FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE

2001–2002 Edition

also including
CALIFORNIA EVIDENCE CODE
(with selected comments)
UNIFORM RULES OF EVIDENCE
RULES FLOW CHART
WESTLAW ELECTRONIC RESEARCH GUIDE
REPORT ON CASELAW DIVERGENCE FROM FRE

FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE 2001–2002 Edition

Including

Notes by the Federal Judicial Center Pertinent Advisory Committee Notes Relevant Legislative History References to McCormick on Evidence, 5th Deleted and Superseded Materials also

CALIFORNIA EVIDENCE CODE (With Selected Comments)

UNIFORM RULES OF EVIDENCE

RULES FLOW CHART

ELECTRONIC RESEARCH IN EVIDENCE

NOTES ON CASE LAW DIVERGENCE FROM THE FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE



ST. PAUL, MINN., 2001

West Group has created this publication to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered. However, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. West Group is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice, and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

Indexes reprinted from Federal Rules of Evidence, Title 28, United States Code Annotated Copyright © 1973, 1975, 1979, 1983, 1984, 1987, 1988, 1990, 1992, 1993, 1995 and 1996 by West Publishing Co.

Copyright © 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000 by West Group
Copyright © 2001 by West Group
[No claim of copyright is made for official U.S. government statutes, rules or regulations.]

ISBN 0-314-25467-6

The West Group symbol is a registered trademark used herein under license.





INTRODUCTION¹

This Pamphlet consists of the Federal Rules of Evidence and materials designed to aid in understanding, construing, and applying them.

Chief Justice Warren in 1965 appointed an advisory committee to draft rules of evidence for the federal courts. The committee's preliminary Draft was published and circulated for comment in 1969. 46 F.R.D. 161. A Revised Draft was circulated in 1971. 51 F.R.D. 315. In 1972, the Supreme Court prescribed Federal Rules of Evidence, to be effective July 1, 1973. 56 F.R.D. 183. Justice Douglas dissented. Pursuant to the various enabling acts, Chief Justice Burger transmitted the rules to the Congress, which suspended the rules pending further study by the Congress. P.L. 93–12. After extensive study, the Congress enacted the rules into law with various amendments, to become effective July 1, 1975. P.L. 93–595, approved January 2, 1975, 88 Stat. 1926. The occasional amendments and additions that have since been made are reflected in the rules as here presented.

Thus the Federal Rules of Evidence are the product of both the rulemaking process established by the Supreme Court and the legislative process of the Congress. Of at least equal importance is the vast collection of common law precedents, with occasional statutes, that constituted the background against which the rules were evolved. It can be seen that each of these sources must be taken into consideration in reaching understanding of the rules.

The rules are in final analysis legislative in nature, and problems of their effect are problems of statutory interpretation. Questions whether interpretive inquiry should be directed to ascertaining the intent of the legislature or the meaning to its audience tend to be minimal, since the rules are directed to a skilled professional audience in the main, in contrast to, say, a criminal statute directed to the public generally. With the rules, intent and meaning tend to come together, with the same interpretive materials relevant to both. The basic relevant interpretive materials are the common law background and the legislative history, with the most significant aspects of the latter consisting of the Advisory Committee's Notes and various congressional reports and debates, briefly described below. To help a reader working sequentially through the legislative background of any given rule, if a rule was commented upon by reference to subdivisions within that rule, the Advisory Committee Notes and Reports of House/Senate Committees have been segmented and arranged to display those comments in descending order, by subdivision.

1. This introductory material was prepared by the late Professor Edward W. Cleary, Reporter to the Advisory Committee for the Federal Rules of Evidence. For a

more detailed discussion, see Cleary, Preliminary Notes on Reading the Rules of Evidence, 57 Neb.L.Rev. 908 (1978).

- J. J. . .

INTRODUCTION

References to McCormick Text. The first item after each federal rule in the Pamphlet is a heading "Section References, McCormick 5th ed." [It should be noted that these references will differ somewhat from those of McCormick 1st Edition utilized in the Advisory Committee Notes following each rule.] Under it are the numbers of the text sections where the rule is mentioned or discussed, with the discussions more in depth shown in italics. Judicial decisions and other authorities construing the rule will be found in the listed sections. Any differences between the federal rule and the revised Uniform rule are pointed out in the text. Sections where the background and current posture of the common law are set forth and evaluated will be found near, usually preceding, sections discussing the rule.

