



Land, Governance, Conflict & the Nuba of Sudan

GUMA KUNDA KOMEY

Assistant Professor of Human Geography Juba University, Sudan



James Currey www.jamescurrey.com is an imprint of Boydell & Brewer Ltd PO Box 9, Woodbridge, Suffolk IP12 3DF, UK and of Boydell & Brewer Inc. 668 Mt Hope Avenue, Rochester, NY 14620, USA www.boydellandbrewer.com

© Guma Kunda Komey Kalo 2010 First published 2010

1 2 3 4 5 14 13 12 11 10

All Rights Reserved. Except as permitted under current legislation no part of this work may be photocopied, stored in a retrieval system, published, performed in public, adapted, broadcast, transmitted, recorded or reproduced in any form or by any means, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

The right of Guma Kunda Komey to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1998

The publisher has no responsibility for the continued existence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Komey, Guma Kunda.

Land, governance, conflict & the Nuba of Sudan. -- (Eastern Africa series)

1. Public lands--Sudan--Nuba Mountains--History--20th century. 2. Sudan--History--Civil War, 1983-2005.

1. Title II. Series 333.1'09628-dc22

ISBN 978-1-84701-026-1 (James Currey Hardcover)

Typeset in 10/11 pt Baskerville by Tina Ranft, Woodbridge, Suffolk, UK Printed in the United States of America by Edwards Brothers, Inc, Lillington NG



Preface

The Making & Object of the Book

Since gaining independence in 1956, Sudan has undergone a troubled sociopolitical process that culminated in the longest civil war in the contemporary Africa. Today, Sudan is a living case of a state in political disarray with an uncertain future. This gloomy political situation is an inevitable manifestation of its failing nation-building process. Instead of promoting national integration and unity through cherishing its normal societal ingredients of socio-cultural, ethnic and religious diversities, the postcolonial Sudanese state resorted to force national unity through uniformity. This false start ossified the processes of nation-building, arrested national integration and, therefore, impeded the realization of the Sudanese state formation as a cohesive political entity. Thus, Sudan remains a highly contested political unit that continues a brutal fight against its own people for its mere survival. The first civil war in southern Sudan (1955–1972), the second civil war (1983–2005) which started initially in southern Sudan and extended gradually to northern Sudan via Nuba Mountains and Blue Nile, and the current political, social and humanitarian crisis in Darfur are full proof.

Taking the marginalized indigenous people and their war-torn region in southern Kordofan as a case study, this book attempts to examine state—society relations and their political and social repercussions on the involved communities. The author's field work reveals what went on in the Nuba Mountains before, during, and after the period of the civil war in the Sudan. The strength of the book is on the empirical side, with its historical overviews, combined with ethnographic detail all relating to the political, social and economic strivings of the indigenous Nuba people. Thus, this is not another political overview of the postcolonial Sudan but an original case study of the disadvantaged Nuba people and their historical and contemporary processes of socioeconomic and political marginalization and exclusion by the state, and their various responses to such negatives. In a number of ways, a model for this study is found in Wendy James' War and Survival in Sudan's Frontierlands:

Voices from the Blue Nile (2007). Both works focus on one of the two contested, marginalized, and frontier regions of the Nuba Mountains and southern Blue Nile which share the dilemma of being situated along Sudan's north-south socio-political and geographic divides.

The main argument advanced here is that although the root causes of the conflicts and civil wars in Sudan are multifaceted, access to land is the core issue within the wider context of state overall political system and governance. This centrality stems from the fact that access to land is crucial to human survival in agrarian societies. Besides its economic utilities, land is a symbol of social and political identification for the majority of rural peoples. Despite this, the interests and rights of such communities, whether sedentary like the Nuba or nomadic like the Baqqara, are barely harmonized with the state's land policies. At the same time, their very habitats are overly exploited by state in the course of development process in the name of 'public interest'. This results in state driven conflicts that force the victims themselves to fight a proxy war against each other for reasons externally generated and reinforced by the state.

