

*Lauterpacht Research Centre for International Law
University of Cambridge*

INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

VOLUME
125

Edited by

SIR ELIHU LAUTERPACHT, CBE QC
*Honorary Professor of International Law, University of Cambridge
Bencher of Gray's Inn*

C. J. GREENWOOD, CMG QC
*Professor of International Law, London School of Economics and Political Science
Bencher of Middle Temple*

A. G. OPPENHEIMER
*Associate Editor: Civil Law Jurisdictions
Fellow of the Lauterpacht Research Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge*

KAREN LEE
*Assistant Editor
Fellow of the Lauterpacht Research Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge*

GROTIUS PUBLICATIONS



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

<http://www.cambridge.org>

© Sir Elihu Lauterpacht 2004

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2004

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeface Adobe Garamond 11.5/12 pt. *System* L^AT_EX 2_ε [T_B]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 0 521 82989 5 hardback

The publisher has used its best endeavours to ensure that the URLs for external websites referred to in this book are correct and active at the time of going to press. However, the publisher has no responsibility for the websites and can make no guarantee that a site will remain live or that the content is or will remain appropriate.

PREFACE

The present volume includes the arbitral award given under Chapter 11 of the North American Free Trade Agreement in *Mondev v. United States of America*, the award of the ICSID arbitration tribunal in *CAA and CGE v. Argentina* and the decisions of the *ad hoc* Committee on the challenge to the President of the Committee and on annulment in *CAA and Vivendi v. Argentina*. The jurisprudence of international tribunals is also reflected in the three judgments of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea on the application for prompt release in *The Camouco*, *The Monte Confurco* and *The Grand Prince* cases. Also included are national decisions from the courts of Australia, Canada, England, France, India, Israel and Senegal.

There are many people, to all of whom the Editors are most grateful, whose work has made this volume possible. Ms Karen Lee, Assistant Editor, prepared the summaries of *Yarmirr* and *Metalclad* in addition to preparing the Tables of Cases and Digest and performing invaluable work in seeing the volume through the press. Mr Joshua Brien, LL.M., prepared the summaries of *The Monte Confurco* and *The Grand Prince* cases. Mr Andrew Oppenheimer, Associate Editor for Civil Law Jurisdictions, prepared the summaries and translations of the French and Senegalese cases. Mr Promod Nair, LL.M., summarized the cases from India. Miss Maureen MacGlashan, CMG, and Mrs Diane Illott, Fellows of the Lauterpacht Research Centre for International Law, compiled the Table of Treaties and the Index and checked the copy respectively. Ms Janet Hall read the proofs. We are also most grateful to Mr Christopher Whomersley, Deputy Legal Adviser, Foreign and Commonwealth Office, for supplying the text of the Court of Appeal decision in the *Channel Tunnel* case.

Finally, we would like to extend our thanks to all the others who have worked to complete this volume, particularly our publishers, Cambridge University Press, and typesetters, TechBooks, and their staff.

LAUTERPACHT RESEARCH CENTRE
FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

E. LAUTERPACHT

LAW DEPARTMENT,
LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS
AND POLITICAL SCIENCE

C. J. GREENWOOD

July 2003

EDITORIAL NOTE

The *International Law Reports* endeavour to provide within a single series of volumes comprehensive access in English to judicial materials bearing on public international law. On certain topics it is not always easy to draw a clear line between cases which are essentially ones of public international law interest and those which are primarily applications of special domestic rules. For example, in relation to extradition, the *Reports* will include cases which bear on the exception of "political offences" or the rule of double criminality, but will restrict the number of cases dealing with purely procedural aspects of extradition. Similarly, while the general rules relating to the admission and exclusion of aliens, especially of refugees, are of international legal interest, cases on the procedure of admission usually are not. In such borderline areas, and sometimes also where there is a series of domestic decisions all dealing with a single point in essentially the same manner, only one illustrative decision will be printed and references to the remainder will be given in an accompanying note.

DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

The *Reports* seek to include so far as possible the available decisions of every international tribunal, e.g. the International Court of Justice, or *ad hoc* arbitrations between States. There are, however, some jurisdictions to which full coverage cannot be given, either because of the large number of decisions (e.g. the Administrative Tribunal of the United Nations) or because not all the decisions bear on questions of public international law (e.g. the Court of Justice of the European Communities). In these instances, those decisions are selected which appear to have the greatest long-term value.

Human rights cases. The number of decisions on questions of international protection of human rights has increased considerably in recent years and it is now impossible for the *Reports* to cover them all. As far as decisions of international jurisdictions are concerned, the *Reports* will continue to publish decisions of the European Court of Human Rights and of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, as well as "views" of the United Nations Committee on Human Rights. Decisions of national courts on the application of conventions on human rights will not be published unless they deal with a major point

of substantive human rights law or a matter of wider interest to public international lawyers such as the relationship of international law and national law, the extent of the right of derogation or the principles of the interpretation of treaties.

International arbitrations. The *Reports* of course include arbitral awards rendered in cases between States which involve an application of public international law. Beyond this, however, the selection of arbitral decisions is more open to debate. As these *Reports* are principally concerned with matters of public international law, they will not include purely private law commercial arbitrations even if they are international in the sense that they arise between parties of different nationality and even if one of them is a State. (For reports of a number of such awards, see *Yearbook Commercial Arbitration* (ed. Pieter Sanders, under the auspices of the International Council for Commercial Arbitration).) But where there is a sufficient point of contact with public international law then the relevant parts of the award will be reported. Examples of such points of contact are cases in which the character of a State as a party has some relevance (e.g. State immunity, stabilization clauses, *force majeure*) or where there is a choice of law problem involving discussion of international law or general principles of law as possible applicable laws. The same criteria will determine the selection of decisions of national courts regarding the enforcement of arbitral awards.

DECISIONS OF NATIONAL TRIBUNALS

A systematic effort is made to collect from all national jurisdictions those judicial decisions which have some bearing on international law.

EDITORIAL TREATMENT OF MATERIALS

The basic policy of the Editors is, so far as possible, to present the material in its original form. It is no part of the editorial function to impose on the decisions printed in these volumes a uniformity of approach or style which they do not possess. Editorial intervention is limited to the introduction of the summary and of the bold-letter rubric at the head of each case. This is followed by the full text of the original decision or of its translation. Normally, the only passages which will be omitted are those which contain either statements of fact having no bearing on the points of international law involved in the case or discussion of matters of domestic law unrelated to the points of international legal interest. The omission of material is usually indicated either by a series of dots or by the insertion of a sentence in square brackets noting the passages which have been left out.

PRESENTATION OF MATERIALS

The material in this volume is of two kinds, material reproduced photographically and material which has been freshly set for this volume.

Material photographically reproduced. This consists exclusively of reports originally printed in the English language. The material can usually be recognized by the differences between its type-style and the type-style otherwise used in these *Reports*. The source of the material is identified by the reference to the "Report" in square brackets at the end of the case. Where more than one citation is given, the report used is the first one listed. The bold type figures in square brackets in the inner margin of each page refer to the pagination of the original report.

Other material. The remaining material in the volume has been typeset for this volume. This includes all material specially translated into English for these *Reports* as well as some material in English which in its original form was not suitable for photo-reproduction. The source of all such material is indicated by the reference to the "Report" in square brackets at the end of the case. The language of the original decision is also mentioned there. The bold figures in square brackets in the body of the text indicate the pagination of the original report.

NOTES

Footnotes. Footnotes enclosed in square brackets are editorial insertions. All other footnotes are part of the original report.

Other notes. References to cases deemed not to be sufficiently substantial to warrant reporting will occasionally be found in editorial notes either at the end of a report of a case on a similar point or under an independent heading.

