PREVENTION OF EMBRYONIC, FETAL, AND PERINATAL DISEASE





PREVENTION OF EMBRYONIC, FETAL, AND PERINATAL DISEASE

The John E, Fogarty International Center for Advanced Study in the Health Sciences

National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland

Robert L. Brent, M.D. Maureen I. Harris, Ph.D., M.P.H. Editors





DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 76-853

Distributed by:
Castle House Publications Ltd.,
27 London Rd., Tunbridge Wells, Kent TN1 1BX

ISBN 071940007-4

The Fogarty International Center was established in 1968 as a memorial to the late Congressman John E. Fogarty from Rhode Island. It had been Mr. Fogarty's desire to create within the National Institutes of Health a center for research in biology and medicine dedicated to international cooperation and collaboration in the interest of the health of mankind.

The Fogarty International Center is a unique resource within the Federal establishment, providing a base for expansion of America's health research and health care to lands abroad and for bringing the talents and resources of other nations to bear upon the many and varied health problems of the United States.

As an institution for advanced study, the Fogarty International Center has embraced the major themes of medical education, environmental health, societal factors influencing health and disease, geographic health problems, international health research and education, and preventive medicine. Our commitment to the study of preventive aspects of human disease is expressed in the Fogarty International Center Series on Preventive Medicine.

Improvement in the health status of the American people will depend, in great measure, on the design and application of programs which place major emphasis on the preventive aspects of human disease. Although health authorities generally agree with this thesis, there is need for more precise definition of effective methods and programs of prevention, financial resources required to implement these programs, and priorities to be assigned to research in preventive methodology. The need to assemble expertise in this field, to elucidate mechanisms whereby the full impact of preventive medicine may be brought to bear on the solution of America's major health problems, has been expressed repeatedly in public statements by leaders throughout the health field.

In response to this need, the Fogarty International Center initiated a series of comprehensive studies of preventive medicine in order to review and evaluate the state of the art of prevention and control of human diseases, to identify deficiencies in knowledge requiring further research, including analysis of financial resources, preventive techniques, and manpower, and to recognize problems in application of preventive methods and suggest corrective action.

This monograph has been prepared by the Committee on Prevention of Fetal and Perinatal Diseases under the chairmanship of Dr. Robert Brent and represents the third volume of the Fogarty International Center Series on Preventive Medicine. While considerable progress has been made in the elimination of diseases affecting the fetus and newborn infant, the United States continues to rank below many other industralized nations in the prevention of fetal wastage and infant death. In 1974 infant mortality in the United States occurred at the rate of 16.5 per 1,000 live births, was the lowest ever recorded in this country, and resulted in an international ranking of 15th in this category. There are conspicuous differences in infant mortality rates among various ethnic groups in the United States and particular emphasis has been placed in this monograph on the definition of causes predisposing to fetal and infant mortality.

Low socioeconomic status of the mother is associated with a particularly high risk of complications and genetic, environmental, and physiologic variables contribute to unfavorable outcomes of pregnancy. The monograph analyzes causes of fetal and perinatal disease and recommends organizational and scientific methodologies that can now be applied toward their prevention. High risk pregnancies should be identified and monitored throughout gestation; when anticipated complications arise, expertise and facilities should be readily available in regional perinatal centers with specialized equipment and staff.

New knowledge will be required for further reduction in the rate of disabilities arising in early life. Of great potential are studies dealing with the understanding and control of fetal tissue development, refinement of noninvasive techniques for assessing the status of the developing fetus, improvement in the prevention and treatment of fetal and neonatal infections, development and regulation of facilities for the care of high risk pregnancies, development of genetic counseling services and other forms of consumer health education and modification of the health care delivery system which favors centralization of obstetrical and perinatal services. In view of high mortality from fetal and perinatal disease and the long-term care required for compromised infants, there are few areas of medicine where research and the application of existing knowledge will have greater benefits.

Milo D. Leavitt, Jr., M.D.

Director

Fogarty International Center

INTRODUCTION

The concept of this book entitled *The Prevention of Embryonic, Fetal, and Perinatal Disease* was developed by the staff of the Fogarty International Center as part of their program of developing new concepts that may lead to disease prevention. All the individual authors have appreciated the support and guidance provided by the Fogarty Center staff.

Many of the chapter authors were brought together to discuss and develop their material as part of this project. Through this monograph, governmental research programs which support scientific investigation have added another dimension by fostering the utilization of new scientific information and concepts in the preparation of a scientific document whose main purpose is the prevention of disease. My own (RLB) debt to Federal Government research support goes to the National Institutes of Health and the Energy Research and Development Agency, and I am certain that each of the scientists authoring this text can trace much of his creativity to government-supported research and training programs. In addition, all of us have had interaction with private foundations such as the National Foundation March of Dimes and even local groups that have supported research programs such as the very generous Harry Bock Charities, a group that has been related to research programs in developmental biology in Philadelphia. It is a great testimony to American generosity and ingenuity that research support has come from such diverse sources. This book is a culmination of just one piece of evidence that there is strength in our system and there should also be optimism among scientists even if there have been and will be periods when science seems out of style.

