

AMERICAN  
CASEBOOK  
SERIES

CIVIL PROCEDURE  
CASES, PROBLEMS AND EXERCISES

Third Edition



John T. Cross  
Leslie W. Abramson  
Ellen E. Deason

WEST

# CIVIL PROCEDURE

## CASES, PROBLEMS AND EXERCISES

Third Edition

■ ■ ■

By

**John T. Cross**

*Grosscurth Professor of Law*

*University of Louisville, Louis D. Brandeis School of Law*

**Leslie W. Abramson**


*Frost Brown Todd Professor of Law*

*University of Louisville, Louis D. Brandeis School of Law*

**Ellen E. Deas**

*Joanne Wharton Murphy/Classes of 1965 and 1963 Professor of Law*

*The Ohio State University, Michael E. Moritz College of Law*



AMERICAN CASEBOOK SERIES®

**WEST®**

A Thomson Reuters business

Thomson Reuters created this publication to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered. However, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. Thomson Reuters does not render legal or other professional advice, and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

*American Casebook Series* is a trademark registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

© West, a Thomson business, 2006, 2008

© 2011 Thomson Reuters

610 Opperman Drive  
St. Paul, MN 55123  
1-800-313-9378

Printed in the United States of America

**ISBN: 978-0-314-26667-5**

# **WEST'S LAW SCHOOL ADVISORY BOARD**

---

**JESSE H. CHOPER**

Professor of Law and Dean Emeritus,  
University of California, Berkeley

**JOSHUA DRESSLER**

Professor of Law, Michael E. Moritz College of Law,  
The Ohio State University

**YALE KAMISAR**

Professor of Law, University of San Diego  
Professor of Law Emeritus, University of Michigan

**MARY KAY KANE**

Professor of Law, Chancellor and Dean Emeritus,  
University of California,  
Hastings College of the Law

**LARRY D. KRAMER**

Dean and Professor of Law, Stanford Law School

**JONATHAN R. MACEY**

Professor of Law, Yale Law School

**ARTHUR R. MILLER**

University Professor, New York University  
Formerly Bruce Bromley Professor of Law, Harvard University

**GRANT S. NELSON**

Professor of Law, Pepperdine University  
Professor of Law Emeritus, University of California, Los Angeles

**A. BENJAMIN SPENCER**

Professor of Law,  
Washington & Lee University School of Law

**JAMES J. WHITE**

Professor of Law, University of Michigan



*To my parents, who never let me think for a moment  
that there was anything I could not do,  
provided I tried hard enough.*

JTC

*For Lisa, Sam, Shel & Will.*

LWA

*To my mother, Elizabeth McGregor Deason,  
and my grandmother, Gertrude Ellen McGregor,  
from whom I received my names  
and so much more.*

EED

## PREFACE

---

Civil Procedure can be one of the most difficult courses in law school, not only for the student, but also for the professor. And yet, it also can prove to be among the most rewarding. This book is designed to help both the student and the professor overcome the challenges and reap the rewards. We have tapped into our many years of combined experience teaching Civil Procedure to produce a book that we hope is highly “teachable,” while also providing a solid foundation in the subject matter.

What makes Civil Procedure difficult? The difficulty is really not the subject matter. Although Procedure is not easy, the rules and doctrines are by and large no more difficult than in most of the other courses in law school. Instead, the real problem in learning—and teaching—Procedure is one of perspective. Most students dealing with the subject for the first time have one of two vastly different preconceptions of the course. Some students think Procedure will be one of their practical or “hands on” courses. Those in this camp are surprised to learn that Procedure, no less than their other courses, is laden with difficult policy concerns. While they readily adapt to making policy-based arguments in their other courses, such arguments may seem somehow out of place in a rules-dominated course like Procedure.

The second group of students has quite a different preconception. To those in this camp, Civil Procedure’s apparent complexity is intimidating. Overwhelmed by the arcane nature of the subject, students in this group tend to want to approach the subject as a Herculean task of remembering hundreds of individual rules.

Both of these views represent a problem of perspective. It is an inescapable fact that Procedure may seem like a foreign language to most students. Everyone has a rough idea of what a tort, contract, or property interest is. More importantly, most students have their own basic notions as to how the law ought to treat those matters. Although those ideas may not be correct, it is much easier to learn a legal rule when you have a preconceived notion of what that rule ought to be. Students rarely have such preconceived notions for rules of Civil Procedure. Indeed, students often think the rules of Procedure are mainly arbitrary choices about time limits, wording, and motions. Nothing could be further from the truth. Although it may be difficult for the newcomer to spot, Civil Procedure is a system in which choices as to individual rules are generally made with the overall litigation structure in mind.

This book provides students a more accurate perspective on Civil Procedure. For those intimidated by the subject, it makes an effort to start with the basics, and only then to proceed to the more difficult issues. On the other hand, the book pays considerable attention to the underlying policy concerns,

both to deal with students who think of Procedure as merely practical, and to foster a greater appreciation of the subject in all students. Moreover, the book presents Procedure as a unified whole, something that is much greater than the sum of its seemingly unrelated parts. This approach provides a better long-term understanding of the subject. After all, although the actual *rules* of Procedure may change with some regularity, the basic system has remained remarkably stable. Studying Procedure as a system will help the student throughout a career that may span forty or more years.

This book differs from other Civil Procedure casebooks in five main ways. The first, and most significant, is the extensive use of problems and exercises. Most sections include both an Introductory Problem, which encourages students to think about the issues, and review problems at the end of the section. These problems serve two primary purposes. First, they help the students become comfortable in *applying* the rules they have learned. Such application aids immensely in overcoming any fear of Procedure and gaining a deeper understanding of the underlying rule. Second, of all the first-year courses, Civil Procedure is the most “statutory” in nature. Given that many doctrines are governed by statutes and especially the Federal Rules, problems give the students the incentive to look closely at the language of the positive law itself, rather than simply relying on how that language is paraphrased in a judicial opinion. The exercises go one step further, typically asking the students to use their recently acquired skills to research local law, which may differ from the Federal Rule being discussed.

The second main feature of this book is the extensive notes. Although some of the notes help explain the preceding case, most provide additional information that provides a fuller understanding of the doctrine in question. Although this book cannot completely replace a good secondary source, the extensive notes should greatly reduce the frequency with which students will need to refer to outside sources to understand what is being discussed.

Third, the book whenever possible uses newer cases. Most of the cases in the book were decided during the last decade. In some cases, we replaced an old Supreme Court case that established a rule with a newer lower court decision that applies that rule to a new situation. In our view, using these newer cases affords two advantages. First, the newer decisions often do an excellent job explaining the earlier cases, providing the students a good exposition of the rules and policies. Second, and more subtly, using newer cases reinforces in the reader’s mind that Civil Procedure remains a vibrant, changing field of the law. The old Supreme Court “chestnuts” that populate many of the other Procedure casebooks rarely resolve the policy clash for all time. Instead, courts must continue to deal with the policy concerns even today.

Fourth, we present dispute resolution methods like mediation, not as a recent curiosity at the book’s end, but throughout the book as part of the overall procedural context. Despite media depictions that all cases go to trial, settlement and dispute resolution are essential elements for keeping the American litigation system moving.

Finally, you will find that although this book by and large follows the traditional chronological order of a Civil Procedure book, we have diverged from that order in certain places. Two of these are especially worth noting. First, rather than overwhelming the newcomer by starting the entire course with a discussion of jurisdiction, we start (in Chapter 2) with an introduction to the basic rules of joinder (multiple plaintiffs and defendants, counter and cross-claims, and impleader). In our experience, joinder is one of the few topics in Procedure that requires no knowledge of any other topic. Moreover, knowing what parties and claims can be in a case helps the students immensely when they deal later with questions of jurisdiction and venue. Second, we lump all of the various ways to resolve a case without a jury—from dismissals and defaults to the judgment as a matter of law—in a single chapter. Although these methods of resolution occur at different times, studying them as a group helps students immensely in learning the nuances of, and proper roles for, each. This in turn reinforces an idea that we are trying to stress: namely, that Civil Procedure is a unified system.

These differences make for what we feel is a highly teachable book, as well as an excellent desk reference for the students. Several changes were made in this third edition in response to student reaction. Of course, we would also welcome any input that you might offer as to how the book could be improved. Although everyone teaches Civil Procedure in his or her own unique way, we hope this book proves useful to many of you.