The analysis in this book reveals that neither land resource nor any other single factor should be accepted at face value as a single root cause of a conflict. Rather, the contribution of this study is precisely to demonstrate that the role of any contributing factor to a conflict, at its different levels of scale, is correctly understood when situated in wider socio-economic and politico-administrative analytical context. The ethnographic material in this book reveals that the way in which the conflicts have evolved in the Nuba Mountain requires a focus on the state governance, in this case 'bad governance'. Focusing on Nuba—Baqqara relations in their shared but contested territory of the Nuba Mountains, the book traces the people's use and control of resources at many different levels of their social organizations, thus permitting a consideration of processes of inter-communal cooperation and/or competition in the wider context of state-society relations, power and authority.

This study was conducted over many years, divided between field work in Sudan, archival scrutiny in Durham, London and desk work including the final analysis in Halle, Germany. Guided by a set of central questions outlined in the Introduction, a period of sixteen months of ethnographic fieldwork was carried out, in three stages between 2005–2008. The process was intentionally interrupted, and therefore, supplemented by an extensive literature review at the Institute of Social Anthropology, University of Halle, Germany, coupled with research at the Sudan Archive, Durham University Library in the United Kingdom. Thus the ethnographic material presented here is combination of (i) systematic participatory observations of certain events and agents in diverse but interrelated social fields, such as local markets which are viewed here as economic, socio-cultural, and political intermediaries; (ii) informal and semistructured but guided interviews with local people as well as community leaders; and (iii) records of the daily life, social organization, with the discourses and practices related to claims of land rights and their disputations between sedentary Nuba and nomadic Baggara Arabs.

During the field work, the focus was on monitoring and tracing cases of

land-based conflicts, discourses, competition, and/or cooperation, not only within the limited sphere of shared land and water resources, but also within the broader shared social space as a set of intersecting ecological, social, economic, and political fields. Toward that end, the first stage of the field work (March to June 2005) was devoted to conducting a preliminary survey in which a set of criteria was developed and tested in order to ensure the suitability and practicality of some potential field sites as viable ethnographic case studies. The criteria include, among others, the history of ethnic settlement successions among the competing ethnic groups; the current pattern of inter-ethnic settlement and mixtures; the traceability of frequent boundary shifts; documented or verbal claims to collective land rights in terms of firstcomer or even autochthonous status, and the existence of some form of socio-economic or ecological interactive intermediaries that impose a consistent mode of encounter between the various competing actors. Such intermediaries include shared market places, state institutions, socio-cultural events, water points, farming activities and grazing.

Based on these criteria, the al-Azraq, Umm Derafi, Reikha and Keiga Tummero local areas were finally selected as sites for an in-depth field-centred ethnographic work (see Map 6.1 in Chapter 6). The first task, in 2005, was to collect theoretically relevant cases of contested access to land and water rights. In the second stage (October 2005 to June 2006), detailed ethnographic investigations were carried out at the selected sites with a focus on observing certain events and their interconnections at various levels of scale. This was followed by a more detailed ethnographic analysis with the intention of identifying some information and data gaps. Between December 2006 and March 2007, final fieldwork was carried out to fill in the gaps identified through analysis of the main fieldwork of 2006. The drafting of this study began in June 2008 at the Institute of Social Anthropology in Halle, Germany and continued up to 2010, although the research sub-project ended in September 2008.

During field work, certain issues were pursued in more detail, while always paying special attention to the variations found in the field site localities, and to the state policies and reactions to the local dynamics. These were, first, the issue of claiming communal land rights, related conflicts, and their implications for the relations between the nomadic Baqqara and the sedentary Nuba groups in a situation without state legal recognition of customary land rights. The most challenging question is the clarification of what exactly communal land rights mean in the context of present day negotiations in the locations selected. Identity discourses and collective memories in relation to ethnicity, strongly linked with territoriality, play an important role here and are often articulated in terms of autochthony and or other forms of identities and belongings.

Second, the case studies are analyzed through longstanding or emerging strategic groups with common economic and/or political interests who sometimes crosscut the stereotypical nomadic-sedentary and ethnic dichotomies and are linked into networks which reach outside the localities. Special attention is given to traders of various ethnicities who cross existing

borders and partly did so during the war. Of equal importance is the role of the elite from the urban centres along the Nile and protagonists from diasporas abroad who influence local developments. Special attention is also given to the emergence of new forms of politically avowed religiosities (Christian and Islamic) and their role in emerging power networks which influence local phenomena. The dynamics of separation and integration of state as national social space, and religion as a social field in Southern Kordofan and the Sudan as a whole are important features of the overall analysis.