Robert L. Brent Maureen Harris

CONTRIBUTORS AND PARTICIPANTS

- Dr. David C. Abramson, Newborn Division, Georgetown University Hospital, Washington, D.C.
- Dr. Robert Auerbach, Department of Biology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin
- Dr. Kurt Benirschke, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of California, San Diego, California
- Dr. Watson A. Bowes, Jr., Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Colorado Medical School, Denver, Colorado
- Dr. Robert L. Brent, Jefferson Medical College of Thomas Jefferson University, Stein Research Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- Dr. Gary Carpenter, Department of Pediatrics, Jefferson Medical College of Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- Dr. Charlotte S. Catz, Department of Pediatrics, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York
- Dr. Ronald Chez, Pregnancy Research Branch, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
- Dr. Robert K. Creasy, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of California, San Francisco, School of Medicine, San Francisco, California
- Dr. Charles Epstein, Department of Pediatrics, University of California Medical School, San Francisco, California
- Dr. J. William Flynt, Cancer and Birth Defects Branch Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia
- Dr. F. Clarke Fraser, Department of Human Genetics, McGill University Medical School, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
- Dr. David A. Fuccillo, Infectious Diseases Branch, National Institute of Neurological Diseases and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
- Dr. Louis Gluck, Department of Pediatrics, University of California, La Jolla, California
- Dr. Hyman Goldstein, Division of Maternal and Child Health, University of California, Berkeley, California
- Mrs. Doris Haire, 251 Nottingham Way, Hillside, New Jersey
- Dr. Maureen I. Harris, Fogarty International Center, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
- Dr. Andre Hellegers, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Georgetown University Medical School, Washington, D.C.
- Dr. Laird Jackson, Division of Genetics, Jefferson Medical College, 1025 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- Dr. Antone Jacobson, Department of Zoology, University of Texas, Austin, Texas
- Dr. Robert A. Jaffe, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of California, San Francisco, School of Medicine, San Francisco, California
- Dr. Irving Ladimer, American Arbitration Association, 140 West 51st Street, New York, New York

- Dr. Russel K. Laros, Jr., Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of California, San Francisco, School of Medicine, San Francisco, California
- Dr. Mack Lipkin, Jr., University of Rochester, School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, New York
- Dr. Fred R. McCrumb, Jr., Fogarty International Center, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
- Dr. Sanford Meyerwitz, Department of Psychiatry, University of Rochester Medical School, Rochester, New York
- Dr. Robert W. Miller, Epidemiology Branch, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
- Dr. Arno Motulsky, Department of Genetics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington
- Dr. William Nyhan, Department of Pediatrics, University of California, La Jolla, California
- Dr. Edward Quilligan, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California
- Dr. Jerry Rice, Experimental Pathology Branch, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
- Dr. John J. Schruefer, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Georgetown University, Washington, D.C.
- Dr. John Sever, Infectious Diseases Branch, National Institute of Neurological Diseases and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
- Dr. Robert Sholtz, Division of Maternal and Child Health, University of California, Berkeley, California
- Dr. Richard L. Sweet, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, San Francisco General Hospital, San Francisco, California
- Dr. Helen Wallace, Division of Maternal and Child Health, University of California, Berkelev, California
- Dr. Josepha Warshaw, Massachusetts General Hospital, Childrens Service, Boston, Massachusetts
- Dr. James G. Wilson, Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati Medical School, Cincinnati, Ohio
- Dr. Martin Wingate, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Jefferson Medical College, 1025 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- Dr. Myron Winick, Institute of Human Nutrition, 511 West 166th Street, New York, New York
- Dr. Sumner Yaffe, Department of Pediatrics, University of New York at Buffalo, Childrens Hospital, Buffalo, New York

CONTENTS

686	P	age
Preface		iii
Introduction		iv
Contributors	and Participants	vii
Editorial Boa	ırd	x
Chapter 1	Incidence and Impact of Fetal and Perinatal Disease R. Sholtz, H. Goldstein, and H. M. Wallace	1
Chapter 2	Economic Costs of Fetal and Perinatal Casualties H. M. Wallace	19
Chapter 3	High Risk Pregnancies: Maternal Medical Disorders R. B. Jaffe, J. J. Schruefer, W. A. Bowes, Jr., R. K. Creasy, R. L. Sweet and R. K. Laros, Jr.	27
Chapter 4	High Risk Pregnancies: Obstetrical and Perinatal Factors R. Chez, D. Haire, E. J. Quilligan, M. B. Wingate	67
Chapter 5	Maternal Nutrition M. Winick	97
Chapter 6	Environmental Factors: Pharmacology C. S. Catz and S. J. Yaffe	19
Chapter 7	Environmental Factors: Teratogenic Drugs 1 J. G. Wilson	
Chapter 8	Environmental Factors: Chemicals 1. M. Rice	
Chapter 9	Environmental Factors: Radiation 1 R. L. Brent	79
Chapter 10	Environmental Factors: Infection and Immunizations J. L. Sever, D. A. Fuccillo, W. A. Bowes, Jr.	99
Chapter 11	Environmental Factors: Miscellaneous 2 R. L. Brent	11
Chapter 12	Genetic Diseases A. Motulsky, K. Benirschke, G. Carpenter, C. Fraser, C. Epstein, W. Nyhan, L. Jackson	
Chapter 13	Psychosocial Aspects 2 S. Meyerwitz, M. Lipkin, Jr.	63
Chapter 14	Epidemiological Surveillance 2 R. W. Miller, J. W. Flynt, Jr.	87
Chapter 15	Embryologic Investigations: Present and Future 2	95
Chapter 16	Fetal and Placental Physiology and Biochemistry:	

