally under the direction of local authorities. Nevertheless, national governments must have an interest in co-ordinating and directing these activities. Only at the national or international level can the combination be found of the appreciation of the need to invest in authenticity research and the resources to carry out such work. Governments need to ensure that sound, well-validated methods are available to meet the needs of both industry and enforcement in order to protect the public from misleading or fraudulent labelling. In this they seek to meet the needs of the public, as voters and consumers, and the food industry as an engine of the economy. There is no conflict of interest between these parties. Each needs to know that when they buy a premium product, they get what they pay for.

Governments have a difficult task in deciding where best to direct resources for food authentication. Where existing methods of analysis have not been fully validated or usage has indicated problems, then it is appropriate to fund method development and validation work. Equally, where new technologies arise and have potential application to food authentication (e.g. DNA biotechnology) then further research to develop these applications is merited; particularly where current methods need to be improved. It is also important to maintain an awareness of the market place to ensure that important authenticity issues are not being overlooked.

1.2 **Networks**

Regular contacts of scientists for the discussion and debate of issues has always been fundamental to the development of the scientific method of study. However, the pace of change in food authentication is unrelenting and it is necessary for all parties to maintain close awareness of both scientific developments, and developments in the market place. In the UK for example, the Ministry of Agriculture's Food Authenticity Working Party allows representatives of consumers, food manufacturers and retailers, food law enforcement co-ordinators and scientists to contribute their views as to how the authenticity of foods should be ensured.

In the United States, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is seeking a closer working relationship with the food industry and inviting them to become partners and customers of the planned Joint Institute for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (JIFSAN) which is being set up in co-operation with the University of Maryland (Anon, 1997). The FDA emphasized the need for information sharing between state and federal regulators, food manufacturers and international agencies. It was recognized that industry is often in a unique position to provide information on dishonest competitors, significant changes in

commodity markets and new technologies. One proposal considered was that US Customs services should co-operate with other countries to prevent exporters seeking alternative ports of entry when adulterated products were rejected at the border. The European Commission has recognized the importance of providing support for food authenticity networks by funding Food Authenticity Issues and Methodologies (FAIM). This group brings together over 40 food scientists to review authenticity issues and analytical methodology in fruit-based products, honey, meat and fish, dairy products, oils and fats, cereals, tea and coffee.

Thus there is considerable international recognition of the need to encourage information exchange in food authenticity. These more formal schemes together with the individual initiatives of scientists working in key commodity areas ensure that resources are targeted towards the most deserving issues. Nevertheless, a clear awareness of progress in ensuring the authenticity of food commodities is most commonly achieved through well-targeted surveys.

1.3 Sampling

1.3.1 National surveillance

There are a number of issues which should be considered when a national authenticity surveillance of a specific food (or group of foods) is contemplated. It is necessary to find a basis for determining priorities and the relative importance of the many possible issues which could be studied. Some basis for weighting the various factors must be established. It is, in principle, possible to consider any claim which is made on a food label as an issue requiring testing, but some issues will be more important than others. Many factors may influence this judgement. For instance, is this a problem which consumers are aware of? Or will feel particularly strongly about? (e.g. kosher or vegetarian labels); will the authenticity issue have an effect on the health of a sub-group of the population? (e.g. those allergic to cow's milk might be severely affected if goat's milk yoghurt or cheese contained this ingredient). Is the food commodity sold to a large percentage of the population or will only a few people be affected? Is the issue a recently discovered problem or have manufacturers and retailers known about the problem for sufficient time to put adequate monitoring systems in place? Are suitable methods of analysis available?

If it is decided on the basis of these considerations that a surveillance exercise is appropriate, then a detailed sampling plan, incorporating any constraints which the analytical method imposes, should be prepared. The type of sales outlet for the food may be significant; supermarket, small shop, restaurant or mobile sales van. Will the surveillance cover the whole country or just selected areas? Will it cover premium brands, budget brands and own label brands? How much will the analysis cost? Would it be more effective to evaluate a large number of samples with, perhaps, a cheap but less sensitive method, to gain a

good idea of how widespread a problem may be? Alternatively, is a highly accurate but expensive test required in order to detect a sophisticated adulteration issue? Has a cut-off limit been established from authentic samples by reputable laboratories using the test in question, and will these authentic data cover the range of food commodities and countries of origin that it is proposed to investigate? Have any new processing methods been carefully evaluated and shown not to affect the proposed tests? Is a confirmatory test available which will add validity to the proposed survey? All of these factors and possibly others need to be taken into account when planning a surveillance exercise.

1.3.2 *Food companies*

For the food industry, the emphasis on where authenticity testing should be directed is rather different. Lees (1996) outlined some of the considerations which needed to be taken into account. The analysis of every batch of raw materials before incorporation into the food product is termed positive release. This approach is very effective in detecting adulteration but it carries a high cost. It is appropriate for high risk situations such as when a new supplier is being used, where a regular supplier consistently delivers a product close to an authenticity limit value (and a Gaussian distribution might be expected) or where a previously good supplier has had a batch fail an authenticity test. In situations where a supplier has a good track record of providing authentic materials, then other approaches can be considered. Screening tests which are simple, fast and cheap may help to maintain confidence in a product but are unlikely to detect sophisticated adulterations. The most cost effective approach is to undertake random sampling using the best tests currently available. By using statistics, it is possible to calculate the minimum number of analyses required to ensure, for a given confidence interval ($\alpha\%$) that a $p\%$ non-conformity will be detected. So, for 100 sample batches in one year, if it is assumed that 1 in 4 batches are not authentic ($p\ 25\%$) then only 10 batches need to be analysed to give a 95% probability ($\alpha\%$) of identifying the problem. This level of confidence can be increased by ensuring co-ordination of surveillance activities with retailers, trade associations, importers and producers.

Having established that analysis is required to investigate sample authenticity, it is necessary to ensure that appropriate and sufficient authentic data are available and to specify how subsequent data from unknown samples will be interpreted.

1.4 Interpreting databases

When carrying out food authentication studies, it is best to establish a firm cut-off point at which the sample will be deemed to have failed the test before any tests