Third, in the context of the post-conflict situation that followed the Comprehensive Peace Agreement of January 2005, a new political-administrative system is in the making with significant impact on social groups' relations and local developments. Thus, the case studies are analyzed in view of the recorded history of disputed developments coupled with recent emerging patterns of conflicts over access to and control of land and water resources. Fourth, each locality is analyzed as just one field among others, to allow for the discovery of social fields at a larger or smaller scale. Particularly important here is the role of international organizations since they also played an important role in the reconfiguration of the social order in the region during the civil war and continue to do so in the post-conflict situation, which is characterized by political fluidity and social fragility despite the Comprehensive Peace Agreement.

The overall analytical perspective of this work is informed by a set of interrelated social anthropological and geographic approaches and concepts found is the following works: Barth (1969/1998, 1978), Pounds (1972), Grönhaug (1978), Cloke et al., (1991), Schlee (2001, 2002a, 2002b, 2008), Gertel (2007) and Rottenburg (2009). The analysis is constructed around the theory of social world/space/fields advanced by Strauss (1978), Grønhaug (1978), and Bourdieu (1985) and Clarke (1991), among others, as well as around the concept of region proposed by Murphy (1991) and William and Smith (1993).

The premise of this social world/field perspective is that society as a social reality is a complex, fluid, and multi-dimensional organization with various active agents, relationships, field forces, positions, resources, scale and power structures in a continuous process of segmenting and regrouping. Using this theoretical approach, the present study follows the trajectories of continuing communal land claims and their broader connections beyond the locations selected to distant actors (the Nuba in diaspora, global actors, and the international NGOs) all of whom are involved in local events in a number of ways. The basic proposition of this analytical perspective is that individuals, groups, and organizations situate themselves in relation to what they perceive as a highly contested, burning issue which then becomes an ongoing concern for all of them. Once this situation is established, a social field or arena emerges around it where new groups and organizations emerge and build networks to deal with the issue. In the case of this study, the ongoing concern is the controversial and frequently conflictive relation between territoriality (land), ethnicity (identity) and the state (governance).

Guma Kunda Komey Khartoum/Halle



Acknowledgements

The completion of this book is a result of the support and collaborative involvement of various institutions, individual colleagues and informants. It is an ethnographical study within a sub-project entitled 'Contested autochthony: land and water rights in the relation of the sedentary Nuba and the nomadic Baqqara of the South Kordofan/Nuba Mountains, Sudan'. The sub-project was headed by Professor Richard Rottenburg of the Institute of Social Anthropology at the University of Halle-Wittenberg in Germany. It was part of a larger multi-disciplinary research project 'SFB586: Difference and Integration' conducted by the Collaborative Research Centre at the Universities of Halle-Wittenberg and Leipzig in Germany. The project was generously funded by the German Research Foundation for the period 2004–2008. This financial support is much appreciated as the work would not have materialized without it.

Equally, I am indebted to a wide range of support received from the academic, administrative and support staff of the above-mentioned academic and research institutions, especially Professor Stefan Leder, former Director of the Collaborative Research Centre Project, his successor and the current Director, Professor Jörg Gertel, and their entire staff. Special thanks and appreciation is due to Conny Gropp at the Institute of Social Anthropology, the University of Halle-Wittenberg for she was among that small circle who made my stay in Germany comfortable, and my research work a successful undertaking. In my own country, I would like to acknowledge the support of the administration of the University of Juba, where I am based, for allowing me to pursue my post-doctoral research during the period of 2005–2008.

Although the book bears my name and the views expressed here are solely my responsibility, a number of colleagues both in Sudan and Germany have provided me with invaluable intellectual insights that enriched the final product. First and foremost, my profound gratitude is owed to Professor Richard Rottenburg, our research team leader and the initiator and principal investigator of the sub-project. His inspirational guidance, scholarly contributions, marvellous support and unending encouragement motivated me in a number of ways to work with stronger commitment and focus during the entire course of this work and beyond.