Rules Prescribed by the Supreme Court. These rules were transmitted by the Court to the Congress, carried the prestige of the Court, and were the Court's exercise of the rulemaking powers granted by the various enabling acts. They constitute the framework and to a large extent also the particulars of the rules enacted by the Congress. Whether and how a Court's rule was amended by the Congress is described in the Note by Federal Judicial Center following each rule in the Pamphlet.

Advisory Committee's Notes. The Notes supported and explained the rules, were circulated with them, and were transmitted to the Congress with the rules. The involved congressional committees and subcommittees were thoroughly familiar with the Notes, and except where changes were made in the rules the Notes should be taken as the equivalent of a congressional committee report as representing the thinking of the Congress. The pertinent Note, or portion thereof, is set forth in the Pamphlet for each rule. Where the Congress returned to an earlier version of the rule, the Note is the one that corresponds to that version. Portions no longer relevant because of congressional changes in the rule are omitted.

Congressional Materials. The House took the lead in congressional consideration of the rules. Accordingly, in the Pamphlet any pertinent portion of the Report of the House Committee on the Judiciary is the first of the congressional materials under each rule. Senate consideration of the rules chronologically followed that of the House, and as a result any pertinent portion of the Report of the Senate Committee on the Judiciary is located under each rule in the Pamphlet after that of the House committee. Where House and Senate passed differing versions of a rule, the difference was resolved by conference, and the Conference Report generally concludes the congressional materials. In some instances other congressional materials which are authoritative and helpful are, however, also included.

Some General Observations

Questions as to what a rule really means present probable the most basic problem of interpretation. The language of the rule itself should be taken as the prime source of meaning, read in the light of such context as

INTRODUCTION

may be relevant. The most relevant context will often be legislative history, which on occasion may even override an apparently plain and unmistakable meaning of the words of the rule.² The result may be startling, as when the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit concluded that a conviction for attempted burglary used for impeachment under Rule 609(a) did not involve dishonesty as the language was used in the rule.³ Yet the opposite conclusion would have been most difficult to reach in view of the legislative history of the rule.⁴

No common law of evidence in principle remains under the rules. "All relevant evidence is admissible except as otherwise provided. . . ." In reality, of course, the common law remains as a source of guidance in identifying problems and suggesting solutions, within the confines of the rules.

A recurring question is that of the extent to which the application of the rules may be extended beyond their express provisions. Some explicit authorizations to courts to invent and create are found, as for example the provision of Rule 501 that privileges "shall be governed by the principles of the common law as they may be interpreted by the courts of the United States in the light of reason and experience," and the provisions of Rules 803(24) and 804(b)(5) for the restricted admission of hearsay statements not falling within an enumerated exception. A somewhat tighter rein is kept on the judiciary by the rules that obviously contemplate a measure of invention but only within the confines of a stated principle, as in Rule 404(b) where illustrations are given of purposes for which evidence of other crimes may be admitted.

With regard to the more particularized rules, how should parallel situations be treated? Should the rule be regarded as occupying the field exclusively, or should it be extended by analogy to related situations? The answer lies in the purpose of the rule: if the additional situation presents the same problem as that with which the rule was designed to deal, application of the rule is appropriate. For example, under Rule 801(d)(1)(C) an out-of-court identification statement made after viewing a photograph has been held to be governed by the nonhearsay rule specifically applicable to statements made after viewing the accused in person. Or again, the prohibition against testimony by the judge in the trial over which he is presiding, in Rule 605, was extended to preclude testimony by his clerk.

- 2. The manner of exercise of its legislative powers by the Congress as spelled out in the Constitution is the passing of bills and obtaining the President's approval or overriding his veto. U.S. Const. art. 1 § 7. While this may suggest the irrelevance of legislative history, in the British tradition, the American commitment is contrary, and it can scarcely be denied that the reasoning of those involved is a helpful source of illumination, without having the authority of law.
- **3.** United States v. Smith, 551 F.2d 348 (D.C.Cir.1976).
 - 4. Id. at 362.
 - 5. Fed.R.Evid. 402.
- **6.** United States v. Lewis, 565 F.2d 1248 (2d Cir.1977), cert. denied 435 U.S. 973.
- 7. Kennedy v. Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co., 551 F.2d 593 (5th Cir.1977), rehearing denied 554 F.2d 475.