Finally, we would be ungrateful if we failed to acknowledge that several student research assistants helped us in the production of this book. We are especially grateful to Katherine Vesely for her keen eyes, hard work, and encouragement. We likewise appreciate the unflagging support of Reva Campbell, Amanda Hartley, Barbara Kehoe, Karen Paulin, Peyton Sands, and Elizabeth Worthing.

JOHN T. CROSS  
LESLIE W. ABRAMSON  
ELLEN E. DEASON

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

---

We gratefully acknowledge permission to reprint the following:

Marc Galanter, "The Vanishing Trial: An Examination of Trials and Related Matters in Federal and State Courts," 1 *Empirical Legal Studies* 459 (2004), reprinted with permission from Marc Galanter and Blackwell Publishing.

"'The Vanishing Trial' Report: An Alternative View of the Data" by John Lande, published in *Dispute Resolution Magazine*, Volume 10, No. 4, Summer 2004. © 2004 by the American Bar Association. Reprinted with permission.

Joseph B. Stulberg, "The Theory and Practice of Mediation: A Reply to Professor Susskind," 6 *Vermont Law Review* 85 (1981), reprinted with the permission of Joseph B. Stulberg and the *Vermont Law Review*.

"The Senate Table: An Introductory Adjudication/Mediation Exercise," is used by permission of Gary A. Weissman, attorney, mediator, and adjunct professor of ADR at William Mitchell College of Law, St. Paul, Minnesota. The teaching materials are adapted with permission from those authored by Barbara McAdoo, Hamline University School of Law, St. Paul, Minnesota.



# TABLE OF CASES

The principal cases are in bold type. Cases cited or discussed in the text are in roman type. References are to pages. Cases cited in principal cases and within other quoted materials are not included.

- Acridge v. Evangelical Lutheran Good Samaritan Soc., 334 F.3d 444 (5th Cir.2003), 233
- Adlman, United States v., 134 F.3d 1194 (2nd Cir.1998), 559
- Aetna Cas. and Sur. Co. v. Leahey Const. Co., 219 F.3d 519 (6th Cir.2000), 924
- Aetna Ins. Co. v. Meeker, 953 F.2d 1328 (11th Cir.1992), 447
- African-American Slave Descendants' Litigation, In re, 272 F.Supp.2d 755 (N.D.Ill. 2003), 650
- Air Crash Disaster Near Roselawn, Ind. on Oct. 31, 1994, In re, 96 F.3d 932 (7th Cir. 1996), 687
- Alexander v. F.B.I.**, 186 F.R.D. 148 (D.D.C. 1999), **577**
- Alexander v. F.B.I., 541 F.Supp.2d 274 (D.D.C. 2008), 593
- Alfa Financial Corp. v. Key, 927 F.Supp. 423 (M.D.Ala.1996), 506
- Ali v. Wang Laboratories, Inc.**, 162 F.R.D. 165 (M.D.Fla.1995), **607**
- Alisal Water Corp., United States v., 370 F.3d 915 (9th Cir.2004), 481
- Allen v. R & H Oil & Gas Co., 63 F.3d 1326 (5th Cir.1995), 247
- AllTech Communications, LLC v. Brothers**, 601 F.Supp.2d 1255 (N.D.Okla.2008), **52**
- Alternative System Concepts, Inc. v. Synopsys, Inc., 374 F.3d 23 (1st Cir.2004), 412
- Alverio v. Sam's Warehouse Club, Inc.**, 253 F.3d 933 (7th Cir.2001), **714**
- Amchem Products, Inc. v. Windsor, 521 U.S. 591, 117 S.Ct. 2231, 138 L.Ed.2d 689 (1997), 538
- American Cyanamid Co. v. McGhee, 317 F.2d 295 (5th Cir.1963), 736
- American Family Mut. Ins. Co. v. Roche, 830 F.Supp. 1241 (E.D.Wis.1993), 508
- American Financial Life Ins. and Annuity Co. v. Youn, 2001 WL 369826 (10th Cir.2001), 511
- American Fire & Cas. Co. v. Finn, 341 U.S. 6, 71 S.Ct. 534, 95 L.Ed. 702 (1951), 284
- American Motorcycle Assn. v. Superior Court, 146 Cal.Rptr. 182, 578 P.2d 899 (Cal.1978), 57
- American National Red Cross v. S.G., 505 U.S. 247, 112 S.Ct. 2465, 120 L.Ed.2d 201 (1992), 292
- American Ry. Exp. Co., United States v., 265 U.S. 425, 44 S.Ct. 560, 68 L.Ed. 1087 (1924), 921
- American Soccer Co., Inc. v. Score First Enterprises, a Div. of Kevlar Industries, 187 F.3d 1108 (9th Cir.1999), 736
- American States Ins. Co. v. Dastar Corp., 318 F.3d 881 (9th Cir.2003), 463
- Amoco Egypt Oil Co. v. Leonis Nav. Co., Inc., 1 F.3d 848 (9th Cir.1993), 85
- Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d 202 (1986), 769
- Anderson v. Smithfield Foods, Inc., 353 F.3d 912 (11th Cir.2003), 455
- Andrews v. Daw, 201 F.3d 521 (4th Cir.2000), 869
- Ankenbrandt v. Richards, 504 U.S. 689, 112 S.Ct. 2206, 119 L.Ed.2d 468 (1992), 254
- Apache County v. Superior Court In and For County of Maricopa, 163 Ariz. 54, 785 P.2d 1242 (Ariz.App. Div. 1 1989), 35
- Appelbaum v. Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage Dist., 340 F.3d 573 (7th Cir.2003), 788
- Arakaki v. Cayetano, 324 F.3d 1078 (9th Cir. 2003), 481
- Aristech Chemical Intern. Ltd. v. Acrylic Fabricators Ltd., 138 F.3d 624 (6th Cir.1998), 86
- Art Press, Ltd. v. Western Printing Machinery Co., 791 F.2d 616 (7th Cir.1986), 711
- Asahi Metal Industry Co., Ltd. v. Superior Court of California, Solano County**, 480 U.S. 102, 107 S.Ct. 1026, 94 L.Ed.2d 92 (1987), **132**
- Asea, Inc. v. Southern Pac. Transp. Co.**, 669 F.2d 1242 (9th Cir.1981), **602**
- Ashcroft v. Iqbal**, — U.S. —, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 173 L.Ed.2d 868 (2009), **389**
- Astro-Med, Inc. v. Nihon Kohden America, Inc.**, 591 F.3d 1 (1st Cir.2009), **190**
- Atlantic Pipe Corp., In re**, 304 F.3d 135 (1st Cir.2002), **641**
- Atlantis Development Corp. v. United States, 379 F.2d 818 (5th Cir.1967), 483, 493
- Atlas Roofing Co., Inc. v. Occupational Safety and Health Review Com'n, 430 U.S. 442, 97 S.Ct. 1261, 51 L.Ed.2d 464 (1977), 688, 689