While writing the manuscript, I received constructive comments from many

Acknowledgements

colleagues at various symposiums, conferences and colloquiums held at the Institute of Social Anthropology, the Max Plank Institute for Social Anthropology, the Universities of Halle-Wittenberg, Leipzig and Bremen in Germany; and the Universities of Bergen in Norway, and of Texas in the USA as well as the Universities of Khartoum and Juba in Sudan. I would like to make special reference to the inspirational critiques, received over many years, from my colleagues: Enrico Ille, Andrea Behrends, Bert Turner, Markus Hoehne, Dereje Feyissa, Olaf and Julia Zanker, during our regular colloquia at the Institute of Social Anthropology. In addition, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Professor Leif Manger of the Social Anthropology department at Bergen University in Norway for his constant support, valuable advice and academic comments that refined and enriched the content and focus of this work at different stages of its evolution. I am also grateful to Günther Schlee and John Eidson of the Max-Planck Institute of Social Anthropology for valuable comments on parts of the manuscript.

Moreover, while doing my field work, I benefited significantly from guidance, advice, support and intellectual input from a group of distinguished Sudances scholars at the University of Khartoum who willingly accepted being committed members of an advisory entity (the Sudan Reference Group) for the sub-project during the period of the research. I am particularly grateful to Drs Musa Abdul Jalil and Idriss Salim at the department of Social Anthropology, Professor al-Amin Abu Manga of the Institute of African-Asian Studies, Professor Adam al-Zain of the Institute of Federal Governance Studies, Professor Ali Suliman of Faculty of Law, and Dr. Atta M. H. al-Battahani of Political Science at Khartoum University.

I am also thankful for the detailed constructive comments contributed by two anonymous reviewers to the final manuscript. Special appreciation is due to the copy editor, Frances Kennett, for her shrewd and insightful input while refining the final version of the manuscript and to my proofreader and indexer, Margaret Cornell. The ethnographic material was enriched by the wealth of archival documents from the Sudan Archive at Durham University Library in the UK. Thus, special thanks and appreciation are due to Jane Hogan of the Sudan Archive at Durham University for her generous support and assistance in my research there. I would also like to acknowledge the cooperation and enthusiasm of many informants in their different capacities during my field work in Kadugli, Keiga Tummero, Keiga Luban, Umm Derafi, Reikha, al-Azraq, Kauda, Heiban and Umm Berembeita in the Nuba Mountains, as well as in 'Aloba in Northern Kordofan and in Khartoum.

Finally, my deepest gratitude is due to my family: my lovely wife Hanan Timothous and our lively five 'Js': Julia, Judy, Jessica, Jesse and Jonas for their patience, sacrifices and support. Their endless love is not only the motive of my being but was and still is a source of my intellectual inspirations, strength and encouragement that continue to keep me from failing or falling while encountering various kinds of challenges and stresses at different stages of work.



Abbreviations & Acronyms

ABC Abyei Boundary Commission ABS Agricultural Bank of Sudan

ACTS African Centre for Technology Studies CBOs Community-Based Organizations

CFA Ceasefire Agreement CFV Ceasefire Violation

CPA Comprehensive Peace Agreement

DOP Declaration of Principles

EU European Union

GNPOC Greater Nile Petroleum Operating Corporation

GONU Government of National Unity

GOS Government of Sudan

GOSS Government of Southern Sudan

GUNM General Union of the Nuba Mountains

ICG International Crisis Group IDPs Internally Displaced Persons

IGAD Inter-Governmental Authority on Development

JEM Justice and Equality Movement
JMC Joint Military Commission
JMM Joint Monitoring Mission
NCP National Congress Party
NIF National Islamic Front

NGOs Non-Governmental Organizations NMAPs Nuba Mountains Alliance Parties

NMPACT Nuba Mountains Programme for Advancing Conflict

Transformation

NRRDO Nuba Relief, Rehabilitation and Development

Organization

OECD Organization for Economic Co-operation and

Development

OLS Operation Lifeline Sudan PCA Permanent Court of Arbitration

PDFs Peoples' Defence Forces SAD Sudan Archive, Durham

Abbreviations & Acronyms

SANU Sudan African National Union SFCSs Small Farmers' Collective Schemes SLM Sudan Liberation Movement

