

INTERNATIONAL ACCOUNTING AND COMPARATIVE FINANCIAL REPORTING

SELECTED ESSAYS OF CHRISTOPHER NOBES

CHRISTOPHER W. NOBES

International Accounting and Comparative Financial Reporting

Selected Essays of Christopher Nobes

Christopher W. Nobes
Professor of Accounting, University of Reading, UK

© Christopher W. Nobes 1999

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical or photocopying, recording, or otherwise without the prior permission of the publisher.

Published by
Edward Elgar Publishing Limited
Glensanda House
Montpellier Parade
Cheltenham
Glos GL50 1UA
UK

Edward Elgar Publishing, Inc. 136 West Street Suite 202 Northampton Massachusetts 01060 USA

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data

Nobes, Christopher W.

International accounting and comparative financial reporting: selected essays / of Christopher Nobes.

Includes index.

1. Accounting — Standards. 2. Financial statements — Standards.

3. Comparative accounting. 4. International business enterprises -

- Accounting. I. Title. HF5626.N63 1999

657'.02'18-dc21

99-21907 CIP

ISBN 1858989744

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Bookcraft (Bath) Ltd.

Preface

I am grateful to Edward Elgar, the eponymous publisher, for suggesting that I should collect together some of my writings on international accounting. My selection criteria are explained in the Introduction.

While working on the papers included here, I have benefited, for over twenty years, from a continual stream of encouragement, advice and, occasionally, admonishment from Bob Parker. More recently, I have come also to rely on rapid, detailed and refreshingly honest advice from Alan Roberts. These two colleagues have provided many useful ideas and have stopped me from making many errors.

Of the twenty pieces reproduced in this volume, seven have been co-authored. Graham Diggle, Lisa Evans, Margaret Lamb, Samantha Miles and Julie Norton have written, or are writing, doctoral theses under my supervision. I hope that they have gained as much from our discussions and joint work as I have. Alan Roberts is a co-author of one of the papers, but provided guidance on all the others published in the 1990s. Another recent co-author, Gerhard Mueller, was an inspiration for some of my early work, as he was for that of many others. Missing as a co-author here is Bob Parker, with whom I have written on international accounting and for academic journals but not both at once.

Many other colleagues have provided help. Those acknowledged in the original versions of the papers reproduced in this volume are listed overleaf. Many known journal editors and unknown referees have also provided invaluable advice.

Other indispensable aid, particularly for someone who still relies on the word-processing developments pioneered by László Biro, has been supplied over the years by many secretaries, reaching their acme in Carol Wright.

University of Reading England October 1998

viii International Accounting and Comparative Financial Reporting

Help from the following was gratefully acknowledged in the original versions of the papers reproduced here:

David Alexander Jill McKinnon David Ashton Dieter Ordelheide John Bowen-Walsh Michael Page Roberto Bruni **Bob Parker Dieter Pfaff** Rob Bryer Brenda Porter Adolf Coenenberg Walther Busse von Colbe Michael Renshall Michel Couzigou Alan Roberts Fulvia Rocchi Judy Day Brian Rutherford John Dyson Don Egginton Etsuo Sawa

Octavio Gastambide Fernandes

Maria Leonor Fernandes Ferreira

David Forrester

Steve Goldberg

Sir John Grenside

Jean-Claude Scheid

Jim Schweikart

Anne Semler

Autar Singh

Saskia Slomp

Nicholas Grier Antonio Socías Salvá

Ian Stewart Axel Haller Jean Harris George Tridimas **David Hatherly** Peter Walton Tom Watts John Hegarty Karel van Hulle Peter Wessel Frank Jenkins Geoff Whittington Horst Kaminski David Wilde Liesel Knorr **Basil Yamev**

Geoffrey Lee David Young
Yannick Lemarchand Stefano Zambon
P. Lepidas Peter van der Zanden

Richard Macve Steve Zeff

Introduction

The selection

This volume was prepared at the request of the publisher, Edward Elgar. It brings together a number of published papers on international accounting. The papers have been selected using the following criteria:

- 1. The content of the paper is not purely descriptive, but contains analysis, a proposed model or the testing of a model.
- 2. The paper has not been significantly overtaken by subsequent events.