- Aura Lamp & Lighting Inc. v. International Trading Corp.**, 325 F.3d 903 (7th Cir. 2003), **742**
- Autotech Technologies Ltd. Partnership v. Automationdirect.com, Inc., 248 F.R.D. 556 (N.D.Ill.2008), 596
- A.V. Imports, Inc. v. Col De Fratta, S.p.A., 171 F.Supp.2d 369 (D.N.J.2001), 139
- Ayres v. Jacobs & Crumplar, P.A., 99 F.3d 565 (3rd Cir.1996), 173
- Baker v. General Motors Corp., 209 F.3d 1051 (8th Cir.2000), 562
- Baker v. Gold Seal Liquors, Inc., 417 U.S. 467, 94 S.Ct. 2504, 41 L.Ed.2d 243 (1974), 36
- Bank of Credit and Commerce International (Overseas) Ltd. v. State Bank of Pakistan, 273 F.3d 241 (2nd Cir.2001), 209
- Barrett v. Catacombs Press, 44 F.Supp.2d 717 (E.D.Pa.1999), 154
- Basco v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 216 F.Supp.2d 592 (E.D.La.2002), 531
- Beacon Theatres, Inc. v. Westover, 359 U.S. 500, 79 S.Ct. 948, 3 L.Ed.2d 988 (1959), 680
- Bear Marine Services, United States v.**, 696 F.2d 1117 (5th Cir.1983), **908**
- Beary v. Beech Aircraft Corp., 818 F.2d 370 (5th Cir.1987), 119
- Beckstrom v. Coastwise Line, 14 Alaska 190, 13 F.R.D. 480 (D.Alaska Terr.1953), 439
- Beeck v. Aquaslide 'N' Dive Corp.**, 562 F.2d 537 (8th Cir.1977), **459**
- Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly**, 550 U.S. 544, 127 S.Ct. 1955, 167 L.Ed.2d 929 (2007), **382**
- Belleville Catering Co. v. Champaign Market Place, L.L.C., 350 F.3d 691 (7th Cir.2003), 454
- Beneficial Nat. Bank v. Anderson**, 539 U.S. 1, 123 S.Ct. 2058, 156 L.Ed.2d 1 (2003), **292**
- Benjamin v. Department of Public Welfare of Cmwth., 267 F.R.D. 456 (M.D.Pa.2010), 481
- Bills v. Aseltine, 52 F.3d 596 (6th Cir.1995), 730
- Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388, 91 S.Ct. 1999, 29 L.Ed.2d 619 (1971), 224
- Bledsoe, United States ex rel. v. Community Health Systems, Inc.**, 501 F.3d 493 (6th Cir.2007), **402**
- Blecker v. Standard Fire Ins. Co., 130 F.Supp.2d 726 (E.D.N.C.2000), 598
- Boggs v. West, 188 F.3d 1335 (Fed.Cir.1999), 921
- Borden v. CSX Transp., Inc., 843 F.Supp. 1410 (M.D.Ala.1993), 779
- Bouchard Transp. Co. v. Florida Dept. of Environmental Protection, 91 F.3d 1445 (11th Cir.1996), 650
- Bowen v. Parking Authority of City of Camden, 214 F.R.D. 188 (D.N.J.2003), 610
- Bowles v. Russell, 551 U.S. 205, 127 S.Ct. 2360, 168 L.Ed.2d 96 (2007), 903
- Boyce, United States v., 148 F.Supp.2d 1069 (S.D.Cal.2001), 553
- Bradley v. Kochenash, 44 F.3d 166 (2nd Cir. 1995), 507
- Brandon, Jones, Sandall, Zeide, Kohn, Chahal & Musso, P.A. v. MedPartners, Inc., 312 F.3d 1349 (11th Cir.2002), 902
- Bridgeport Music, Inc. v. Still N The Water Pub., 327 F.3d 472 (6th Cir.2003), 84, 166
- Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., In re, 288 F.3d 1012 (7th Cir.2002), 533
- Brockmeyer v. May, 383 F.3d 798 (9th Cir. 2004), 185
- Brown v. Webster, 156 U.S. 328, 15 S.Ct. 377, 39 L.Ed. 440 (1895), 246
- Browning v. Clinton**, 292 F.3d 235, 352 U.S.App.D.C. 4 (D.C.Cir.2002), **414**
- Buffalo Courier-Express, Inc. v. Buffalo Evening News, Inc., 601 F.2d 48 (2nd Cir. 1979), 926
- Burford v. Sun Oil Co., 319 U.S. 315, 63 S.Ct. 1098, 87 L.Ed. 1424 (1943), 255
- Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz**, 471 U.S. 462, 105 S.Ct. 2174, 85 L.Ed.2d 528 (1985), **121**
- Burlington Northern R. Co. v. Woods, 480 U.S. 1, 107 S.Ct. 967, 94 L.Ed.2d 1 (1987), 345
- Burlington Northern & Santa Fe Ry. Co. v. United States Dist. Court for Dist. of Mont., 408 F.3d 1142 (9th Cir.2005), 551
- Burnham v. Superior Court of California, County of Marin**, 495 U.S. 604, 110 S.Ct. 2105, 109 L.Ed.2d 631 (1990), **140**
- Business Guides, Inc. v. Chromatic Communications Enterprises, Inc., 498 U.S. 533, 111 S.Ct. 922, 112 L.Ed.2d 1140 (1991), 447
- Byrd v. Blue Ridge Rural Elec. Co-op., Inc., 356 U.S. 525, 78 S.Ct. 893, 2 L.Ed.2d 953 (1958), 332
- Calder v. Jones**, 465 U.S. 783, 104 S.Ct. 1482, 79 L.Ed.2d 804 (1984), **108**
- Campania Management Co., Inc. v. Rooks, Pitts & Poust, 290 F.3d 843 (7th Cir.2002), 463
- Capron v. Van Noorden, 6 U.S. 126, 2 L.Ed. 229 (1804), 302
- Cardtoons, L.C. v. Major League Baseball Players Ass'n, 95 F.3d 959 (10th Cir.1996), 223
- Carlsbad Technology, Inc. v. HIF Bio, Inc., — U.S. —, 129 S.Ct. 1862, 173 L.Ed.2d 843 (2009), 284
- Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc. v. Shute, 499 U.S. 585, 111 S.Ct. 1522, 113 L.Ed.2d 622 (1991), 81
- Caterpillar Inc. v. Lewis, 519 U.S. 61, 117 S.Ct. 467, 136 L.Ed.2d 437 (1996), 245, 250
- Caterpillar Inc. v. Williams**, 482 U.S. 386, 107 S.Ct. 2425, 96 L.Ed.2d 318 (1987), **280**
- Catlin v. United States, 324 U.S. 229, 65 S.Ct. 631, 89 L.Ed. 911 (1945), 902
- Cauley v. Ingram Micro, Inc., 216 F.R.D. 241 (W.D.N.Y.2003), 610
- Celotex Corp. v. Catrett**, 477 U.S. 317, 106 S.Ct. 2548, 91 L.Ed.2d 265 (1986), **761**
- Chambers v. NASCO, Inc., 501 U.S. 32, 111 S.Ct. 2123, 115 L.Ed.2d 27 (1991), 441, 649