Chapter 17	Legal Aspects	335
Chapter 17	I. Ladimer	NIENES
Chapter 18		367
1		379
Chapter 19	Recommendations	
Index		389

EDITORIAL BOARD

- Dr. Robert L. Brent (Chairman), Thomas Jefferson University, Stein Research Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- Dr. David C. Abramson, Newborn Division, Georgetown University Hospital, Washington, D.C.
- Dr. Maureen I. Harris, Fogarty International Center, National Institutes of Health,
 Bethesda, Maryland
- Dr. Robert W. Miller, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
- Dr. Jerry M. Rice, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
- Dr. Martin B. Wingate, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Jefferson Medical College, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

INCIDENCE AND IMPACT OF FETAL AND PERINATAL DISEASE

Robert Sholtz, Hyman Goldstein, and Helen M. Wallace

INTRODUCTION

Handicapping conditions in children logically begin with factors which act singly or in combination to determine the progress and direction of growth. The earliest factors are genetic and those of the uterine environment. When these factors act favorably, the result is a normal, healthy child; when unfavorable, there is an adverse effect on the fetus, infant, or child ("pregnancy wastage" or "continuum of reproductive casualty").

Pregnancy wastage manifests itself as fetal death, neonatal death, congenital anomaly, birth injury, and a large range of handicapping conditions. The latter has been defined by the American Public Health Association as follows:

A child is to be considered handicapped if he cannot within limits play, learn, work or do the things other children of his age can do; if he is hindered in achieving his full physical, mental and social potentialities. The initial disability may be very mild and hardly noticeable, but potentially handicapping, or it may seriously involve several areas of function with the probability of lifelong

impairment. The problem may appear to be primarily physical or perhaps emotional or social. Regardless of the nature of the chief manifestation, physical, emotional and social components are all factors at one time or another and in varying degrees, in most handicapping conditions of childhood (Committee on Child Health, 1961.)

Environmental factors besides those of the uterine environment may handicap a child. It appears, however, that many of the factors which lead to perinatal death in some pregnancies may, in others, lead to congenital anomaly, birth injury or other potentially handicapping conditions.

MORTALITY

Comparing the extent of pregnancy wastage in different populations is difficult because investigators use varying criteria. The best measure is mortality, particularly that of live-born children. In addition, the extent of fetal mortality is under estimated because early pregnancy losses escape notice or go unreported.

Niswander and Gordon (1972) have written:

The magnitude of the problem of perinatal death is illustrated by the fact that until old age the risk of dying is highest during the perinatal period. While the general mortality rate for the U.S.A. approximates 9 per 1,000 population during the period 1955-65, the perinatal death rate is almost four times as great, approximately 35 per 1,000 live births. The age specific risk of dying does not again approach a rate of 35 per 1,000 until the 64th year is reached. Moreover, even at this age, the risks are not comparable, because the age specific risk extends over a one-year time span, while the risk of dying during the perinatal period is limited to about one-half, from 20 weeks gestation until 28 days after birth."

TABLE 1. Estimate of Total Fetal Losses Based on Extrapolated
Observations Among Private Patients

to not intally an orte	Observations Among Private Patients	S. VIHER II DITC GISHOS II SIS
Gestational Age (weeks)	Probability of Fetal Death Per 1,000 Pregnancies During Period	Number of Fetal Deaths Expected Pe 1,000 Conceptions at Time 0
0-3	112.1 TOQO A PAREJO	112
4-7	82.4	o dolla othar 73 vig 1
8-11	67.1	55
12-15	28.2	21
16-19	10.7	8
20-23	8.9	out tame but 7 teres
24-27	2.1 W Muliton 1	manual distribution 2 1 0
28-31	4.3 78 78 79 70	Leberge swide 3 de la
32-35	2.0	brotaid bearing all sat-
36-39	6.9	5
40 or more	10.8	8
Total	STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	295

Reprinted with permission from Erhardt (1963).

Fetal Mortality

Fetal death ratios per 1,000 live births for 1973 were 10.8 for whites, 18.6 for all others, and 12.2 for all races combined (Vital Statistics of the United States, 1974). The true rates appear to be much higher. From the twentieth week of gestation onward,

the fetal death rates have been estimated by Erhardt (1963) to be 36.5 per 1,000 live births, by French and Bierman (1962) and Taylor (1970) to be 25.0.

The fetal loss according to gestational age as determined by these investigators is given in Tables 1 and 2.

TABLE 2. Estimated Probabilities of Fetal Death by Gestational Age

Gestational Age	Ctill Decoment	etal Death Per 1,000 at Gestational Age
(weeks)	P 14	Taylort
0	are of villaring motalish	160.1
4	237.3	160.1
comparing the extent of past 8 percy w	144.8	105.3
percent fundamental and short slugging in	80.5	59.5
16	37.4	35.1
20 20 811 3 1811 3 1811	24.4	24.4
24 24 and over to said which	16.1	16.8
28 most chils hear 7s to 16 mg	13.0	13.6
n to 5 32 a server versell vonementer d	10.2	10.1
and 36 of nobrott are rebuswall	7.4	7.1
40	6.8	5.1
44 44	4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11.6
·48	the remaindred the	17.9
52 Supering and Salary washad.	to the Fisher of There-below	111.1

⁻No estimate given.