SNP Sudanese National Party

SPLM/A Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army

UK United Kingdom UN United Nations

UNMIS United Nations Mission in Sudan

UNOCHA United Nations Office for Coordination of

Humanitarian Affairs

USA United States of America

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

USAID United States Agency for International Development

Contents

	7
List of Tables & Maps	x
Preface: The Making & Object of the Book	xi
Acknowledgements	xv
Abbreviations & Acronyms	xvii
Introduction	
National Context, Key Questions & Arguments	1
Falschood of the independent Sudan	1
National disparity in development and its political implications	4
Land factor in conflict and wars	6
State governance and land-based conflicts	9 12
Core questions and line of arguement Organization of the book	16
PART I Background	
1	
The Nuba Mountains Region as Social World	
A Synthesis	21
Introduction	21
Land as source and basis of livelihoods	22
The sedentary Nuba	25
The nomadic Baqqara	30
The Nuba marginality, enslavement and dislocation: an historical review	34
Postcolonial state and land grabbing in the region	43
Conclusion	49
2	
State Land Policies, Politics & Rights in Sudan	51
Introduction	51
The precolonial stage and communal land rights	52
The colonial stage and regional differential in land policies	54
The postcolonial state policy and institutionalization of land grabbing	64
Conclusion	69

Contents

PART II	
THE WAR: CAUSES & IMPACT	
3	
The War	
Root Causes & Ramifications in the Region	73
Nuba marginalization and their peaceful striving	73
The Nuba Mountains Region as a conflict zone	77
Peace villages and forced social transformation: the Reikha case	89
Land alienation and livelihood destruction during the war	95
Conclusion	100
4	
Baqqara-Nuba Relations in a War Situation	101
Introduction	101
The pre-war symbiotic soccio-economic relations	102
The war and new forms of local markets and exchanges	108
Market-motivated communal peace agreements	115
Conclusion	118
PART III	
PEACE & POST-CONFLICT DYNAMICS	
5	
The CPA & the Nuba Questions of	
Land, Identity & Political Destiny	123
The three areas: a bone of contention	123
The contested area of the Nuba Mountains	125
The Comprehensive Peace Agreement and Nuba disappointment	136
Nuba responses to the CPA: a discourse analysis	144
Nuba Land Straregy as a base for ethno-political identity and unity	147
Tribal boundaries: a challenge to the Nuba ethno-political unity	150
Conclusion	153
6	
Territory, Ethnic Identity & Boundary Making	154
Introduction	154
Territory/region as a basis for communal identity politics	155
The Nuba autochthonous myth and its political and territorial attachments	157
Territory and politics of liberation: the al-Azraq case	160

169

183

Imagined boundaries in a contested territory: the Umm Derafi case

Conclusion

Contents

1	
Contested Communal Lands, Identity Politics & Conflicts	185
, and a second of the second o	100
Nuba and Baqqara contest over a shared territory: the Keiga case	186
Keiga line of argument in claiming land	189
The Dar Jami' Baqqara: counter-claims and collective responses	197
Nuba and Baqqara representations and self-perceptions	200
Some key factors aggravating land conflicts in the area	203
Nuba-Baqqara land conflicts after peace: concrete cases	211
Unfolding political events and the Nuba future choices	214
Conclusion	216
Conclusion	217
Bibliography	227
Index	246



List of Tables & Maps

	Tables	
7.1	Allocation of small farmers' collective schemes in	Keiga
	land, 1994	
7.2	Selected land-based conflicts in Nuba Mountains,	2005-

209

Selected land-based conflicts in Nuba Mountains, 2005–8	212
Maps	
South Kordofan State location	23
Baqqara nomads' seasonal migration routes and water points	33
Mechanized schemes, nomadic routes and sedentary	
settlements	47
Intrinsic relationship between settlements, nomadic mobility	
and trade routes	104
Main fieldwork sites	161
	Maps South Kordofan State location Baqqara nomads' seasonal migration routes and water points Mechanized schemes, nomadic routes and sedentary settlements Intrinsic relationship between settlements, nomadic mobility and trade routes