- Chauffeurs, Teamsters and Helpers, Local No. 391 v. Terry, 494 U.S. 558, 110 S.Ct. 1339, 108 L.Ed.2d 519 (1990), 675
- Chicot County Drainage Dist. v. Baxter State Bank, 308 U.S. 371, 60 S.Ct. 317, 84 L.Ed. 329 (1940), 302
- Chiles v. Thornburgh**, 865 F.2d 1197 (11th Cir.1989), **473**
- Christian v. Mattel, Inc.**, 286 F.3d 1118 (9th Cir.2002), **448**
- Christy v. Pennsylvania Turnpike Com'n, 912 F.Supp. 148 (E.D.Pa.1996), 910
- Chrysler Financial Corp. v. Powe, 312 F.3d 1241 (11th Cir.2002), 911
- Chrysler Motors Corp. v. Thomas Auto Co., Inc., 939 F.2d 538 (8th Cir.1991), 903
- Cincinnati Ins. Co. v. Reybitz, 205 Ga.App. 174, 421 S.E.2d 767 (Ga.App.1992), 46
- Circuit City Stores, Inc. v. Adams, 532 U.S. 105, 121 S.Ct. 1302, 149 L.Ed.2d 234 (2001), 627
- Citizens for Open Access to Sand and Tide, Inc. v. Seadrift Ass'n, 71 Cal.Rptr.2d 77 (Cal. App. 1 Dist.1998), 835
- City of (see name of city)**
- Clune v. Alimak AB, 233 F.3d 538 (8th Cir. 2000), 85
- Coleman v. Parkman, 349 F.3d 534 (8th Cir. 2003), 918
- Colgrove v. Battin, 413 U.S. 149, 93 S.Ct. 2448, 37 L.Ed.2d 522 (1973), 702
- Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. v. Drain, 191 F.3d 552 (4th Cir.1999), 291
- Commercial Space Management Co., Inc. v. Boeing Co., Inc., 193 F.3d 1074 (9th Cir. 1999), 736
- Commercial Union Ins. Co. v. United States, 999 F.2d 581, 303 U.S.App.D.C. 33 (D.C.Cir. 1993), 511, 513
- Commonwealth Edison Co. v. Train, 71 F.R.D. 391 (N.D.Ill.1976), 483
- Community Health Systems, Inc., United States ex rel. Bledsoe v.**, 501 F.3d 493 (6th Cir.2007), **402**
- Computer Access Technology Corp. v. Catalyst Enterprises, Inc., 273 F.Supp.2d 1063 (N.D.Cal.2003), 788
- Cooter & Gell v. Hartmarx Corp., 496 U.S. 384, 110 S.Ct. 2447, 110 L.Ed.2d 359 (1990), 454
- Cox v. Quigley**, 141 F.R.D. 222 (D.Me.1992), **178**
- CSX Transp., Inc. v. Union Tank Car Co., 247 F.Supp.2d 833 (E.D.Mich.2002), 120
- Curry v. Regents of University of Minnesota, 167 F.3d 420 (8th Cir.1999), 480
- Curtis v. Loether, 415 U.S. 189, 94 S.Ct. 1005, 39 L.Ed.2d 260 (1974), 665
- Curtiss-Wright Corp. v. General Elec. Co., 446 U.S. 1, 100 S.Ct. 1460, 64 L.Ed.2d 1 (1980), 906
- Dairy Queen, Inc. v. Wood, 369 U.S. 469, 82 S.Ct. 894, 8 L.Ed.2d 44 (1962), 684
- Dandridge v. Williams, 397 U.S. 471, 90 S.Ct. 1153, 25 L.Ed.2d 491 (1970), 921
- Daniels v. United StatesS Agri-Chemicals, a Div. of United States Diversified Group, 965 F.2d 376 (7th Cir.1992), 401
- Davey v. Lockheed Martin Corp., 301 F.3d 1204 (10th Cir.2002), 719
- Davis v. Hutchins, 321 F.3d 641 (7th Cir.2003), 530
- Dawavendewa v. Salt River Project Agr. Imp. and Power Dist.**, 276 F.3d 1150 (9th Cir.2002), **467**
- Day & Zimmermann, Inc. v. Challoner, 423 U.S. 3, 96 S.Ct. 167, 46 L.Ed.2d 3 (1975), 346
- Dennis v. Dillard Dept. Stores, Inc., 207 F.3d 523 (8th Cir.2000), 462
- DES Litigation, In re**, 7 F.3d 20 (2nd Cir. 1993), **897**
- Dice v. Akron, C. & Y. R. Co., 342 U.S. 359, 72 S.Ct. 312, 96 L.Ed. 398, 47 O.O. 53 (1952), 334
- Dillard v. Security Pacific Brokers, Inc., 835 F.2d 607 (5th Cir.1988), 37
- Dimick v. Schiedt, 293 U.S. 474, 55 S.Ct. 296, 79 L.Ed. 603 (1935), 799
- DIRECTV v. Loussaert**, 218 F.R.D. 639 (S.D.Iowa 2003), **39**
- DIRECTV, Inc. v. Barrett**, 220 F.R.D. 630 (D.Kan.2004), **43**
- Doe v. Del Rio, 241 F.R.D. 154 (S.D.N.Y.2006), 376
- Donaldson v. United States, 400 U.S. 517, 91 S.Ct. 534, 27 L.Ed.2d 580 (1971), 480
- Donnelly v. Glickman, 159 F.3d 405 (9th Cir. 1998), 479
- D'Onofrio v. SFX Sports Group, Inc.**, 247 F.R.D. 43 (D.D.C.2008), **593**
- Dukes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 603 F.3d 571 (9th Cir.2010), 531, 533
- Duren v. Missouri, 439 U.S. 357, 99 S.Ct. 664, 58 L.Ed.2d 579 (1979), 701
- Durfee v. Duke**, 375 U.S. 106, 84 S.Ct. 242, 11 L.Ed.2d 186 (1963), 302, **884**
- Eastman Kodak Co. v. Kavlin, 978 F.Supp. 1078 (S.D.Fla.1997), 209
- EDIAS Software Intern., L.L.C. v. BASIS Intern. Ltd., 947 F.Supp. 413 (D.Ariz.1996), 153
- E.E.O.C. v. Kohler Co., 335 F.3d 766 (8th Cir.2003), 789
- E.E.O.C. v. Lutheran Social Services, 186 F.3d 959, 337 U.S.App.D.C. 373 (D.C.Cir.1999), 559
- Eiland v. Westinghouse Elec. Corp., 58 F.3d 176 (5th Cir.1995), 798
- Eisen v. Carlisle and Jacquelin, 417 U.S. 156, 94 S.Ct. 2140, 40 L.Ed.2d 732 (1974), 535
- Eldredge v. Martin Marietta Corp., 207 F.3d 737 (5th Cir.2000), 905
- Ellington, Estate of v. EMI Music Publishing, 282 F.Supp.2d 192 (S.D.N.Y.2003), 513
- Emcasco Ins. Co. v. Davis, 753 F.Supp. 1458 (W.D.Ark.1990), 506
- Employees Committed for Justice v. Eastman Kodak Co., 251 F.R.D. 101 (W.D.N.Y.2008), 576