INTRODUCTION

Not to be confused with the foregoing is the judicial engrafting onto a rule of a requirement not set forth in the rule and not supported by legislative history or other relevant context. An example is the engrafting of a requirement that other crimes as proof of intent under Rule 404(b) be proved by clear and convincing evidence, although no such provision is found in the rule.⁸

Rule 102 provides:

These rules shall be construed to secure fairness in administration, elimination of unjustifiable expense and delay, and promotion of growth and development of the law of evidence to the end that the truth may be ascertained and proceedings justly determined.

Entitled "Purpose and Construction," the rule sets a high standard for approaching problems of application and meaning but furnishes small guidance to solving particular questions. The most important aspect of the rule may well be its implicit recognition that the rules do not, and cannot, resolve in specific terms a very large proportion of evidentiary uncertainties that may arise, and that solutions must be reached through application of accepted principles of statutory construction.

8. United States v. Beechum, 555 F.2d 487 (5th Cir.1977). The panel decision was overturned in banc. 582 F.2d 898, cert. denied 440 U.S. 920.

Summary of Contents

		Page	
INTROD	UCTION	III	
FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE FOR UNITED STATES COURTS			
	ARTICLE I. GENERAL PROVISIONS		
101. 102. 103. 104. 105. 106.	Scope Purpose and Construction Rulings on Evidence Preliminary Questions Limited Admissibility Remainder of or Related Writings or Recorded Statements	5 6 6 11 15	
	ARTICLE II. JUDICIAL NOTICE		
201.	Judicial Notice of Adjudicative Facts	17	
ARTICLE III. PRESUMPTIONS IN CIVIL ACTIONS AND PROCEEDINGS			
301. 302.	Presumptions in General in Civil Actions and Proceedings Applicability of State Law in Civil Actions and Proceedings	24 26	
	ARTICLE IV. RELEVANCY AND ITS LIMITS		
401. 402.	Definition of "Relevant Evidence" Relevant Evidence Generally Admissible; Irrelevant Evidence Inadmissible	27 29	
403.	Exclusion of Relevant Evidence on Grounds of Prejudice, Confusion, or Waste of Time	31	
404.	Character Evidence Not Admissible to Prove Conduct; Exceptions; Other Crimes	32	
405. 406. 407.	Methods of Proving Character Habit; Routine Practice Subsequent Remedial Measures	37 39 41	
408. 409. 410.	Compromise and Offers to Compromise	43 46	
411	Statements	47 55	

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

		Page
412.	Sex Offense Cases; Relevance of Alleged Victim's Past	
	Sexual Behavior or Alleged Sexual Predisposition	56
413.	Evidence of Similar Crimes in Sexual Assault Cases	65
414.	Evidence of Similar Crimes in Child Molestation Cases	66
415.	Evidence of Similar Acts in Civil Cases Concerning Sexual	
1101	Assault or Child Molestation	67
	ARTICLE V. PRIVILEGES	
501.	General Rule	67
	ARTICLE VI. WITNESSES	
	ARTICLE VI. WIINESSES	
601.	General Rule of Competency	72
602.	Lack of Personal Knowledge	74
603.	Oath or Affirmation	75
604.	Interpreters	76
605.	Competency of Judge as Witness	76
606.	Competency of Juror as Witness	77
607.	Who May Impeach	81
608.	Evidence of Character and Conduct of Witness	82
609.	Impeachment by Evidence of Conviction of Crime	85
610.	Religious Beliefs or Opinions	95
611.	Mode and Order of Interrogation and Presentation	96
612.	Writing Used to Refresh Memory	101
613.	Prior Statements of Witnesses	104
614.	Calling and Interrogation of Witnesses by Court	105
615.	Exclusion of Witnesses	106
4	ARTICLE VII. OPINIONS AND EXPERT TESTIMONY	
-		
701.	Opinion Testimony by Lay Witnesses	108
702.	Testimony by Experts	110
703.	Bases of Opinion Testimony by Experts	
704.	Opinion on Ultimate Issue	120
705.		
706.	Court Appointed Experts	123
	ARTICLE VIII. HEARSAY	
801.	Definitions	130
802.	Hearsay Rule	140
803.	Hearsay Exceptions; Availability of Declarant Immaterial	141
804.	Hearsay Exceptions; Declarant Unavailable	175
805.	Hearsay Within Hearsay	188
806.	Attacking and Supporting Credibility of Declarant	188
807.	Residual Exception	192