Because of the first criterion, the papers are all to be found in *refereed* journals: British, Australian, American and pan-European. This is not to imply that descriptive articles are worthless. Indeed, the production of clear and accurate descriptive material in international accounting is of great value to researchers, students and practitioners. Although the academic field of international accounting has been criticized for its concentration on description, the problem is much worse than that: the descriptions are often inaccurate, misleading or dated.

One reason for establishing the first criterion is that papers are thereby less likely to fail the second. The papers selected were written over a period of twenty years. Perhaps surprisingly, the earliest (on currency translation) seems not to break the second criterion, because its reference points are SFAS 52 and SSAP 20, which are still in force.

The papers are organized into five sections by subject matter, although naturally there are overlaps. One feature of the selection is that eight 'comment' papers have been included. I believe that comments and replies can be a useful way of advancing academic debate, and they often have the merit of brevity and incisiveness. Of course, 'comments' can only be fully understood and assessed in the context of the original papers and any replies. However, it is hoped that the comments selected for reproduction here are intelligible by themselves. In each case, a note is provided to direct readers to the related originals or replies.

My work is nearly all concerned with comparative international financial reporting, which is clearly not the whole of international accounting, although it accounts for the bulk of publications in that wider field.

The rest of this Introduction is devoted to a brief analysis of the contents of this volume, under the headings of the five sections. I acknowledge in the Preface the assistance of several co-authors and of many others in the writing of these papers.

I International origins of double-entry bookkeeping

The import and export of accounting technology (see Parker, 1989) is a constant theme (explicit or otherwise) of the papers in this volume. This international transfer did not start with double-entry bookkeeping, nor did it stop with it. However, the spread of double entry through the movement of persons and texts has been

particularly well described and analysed by several researchers (for example, Yamey, 1940; de Roover, 1978).

My amateur contributions in this area include a paper (Chapter 1) on the Gallerani account book of 1305 to 1308, which seems to comprise the oldest surviving double-entry records written in England, albeit by an Italian firm in the Tuscan language and now preserved in Flanders. The records had been examined before, but by historians and linguists. They contain references and transactions relating to interesting personages of the time, including Edward II, Piers Gaveston and the Grand Master of the Order of the Templars.

Schoolboy Latin plus my long-running attempts to establish a rudimentary grasp of modern Italian came in surprisingly useful when interpreting 700-year-old entries, although expert help was needed. It was also necessary to marshall the previous thoughts of others on how to define double entry. This paper can be seen to fit into a series on account books of the period (for example, Lee, 1977; Peragallo, 1977).

Chapter 2 is a comment on a paper (Lall Nigam, 1986) which suggested that double entry was not an invention of thirteenth-century Italian merchants but of Indians in the fourth century BC or earlier. Claims for non-Italian origin are fairly common, but most share the completely speculative nature of the paper commented on here.

Interestingly, my own comment contained some misleading remarks, as clearly pointed out by Scorgie (1990), but without damaging the main point that there was no convincing evidence to support the Indian claim.

II Causes of international differences and classification of systems

This is a fundamental area of study within the field of comparative international financial reporting. The two issues in the above heading seem to be in the logical order. However, the papers in Part II of this volume are in the reverse order, largely for chronological reasons. The papers are mostly on classification, and they gradually led me towards the 'general model of the reasons for international differences' in the final paper (Chapter 9), which includes proposed improvements to earlier classifications.