- Epstein v. Gray Television, Inc.**, 474 F.Supp.2d 835 (W.D.Tex.2007), **149**
- Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins**, 304 U.S. 64, 58 S.Ct. 817, 82 L.Ed. 1188, 11 O.O. 246 (1938), **318**
- ESAB Group, Inc. v. Centricut, Inc., 126 F.3d 617 (4th Cir.1997), 112
- ESI, Inc. v. Coastal Power Production Co., 13 F.Supp.2d 495 (S.D.N.Y.1998), 779
- Estate of (see name of party)**
- Estes v. Midwest Products, Inc., 24 F.Supp.2d 621 (S.D.W.Va.1998), 107
- Ethylene Propylene Diene Monomer (EPDM) Antitrust Litigation, In re, 255 F.R.D. 308 (D.Conn.2009), 479
- Ex parte (see name of party)**
- Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Allapattah Services, Inc.**, 545 U.S. 546, 125 S.Ct. 2611, 162 L.Ed.2d 502 (2005), **267**, 537
- Faber v. Menard, Inc., 267 F.Supp.2d 961 (N.D.Iowa 2003), 910
- Fanselow v. Rice, 213 F.Supp.2d 1077 (D.Neb. 2002), 202
- Far West Capital, Inc. v. Towne, 46 F.3d 1071 (10th Cir.1995), 113
- Faulkner v. Caledonia County Fair Ass'n, 178 Vt. 51, 869 A.2d 103 (Vt.2004), 831
- Fauntleroy v. Lum, 210 U.S. 230, 28 S.Ct. 641, 52 L.Ed. 1039 (1908), 881
- Federated Dept. Stores, Inc. v. Moitie, 452 U.S. 394, 101 S.Ct. 2424, 69 L.Ed.2d 103 (1981), 759, 840
- Feed Management Systems, Inc. v. Brill, 518 F.Supp.2d 1094 (D.Minn.2007), 36
- Ferens v. John Deere Co., 494 U.S. 516, 110 S.Ct. 1274, 108 L.Ed.2d 443 (1990), 201
- Fierro v. Grant, 53 F.3d 338 (9th Cir.1995), 482
- Finley v. United States, 490 U.S. 545, 109 S.Ct. 2003, 104 L.Ed.2d 593 (1989), 275
- First American First, Inc. v. National Ass'n of Bank Women, 802 F.2d 1511 (4th Cir.1986), 112
- First Interstate Bank of Oregon, N.A. v. United States By and Through I.R.S., 891 F.Supp. 543 (D.Or.1995), 504
- First Nat. Bank of Pulaski v. Curry, 301 F.3d 456 (6th Cir.2002), 299
- FleetBoston Financial Corp. v. FleetBostonFinancial.com, 138 F.Supp.2d 121 (D.Mass. 2001), 98
- Floyd v. Garrison**, 996 F.2d 947 (8th Cir. 1993), **700**
- Ford Motor Co., In re, 110 F.3d 954 (3rd Cir. 1997), 561
- Ford Motor Co., Bridgestone/Firestone North American Tire, LLC, In re, 344 F.3d 648 (7th Cir.2003), 918
- Franklin America, Inc. v. Franklin Cast Products, Inc., 94 F.R.D. 645 (E.D.Mich.1982), 183
- Frew ex rel. Frew v. Hawkins, 540 U.S. 431, 124 S.Ct. 899, 157 L.Ed.2d 855 (2004), 826
- Friedman v. Hartmann**, 787 F.Supp. 411 (S.D.N.Y.1992), **59**
- Gambelli v. United States, 904 F.Supp. 494 (E.D.Va.1995), 234
- Garrett v. Fleming, 362 F.3d 692 (10th Cir. 2004), 468
- Garvie v. City of Ft. Walton Beach, Fla., 366 F.3d 1186 (11th Cir.2004), 664
- Gasperini v. Center for Humanities, Inc., 518 U.S. 415, 116 S.Ct. 2211, 135 L.Ed.2d 659 (1996), 347, 694
- Gator.com Corp. v. L.L. Bean, Inc., 398 F.3d 1125 (9th Cir.2005), 166
- Genentech, Inc. v. Novo Nordisk A/S, 907 F.Supp. 97 (S.D.N.Y.1995), 910
- Getter v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 66 F.3d 1119 (10th Cir.1995), 711
- Gilmer v. Interstate/Johnson Lane Corp.**, 500 U.S. 20, 111 S.Ct. 1647, 114 L.Ed.2d 26 (1991), **621**
- Glancy v. Taubman Centers, Inc., 373 F.3d 656 (6th Cir.2004), 494
- Glens Falls Newspapers, Inc., United States v.**, 160 F.3d 853 (2nd Cir.1998), **636**
- Global NAPs, Inc. v. Verizon New England Inc., 603 F.3d 71 (1st Cir.2010), 278
- Gomez v. Toledo, 446 U.S. 635, 100 S.Ct. 1920, 64 L.Ed.2d 572 (1980), 436
- Gorman v. Ameritrade Holding Corp., 293 F.3d 506, 352 U.S.App.D.C. 229 (D.C.Cir.2002), 165
- Gould Paper Corp. v. Madisen Corp., 614 F.Supp.2d 485 (S.D.N.Y.2009), 543
- Grable & Sons Metal Products, Inc. v. Darue Engineering & Mfg.**, 545 U.S. 308, 2006-14 I.R.B. 697, 125 S.Ct. 2363, 162 L.Ed.2d 257 (2005), **287**
- Grace v. MacArthur, 170 F.Supp. 442 (E.D.Ark.1959), 73
- Granfinanciera, S.A. v. Nordberg**, 492 U.S. 33, 109 S.Ct. 2782, 106 L.Ed.2d 26 (1989), **688**
- Gray v. St. Martin's Press, Inc., 929 F.Supp. 40 (D.N.H.1996), 138
- Graziose v. American Home Products Corp., 202 F.R.D. 638 (D.Nev.2001), 46
- Great American Ins. Co. v. Spraycraft, Inc., 844 F.Supp. 1188 (S.D.Ohio 1994), 513
- Greene v. Lindsey, 456 U.S. 444, 102 S.Ct. 1874, 72 L.Ed.2d 249 (1982), 172
- Griffin v. McCoach, 313 U.S. 498, 61 S.Ct. 1023, 85 L.Ed. 1481 (1941), 325
- Grupo Dataflux v. Atlas Global Group, L.P., 541 U.S. 567, 124 S.Ct. 1920, 158 L.Ed.2d 866 (2004), 250
- Guaranty Trust Co. of N.Y. v. York**, 326 U.S. 99, 65 S.Ct. 1464, 89 L.Ed. 2079 (1945), **326**
- Gulf Oil Corp. v. Gilbert, 330 U.S. 501, 67 S.Ct. 839, 91 L.Ed. 1055 (1947), 203
- Gully v. First Nat. Bank, 299 U.S. 109, 57 S.Ct. 96, 81 L.Ed. 70 (1936), 219
- Gutshall v. New Prime, Inc.**, 196 F.R.D. 43 (W.D.Va.2000), **562**
- Hadges v. Yonkers Racing Corp., 48 F.3d 1320 (2nd Cir.1995), 454

- Hamling v. United States, 418 U.S. 87, 94 S.Ct. 2887, 41 L.Ed.2d 590 (1974), 702
- Hanna v. Plumer**, 380 U.S. 460, 85 S.Ct. 1136, 14 L.Ed.2d 8 (1965), **334**
- Hansberry v. Lee**, 311 U.S. 32, 61 S.Ct. 115, 85 L.Ed. 22 (1940), **516**
- Hanson v. Denckla, 357 U.S. 235, 78 S.Ct. 1228, 2 L.Ed.2d 1283 (1958), 89
- Harris v. Interstate Brands Corp., 348 F.3d 761 (8th Cir.2003), 664
- Hawkins v. Kiely, 250 F.R.D. 73 (D.Me.2008), 413
- Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co., 322 U.S. 238, 64 S.Ct. 997, 88 L.Ed. 1250 (1944), 825
- Headwaters Forest Defense v. County of Humboldt, 240 F.3d 1185 (9th Cir.2000), 790
- Helicopteros Nacionales de Colombia, S.A. v. Hall**, 466 U.S. 408, 104 S.Ct. 1868, 80 L.Ed.2d 404 (1984), **113**
- Heller Financial, Inc. v. Prudential Ins. Co. of America, 371 F.3d 944 (7th Cir.2004), 513
- Henry v. State of Miss., 379 U.S. 443, 85 S.Ct. 564, 13 L.Ed.2d 408 (1965), 933
- Herb v. Pitcairn, 324 U.S. 117, 65 S.Ct. 459, 89 L.Ed. 789 (1945), 929
- Hernandez v. New York, 500 U.S. 352, 111 S.Ct. 1859, 114 L.Ed.2d 395 (1991), 718
- Hertz Corp. v. Friend**, — U.S. —, 130 S.Ct. 1181, 175 L.Ed.2d 1029 (2010), **234**
- Hess v. Pawloski, 274 U.S. 352, 47 S.Ct. 632, 71 L.Ed. 1091 (1927), 75, 82
- Hickman v. Taylor**, 329 U.S. 495, 67 S.Ct. 385, 91 L.Ed. 451, 34 O.O. 395 (1947), **555**
- Hicks v. Long Island R.R., 165 F.R.D. 377 (E.D.N.Y.1996), 58
- Higgins v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co., 863 F.2d 1162 (4th Cir.1988), 285
- Hilton v. Guyot, 159 U.S. 113, 16 S.Ct. 139, 40 L.Ed. 95 (1895), 883
- Hinderlider v. La Plata River & Cherry Creek Ditch Co., 304 U.S. 92, 58 S.Ct. 803, 82 L.Ed. 1202 (1938), 356
- Hinfin Realty Corp. v. Pittston Co.**, 206 F.R.D. 350 (E.D.N.Y.2002), **737**
- Hoffman v. Blaski, 363 U.S. 335, 80 S.Ct. 1084, 4 L.Ed.2d 1254 (1960), 200
- Holland v. Illinois, 493 U.S. 474, 110 S.Ct. 803, 107 L.Ed.2d 905 (1990), 699
- Hollis v. Florida State University, 259 F.3d 1295 (11th Cir.2001), 194
- Honda Motor Co., Ltd. v. Oberg, 512 U.S. 415, 114 S.Ct. 2331, 129 L.Ed.2d 336 (1994), 925
- Horne v. Flores**, — U.S. —, 129 S.Ct. 2579, 174 L.Ed.2d 406 (2009), **812**
- Hossler v. Barry, 403 A.2d 762 (Me.1979), 874
- House v. Combined Ins. Co. of America**, 168 F.R.D. 236 (N.D.Iowa 1996), **566**
- Howard Johnson Intern., Inc. v. Wang, 7 F.Supp.2d 336 (S.D.N.Y.1998), 184
- Howard Motor Co., Inc. v. Swint, 214 Ga.App. 682, 448 S.E.2d 713 (Ga.App.1994), 44
- Hudson, United States v., 11 U.S. 32, 3 L.Ed. 259 (1812), 649
- Humble Oil & Refining Co. v. Copeland, 398 F.2d 364 (4th Cir.1968), 507
- Hurd v. American Hoist and Derrick Co., 734 F.2d 495 (10th Cir.1984), 788
- Hutchinson, In re, 5 F.3d 750 (4th Cir.1993), 675
- Hy Cite Corp. v. Badbusinessbureau.com, L.L.C.**, 297 F.Supp.2d 1154 (W.D.Wis. 2004), **154**
- Illinois v. City of Milwaukee, Wis., 406 U.S. 91, 92 S.Ct. 1385, 31 L.Ed.2d 712 (1972), 225, 357
- Immunocept, LLC v. Fulbright & Jaworski, LLP, 504 F.3d 1281 (Fed.Cir.2007), 291
- Indianapolis v. Chase Nat. Bank of City of New York, 314 U.S. 63, 62 S.Ct. 15, 86 L.Ed. 47 (1941), 252
- Indianapolis Colts v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, 741 F.2d 954 (7th Cir.1984), 504
- In re (see name of party)**
- Integra Realty Resources, Inc., In re, 262 F.3d 1089 (10th Cir.2001), 521
- International Action Center v. United States, 365 F.3d 20, 361 U.S.App.D.C. 108 (D.C.Cir. 2004), 918
- International Controls Corp. v. Vesco, 593 F.2d 166 (2nd Cir.1979), 178
- International Shoe Co. v. State of Washington**, 326 U.S. 310, 66 S.Ct. 154, 90 L.Ed. 95 (1945), **75**
- Irvin v. Dowd, 366 U.S. 717, 81 S.Ct. 1639, 6 L.Ed.2d 751 (1961), 710
- Jackson v. Danberg, 240 F.R.D. 145 (D.Del. 2007), 531
- Jacobs v. Felix Bloch Erben Verlag fur Buhne Film und Funk KG, 160 F.Supp.2d 722 (S.D.N.Y.2001), 209
- Jaffe and Asher v. Van Brunt, 158 F.R.D. 278 (S.D.N.Y.1994), 182
- Jarvis v. Ford Motor Co.**, 283 F.3d 33 (2nd Cir.2002), **722**
- J.E.B. v. Alabama ex rel. T.B., 511 U.S. 127, 114 S.Ct. 1419, 128 L.Ed.2d 89 (1994), 718
- Jinks v. Richland County, S.C., 538 U.S. 456, 123 S.Ct. 1667, 155 L.Ed.2d 631 (2003), 276
- Jones v. Ford Motor Credit Co., 358 F.3d 205 (2nd Cir.2004), 278
- Jones v. Lincoln Elec. Co.**, 188 F.3d 709 (7th Cir.1999), **808**
- Jorgensen v. Epic/Sony Records**, 351 F.3d 46 (2nd Cir.2003), **772**
- Junk v. Terminix Intern. Co., 628 F.3d 439 (8th Cir.2010), 251
- Kadic v. Karadzic, 70 F.3d 232 (2nd Cir.1995), 225
- Kalb v. Feuerstein, 308 U.S. 433, 60 S.Ct. 343, 84 L.Ed. 370 (1940), 302
- Kasap v. Folger Nolan Fleming & Douglas, Inc., 166 F.3d 1243, 334 U.S.App.D.C. 280 (D.C.Cir.1999), 225
- Keeton v. Hustler Magazine, Inc., 465 U.S. 770, 104 S.Ct. 1473, 79 L.Ed.2d 790 (1984), 111
- King Vision Pay Per View, Ltd. v. J.C. Dimitri's Restaurant, Inc.**, 180 F.R.D. 332 (N.D.Ill.1998), **428**