Infant Mortality

Mortality rates for live born infants and children are more complete than for fetuses. Table 3 gives infant mortality and its components, neonatal mortality and post-neonatal mortality, for the United States in 1971. The provisional infant death rate for 1974 was 16.5 per 1,000 live births (14.7 for whites, 24.6 for nonwhites), the lowest annual rate ever recorded in the United States (Vital Statistics Reports, 1975). Table 4 gives an indication of the proportion of infant mortality which can be directly attributed to congenital anomalies, birth injuries, asphyxia of the newborn, immaturity, and other diseases of early infancy. Over 70 percent of all infant mortality was attributable to the above causes. Thus pregnancy wastage is by far the largest factor leading to death in the first year and accounts for at least 40,000 deaths to live-born infants each year in the United States.

Childhood Mortality

Mortality in children over one year of age is not as clearly a part of pregnancy wastage which is so important in fetal and infant mortality. Pregnancy wastage does continue to be a factor for some time though, as can be seen with respect to congenital malformations (Table 5). The rates for the other specific causes of death among infants (birth injury, asphyxia of new-

TABLE 3. Estimated Infant, Neonatal and Post Neonatal Mortality Rates Per 1,000 Live Births by Color for the United States, 1973

Color	Infant Mortality	Neonatal Mortality	Post Neonatal Mortality
White	15.8	11.8	3.9
All Other	26.2	17.9	8.3
Total	17.7	12.9	4.8

From Vital Statistics Reports (1974).

^{*}Taken from French and Bierman (1962).

[†]Taken from Taylor (1970).

born, immaturity, other diseases of early infancy) drop to a low level after 1 year of age, and are not shown in Table 5. Congenital anomalies remain an important factor among causes of death at least through 14 years of age. Accurate estimates of the total problem are difficult to secure, as Kennedy (1967) has pointed out:

As other causes of pregnancy wastage are being brought under control, congenital malformations are rapidly emerging as one of the world wide problems in this field. Yet, though aware that this is so, we are at present unaware of the actual size of the problem. There is no standardization of recording or reporting and for this and other reasons many epidemiologic problems remain unsolved.

TABLE 4. Estimated Infant Mortality Per 1,000 Live Births and Proportionate Mortality by Selected Causes*, 1971

Cause of Death	Rate	Proportionate Mortality (per cent)
All Causes	19.2	100.0
Congenital Anomalies	2.8	14.6
Birth Injuries	0.5	2.6
Asphyxia of Newborn	2.4	12.5
Immaturity Unqualified	2.2	11.5
Other Diseases of Early Infancy	5.9	30.7
Subtotal		71.9
All Other Causes	5.4	28.1

*Selected causes-Eighth Revision, International Classification of Diseases, Adapted, 1965. Numbers as follows:

Congenital Anomalies Birth Injuries Asphyxia of Newborn, Unspecified Immaturity, Unqualified Other Diseases of Early Infancy All Other Causes

Taken from Vital Statistics Reports (1972).

740-759

764-768 (.0-.3), 772

776.9

Remainder of 760-768

All causes exclusive of 740-768

TABLE 5. Death Rates From Congenital Anomalies* and All Causes Per 1,000 Population and Proportionate Mortality by Age, 1968

		Age in	Years	
Cause of Death	1-4	5-9	10-14	15-17
Congenital Anomalies	0.09	0.03	0.02	0.02
All Causes	0.95	0.43	0.42	1.09
Proportionate Mortality				
(percent)	9.5	7.0	4.8	1.8

^{*}Categories 740-759 from the Eighth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases, 1965 adapted.

Taken from Werner et al. (1971).

TABLE 6. Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as Reported in Research Studies Done in Britain

Authors	Locality	Period	Source of Statistics	No. of Births	Number Affected	Percentage Affected	Remarks
Slater et al	England	1963	College of G.P. Survey	1,038	23	2.21	January results only: LB and SB
Emerson	Aldershot	1961	Hospital records	1,374	25	1.81	daren mirita iran iran busa
Stevenson et al	Belfast	1957	Examination and re- examination after one year	8,519	120	1.40	LB and SB. Gross anomalies
Cheeseman and Froggat	Belfast	1960-61	W.H.O. forms	28,091	544	1.93	Quoted by Stevenson et al
McKeown and Record	Birmingham	1950-52	Special cards	56,760	1,231	1.73	1,221 SB
Charles	Birmingham	1949	Special cards	112,711	357	1.81	"Probable underreporting"
Leck	Birmingham	1957-63	Hospital records and Home Visitors' reports	147,500	1,238	0.84	terr or Yest yest gang gang trong solver \$0.7,800
Leck and Millar	Birmingham	1957-61	Hosp. and Pub. Hith.' Dept. records, H.V. reports	102,042	939	0.92	LB and SB
Corner	Bristol	1960-61	Hospital records	8,059	236	2.92	was
Coffey and Jessop	Dublin	1953-54	Questionnaire and exams.	12,552	204	1.63	
Coffey and Quinn	Dublin	1965	Hospital deliveries Home deliveries	18,971 2,276	260*	1.37	(Vol. 20h no. 1 land orl) visid
(Simpson Maternity Hospital)	Edinburgh	1938-48	Annual Reports	66,532	2,088	3.14	LB 63,666 CM 1706 or 2.68% SB 2,866 CM 382 or 13.32%
(Elsie Inglis Hospital)	Edinburgh	1948-49	Annual Reports	929	55	8.13	the solution of the solution o
Dean	Edinburgh	1 00 1 00	Individual examination	11,548	348	3.05	of a good
Nelson	Edinburgh	S.H.H. Fer 1.0	Individual examination	8,648	496*	5.74	Total Major
Ward and Irvine	Exeter	1954-62	Welfare clinics and special enquiries	10,599	343	3.21	"Substantial abnormalities"
Landsman et al	Glasgow	1960-61	Hospital records and follow-up	2,542	45	1.77 - 22.91	TB SB SB SB
Craig	Leeds	1947-64	Hospital records	35,750	1,074	3.00	rjut L vi sless skok skok skok skok
Moss	Leicester	1953-62	Midwives, HVs and Clinic	46,312	921	1.99	77 had more than one severe CM
Malpas	Liverpool	1923-32	Hospital records	13,964	294	2.11	
Smithells	Liverpool		Hospital observation	2,688	*88	3.27	