The first paper in this Part (Chapter 3: a 'judgemental international classification') is drawn from my doctoral dissertation (examined by Bob Parker and Sid Gray, who provided much useful advice). This paper was an attempt to improve on the pioneering work of Mueller without sacrificing the essential elements of judgement in favour of the appearance of scientific methodology provided by statistics. A comment (Chapter 4) expands on this latter point, as does Nobes (1982).

The conclusions of Chapter 3 (most obviously its two-sided world of Anglo-Saxon and continental systems) have passed into many textbooks (for example, Choi and Mueller, 1992, p. 34; Radebaugh and Gray, 1997, p. 70; Walton, Haller and Raffournier, 1998, pp. 8 and 23; and, of course, Nobes and Parker, 1998, p. 58). They have also been the subject of extension (for example, Al Najjar, 1986) and of, generally supportive, empirical investigation (for example, Doupnik and Salter, 1993). However, some have criticized the classification (for example, Roberts, 1995; Cairns, 1997; Feige, 1997). On the whole, I agree with Roberts. Some of these ideas had developed from our earlier discussions and had been included in a rudimentary

form in Nobes (1992, p. 95); others have been adopted in Chapter 9 here. Replies to Feige and Cairns are reproduced as Chapters 5 and 6; they add a few points to the earlier arguments in Chapters 3 and 4.

Another small contribution to the classification literature was a disagreement (Chapter 7) with a suggestion by Shoenthal (1989) that classification might be made on the basis of different competencies of recently qualified accountants.

One of the major factors associated with international accounting differences is corporate taxation. Several authors (including myself) had suggested that tax differences helped to cause accounting differences. Lamb, Nobes and Roberts (1995) suggest that this is misleading, at least for the first major split of countries into two types. In some countries, the calculation of taxable income is a prime purpose of accounting, and tax rules dominate financial reporting. In this sense, tax rules can certainly cause international accounting differences. However, in other countries there are differences between tax and financial reporting rules on many topics, so that tax rules have no effect on financial reporting practice in these areas. All this should be separated from the development of financial reporting rules over time, which interacts with the development of tax rules over time.

Chapter 8 draws on the above work to establish a method for assessing the current operational connection between tax and financial reporting practice in the UK, the US, France and Germany. One conclusion is that there is a clear distinction. especially for unconsolidated statements, between the degree of influence of tax on UK or US financial reporting practice and the degree of influence in Germany.

It is suggested above (Chapters 7 and 8) that international financial reporting differences (particularly a two-class classification) are not caused by different education or different tax systems. This leads to the examination of which factors are plausible. This is the subject of Chapter 9.

First, one should examine previous models. Many papers contain speculations about dozens of possible causal factors. The two best articulated models are by Gray (1988) and Doupnik and Salter (1995). It is concluded that Gray's cultural model is difficult to operationalize for this purpose and that Doupnik and Salter's contains too many overlapping factors and some unconvincing reasoning. Chapter 9 proposes a parsimonious model based on two factors: the degree of colonial influence and the strength of equity markets. It is suggested that these explain the initial two-class split of systems. Also, the idea that one should examine systems rather than countries is explored.

III International differences and their effects

This part contains three papers on international accounting differences. They each have two international dimensions. Chapter 10 concerns a specific accounting problem of multinational companies (currency translation) and analyses the international debate leading to the current rules in the US and the UK. Chapter 11 deals with international differences in accounting for goodwill and how this affects cross-border acquisitions. Chapter 12 looks at major international accounting differences and how London-based financial institutions deal with foreign accounting data containing these differences.

Chapter 10 concludes that the US and UK argumentation in support of the closing

rate method is similar and similarly unconvincing. The net investment method which supports the closing rate, seems inconsistent with consolidation theory. This problem could largely be solved by using current values with current exchange rates.