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

AR'	TICLE IX. AUTHENTICATION AND IDENTIFICATION	N
901.	Requirement of Authentication or Identification	192
902.	Self-Authentication	197
903.	Subscribing Witness' Testimony Unnecessary	203
AF	TICLE X. CONTENTS OF WRITINGS, RECORDINGS AND PHOTOGRAPHS	,
1001.	Definitions	203
1002.	Requirement of Original	205
1003.	Admissibility of Duplicates	207
1004.	Admissibility of Other Evidence of Contents	207
1005.	Public Records	209
1006.	Summaries	210
1007.	Testimony or Written Admission of Party	210
1008.	Functions of Court and Jury	211
	ARTICLE XI. MISCELLANEOUS RULES	
1101.	Applicability of Rules	212
1102.	Amendments	
1103.	Title	217
	DELETED AND SUPERSEDED MATERIALS	
105.	Summing Up and Comment By Judge [Not enacted.]	219
301.	Presumptions in General [As prescribed by Supreme Court]	220
301.	Presumptions in General in Civil Actions and Proceedings	
	[As passed by House of Representatives]	220
303.	Presumptions in Criminal Cases [Not enacted.]	223
406.	Habit; Routine Practice [Subdivision (b) not enacted.]	226
501.	Privileges Recognized Only as Provided [Not enacted.]	227
502.	Required Reports Privileged by Statute [Not enacted.]	231
503.	Lawyer-Client Privilege [Not enacted.]	232
504.	Psychotherapist-Patient Privilege [Not enacted.]	236
505.	Husband-Wife Privilege [Not enacted.]	240
506.	Communications to Clergymen [Not enacted.]	242
507.	Political Vote [Not enacted.]	244
508.	Trade Secrets [Not enacted.]	245
509.	Secrets of State and Other Official Information [Not enacted.]	246
510.	Identity of Informer [Not enacted.]	249
511.	Waiver of Privilege by Voluntary Disclosure [Not enacted.]	253
512.	Privileged Matter Disclosed Under Compulsion or Without Opportunity to Claim Privilege [Not enacted.]	
513.	Comment Upon or Inference From Claim of Privilege; In-	253
010.	struction [Not enacted.]	254

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

804. Hearsay Exceptions: Declarant Unavailable [Subdivision	Page		
(b)(2) not enacted.]	255		
INDEX TO FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE	259		
Appendix I. Uniform Rules of Evidence (as amended in 1999)	285		
Appendix II. A Flow Chart of the Federal Rules of Evidence	335		
Appendix III. Researching Evidence Issues on Westlaw	361		
Appendix IV. California Evidence Code	375		
Appendix V. Case Law Divergence From the Federal Rules of			
Evidence			

FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE FOR UNITED STATES COURTS *

PUBLIC LAW 93–595; 88 STAT. 1926 Approved Jan. 2, 1975 [H.R. 5463]

An Act to establish rules of evidence for certain courts and proceedings.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That:

The following rules shall take effect on the one hundred and eightieth day beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act. These rules apply to actions, cases, and proceedings brought after the rules take effect. These rules also apply to further procedure in actions, cases, and proceedings then pending, except to the extent that application of the rules would not be feasible, or would work injustice, in which event former evidentiary principles apply.

ORDER OF APRIL 30, 1979

1. That Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence be, and it hereby is, amended to read as follows:

[See amendment made thereby following Rule 410, post.]

- 2. That the foregoing amendment to the Federal Rules of Evidence shall take effect on November 1, 1979, and shall be applicable to all proceedings then pending except to the extent that in the opinion of the court the application of the amended rule in a particular proceeding would not be feasible or would work injustice.
- 3. That THE CHIEF JUSTICE be, and he hereby is, authorized to transmit to the Congress the foregoing amendment to the Federal Rules of Evidence in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 2076.

CONGRESSIONAL ACTION ON AMENDMENT PROPOSED APRIL 30, 1979

Pub.L. 96–42, July 31, 1979, 93 Stat. 326, provided that the amendment proposed and transmitted to the Federal Rules of Evidence affecting rule 410, shall not take effect until Dec. 1, 1980, or until and then only to the extent approved by Act of Congress, whichever is earlier.

^{*} References to sections of *McCormick on Evidence*, 5th ed. follow the text of each Rule. The more important section references are printed in italic.

FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE

ORDER OF MARCH 2, 1987

1. That the Federal Rules of Evidence be, and they hereby are, amended by including therein amendments to Rules 101, 104, 106, 404, 405, 411, 602, 603, 604, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 615, 701, 703, 705, 706, 801, 803, 804, 806, 902, 1004, 1007 and 1101, as hereinafter set forth:

[See amendments made thereby under respective rules, post.]

- 2. That the foregoing changes in the Federal Rules of Evidence shall take effect on October 1, 1987.
- 3. That THE CHIEF JUSTICE be, and he hereby is, authorized to transmit to the Congress the foregoing changes in the rules of evidence in accordance with the provisions of Section 2076 of Title 28, United States Code.

ORDER OF APRIL 25, 1988

1. That the Federal Rules of Evidence be, and they hereby are, amended by including therein amendments to Rules 101, 602, 608, 613, 615, 902, and 1101, as hereinafter set forth:

[See amendments made thereby under respective rules, post.]

- 2. That the foregoing changes in the Federal Rules of Evidence shall take effect on November 1, 1988.
- 3. That THE CHIEF JUSTICE be, and he hereby is, authorized to transmit to the Congress the foregoing changes in the rules of evidence in accordance with the provisions of Section 2076 of Title 28, United States Code.

ORDER OF JANUARY 26, 1990

1. That the Federal Rules of Evidence be, and they hereby are, amended by including therein amendments to Rule 609(a)(1) and (2), as hereinafter set forth:

[See amendment made thereby, post].

- 2. That the foregoing changes in the Federal Rules of Evidence shall take effect on December 1, 1990.
- 3. That THE CHIEF JUSTICE be, and he hereby is, authorized to transmit to the Congress the foregoing changes in the rules of evidence in accordance with the provisions of Section 2074 of Title 28, United States Code.

ORDER OF APRIL 30, 1991

1. That the Federal Rules of Evidence for the United States District Courts be, and they hereby are, amended by including therein amendments to Evidence Rules 404(b) and 1102.

[See amendments made thereby under respective rules, post.]

FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE

- 2. That the foregoing amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence shall take effect on December 1, 1991, and shall govern in all proceedings thereafter commenced and, insofar as just and practicable, all proceedings then pending.
- 3. That THE CHIEF JUSTICE be, and he hereby is, authorized to transmit to the Congress the foregoing amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence in accordance with the provisions of Section 2072 of Title 28, United States Code.

ORDER OF APRIL 22, 1993

1. That the Federal Rules of Evidence for the United States District Courts be, and they hereby are, amended by including therein amendments to Evidence Rules 101, 705, and 1101.

[See amendments made thereby under respective rules, post.]

- 2. That the foregoing amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence shall take effect on December 1, 1993, and shall govern in all proceedings thereafter commenced and, insofar as just and practicable, all proceedings then pending.
- 3. That THE CHIEF JUSTICE be, and he hereby is, authorized to transmit to the Congress the foregoing amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence in accordance with the provisions of Section 2072 of Title 28, United States Code.

ORDER OF APRIL 29, 1994

1. That the Federal Rules of Evidence for the United States District Courts be, and they hereby are, amended by including therein amendments to Evidence Rule 412.

[See amendments made thereby under respective rules, post.]

- 2. That the foregoing amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence shall take effect on December 1, 1994, and shall govern in all proceedings thereafter commenced and, insofar as just and practicable, all proceedings then pending.
- 3. That THE CHIEF JUSTICE be, and he hereby is, authorized to transmit to the Congress the foregoing amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence in accordance with the provisions of Section 2072 of Title 28, United States Code.

ORDER OF APRIL 11, 1997

ORDERED:

1. That the Federal Rules of Evidence be, and they hereby are, amended by including therein amendments to Evidence Rules 407, 801, 803(24), 804(b)(5), and 806, and new Rules 804(b)(6) and 807.

FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE

- 2. That the foregoing amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence shall take effect on December 1, 1997, and shall govern in all proceedings thereafter commenced and, insofar as just and practicable, all proceedings then pending.
- 3. That THE CHIEF JUSTICE be, and hereby is, authorized to transmit to the Congress the foregoing amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence in accordance with the provisions of Section 2072 of Title 28, United States Code.