Authors	Locality	Period	Source of Statistics	No. of Births	Number Percentage Affected Affected	Percentage	Remarks
Carter	London	1943-49.	Hospital observation	.14,283	219	1.47	LB and SB
Landtman	London	1945-48	Hospital observation	3,593	73	2.03	
Book and Fraccaro	London	1947-51	Maternity registers	20,151	609	3.02	Two hospitals
Pleydell	Northamptonshire	1944-57	Special register	068'09	.603	66.0	Only 6 major groups CM included
Griffin and Sorrie	Reading	1958-63	Varied	12,951	393	3.04	
Stark	South Shields	1944-50	Hospital records	4,444	*62*	1.39	
McDonald	Watford, St. Albans	1952-55	Personal interviews	3,216	50 72	1.58	Major Minor
Note: CM—Congenital malformation *Signifies the figure has been calculated faken from Kennedy (1967).	LB- rom the	LB—Live births the authors' data	SB—Stillbirths NND—	NND—Neonatal deaths	15 25 ES	1784	

	-
	8
	8
	E
	2
	TR
	~
	0.0
	H
	世
	0
	0
	4
	100
	0
	40
	6
	-
	2
	=
	n E
	~
	.5
	43
	36
	-
	~
	es Done
	0
	ch Studie
	2
	=
	CO
	-
	능
	-
	CC
	0
	SS
	~
	heat
	=

	7
	9
	E
	0
	0
	0
	06
-5	K
4	as R
*	s as R
*	es as R
*	lies as R
*	alies as R
*	nalies as R
*	omalies as R
*	nomalies as R
*	nomalies as R
*	Anomalies as R
*	I Anomalies as R
*	al Anomalies as R
*	ital Anomalies as R
*	nital Anomalies as R
*	genital Anomalies as R
*	ngenital Anomalies as R
*	ongenital Anomalies as R
*	Congenital Anomalies as R
*	Congenital Anomalies as R
*	of Congenital Anomalies as R
*	of Congenital Anomalies as R
*	e of Congenital Anomalies as R
•	ice of Congenital Anomalies as R
•	ence of Congenital Anomalies as R
•	dence of Congenital Anomalies as R
•	idence of Congenital Anomalies as R
•	cidence of Congenital Anomalies as R
	Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as R
	Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as R
	Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as R
	'. Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as R
	7. Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as R
	E 7. Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as R
	LE 7. Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as R
	3LE 7. Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as R
	LBLE 7. Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as R
	ABLE 7. Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as R
*	TABLE 7. Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as R
	TABLE 7. Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as R
	TABLE 7. Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as R
	TABLE 7. Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as R
	TABLE 7. Incidence of Congenital Anomalies as R