Chapter 11 is a comment on Lee and Choi (1992), who seemed to show that accounting and tax rules gave an advantage to German and Japanese multinationals in international aquisitions. Chapter 11 suggests that the conclusions on tax cannot be correct because the analysis was based on the rules for purchased nonconsolidated goodwill rather than those for goodwill on consolidation. A similar criticism of Dunne and Ndubizu (1995) is made in Nobes (1996). Elsewhere, Nobes and Norton (1996) look at international variations in accounting and tax rules, and criticize Dunne and Rollins (1992) in the process.

Choi and Lee (1997) reply to the criticism in Chapter 11 but do not dispute the main point. Dunne and Ndubizu (1996) reply to the similar criticism by suggesting that errors in the analysis of tax rules are not important because tax cash flows are the major issue. They still seem not to recognize the main point that different treatments of goodwill on consolidation do not affect tax cash flows.

Chapter 12 builds on the work of other researchers on (i) the use of UK accounting data in UK acquisitions, and (ii) the effects of international differences on analysis and investment. This paper is different from (i) as it looks at foreign accounting data. It is different from (ii) as it separates analysts from fund managers. and sector specialists from country specialists. Significant findings thereby emerge, such as that fund managers rely on analysts to adjust for accounting differences but that analysts generally make no such adjustments.

European harmonization

Another major topic in the accounting journals is harmonization, particularly of financial reporting. In Europe, most attention has been given to the harmonization through Directives of the European Communities. The six papers in this Part are set in that context. They concern the second, fourth, seventh and eighth company law Directives. Many of the papers concentrate on Germany and the UK, which seem to be examples of opposite accounting traditions in Europe.

Chapter 13 traces the arrival of various European (particularly German) ideas into UK law through the second and fourth Directives. Chapter 14 examines a particular issue (the prudence principle) and looks at German and British influence on the fourth Directive and then the latter's implementation in German and British law. Small differences in wording and large differences in practice are found.

Chapter 15 concerns perhaps the most famous and controversial provision of the European accounting Directives: the requirement to give a true and fair view. The paper starts with the UK formulation in the 1940s and traces this into some other countries and then into the fourth Directive, where different language versions have non-literal translations. On implementation as national laws, further linguistic complications occur. The operational effect of the requirement seems to vary from substantial to negligible in EU countries. Other papers have taken further the question of whether there is a 'European' true and fair view (for example, Alexander, 1993; Van Hulle, 1993; and several papers in European Accounting Review, Vol. 6, No. 4, 1997).

The mechanisms whereby a final Directive emerges are examined in Chapter 16. using the seventh Directive as a case study. Several players are involved, including accountancy professional bodies, legal bureaucrats in Brussels, national government politicians and large companies. At various stages in the life of the Directive, these players exert different degrees of influence. Also noticeable in this case is the gradual move from a German starting point towards a British conclusion.

Nervousness at the Anglo-Saxon influences on continental Europe are expressed by Hoarau (1995) for France. However, Chapter 17 comments that most of the French changes were deliberately made by France and are a sensible response in that the effects particularly relate to the consolidated statements of listed companies. Subsequent developments (for example, the law no. 98-261 of 6 April 1998, enabling complete departure from normal French rules for such statements) confirm the direction of change.

Finally, in this Part, Chapter 18 looks at harmonization of audit regulations between Germany and the UK, as driven by the eighth Directive. The conclusion is that, although changes to laws were made, only slightly greater de jure harmony was achieved.

V IASC harmonization

Much literature, particularly from the mid-1980s onwards, has described the International Accounting Standards Committee and its work. Some has tried to investigate its success empirically. The two papers here are concerned with this.

Chapter 19 identifies some extra requirements of IASC standards beyond US rules and then finds, not surprisingly, that the IASC requirements seem to have had no effect on reporting by US companies. Chapter 20 casts doubt on Doupnik and Taylor (1985), who purported to show some effects of the IASC on financial reporting across time and across regions.

These papers and most others were set in a world before the IASC improved its standards in 1993 and dramatically increased its profile. Currrent empirical work would probably show major effects in several Commonwealth countries and on some large continental European companies.