DIGEST OF CASES

With effect from Volume 75 the decisions contained in the *Reports* are no longer arranged according to the traditional classification scheme. Instead a Digest of Cases is published at the beginning of each volume. The main headings of the Digest are arranged alphabetically. Under each heading brief details are given of those cases reported in that volume which contain points covered by that heading. Each entry in the Digest gives the name of the case concerned and the page reference, the name of the tribunal which gave the decision and an indication of the main points raised in the case which relate to that particular heading of the

Digest. Where a case raises points which concern several different areas of international law, entries relating to that case will appear under each of the relevant headings in the Digest. A list of the main headings used in the Digest is set out at p. xvii.

CONSOLIDATED INDEX AND TABLES

A Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 1-80 was published in two volumes in 1990 and 1991. A further volume containing the Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 81-100 was published in 1996. A Consolidated Index, a Consolidated Tables of Cases and a Consolidated Table of Treaties for volumes 1-125 will be published in the near future.

DIGEST OF CASES

List of Main Headings

(Those headings for which there are entries in the present volume are printed in italics. For a guide to the Digest, see the Editorial Note at p. xi.)

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Air | <i>International Tribunals</i> |
| Aliens | Jurisdiction |
| <i>Arbitration</i> | Lakes and Landlocked Seas |
| Canals | Nationality |
| <i>Claims</i> | <i>Recognition</i> |
| Comity | <i>Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law</i> |
| Conciliation | Reprisals and Countermeasures |
| Consular Relations | Rivers |
| Damages | <i>Sea</i> |
| Diplomatic Relations | Sources of International Law |
| <i>Economics, Trade and Finance</i> | Space |
| Environment | <i>State Immunity</i> |
| <i>Expropriation</i> | <i>State Responsibility</i> |
| <i>Extradition</i> | State Succession |
| <i>Governments</i> | <i>States</i> |
| <i>Human Rights</i> | <i>Territory</i> |
| International Court of Justice | Terrorism |
| <i>International Criminal Law</i> | <i>Treaties</i> |
| <i>International Organizations</i> | <i>War and Armed Conflict</i> |

CONTENTS

| | <i>Page</i> |
|--|-------------|
| PREFACE | vii |
| EDITORIAL NOTE | ix |
| TABLE OF CASES (alphabetical) | xiii |
| TABLE OF CASES (according to courts and countries) | xv |
| DIGEST (main headings) | xvii |
| DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 125 | xix |
| TABLE OF TREATIES | xxxv |
| REPORTS OF CASES | 1 |
| INDEX | 743 |

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ALPHABETICAL

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word "note" in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

| | |
|---|---|
| Ajuri and Others v. Israel Defence Force Commander in the West Bank, Israel Defence Force Commander in the Gaza Strip and Others (HCJ7015/02 and 7019/02) 537 | International Criminal Court Treaty Case (Decision No 98-408 DC) 475 |
| Australia (Commonwealth of) v. Yarmirr and Others 320 | Kuwait Airways Corporation v. Iraqi Airways Co. (Nos 4 and 5) 602 |
| <i>Camouco, The</i> (Panama v. France) (Application for Prompt Release) 164 | Mondev International Ltd v. United States of America (Case No ARB(AF)/99/2) (Procedural Orders) 98, 103, 107, 108, 109 |
| Channel Tunnel Case 580 | Mondev International Ltd v. United States of America (Case No ARB(AF)/ 99/2) (Award) 98, 110 |
| Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Compagnie Générale des Eaux v. Argentine Republic (Case No ARB/97/3) (Award) 1 | <i>Monte Confurco, The</i> (Seychelles v. France) (Application for Prompt Release) 220 |
| Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal v. Argentine Republic (Case No ARB/97/3) (Decision on Challenge to President of Committee) 43, 46 | People's Union for Civil Liberties v. Union of India and Another 510 |
| Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal v. Argentine Republic (Case No ARB/97/3) (Decision on Annulment) 43, 58 | R, on the Application of Channel Tunnel Group Ltd and France-Manche SA v. Secretary of State for the Environment, Transport and the Regions 580 |
| Croker Island Case 320 | Treaty establishing the International Criminal Court (Decision No 98-408 DC), <i>Re</i> 475 |
| Daya Singh Lahoria v. Union of India and Others 530 | United Mexican States v. Metalclad Corporation 468 |
| Gaddafi 490 | Vishaka and Others v. State of Rajasthan and Others 519 |
| <i>Grand Prince, The</i> (Belize v. France) (Application for Prompt Release) 272 | Yarmirr and Others v. Northern Territory of Australia and Others 320 |
| Habré 569 | |