Reiffenstuhl Austria, Graz 1946-51 Hospital records 38,687 105 0.27 Gross cases, "visible without special examination" Fink Austria, Vienna 1934-53 Univ. Obsterric Clinic 35,999 413 0.74 679 twins, 10 triplets Berner-Mackay Austria, Wels 1945-62 Hospital records 29,696 366 1.61 Major cases Berner-Mackay Austria, Wels 1958-62 Inspector of Hygiene 554,703 3,363 0.61 Major cases Reyne Belgium 1958-62 Inspector of Hygiene 554,703 3,363 0.61 Amjor cases Radinov et al Bulgaria, Sofia 1958-62 Inspector of Hygiene 554,703 3,363 0.61 Amjor cases Rucera Bulgaria, Sofia 1958-60 Registration 8,022 118 1.47 LB only Kucera Czechoslovakia 1960-61 W.H.O. study 20,074 348 1.73 Quoted from Stevenson, A.C., of Cachoslovakia, Brno 1960-61 W.H.O. study 20,074 34	Authors	Locality	Period	Source of Statistics	No. of Births	Number	Number Percentage Affected Affected	Remarks
Austria, Vienna 1934-53 Univ. Obstetric Clinic 35,999 413 0.74 Austria, Wels 1945-62 Hospital records 30,000 81* 0.27 Belgium not stated Hospital records 29,696 366 1.61 Belgium 1958-62 Inspector of Hygiene 554,703 3,363 0.61 Bulgaria 1958-62 Inspector of Hygiene 554,703 3,363 0.61 Bulgaria Sofia 1954-61 Hospital records 16,276 200 1.22 Czechoslovakia 1958-60 Registration 39,000 559 1.43 Czechoslovakia 1960-61 W.H.O. study 20,074 348 1.73 Czechoslovakia, Brno 1957-60 University Neonatal Clinic 19,305 329 1.70 Denmark, Copenhagen 1962 Health visits or supervision 6,485 7,5 10 1.56 Denmark, Copenhagen 1911-49 167,940 2,619 1.56	Reiffenstuhl	Austria, Graz	1946-51	Hospital records	38,687	105	0.27	Gross cases, "visible without special examination"
Austria, Wels 1945-62 Hospital records 30,000 81* 0.27 Belgium not stated Hospital records 29,696 366 1.61 Belgium 1958-62 Inspector of Hygiene 554,703 3,363 0.61 Bulgaria Sofia 1954-61 Hospital records 16,276 200 1.22 Bulgaria, Sofia 1954-61 Hospital records 16,276 200 1.22 Czechoslovakia 1958-60 Registration 39,000 559 1.43 Czechoslovakia 1960-61 W.H.O. study 20,074 348 1.73 Czechoslovakia, Brno 1957-60 University Neonatal Clinic 19,305 329 1.70 Denmark, Copenhagen 1962 Health visits or supervision 6,485 75 1.16 Denmark, Copenhagen 1911-49 167,940 2,619 1.56	Fink	Austria, Vienna	1934-53	Univ. Obstetric Clinic	35,999	413	0.74	679 twins, 10 triplets
Belgium not stated Hospital records 29,696 366 1.61 Belgium 1958-62 Inspector of Hygiene 554,703 3,363 0.61 Bulgaria 8,022 118 1.47 Bulgaria, Sofia 1954-61 Hospital records 16,276 200 1.22 Czechoslovakia 1958-60 Registration 39,000 559 1.43 Czechoslovakia 1960-61 W.H.O. study 20,074 348 1.73 Czechoslovakia, Brno 1957-60 University Neonatal Clinic 19,305 329 1.70 Denmark, Copenhagen 1962 Health visits or supervision 6,485 75 1.16 Denmark, Copenhagen 1911-49 167,940 2,619 1.56	Elsner-Mackay	Austria, Wels	1945-62	Hospital records	30,000	*18	0.27	Major cases
Belgium 1958-62 and Doctors' reports Inspector of Hygiene 554,703 and 3,363 and 0.61 Bulgaria 8,022 and 0.61 1.632 and 0.61 Bulgaria, Sofia 1954-61 and Doctors' reports 16,276 and 0.22 Czechoslovakia 1958-60 Registration 39,000 559 1.43 Czechoslovakia Czechoslovakia Clinic records 678,132 7,526 1.10 Czechoslovakia 1960-61 W.H.O. study 20,074 348 1.73 Czechoslovakia, Brno 1957-60 University Neonatal Clinic 19,305 329 1.70 Denmark, Copenhagen 1962 Health visits or supervision 6,485 75 1.16 Denmark, Copenhagen 1911-49 151.49	Derom	Belgium	not stated	Hospital records	29,696	366	1.61	labelizota 2 alatrapor puesas El
Bulgaria 8,022 118 1.47 Bulgaria, Sofia 1954-61 Hospital records 16,276 200 1.22 Czechoslovakia 1958-60 Registration 39,000 559 1.43 Czechoslovakia Czechoslovakia Clinic records 678,132 7,526 1.10 Czechoslovakia, Brno 1960-61 W.H.O. study 20,074 348 1.73 Denmark, Copenhagen 1962 Health visits or supervision 6,485 75 1.16 Denmark, Copenhagen 1911-49 167,940 2,619 1.56	Heyne	Belgium	1958-62	Inspector of Hygiene and Doctors' reports	554,703 186,253	3,363	0.61	Dinigranis by W.D. and Yor.
Bulgaria, Sofia 1954-61 Hospital records 16,276 200 1.22 Czechoslovakia 1958-60 Registration 39,000 559 1.43 Czechoslovakia Clinic records 678,132 7,526 1.10 Czechoslovakia 1960-61 W.H.O. study 20,074 348 1.73 Czechoslovakia, Brno 1957-60 University Neonatal Clinic 19,305 329 1.70 Denmark, Copenhagen 1962 Health visits or supervision 6,485 75 1.16 Denmark, Copenhagen 1911-49 167,940 2,619 1.56	Radanov et al	Bulgaria	1071071	violetons posbitely	8,022	118	1.47	TOUR CAN LA JANK
Czechoslovakia 1958-60 Registration 39,000 559 1.43 Czechoslovakia, Clinic records 678,132 7,526 1.10 Czechoslovakia, Brno 1960-61 W.H.O. study 20,074 348 1.73 Czechoslovakia, Brno 1957-60 University Neonatal Clinic 19,305 329 1.70 Denmark, Copenhagen 1962 Health visits or supervision 6,485 75 1.16 Denmark, Copenhagen 1911-49 167,940 2,619 1.56	Bovev et al	Bulgaria, Sofia	1954-61	Hospital records	16,276	200	1.22	
Czechoslovakia Clinic records 678,132 7,526 1.10 Czechoslovakia 1960-61 W.H.O. study 20,074 348 1.73 Czechoslovakia, Brno 1957-60 University Neonatal Clinic 19,305 329 1.70 Denmark, Copenhagen 1962 Health visits or supervision 6,485 75 1.16 Denmark, Copenhagen 1911-49 1911-49 1.56 2,619 1.56	Houstek et al	Czechoslovakia	1958-60	Registration	39,000	559	1.43	LB only
Czechoslovakia 1960-61 W.H.O. study 20,074 348 1.73 Czechoslovakia, Brno 1957-60 University Neonatal Clinic 19,305 329 1.70 Denmark, Copenhagen 1962 Health visits or supervision 6,485 75 1.16 Denmark, Copenhagen 1911-49 161,940 2,619 1.56	Kucera	Czechoslovakia	1080	Clinic records	678,132	7,526	1.10	
Czechoslovakia, Brno 1957-60 University Neonatal Clinic 19,305 329 1.70 Denmark, Copenhagen 1962 Health visits or supervision 6,485 75 1.16 Denmark, Copenhagen 1911-49 167,940 2,619 1.56	Kucera et al	Czechoslovakia	1960-61	W.H.O. study	20,074	348	1.73	Quoted from Stevenson, A.C., et al
g-Sorensen Denmark, Copenhagen 1962 Health visits or supervision 6,485 75 1.16 Denmark, Copenhagen 1911-49 167,940 2,619 1.56	Schnellerova et al	Czechoslovakia, Brno	1957-60	University Neonatal Clinic		329	1.70	
Denmark, Copenhagen 1911-49 167,940 2,619 1.56	Biering-Sorensen		1962	Health visits or supervision		7.5	1.16	
	Buchi	Denmark, Copenhagen	1911-49		167,940	2,619	1.56	Quoted by Book and Fraccaro