Bibliography

Al Najjar, F. (1986), 'Standardization in accounting practices: a comparative international study', International Journal of Accounting, Spring.

Alexander, D. (1993), 'A European true and fair view?', European Accounting Review, Vol. 2, No. 1. Cairns, D. (1997), 'The future shape of harmonization: a reply', European Accounting Review, Vol. 6,

No. 2.

Choi, F.D.S. and Lee, C. (1997), 'Goodwill and merger premia: a reply', Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting, Vol. 8, No. 2.

Choi, F.D.S. and Mueller, G.G. (1992), International Accounting (2nd edition), Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall.

De Roover, R. (1978), 'The development of accounting prior to Luca Pacioli according to the account books of medieval merchants', in A.C. Littleton and B.S. Yamey (eds), Studies in the History of Accounting, New York: Arno Press.

Doupnik, T. and Salter, S. (1995), 'External environment, culture, and accounting practice: a preliminary test of a general model of international accounting development', International Journal of Accounting, Vol. 30, No. 3.

Doupnik, T.S. and Salter, S.B. (1993), 'An empirical test of a judgemental international classification of financial reporting practices', Journal of International Business Studies, Vol. 24, No. 1.

- Doupnik, T.S. and Taylor, M.E. (1985), 'An empirical investigation of the observance of IASC standards in Western Europe', Management International Review, Vol. 25, No. 1.
- Dunne, K.M. and Ndubizu, G.A. (1995), 'International acquisition accounting method and corporate multinationalism: evidence from foreign acquisitions', *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol. 26, No. 2.
- Dunne, K.M. and Ndubizu, G.A. (1996), 'The effects of international differences in the tax treatment of goodwill: a reply', *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol. 27, No. 3.
- Dunne, K.M. and Rollins, T.P. (1992), 'Accounting for goodwill: a case analysis of US, UK and Japan', Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation, Vol. 2.
- Feige, P. (1997), 'How "uniform" is financial reporting in Germany? The example of foreign currency translation', European Accounting Review, Vol. 6, No. 1.
- Gray, S.J. (1988), 'Towards a theory of cultural influence on the development of accounting systems internationally', Abacus, March.
- Hoarau, C. (1995), 'International accounting harmonization: American hegemony or mutual recognition with benchmarks', European Accounting Review, Vol. 4, No. 2.
- Lall Nigam, B.M. (1986), 'Bahi-Khata: the pre-Pacioli Indian double-entry system of bookkeeping', Abacus, September.
- Lamb, M., Nobes, C.W. and Roberts, A.D. (1995), 'The influence of taxation on accounting: international variations', Reading University Discussion Papers, No. 46.
- Lamb, M., Nobes, C.W. and Roberts, A.D. (1998), 'International variations in the connections between tax and financial reporting', Accounting and Business Research, Summer.
- Lee, C. and Choi, F.D.S. (1992), 'Effects of alternative goodwill treatments on merger premia: further empirical evidence', Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting, Vol. 4, No. 3.
- Lee, G.A. (1977), 'The coming of age of double entry: the Giovani Farolfi Ledger of 1299-1300', Accounting Historians Journal, Fall.
- Nobes, C.W. (1981), 'An empirical analysis of international accounting principles: a comment', *Journal of Accounting Research*, Spring.
- Nobes, C.W. (1982), 'A typology of international accounting principles and policies: a comment', AUTA Review, Spring.
- Nobes, C.W. (1992), International Classification of Financial Reporting, (2nd edition), London: Routledge.
- Nobes, C.W. (1996), 'The effects of international differences in the tax treatment of goodwill: a comment', Journal of International Business Studies, Vol. 27, No. 3.
- Nobes, C.W. and Norton, J. (1996), 'International variations in the accounting and tax treatments of goodwill, and the implications for research', *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, Vol. 5, No. 2.
- Nobes, C.W. and Parker, R.H. (1998), Comparative International Accounting, (5th edition), Hemel Hempstead: Prentice-Hall.
- Parker, R.H. (1989), 'Importing and exporting accounting: the British experience', in A.G. Hopwood (ed.), International Pressures for Accounting Change, Hemel Hempstead: Prentice-Hall.
- Peragallo, E. (1977), 'The ledger of Jachomo Badoer: Constantinople September 2, 1436 to February 26, 1440', Accounting Review. October.
- Radebaugh, L.H. and Gray, S.J. (1997), International Accounting and Multinational Enterprises, New York: Wiley.
- Roberts, A.D. (1995), 'The very idea of classification in international accounting', Accounting, Organizations and Society, Vol. 20.
- Scorgie, M. (1990), 'Indian imitation or invention of cash-book and algebraic double-entry', Abacus, March.
- Shoenthal, E.R. (1989), 'Classification of accounting systems using competencies as a discriminating variable: a Great Britain-United States study', *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, Vol. 16, No. 4.
- Van Hulle, K. (1993), 'Truth and untruth about true and fair', European Accounting Review, Vol. 2, No. 1.
- Walton, P., Haller, A. and Raffournier, B. (1998), International Accounting, London: Thomson.
- Yamey, B.S. (1940), 'The functional development of double-entry bookkeeping', *The Accountant*, 2 November.