- Kinsler v. CMI Corp.**, 217 F.3d 1021 (8th Cir.2000), **782**
- Klaxon Co. v. Stentor Electric Mfg. Co., 313 U.S. 487, 61 S.Ct. 1020, 85 L.Ed. 1477 (1941), 325
- Kolb v. Scherer Bros. Financial Services Co., 6 F.3d 542 (8th Cir.1993), 50
- Kopke v. A. Hartrodt S.R.L., 245 Wis.2d 396, 629 N.W.2d 662 (Wis.2001), 139
- KPS & Associates, Inc. v. Designs By FMC, Inc.**, 318 F.3d 1 (1st Cir.2003), **749**
- Kramer v. Caribbean Mills, Inc., 394 U.S. 823, 89 S.Ct. 1487, 23 L.Ed.2d 9 (1969), 252
- Kranz v. Koenig, 240 F.R.D. 453 (D.Minn. 2007), 412
- Krupski v. Costa Crociere S. p. A.**, \_\_\_ U.S. \_\_\_, 130 S.Ct. 2485, 177 L.Ed.2d 48 (2010), 1, 467
- Kuhn v. Fairmont Coal Co., 215 U.S. 349, 30 S.Ct. 140, 54 L.Ed. 228 (1910), 321
- Kwoczek, United States v., 210 F.Supp.2d 638 (E.D.Pa.2002), 788
- Lakin v. Prudential Securities, Inc., 348 F.3d 704 (8th Cir.2003), 119
- LAK, Inc. v. Deer Creek Enterprises, 885 F.2d 1293 (6th Cir.1989), 130
- Larsen v. Mayo Medical Center**, 218 F.3d 863 (8th Cir.2000), **174**
- Larson v. Domestic & Foreign Commerce Corp., 337 U.S. 682, 69 S.Ct. 1457, 93 L.Ed. 1628 (1949), 224
- Lavoie v. Pacific Press & Shear Co., a Div. of Canron Corp.**, 975 F.2d 48 (2nd Cir. 1992), **726**, 922
- League of United Latin American Citizens v. Wilson, 131 F.3d 1297 (9th Cir.1997), 479
- Leatherman v. Tarrant County Narcotics Intelligence and Coordination Unit, 507 U.S. 163, 113 S.Ct. 1160, 122 L.Ed.2d 517 (1993), 413
- Lee v. Walters**, 172 F.R.D. 421 (D.Or.1997), **611**
- Lehman v. Nakshian, 453 U.S. 156, 101 S.Ct. 2698, 69 L.Ed.2d 548 (1981), 687
- LeSane v. Hall's Sec. Analyst, Inc., 239 F.3d 206 (2nd Cir.2001), 742
- Lesnick v. Hollingsworth & Vose Co., 35 F.3d 939 (4th Cir.1994), 138
- Levin v. Ruby Trading Corp., 248 F.Supp. 537 (S.D.N.Y.1965), 186
- Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp., 486 U.S. 847, 108 S.Ct. 2194, 100 L.Ed.2d 855 (1988), 827
- Limón-Hernandez v. Lumbreras, 171 F.R.D. 271 (D.Or.1997), 183
- Lincoln Nat. Life Ins. Co. v. Barton, 250 F.R.D. 388 (S.D.Ill.2008), 506
- Lincoln Property Co. v. Roche, 546 U.S. 81, 126 S.Ct. 606, 163 L.Ed.2d 415 (2005), 286
- Link v. Wabash R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 82 S.Ct. 1386, 8 L.Ed.2d 734 (1962), 747
- Local Union No. 11, Intern. Broth. of Elec. Workers, AFL-CIO v. G. P. Thompson Elec., Inc., 363 F.2d 181 (9th Cir.1966), 37
- Louisiana Power & Light Co. v. City of Thibodaux, 360 U.S. 25, 79 S.Ct. 1070, 3 L.Ed.2d 1058 (1959), 255
- Louisville & N.R. Co. v. Mottley, 219 U.S. 467, 31 S.Ct. 265, 55 L.Ed. 297 (1911), 222
- Louisville & N.R. Co. v. Mottley**, 211 U.S. 149, 29 S.Ct. 42, 53 L.Ed. 126 (1908), **219**
- Lundquist v. Precision Valley Aviation, Inc.**, 946 F.2d 8 (1st Cir.1991), **229**
- MacPhail, United States v., 2005 WL 2206681 (6th Cir.2005), 265
- Mann v. Lewis, 108 F.3d 145 (8th Cir.1997), 748
- Marek v. Chesny**, 473 U.S. 1, 105 S.Ct. 3012, 87 L.Ed.2d 1 (1985), **654**
- Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc., 517 U.S. 370, 116 S.Ct. 1384, 134 L.Ed.2d 577 (1996), 676
- Marques v. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago**, 286 F.3d 1014 (7th Cir.2002), **734**
- Marrese v. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, 470 U.S. 373, 105 S.Ct. 1327, 84 L.Ed.2d 274 (1985), 882
- Marseilles Hydro Power, LLC v. Marseilles Land and Water Co.**, 299 F.3d 643 (7th Cir.2002), **678**, 696
- Marshall v. Marshall, 547 U.S. 293, 126 S.Ct. 1735, 164 L.Ed.2d 480 (2006), 254
- Martin v. Wilks, 490 U.S. 755, 109 S.Ct. 2180, 104 L.Ed.2d 835 (1989), 485
- Massachusetts School of Law at Andover, Inc. v. American Bar Ass'n, 142 F.3d 26 (1st Cir.1998), 836
- McCoo v. Denny's Inc., 192 F.R.D. 675 (D.Kan. 2000), 551
- McDonough Power Equipment, Inc. v. Greenwood, 464 U.S. 548, 104 S.Ct. 845, 78 L.Ed.2d 663 (1984), 709
- McGee v. International Life Ins. Co., 355 U.S. 220, 78 S.Ct. 199, 2 L.Ed.2d 223 (1957), 88
- Mejdrech v. Met-Coil Systems Corp., 319 F.3d 910 (7th Cir.2003), 534
- Melkaz Intern. Inc. v. Flavor Innovation Inc., 167 F.R.D. 634 (E.D.N.Y.1996), 185
- Memphis, In re City of, 293 F.3d 345 (6th Cir.2002), 910
- Mendoza, United States v., 464 U.S. 154, 104 S.Ct. 568, 78 L.Ed.2d 379 (1984), 874
- Mesa v. California, 489 U.S. 121, 109 S.Ct. 959, 103 L.Ed.2d 99 (1989), 222, 285
- Metcalf v. Lawson, 148 N.H. 35, 802 A.2d 1221 (N.H.2002), 154
- Meteoro Amusement Corp. v. Six Flags**, 267 F.Supp.2d 263 (N.D.N.Y.2003), **196**
- Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. Ditmore, 729 F.2d 1 (1st Cir.1984), 493
- Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. Robertson-Ceco Corp., 84 F.3d 560 (2nd Cir.1996), 85
- Metropolitan Property and Cas. Ins. Co. v. Shan Trac, Inc., 324 F.3d 20 (1st Cir.2003), 507
- Mexico Money Transfer Litigation, In re, 267 F.3d 743 (7th Cir.2001), 534
- Michigan v. Long**, 463 U.S. 1032, 103 S.Ct. 3469, 77 L.Ed.2d 1201 (1983), **930**