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ARRANGED ACCORDING TO COURTS AND TRIBUNALS (INTERNATIONAL CASES) AND COUNTRIES (MUNICIPAL CASES)

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

I. DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

| | |
|--|--|
| <i>International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes</i> | ARB(AF)/99/2) (Procedural Orders) 98, 103, 107 |
| 2000 | 2001 |
| Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Compagnie Générale des Eaux v. Argentine Republic (Case No ARB/97/3) (Award) 1 | Mondev International Ltd v. United States of America (Case No ARB(AF)/99/2) (Procedural Orders) 98, 108, 109 |
| 2001 | 2002 |
| Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal v. Argentine Republic (Case No ARB/97/3) (Decision on Challenge to President of Committee) 43, 46 | Mondev International Ltd v. United States of America (Case No ARB(AF)/99/2) (Award) 98, 110 |
| 2002 | <i>International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea</i> |
| Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal v. Argentine Republic (Case No ARB/97/3) (Decision on Annulment) 43, 58 | 2000 |
| <i>International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (Additional Facility) (NAFTA Chapter 11)</i> | <i>The Camouco</i> (Panama v. France) (Application for Prompt Release) 164 |
| 2000 | <i>The Monte Confurco</i> (Seychelles v. France) (Application for Prompt Release) 220 |
| Mondev International Ltd v. United States of America (Case No | 2001 |
| | <i>The Grand Prince</i> (Belize v. France) (Application for Prompt Release) 272 |

II. DECISIONS OF MUNICIPAL COURTS

| <i>Australia</i> | <i>Israel</i> |
|---|---|
| 2001 Commonwealth of Australia v. Yarmirr and Others; Yarmirr and Others v. Northern Territory of Australia and Others 320 | 2002 Ajuri and Others v. Israel Defence Force Commander in the West Bank, Israel Defence Force Commander in the Gaza Strip and Others (HCJ7015/02 and 7019/02) 537 |
| <i>Canada</i> | <i>Senegal</i> |
| 2001 United Mexican States v. Metalclad Corporation 468 | 2000 Habr  569, 571 |
| <i>France</i> | 2001 Habr  569, 577 |
| 1999 <i>Re</i> Treaty establishing the International Criminal Court (Decision No 98-408 DC) 475 | <i>United Kingdom, England</i> |
| 2000 Gaddafi 490, 493 | 2000 Kuwait Airways Corporation v. Iraqi Airways Co. (Nos 4 and 5) 602, 608 |
| 2001 Gaddafi 490, 508 | 2001 The Queen, on the Application of Channel Tunnel Group Ltd and France-Manche SA v. Secretary of State for the Environment, Transport and the Regions 580 |
| <i>India</i> | 2002 Kuwait Airways Corporation v. Iraqi Airways Co. (Nos 4 and 5) 602, 677 |
| 1997 People's Union for Civil Liberties v. Union of India and Another 510 Vishaka and Others v. State of Rajasthan and Others 519 | |
| 2001 Daya Singh Lohoria v. Union of India and Others 530 | |

DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 125

Arbitration

Page

Annulment proceedings — Claimant seeking partial annulment of decision on merits — Respondent seeking in the alternative annulment of whole award — Admissibility of respondent's claim—Whether a “counterclaim”—Power of *ad hoc* Committee to determine extent of annulment — Respondent's arguments admissible—Tribunal finding that federal authorities did not fail to assist in resolution of provincial claim—No basis for annulment—ICSID Convention, Article 53—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, *ad hoc* Committee

Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal v. Argentine Republic (Case No ARB/97/3) (Decision on Challenge to President of Committee) (Decision on Annulment)