ORDER OF APRIL 24, 1998

ORDERED:

- 1. That the Federal Rules of Evidence be, and they hereby are, amended by including therein amendments to Evidence Rules 615.
- 2. That the foregoing amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence shall take effect on December 1, 1998, and shall govern in all proceedings thereafter commenced and, insofar as just and practicable, all proceedings then pending.
- 3. That THE CHIEF JUSTICE be, and hereby is, authorized to transmit to the Congress the foregoing amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence in accordance with the provisions of Section 2072 of Title 28, United States Code.

ORDER OF APRIL 17, 2000

ORDERED:

- 1. That the Federal Rules of Evidence for the United States District Courts be, and they hereby are, amended by including therein amendments to Evidence Rules 103, 404, 702, 703, 803(b) and 902.
- 2. That the foregoing amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence shall take effect on December 1, 2000, and shall govern in all proceedings thereafter commenced and, insofar as just and practicable, all proceedings then pending.
- 3. That THE CHIEF JUSTICE be, and hereby is, authorized to transmit to the Congress the foregoing amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence in accordance with the provisions of Section 2072 of Title 28, United States Code.

ARTICLE I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

Rule

- 101. Scope.
- 102. Purpose and Construction.103. Rulings on Evidence.
- - (a) Effect of Erroneous Ruling.
 - (b) Record of Offer and Ruling.
 - (c) Hearing of Jury.
 - (d) Plain Error.
- 104. Preliminary Questions.
 - (a) Questions of Admissibility Generally.
 - (b) Relevancy Conditioned on Fact.
 - (c) Hearing of Jury.
 - (d) Testimony by Accused.
 - (e) Weight and Credibility.
- 105. Limited Admissibility.
- 106. Remainder of or Related Writings or Recorded Statements.

Rule 101. Scope

These rules govern proceedings in the courts of the United States and before the United States bankruptcy judges and United States magistrate judges, to the extent and with the exceptions stated in rule 1101.

(As amended Mar. 2, 1987, eff. Oct. 1, 1987; Apr. 25, 1988, eff. Nov. 1, 1988; Apr. 22, 1993, eff. Dec. 1, 1993.)

Note by Federal Judicial Center

The rule enacted by the Congress is the rule prescribed by the Supreme Court without change.

Advisory Committee's Note

56 F.R.D. 183, 194

Rule 1101 specifies in detail the courts, proceedings, questions, and stages of proceedings to which the rules apply in whole or in part.

1987 Amendment

United States bankruptcy judges are added to conform this rule with Rule 1101(b) and Bankruptcy Rule 9017.

1988 Amendment

The amendment is technical. No substantive change is intended.

hart for Different Standard
1993 Amendment

This revision is made to conform the rule to changes made by the Judicial Improvements Act of 1990.

Rule 102 FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE

Rule 102. Purpose and Construction

These rules shall be construed to secure fairness in administration, elimination of unjustifiable expense and delay, and promotion of growth and development of the law of evidence to the end that the truth may be ascertained and proceedings justly determined.

Section references, McCormick 5th ed.

§ 60

Note by Federal Judicial Center

The rule enacted by the Congress is the rule prescribed by the Supreme Court without change.

Advisory Committee's Note

56 F.R.D. 183, 194

For similar provisions see Rule 2 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, California Evidence Code § 2, and New Jersey Evidence Rule 5.

Rule 103. Rulings on Evidence

- (a) Effect of erroneous ruling. Error may not be predicated upon a ruling which admits or excludes evidence unless a substantial right of the party is affected, and
- (1) **Objection.** In case the ruling is one admitting evidence, a timely objection or motion to strike appears of record, stating the specific ground of objection, if the specific ground was not apparent from the context; or
- (2) Offer of proof. In case the ruling is one excluding evidence, the substance of the evidence was made known to the court by offer or was apparent from the context within which questions were asked.

Once the court makes a definitive ruling on the record admitting or excluding evidence, either at or before trial, a party need not renew an objection or offer of proof to preserve a claim of error for appeal.

- **(b)** Record of offer and ruling. The court may add any other or further statement which shows the character of the evidence, the form in which it was offered, the objection made, and the ruling thereon. It may direct the making of an offer in question and answer form.
- (c) Hearing of jury. In jury cases, proceedings shall be conducted, to the extent practicable, so as to prevent inadmissible evidence from being suggested to the jury by any means, such as making statements or offers of proof or asking questions in the hearing of the jury.
- (d) Plain error. Nothing in this rule precludes taking notice of plain errors affecting substantial rights although they were not brought to the attention of the court.

(As amended Apr. 17, 2000, eff. Dec. 1, 2000.)