- Michigan Nat. Bank v. Quality Dinette, Inc., 888 F.2d 462 (6th Cir.1989), 119
- Migra v. Warren City School Dist. Bd. of Educ., 465 U.S. 75, 104 S.Ct. 892, 79 L.Ed.2d 56 (1984), 882
- Miller-El v. Dretke, 545 U.S. 231, 125 S.Ct. 2317, 162 L.Ed.2d 196 (2005), 718
- Milliken v. Meyer, 311 U.S. 457, 61 S.Ct. 339, 85 L.Ed. 278 (1940), 82
- M.K. v. Tenet, 216 F.R.D. 133 (D.D.C.2002), 44
- Mobile, In re City of, 75 F.3d 605 (11th Cir. 1996), 284
- Mohawk Industries, Inc. v. Carpenter**, — U.S. —, 130 S.Ct. 599, 175 L.Ed.2d 458 (2009), **912**
- Mohr v. Chicago School Reform Bd. of Trustees of Bd. of Educ. of City of Chicago, 155 F.Supp.2d 923 (N.D.Ill.2001), 788
- Mollan v. Torrance, 22 U.S. 537, 6 L.Ed. 154 (1824), 250
- Montana v. United States, 440 U.S. 147, 99 S.Ct. 970, 59 L.Ed.2d 210 (1979), 521, 869
- Monterey v. Del Monte Dunes at Monterey, Ltd.**, 526 U.S. 687, 119 S.Ct. 1624, 143 L.Ed.2d 882 (1999), **668**
- Montgomery v. City of Ardmore, 365 F.3d 926 (10th Cir.2004), 921
- Morguard Investments Ltd. v. De Savoye (S.C.C.1990), 882
- Morton v. Mancari, 417 U.S. 535, 94 S.Ct. 2474, 41 L.Ed.2d 290 (1974), 492
- Moses H. Cone Memorial Hosp. v. Mercury Const. Corp., 460 U.S. 1, 103 S.Ct. 927, 74 L.Ed.2d 765 (1983), 225
- Mt. Hawley Ins. Co. v. Federal Sav. & Loan Ins. Corp., 695 F.Supp. 469 (C.D.Cal.1987), 506
- Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.**, 339 U.S. 306, 70 S.Ct. 652, 94 L.Ed. 865 (1950), **168**
- Municipal Mortg. & Equity v. Southfork Apartments Ltd. Partnership, 93 F.Supp.2d 622 (D.Md.2000), 132
- Murphy v. Florida, 421 U.S. 794, 95 S.Ct. 2031, 44 L.Ed.2d 589 (1975), 710
- Mutual Federal Sav. and Loan Ass'n v. Richards & Associates, Inc., 872 F.2d 88 (4th Cir.1989), 618
- Mutuelles Unies v. Kroll & Linstrom, 957 F.2d 707 (9th Cir.1992), 249
- N.A.A.C.P. v. American Family Mut. Ins. Co.**, 978 F.2d 287 (7th Cir.1992), **903**
- Nanda v. Board of Trustees of University of Illinois, 303 F.3d 817 (7th Cir.2002), 918
- Nasalok Coating Corp. v. Nylok Corp.**, 522 F.3d 1320 (Fed.Cir.2008), **32**
- National Hockey League v. Metropolitan Hockey Club, Inc., 427 U.S. 639, 96 S.Ct. 2778, 49 L.Ed.2d 747 (1976), 617
- National Mut. Ins. Co. of Dist. of Col. v. Tidewater Transfer Co., 337 U.S. 582, 69 S.Ct. 1173, 93 L.Ed. 1556 (1949), 253
- Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. v. United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 578 F.2d 1341 (10th Cir.1978), 483
- New Hampshire v. Maine**, 532 U.S. 742, 121 S.Ct. 1808, 149 L.Ed.2d 968 (2001), **890**
- New Jersey Sports Productions, Inc. v. Don King Productions, Inc., 15 F.Supp.2d 534 (D.N.J.1998), 511
- New York, City of v. Beretta U.S.A. Corp., 234 F.R.D. 46 (E.D.N.Y.2006), 919
- Nichols v. G.D. Searle & Co., 991 F.2d 1195 (4th Cir.1993), 119
- Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590 (8th Cir.2001), 650
- Nippon Credit Bank, Ltd. v. Matthews, 291 F.3d 738 (11th Cir.2002), 36
- North Cent. F.S., Inc. v. Brown, 951 F.Supp. 1383 (N.D.Iowa 1996), 300
- Norwood v. Kirkpatrick, 349 U.S. 29, 75 S.Ct. 544, 99 L.Ed. 789 (1955), 209
- O'Connor v. Boeing North American, Inc.**, 185 F.R.D. 272 (C.D.Cal.1999), **584**
- O'Keefe, United States v., 537 F.Supp.2d 14 (D.D.C.2008), 602
- Oliver v. Haas, 777 F.Supp. 1040 (D.Puerto Rico 1991), 248
- Oneida Indian Nation of N. Y. State v. Oneida County, New York, 414 U.S. 661, 94 S.Ct. 772, 39 L.Ed.2d 73 (1974), 297
- Oppenheimer Fund, Inc. v. Sanders, 437 U.S. 340, 98 S.Ct. 2380, 57 L.Ed.2d 253 (1978), 535
- Orange Beach, Ala., City of v. Scottsdale Ins. Co., 166 F.R.D. 506 (S.D.Ala.1996), 55
- Oregon Trail Elec. Consumers Co-op, Inc. v. Co-Gen Co., 168 Or.App. 466, 7 P.3d 594 (Or.App.2000), 924
- Otness v. United States**, 23 F.R.D. 279 (D.Alaska Terr.1959), **464**
- Panavision Intern., L.P. v. Toeppen, 141 F.3d 1316 (9th Cir.1998), 154
- Parklane Hosiery Co., Inc. v. Shore**, 439 U.S. 322, 99 S.Ct. 645, 58 L.Ed.2d 552 (1979), **870**
- Parsons v. Bedford, Breedlove & Robeson, 28 U.S. 433, 3 Pet. 433, 7 L.Ed. 732 (1830), 665
- Paul v. National Life**, 177 W.Va. 427, 352 S.E.2d 550 (W.Va.1986), **306**
- Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker, LLP v. City of Tulsa, OK, 245 F.Supp.2d 1248 (N.D.Ga.2002), 120
- Pavelic & LeFlore v. Marvel Entertainment Group, 493 U.S. 120, 110 S.Ct. 456, 107 L.Ed.2d 438 (1989), 447
- Pennoyer v. Neff, 95 U.S. 714, 5 Otto 714, 24 L.Ed. 565 (1877), 70
- Peralta v. Heights Medical Center, Inc., 485 U.S. 80, 108 S.Ct. 896, 99 L.Ed.2d 75 (1988), 755, 827
- Peterson Novelty, Inc. v. City of Berkley, 305 F.3d 386 (6th Cir.2002), 883
- Philip Morris Inc., United States v., 314 F.3d 612, 354 U.S.App.D.C. 171 (D.C.Cir.2003), 918