Acknowledgements

The publishers wish to thank the following who have kindly given permission for the use of copyright material.

Academic Press for article: 'Compliance by US Corporations with IASC Standards', British Accounting Review, 22, March 1990, 41-9.

Accounting and Business Research for articles: 'International Variations in the Connections between Tax and Financial Reporting', with Margaret Lamb and Alan Roberts, 28(3), Summer 1998, 173-88; 'A Review of the Translation Debate', 40, Autumn 1980, 421-31; 'The Evolution of the Harmonising Provisions of the 1980 and 1981 Companies Acts', 14(53), Winter 1983, 43-53; 'The True and Fair View Requirement: Impact on and of the Fourth Directive', 24(93), Winter 1993, 35-48; 'European Rule-Making in Accounting: The Seventh Directive as a Case Study', with Graham Diggle, 24(96), Autumn 1994, 319-33.

American Accounting Association for article: 'The Gallerani Account Book of 1305-1308', Accounting Review, LVII(2), April 1982, 303-10.

Blackwell Publishers for articles: 'The Pre-Pacioli Indian Double-Entry System of Bookkeeping: A Comment', Abacus, 23(2), September 1987, 182-4; 'A Judgemental International Classification of Financial Reporting Practices', Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 10(1), Spring 1983, 1-19; 'Classification of Accounting Systems Using Competencies as a Discriminating Variable: A Great Britain-United States Study: A Comment', Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 19(1), January 1992, 153-5; 'Towards a General Model of the Reasons for International Differences in Financial Reporting', Abacus, 34(2), September 1998, 162-87; 'Effects of Alternative Goodwill Treatments on Merger Premia: A Comment', with Julie Norton, Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting, 8(2), 1997, 137-41; 'The Use of Foreign Accounting Data in UK Financial Institutions', with Samantha Miles, Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 25(3/4), April/May 1998, 309-28.

European Accounting Review for articles: 'How "Uniform" is Financial Reporting in Germany: Some Replies', with Gerhard G. Mueller, 6(1), 1997, 123-9; 'The Future Shape of Harmonization: Some Responses', 7(2), 1998, 323-30; 'Some Mysteries Relating to the Prudence Principle in the Fourth Directive and in German and British Law', with Lisa Evans, 5(2), 1996, 361-73; 'International Accounting Harmonization: A Commentary', 4(2), 1995, 249-54; 'Harmonization of the Structure of Audit Firms: Incorporation in the UK and Germany', with Lisa Evans, 7(1), 1998, 125-48.

xvi International Accounting and Comparative Financial Reporting

Journal of Accounting Research for article: 'An Empirical Analysis of International Accounting Principles: A Comment', 19(1), Spring 1981, 268-70.