43

Annulment proceedings—State responsibility—Tribunal finding that it could not determine whether there was a treaty breach prior to municipal court proceedings on the contract claim—Bilateral investment treaty excluding requirement of exhaustion of local remedies — Manifest excess of jurisdiction — Failure to give reasons—Relation of treaty claim to contract claim—ICSID Convention, Articles 26, 53—ILC Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts, 2001, Article 3—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, *ad hoc* Committee

Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal v. Argentine Republic (Case No ARB/97/3) (Decision on Challenge to President of Committee) (Decision on Annulment)

43

Applicable law—Relation between concession contract, bilateral investment treaty and ICSID Convention — Dispute with provincial authorities relating to interpretation and application of contract to be submitted to administrative courts—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunal

Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Compagnie Générale des Eaux v. Argentine Republic (Case No ARB/97/3) (Award)

1

Arbitration (*cont.*)

Award — Partial annulment — Judicial review — Supplementary reasons for judgment — Whether appropriate case to correct Order flowing from reasons of judgment — Section 34(4) of International Commercial Arbitration Act — Adjournment of judicial review proceedings—Whether appropriate—Arbitral proceedings—Whether Tribunal to be given opportunity to consider breaches of Articles 1105 and 1110 of NAFTA based on concepts within the scope of submission to arbitration—Damages—Whether Metalclad entitled to interest prior to 20 September 1997—Canada, Supreme Court of British Columbia

United Mexican States v. Metalclad Corporation

468

Challenge to validity of award — Composition of tribunal — Challenge to President of *ad hoc* Committee — Procedure for challenging members of *ad hoc* Committee — ICSID Convention, Article 53(4)—Validity of Arbitration Rule 53—Connection between President's law firm and related company of claimant—No personal involvement of President—No general retainer—Partner's legal advice on matters unrelated to dispute before the Committee—Work substantially complete before commencement of proceedings—*De minimis* rule—Challenge rejected—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, *ad hoc* Committee

Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal v. Argentine Republic (Case No ARB/97/13) (Decision on Challenge to President of Committee) (Decision on Annulment)

43

Jurisdiction — Jurisdiction issue joined to merits — Failure to designate or consent to application of ICSID Convention to province under Article 25(1) and (3) does not deprive Tribunal of jurisdiction—Local forum clause in concession contract does not divest Tribunal of jurisdiction for claims against State—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunal

Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Compagnie Générale des Eaux v. Argentine Republic (Case No ARB/97/13) (Award)

1

Jurisdiction — NAFTA, Articles 1102, 1105 and 1110 — Application to acts done before NAFTA's entry into force—National court decisions after entry into force—Retrospective effect—Proceedings brought under NAFTA Article 1116(1)—Whether brought "on behalf of an enterprise"—Failure to rely on

Article 1117(1)—Admissibility—Claim brought more than three years after incidents causing damage—Three-year time-limit—Application—NAFTA, Article 1116(2)—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (Additional Facility) (NAFTA Chapter 11)

Mondev International Ltd v. United States of America (Case No ARB(AF)/99/2) (Procedural Orders) (Award) 98

Jurisdiction — Relation between concession contract, bilateral investment treaty and ICSID Convention — Dispute with provincial authorities relating to investment contract—Investment contract providing for exclusive jurisdiction of provincial courts—Whether precluding claim under treaty—State responsibility claim against Argentina arising from conduct of provincial authorities—Non-designation of province under ICSID, Article 25—Article 25 irrelevant to treaty claim — International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes, *ad hoc* Committee

Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal v. Argentine Republic (Case No ARB/97/3) (Decision on Challenge to President of Committee) (Decision on Annulment) 43

Procedure — NAFTA, Chapter 11 — Claim pursuant to Additional Facility Rules — Place of arbitration — Relevant factors—Joinder of jurisdictional objections to merits—Extent of discovery — Confidentiality of proceedings — Application of national freedom of information legislation to pleadings, minutes of meetings and orders of Tribunal — Extent and duration of parties' obligation of confidentiality absent statutory obligations of disclosure — NAFTA Article 1126, Annex 1137.4—Arbitration (Additional Facility) Rules, Articles 14, 24, 38 and 44—Award of costs and expenses—Extent of Tribunal's discretion—International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (Additional Facility) (NAFTA Chapter 11)

Mondev International Ltd v. United States of America (Case No ARB(AF)/99/2) (Procedural Orders) (Award) 98

Claims

Exhaustion of local remedies—Requirement incompatible with Article 8 of bilateral investment treaty and Article 26 of ICSID Convention—Resort to administrative courts required under contract for purposes of interpretation and application—

Claims (cont.)