Authors	Locality	Period	Source of Statistics	No. of Births	Number	Percentage Affected	Remarks
Pedersen et al	Denmark, Copenhagen	1959-60		1,212	26	2.14	
Villumsen and Zachau-Christiansen	Denmark, Copenhagen	1959-61	Pediatrician's examination	1,707	61	3.57	s to JOE mossing as and benefits
Stahler	Europe	1959	40 Clinic records in different countries	65,758	942	1.43	R B odel A
Hirvensalo and Hjelt	Finland		No. of Printer Contraction	14,091	*909	4.30	
Saxen and Haro	Finland	1957-62	Official questionnaire to maternity hospitals	504,742	9,398	1.86	Includes 7,777 SB with 1,038 CM, 13.34%
Klemetti	Finland, Keski Soumi	1963-64	Maternity Health Centers	3,674	103	2.80	Diagnosis by M.D. and/or pediatrician
Alison	France	1953-59	18 Maternity Hospitals	4,479	221	4.93	13 Parisian hospitals, 5 provincial
Ravina et al	France	1945-52	Hospital records	18,303	167	0.91	
Baron et al	France, Dijon	1950-58	Maternity register	13,403	162	1.21	
Azer	France, Lyon	1927-40	Maternity register	23,841	296	1.13	
Turpin	France, Paris	1941-50	Maternity register	78,844	622*	0.84	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
Tholen	Holland, The Hague	1944-46	Obstetric Clinic	1,833	99	3.60	
Kovacs and Mackay	Hungary, Baja	20 yrs.	Hospital records	12,232	158	1.29	or conditions
Horn et al	Hungary, Budapest	1953-63	Clinic records	22,592	1111	1.16	Major CM, single births
Nagy et al	Hungary, Debrecen	1947-58	Hospital records	42,988	774	1.84	
Cocozza and Tiso	Italy	admid sec	Clinic records	3,200	52	1.62	
Nobili	Italy	1950-63		8,227	148	1.79	Petial National Control of the Contr
Vignali	Italy, Brescia	1943-60	Hospital records	28,170	231	0.82	
Ceone	Italy, Cagliari	1935-60	Hospital records	33,682	245	0.73	
Campli and Pedone	Italy, Foggia	1937-59	Hospital records	14,672	172	1.17	
Greco et al	Italy, Gargano	1957-61	Special examination	1,435	48	3.35	
Beolchini	Italy, Milan	1942-62	Hospital records	85,976	1,185	1.35	
Toricelli et al	Italy, Milan	1950-61		24,004	617	2.57	Quoted by Avezzu and Vinci
Avezzu and Vinci	Italy, Milan	1960-64	University Clinic	35,390	162	0.45	Major cases only
Ferrario and Fortuna	Italy, Novara	1930-49	Hospital records	9,474	72	92.0	Quoted by Book and Fraccaro