Management International Review for article: 'An Empirical Investigation of the Observance of IASC Standards in Western Europe: A Comment', 27(4), 1987, 78-9.

Every effort has been made to trace all the copyright holders but if any have been inadvertently overlooked the publishers will be pleased to make the necessary arrangements at the first opportunity.

Contents

Pref	ace	vii
Introduction		ix
Ackı	nowledgements	ΧV
PAR	T I INTERNATIONAL ORIGINS OF DOUBLE-ENTRY BOOKKEEPING	
1	'The Gallerani Account Book of 1305-1308', Accounting Review, LVII(2), April 1982, 303-10	3
2	'The Pre-Pacioli Indian Double-Entry System of Bookkeeping:	3
2	A Comment', Abacus, 23(2), September 1987, 182-4	11
PAR	T II CAUSES OF INTERNATIONAL DIFFERENCES AND CLASSIFICATION OF SYSTEMS	
3	'A Judgemental International Classification of Financial Reporting	
	Practices', Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 10(1),	
	Spring 1983, 1–19	17
4	'An Empirical Analysis of International Accounting Principles:	
	A Comment', Journal of Accounting Research, 19(1), Spring 1981,	
_	268-70.	36
5	'How "Uniform" is Financial Reporting in Germany?: Some Replies', with Gerhard G. Mueller, European Accounting Review, 6(1), 1997,	
_	123-9	39
6	'The Future Shape of Harmonization: Some Responses', European	46
7	Accounting Review, 7(2), 1998, 323-30	40
,	'Classification of Accounting Systems Using Competencies as a Discriminating Variable: A Great Britain-United States Study:	
	A Comment', Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 19(1),	
	January 1992, 153-5	54
8	'International Variations in the Connections between Tax and	J-1
o	Financial Reporting', with Margaret Lamb and Alan Roberts,	
	Accounting and Business Research, 28(3), Summer 1998, 173-88	57
9	'Towards a General Model of the Reasons for International Differences	0,
	in Financial Reporting', Abacus, 34(2), September 1998, 162-87	73
PAJ	RT III INTERNATIONAL DIFFERENCES AND THEIR EFFECTS	
10	'A Review of the Translation Debate', Accounting and Business	
	Research, 40, Autumn 1980, 421-31	101

vi	International Accounting and Comparative Financial Reporting	
11 12	'Effects of Alternative Goodwill Treatments on Merger Premia: A Comment', with Julie Norton, Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting, 8(2), 1997, 137-41 'The Use of Foreign Accounting Data in UK Financial Institutions', with Samantha Miles, Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 25(3/4), April/May 1998, 309-28	112 117
PA.	RT IV EUROPEAN HARMONIZATION	
13	'The Evolution of the Harmonising Provisions of the 1980 and 1981 Companies Acts', Accounting and Business Research, 14(53), Winter 1983, 43-53	139
14	'Some Mysteries Relating to the Prudence Principle in the Fourth Directive and in German and British Law', with Lisa Evans,	150
15	European Accounting Review, 5(2), 1996, 361-73 'The True and Fair View Requirement: Impact on and of the Fourth Directive', Accounting and Business Research, 24(93), Winter 1993,	150
16	Case Study', with Graham Diggle, Accounting and Business Research,	163
17		177
18	Accounting Review, 4(2), 1995, 249-54 'Harmonization of the Structure of Audit Firms: Incorporation in the UK and Germany', with Lisa Evans, European Accounting Review,	192
	7(1), 1998, 125–48	198
PA	RT'V IASC HARMONIZATION	
19 20	Accounting Review, 22, March 1990, 41-9	225
	Review, 27(4), 1987, 78-9	234

Name index

237

PART I

INTERNATIONAL ORIGINS OF DOUBLE-ENTRY BOOKKEEPING