- Philip Morris USA Inc., *United States v.*, 566 F.3d 1095, 386 U.S.App.D.C. 49 (D.C.Cir. 2009), 484
- Phillips Petroleum Co. v. Shutts, 472 U.S. 797, 105 S.Ct. 2965, 86 L.Ed.2d 628 (1985), 305, 535, 536
- Piazza v. Major League Baseball, 836 F.Supp. 269 (E.D.Pa.1993), 911
- Piedra v. Mentor Graphics Corp., 979 F.Supp. 1297 (D.Or.1997), 741
- Piesco v. Koch**, 12 F.3d 332 (2nd Cir.1993), 792
- Pioneer Inv. Services Co. v. Brunswick Associates Ltd. Partnership, 507 U.S. 380, 113 S.Ct. 1489, 123 L.Ed.2d 74 (1993), 826
- Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno**, 454 U.S. 235, 102 S.Ct. 252, 70 L.Ed.2d 419 (1981), 202
- Pizza Time Theatre Securities Litigation, In re, 113 F.R.D. 94 (N.D.Cal.1986), 575
- Porter v. Warner Holding Co., 328 U.S. 395, 66 S.Ct. 1086, 90 L.Ed. 1332 (1946), 675
- Poynter by Poynter v. Ratcliff, 874 F.2d 219 (4th Cir.1989), 709
- Premier Networks, Inc. v. Stadheim and Gear, Ltd., 395 Ill.App.3d 629, 335 Ill.Dec. 304, 918 N.E.2d 1117 (Ill.App. 1 Dist.2009), 292
- Procter & Gamble Co., *United States v.*, 356 U.S. 677, 78 S.Ct. 983, 2 L.Ed.2d 1077 (1958), 541
- Pryer v. C.O. 3 Slavic, 251 F.3d 448 (3rd Cir. 2001), 799
- PSEG Power N.Y., Inc. v. Alberici Constructors, Inc., 2007 WL 2687670 (N.D.N.Y. 2007), 598
- Ptaszynki v. Ferrell, 277 F.Supp. 969 (E.D.Tenn.1967), 202
- Public Service Co. of New Hampshire v. Patch, 136 F.3d 197 (1st Cir.1998), 481
- Railcar, Ltd. v. Southern Illinois Railcar Co., 42 F.Supp.2d 1369 (N.D.Ga.1999), 121
- Railroad Commission of Tex. v. Pullman Co., 312 U.S. 496, 61 S.Ct. 643, 85 L.Ed. 971 (1941), 255
- Rainbow Management Group, Ltd. v. Atlantis Submarines Hawaii, L.P.**, 158 F.R.D. 656 (D.Hawai'i 1994), 48
- Red Deer v. Cherokee County, Iowa**, 183 F.R.D. 642 (N.D.Iowa 1999), 432
- Reed v. United Transp. Union, 488 U.S. 319, 109 S.Ct. 621, 102 L.Ed.2d 665 (1989), 332
- Religious Technology Center v. Gerbode, 1994 WL 228607 (C.D.Cal.1994), 456
- Reppert v. Marvin Lumber and Cedar Co., Inc., 359 F.3d 53 (1st Cir.2004), 522
- Republican Nat. Committee v. Taylor, 299 F.3d 887, 353 U.S.App.D.C. 236 (D.C.Cir.2002), 497
- Reyes v. Sazan**, 168 F.3d 158 (5th Cir.1999), 437
- Reynolds v. International Amateur Athletic Federation, 23 F.3d 1110 (6th Cir.1994), 112
- Rhode Island v. United States E.P.A., 378 F.3d 19 (1st Cir.2004), 485
- Richards v. Jefferson County, Ala.**, 517 U.S. 793, 116 S.Ct. 1761, 135 L.Ed.2d 76 (1996), 535, 862
- Rink v. Cheminova, Inc., 203 F.R.D. 648 (M.D.Fla.2001), 534
- Rio Properties, Inc. v. Rio Intern. Interlink, 284 F.3d 1007 (9th Cir.2002), 186
- Rockefeller Center Properties, Inc. Securities Litigation, In re, 311 F.3d 198 (3rd Cir. 2002), 412
- Rodgers v. St. Mary's Hosp. of Decatur**, 149 Ill.2d 302, 173 Ill.Dec. 642, 597 N.E.2d 616 (Ill.1992), 832
- Rodriguez-Diaz v. Sierra-Martinez, 853 F.2d 1027 (1st Cir.1988), 233
- Rosario Ortega v. Star-Kist Foods, Inc., 370 F.3d 124 (1st Cir.2004), 246
- Rose v. Beaumont Indep. School Dist., 240 F.R.D. 264 (E.D.Tex.2007), 376
- Rose v. Giamatti, 721 F.Supp. 906 (S.D.Ohio 1989), 251
- Ross v. Bernhard**, 396 U.S. 531, 90 S.Ct. 733, 24 L.Ed.2d 729 (1970), 682
- Roth v. District of Columbia Courts, 160 F.Supp.2d 104 (D.D.C.2001), 225
- Roy v. Inhabitants of City of Lewiston, 42 F.3d 691 (1st Cir.1994), 779
- Ruszala v. Walt Disney World Co.**, 132 F.Supp.2d 1347 (M.D.Fla.2000), 443
- Ruvalcaba v. City of Los Angeles, 64 F.3d 1323 (9th Cir.1995), 798
- Saadeh v. Farouki, 107 F.3d 52, 323 U.S.App. D.C. 239 (D.C.Cir.1997), 249
- Safeco Ins. Co. of America v. City of White House, Tenn., 36 F.3d 540 (6th Cir.1994), 252
- Sailor v. Hubbell, Inc., 4 F.3d 323 (4th Cir. 1993), 675
- San Juan County, Utah v. United States, 503 F.3d 1163 (10th Cir.2007), 484
- Schlagenhauf v. Holder, 379 U.S. 104, 85 S.Ct. 234, 13 L.Ed.2d 152 (1964), 610
- Schnabel v. Abramson, 232 F.3d 83 (2nd Cir. 2000), 788
- Security Ins. Co. of Hartford v. Schipporeit, Inc., 69 F.3d 1377 (7th Cir.1995), 479
- Semtek Intern. Inc. v. Lockheed Martin Corp., 531 U.S. 497, 121 S.Ct. 1021, 149 L.Ed.2d 32 (2001), 343, 883
- Sentinel Acceptance, Ltd., L.P. v. Hodson Auto Sales & Leasing, Inc.**, 45 S.W.3d 464 (Mo.App. W.D.2001), 877
- Shady Grove Orthopedic Associates v. Allstate Ins. Co., — U.S. —, 130 S.Ct. 1431, 176 L.Ed.2d 311 (2010), 345
- Shaffer v. Heitner**, 433 U.S. 186, 97 S.Ct. 2569, 53 L.Ed.2d 683 (1977), 90
- Sharpe v. United States, 936 F.2d 1178 (11th Cir.1991), 779
- Shelley v. Kraemer, 334 U.S. 1, 68 S.Ct. 836, 92 L.Ed. 1161 (1948), 520
- Sierra Club v. United States E.P.A., 995 F.2d 1478 (9th Cir.1993), 481
- Simmons v. Simmons, 773 P.2d 602 (Colo.App. 1988), 37