Introduction

National Context, Key Questions & Arguments

The basis of the contemporary Sudanese state can be traced back to its ancient, precolonial and colonial history and related legacies. The ancient and precolonial eras were characterized by independent feudal systems of governance, each occupying its own territory. From its establishment in 1899, the colonial administration subjected the peoples of Sudan to new spatial and socio-political arrangements which persisted under successive postcolonial regimes. The legacy of this history, particularly that of the colonial period, continues to shape the social, economic and political spaces of contemporary Sudan. The country's current problems of retarded statehood, the failure of its nation-building, its underdevelopment and the sharp disparities in development,together with recurring internal conflicts and protracted civil wars, are the most conspicuous issues that continue to challenge Sudan.

The historical dimension of these persistent problems is well documented (Abd al-Rahim 1970; Lees and Brooks 1977; Beshir 1979a, 1979b, 1984; Beshir et al., 1984, Mohamed Salih and Harir 1994; Johnson 2006). Whatever the scale of these challenges, they have mostly involved economic, social and political struggles for all disadvantaged communities and regions of Sudan, including the subject of this book: the Nuba people and their homeland.

Falsehood of the independent Sudan

History informs us that modern Sudan as a clearly defined political entity and as an object of national loyalty did not exist before Darfur was brought under colonial administration in 1916. During the Condominium rule (1898–1956), the British administration pursued a policy of concentrated development in northern and central Sudan, fostering this area as the

Land, Governance, Conflict & the Nuba of Sudan

emerging political, cultural, and economic core, and subsequently as a centre of Sudanese nationalism (Roden 1974; Beshir 1979b, 1984; Abd al-Rahim 1970; Niblock 1987; Mohamed Salih and Harir 1994). Thus, the modern Sudanese nationalist movement was to a large degree a northern phenomenon, oriented more to the Arab cultural and political worlds in northern Sudan than to the African areas in southern Sudan, together with other marginalized communities in the Nuba Mountains, Darfur, southern Blue Nile and eastern Sudan. This configuration established a basis for multiple processes of political and cultural marginalization and socio-economic underdevelopment in the underprivileged areas.

As it started its march towards independence, the Sudan was already in political disarray, with the northern region acting as the seat for the country's politico-administrative and socio-economic functions. At the same time, the remaining regions, as listed above, were left on the periphery. This colonial legacy came to have a far-reaching impact on postcolonial Sudan, and continues to do so, with persistent socio-political instability and protracted civil wars along ethno-regional divides throughout the country.

It is useful to map out some of the key historical, political, sociocultural, economic and geographic factors that have shaped and continue to shape the formation of the Sudanese state since its independence. The birth of an independence movement in Sudan was shaky, a false start that subsequently retarded the overall process of nation-building. This is attributed primarily to poor governance structure and a lack of political will on the part of successive ruling elites, who have failed to establish strong foundations for a pluralistic state that not only recognizes but cherishes and celebrates the Sudan's social, cultural, religious, economic and environmental diversity.

A direct result of this false start was that the people of southern Sudan resisted the newly independent entity, fearing that it would simply signify a change of masters, from the British to the northern ruling elites. That fear gained momentum when it became apparent that the northern elites lacked the political will and commitment to fulfil their promise of establishing a federal system in the country, to which they had committed themselves before independence. The consequences were the first southern rebellion during 1955–72 and the emergence of serious mistrust between the south and the north that persists to the present day (Abd al-Rahim 1970; Beshir 1979a; Beshir et al., 1984; Alier 1990; Johnson 2006). In 1956, on the eve of independence, Sudan was already in a state of civil war coupled with a host of internal problems,

[...] chief amongst which is the erosion of nationalism, in the sense of loyalty to the homeland as a whole, and the resurgence or development of a variety of particularistic tendencies, loyalty to which has in some cases equalled or even surpassed loyalty to the nationalism under whose banner independence was won. (Abd al-Rahim 1970: 233)