Authors	Locality	Period	Source of Statistics	Births	Affected	Affected	Kemarks
Carollo et al	Italy, Palermo	1957-64	Clinic records	699'9	66	1.48	
Spoto	Italy, Parma	1938-47	Jaspellon, pelipitro car-	8,228	70	0.85	Quoted by Book and Fraccaro
Piccioni	Italy, Rome	1936-50	Obstetric Clinic	53,567	418	0.78	
Livadiotti et al	Italy, Rome	1949-62	Hospital records	37,853	742	1.96	
Calvani	Italy, Rome	1956-60	Hospital observation	15,233	359	2.35	
Maggiore	Italy, Rome	1956-58	Official records	2,660,990	4,120	0.15	1,311 SB or NND
Bologna	Italy, Rome	10-4-54	Hospital records	38,812	299	0.77	Major cases only
Aicardi et al	Italy, Sassari	1936-65	Clinic records	18,676	262	1.40	Caracter ph 2 senters
Dellepiane and Colla	Italy, Torino	1949-55		7,991	61	92.0	Quoted by Book and Fraccaro.
Avanzini and Girando	Italy, Torino	1953-58	Hospital records	6,465	88	1.36	
Morra and Cremona	Italy, Torino	1956-62	Hospital records	20,908	240	1.14	
Morandi and Marchesoni	Italy, Trento	1960-62	Hospital records	4,085	38	0.93	Remaiks
Colucci and Tosolini	Italy, Udine	1950-63	Hospital records	16,217	94	0.57	Conta
Kolbas	Jugoslavia, Croatia		Special examination	1,706	119	6.97	All babies at birth; nurslings 9.5%
Cupic et al	Jugoslavia, Lyubljana	1960-61	W.H.O. study	8,888	171	1.92	Quoted from Stevenson, A.C., et al
Kesic and Sestak	Jugoslavia, Zagreb	1960-61	W.H.O. study	8,416	107	1.27	Quoted from Stevenson, A.C., et al
Bjoro and Iversen	Norway	1944-58	three participants	39,848	394	86.0	
Mosing	Poland	1955-61	Hospital records	5,535	70	1.26	SACRONERS SELECTED SECURES SECURE
Kobiel_wa et al	Poland, Krakow	12 yrs.	Clinic records	18,000	47	0.26	Scentific Cristal Gillin
Zytkiewicz et al	Poland, Lublin	10 yrs.	Clinic records	18,537	617	3.32	Garand on Browns
Jaworska	Poland, Warsaw	1947-58	Clinic records	17,767	474	2.66	LB and SB
Roszkowski and Kietlinka	Poland, Warsaw	Br 1797	Clinic records	10,971	221	1.94	THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY
Popa and Iliescu	Rumanian	1960-63	Clinic records	068'9	177	2.5	magnitude opposite no
Gonzalez-Coviella	Spain, Madrid	1963-64	Clinic records	20,221	271	1.34	
STEEL STEEL STEEL							

Authors	Locality	Period	Source of Statistics	No. of Births	Number Affected	Number Percentage Affected Affected	Remarks
Fiuza Perez	Spain, Santander		Two pediatric institutions	31,500	_069	2.19	31,500 children in the institutions, obviously no lethal defects involved
Hedberg et al	Sweden, Goteborg	1954-58	Prenatal Care Center	2,952	19	2.06	
Book	Sweden, Lund	1927-46	Maternity register	44,109	589	1.33	
Pfiffer	Switzerland, Basel	1920-33	Hospital records	25,241	370	1.46	Quoted by Sievers
Da Rugna	Switzerland, Basel	1953-62	Inspection with consultation 37,484	37,484	313	0.83	Severe cases only
Pomerants and Chukanina	U.S.S.R., Andizhan	9 yrs.	Homber School	30,034	382	1.27	Mostly limbs affected
Dedukh and Lankovits	U.S.S.R., Moscow	Take on	Hospital records	47,936	448	0.93	
Note: CM—Congenital malformation *Signifies the figure has been calculated fraken from Kennedy (1967).	I mo	.B—Live births he authors' data	SB—Stillbirths NND—N	NND—Neonatal deaths	8		

1	
-	
ne in Germany	
8	
- 22	
- Bet	
· W	
13	
~	
- 8	
-	
63	
ĕ	
- 5	
9	
les Don	
6	

v	
- =	
49	
62	
search Studies	
尋	
9	
100	
64	
salies as Reported in Rese	
30	
-	
22	
65	
.23	
-	
ೌ	
0	
*	
-	
8	
- 124	
. 00	
20	
-	
92	
. 06	
. ne	
- 23	
-50	
-	
- 66	
- 🖂	
-	
0	
- =	
-self	
-	
pest	
CS	
400	
aji t	
nit	
enit	
genit	1
ngenit	
ongenit	
Congenit	
Congenit	
f Congenit	
of Congenit	
of Congenit	
e of Congenit	
ce of Congenit	
nce of Congenit	
ence of Congenit	1
dence of Congenit	1
idence of Congenit	1
icidence of Congenital Anoma	1
ncidence of Congenit	1
Incidence of Congenit	1
Incidence of Congenit	1
In	
TABLE 8. Incidence of Congenit	
In	

				No. of	Number	Number Percentage	0
Authors	Locality	Period	Source of Statistics	Births	Affected	Affected	Remarks
Mischel	Altona	1930-61	Hospital records	40,270	989	1.58	
Pereyma	Bamberg	1940-48		18,995	220	1.16	
Eichmann and Gesenius	Berlin and environs	1911-50	Hospital records	474,950	3,016	0.63	55 Hospitals
Prager	Berlin	1928-37	Hospital records	23,132	311	1.34	Quoted by Sievers
Kuhnelt and Rotter-Pool	Berlin	1934-54	Hospital records	44,291	514	11.1	
Ockel and Klemm	Berlin	1956-63	Potsdam Hospital Friedrichsheim	12,320	145	1.18	
Schenk	Berlin out	1938-41	Hospital records	11,077	366	3.30	
Winter and Patz	Berlin and environs	1950-56	Hospital and Clinic records 201,692	201,692	1,775	0.88	
Schubert	Berlin Moabit	1950-57	Inspection, pediatric consultation, obligatory P.M.s	5,314	112	2.10	Opered by Book and Pus
Buurman et al	Bonn, Celle, Gottingen, Leipzig	1901-56	Maternity register	240,691	2,667	111	2510 m5W
The same of the sa							The second secon