International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes,
Arbitration Tribunal

*Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Compagnie Générale des
Eaux v. Argentine Republic (Case No ARB/97/3) (Award)*

1

Interest—Exclusion of interest in the Award—Whether breach of
Articles 1105 or 1110 of NAFTA based on concepts within the
scope of submission to arbitration—Whether Metalclad entitled to
interest from 5 December 1995 to 20 September 1997—Canada,
Supreme Court of British Columbia

United Mexican States v. Metalclad Corporation

468

Economics, Trade and Finance

Bilateral investment treaty — Argentina–France bilateral
investment treaty, Article 8(2)—“Fork in the road” provision—
Relevance to Tribunal’s jurisdiction over treaty claim—Relevance
to merits of claim—International Centre for the Settlement of
Investment Disputes, *ad hoc* Committee

*Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal v.
Argentine Republic (Case No ARB/97/3) (Decision on Challenge
to President of Committee) (Decision on Annulment)*

43

Bilateral investment treaty — Argentina–France bilateral
investment treaty, Article 8(2)—“Fork in the road” provision—
Relevance to Tribunal’s jurisdiction over treaty claim—Relevance
to merits of claim—International Centre for the Settlement of
Investment Disputes, Arbitration Tribunal

*Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Compagnie Générale des
Eaux v. Argentine Republic (Case No ARB/97/3) (Award)*

1

Expropriation

Articles 1105 and 1110 of NAFTA—Annulment of part of
Award relating to breaches involving decisions beyond scope
of submission to arbitration—Whether Tribunal to be given
opportunity to consider breaches of Articles 1105 and 1110 of
NAFTA based on concepts within the scope of submission to
arbitration—Canada, Supreme Court of British Columbia

United Mexican States v. Metalclad Corporation

468

Conditions for legality—Territorial limitations—Dissolution of corporation and transfer of assets—Iraqi invasion and annexation of Kuwait—Purported dissolution by Iraq of Kuwait Airways Corporation—Transfer of assets to Iraqi Airways Company—Kuwaiti aircraft flown to Iraq before decree—Whether decree effective to transfer title—Whether to be recognized by courts of third country—England, Court of Appeal (Civil Division) and House of Lords

Kuwait Airways Corporation v. Iraqi Airways Co. (Nos 4 and 5) 602

Extradition

Speciality—Principle of international and national law—Absence of a general duty of extradition in international law—Extradition carried out under conditions determined by the extraditing State—Consequences for the requesting State—Prohibition on prosecution of extradited person for any charge not contained within the extradition order—India, Supreme Court

Daya Singh Lahoria v. Union of India and Others 530

Governments

De facto governments—Occupation of territory in armed conflict—Purported annexation of territory—Iraqi occupation and annexation of Kuwait—Whether Iraq to be regarded as *de facto* government of Kuwait during occupation—Whether acts of Iraq in Kuwait to be treated as effective by courts of other States—Significance of international condemnation of occupation and annexation—England, Court of Appeal (Civil Division) and House of Lords

Kuwait Airways Corporation v. Iraqi Airways Co. (Nos 4 and 5) 602

Human Rights

Discrimination—Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women—Interpretation—Application in India—India, Supreme Court

Vishaka and Others v. State of Rajasthan and Others 519

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966—Reservations—Validity of reservation—Remedies—Whether compensation payable for violation of fundamental human rights—India, Supreme Court

People's Union for Civil Liberties v. Union of India and Another 510