Inside the Supreme Court:

The Institution and Its Procedures

Second Edition



Susan Low Bloch Vicki C. Jackson Thomas G. Krattenmaker

INSIDE THE SUPREME COURT: THE INSTITUTION AND ITS PROCEDURES

Second Edition

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

Susan Low Bloch

Professor of Law Georgetown University Law Center

Vicki C. Jackson

Carmack Waterhouse Professor of Constitutional Law Georgetown University Law Center

Thomas G. Krattenmaker

Of Counsel, Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati Washington, D.C.

AMERICAN CASEBOOK SERIES®



Thomson/West have created this publication to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered. However, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. Thomson/West are not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice, and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

American Casebook Series and West Group are trademarks registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

COPYRIGHT © 1994 WEST PUBLISHING CO. © 2008 Thomson/West 610 Opperman Drive P.O. Box 64526 St. Paul, MN 55123 1–800–313–9378

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN: 978-0-314-25834-2





West's Law School Advisory Board

JESSE H. CHOPER

Professor of Law, University of California, Berkeley

JOSHUA DRESSLER

Professor of Law, Michael E. Moritz College of Law, The Ohio State University

YALE KAMISAR

Professor of Law, University of San Diego Professor of Law, University of Michigan

MARY KAY KANE

Professor of Law, Chancellor and Dean Emeritus, University of California, Hastings College of the Law

LARRY D. KRAMER

Dean and Professor of Law, Stanford Law School

JONATHAN R. MACEY

Professor of Law, Yale Law School

ARTHUR R. MILLER

University Professor, New York University Professor of Law Emeritus, Harvard University

GRANT S. NELSON

Professor of Law, Pepperdine University Professor of Law Emeritus, University of California, Los Angeles

A. BENJAMIN SPENCER

Associate Professor of Law, Washington & Lee University School of Law

JAMES J. WHITE

Professor of Law, University of Michigan

For my husband, Rich, whose love and patience supported me throughout this process, and our children, Rebecca and Michael, whose careers as young lawyers inspire me and make me proud.

S. L. B.

For my husband, Bob Taylor, and children, Jacob, Michael and Sophie, whose love and patience sustained me, and to my father, Ted Jackson, whose life in the law is an inspiration.

V. C. J.

For Bevra, who inspired me, and for Lily, whom I hope to inspire.

T. G. K.

*

Preface to the Second Edition

Our second edition generally follows the structure of the first edition, with a few modifications. We have added a new co-author, Professor Vicki C. Jackson, who has enriched the book significantly. Professors Bloch and Jackson took primary responsibility for updating the research. Tom Krattenmaker continued his involvement with the book, joining Bloch and Jackson in the final organizing and editing stages.

While retaining the basic structure of the first edition, this edition updates it considerably and adds Questions and Comments throughout the chapters. Chapter One now introduces students to two principal cases, Planned Parenthood v. Casey (as in the first edition) and Lawrence v. Texas. In addition, it has a more extended discussion of the Court's role generally and the role of stare decisis. Chapter Two retains its focus on the appointment process; we have updated the materials with excerpts from the nomination and confirmation proceedings of John Roberts and Samuel Alito, with readings on some of the more recent controversies including the role of the American Bar Association, and with discussions of proposals to modify the appointment process. Chapter Three continues to focus on the certiorari process and to provide materials designed to enable students to conduct "mock" conferences on real, pending petitions for certiorari. Its new materials include a detailed historical treatment of the Judges' Bill of 1925, excerpts from the ongoing scholarly discussion of the reasons for the dramatic decline in the number of cases granted cert in recent years, and brief studies of two special circumstances: death penalty litigation and certiorari prior to judgment.

Chapter Four retains the two major case studies of the first edition, the abortion cases (with some updating) and the Nixon Tapes case (followed by a brief Note on *Bush v. Gore*). Chapter Five covers the same topics as in the first edition, but with substantial sections of new material, reflecting a burgeoning scholarship on the role of the Chief Justice, the law clerks, and the advocates, including the Solicitor General and amici curiae. Finally, Chapter Six is no longer concerned with proposals to establish a new national court of appeals; few observers think the Court today is over-worked and such proposals appear to have run their course, at least for now. We continue to explore proposals to expand public access to the Court and its proceedings and we have added two new sections. One addresses recent controversies that some believe threaten the independence of the judiciary, and the other discusses some proposed reforms affecting the appointment and tenure of Supreme Court Justices, including term limits or mandatory retirement ages for the Justices.

We completed most of our research in 2006, noting some developments from 2007. We officially closed the book on updates with the Supreme Court's adoption of new rules on July 17, 2007.

We are enormously indebted to many people. Our Research Assistants over the last few years have been very helpful, so we would like to thank Professor Bloch's RAs—Nicholas Boski, Angela Butcher, Susan Cooke, Charles Eberle, Kelly Falls, William Fanaras, Ariel Goldman, Glenn Laken, Ted Metzler, Andrew Smith, and Roxanne Tingir, and Professor Jackson's RAs—James Caputo, Eric Cochran, Jason Cohen, A. Laura Collier, Alida Dagostino, Nancy Dinsmore, Amber Dolman, Andrew Eberle, Justin Ford, Joseph Gallagher, Soraya Kelly, Maria Kokiasmemos, Rebecca Lee, Kristy Martin, Emily O'Brien, Jeffrey Poulin, and Michael Scherzer. We would also like to thank Ralph Freeman, Terican Gross, Soraya Howard, Roselle Singer and Steven Wagner for their excellent administrative assistance. And, of course, we want to thank the many librarians and staff in Faculty Support who have helped us over the years. In the first edition, we thanked Georgetown Law Center staff including Charles Barnes, Mary Ann DeRosa, Leonard Gavin, Toni Patterson, and Vicki White, as well as a Georgetown student, Antonio Anava, and we repeat those thanks here, for this work builds on that of the first edition. For this edition, we add a specific note of thanks as well to George Belton, Sylvia Johnson, Toni Patterson (again), Ronnie Rease, and Cathy Strain, who along with many other staff provided us with invaluable aid. Among the many wonderful librarians who have helped us, we want to specifically thank Jennifer Locke Davitt, Catherine Dunn, Barbara Monroe, Thanh Nguyen, Sara Sampson, Mabel Shaw, and Amy Taylor. Finally, we are also very grateful for the continuous support and encouragement from Georgetown Deans Judith C. Areen and T. Alex Aleinikoff, and the Georgetown University Law Center Writer's Grants that facilitated our work.

Our families have provided us much needed support, space (physical and emotional), and sustenance beyond measure throughout this long process. Specifically, we want to thank Rich, Rebecca, and Michael Bloch, Bob, Jacob, Michael, and Sophie Taylor, and Bevra, John, and Caitlin Barile Krattenmaker.

Tributes (from the First Edition)

Three people planted the seeds of this book in the 1960s. Professor Glendon A. Schubert published *Constitutional Politics* in 1960. Subtitled "The Political Behavior of Supreme Court Justices and the Constitutional Policies That They Make," this book introduced wider audiences to the view that the political and institutional dimensions of the Supreme Court could be studied systematically and that such study could enhance one's appreciation of the quality of U.S. constitutional law. Professor J. Roland Pennock's seminar in Public Law and Jurisprudence at Swarthmore College and Professor Louis Henkin's seminar on The Supreme Court at Columbia Law School made this study come alive and raised many of the questions explored in this book.

Most of our work has consisted of a lot of research, seeking materials that might shed light on the politics of the Supreme Court. For magnificent help in conducting this research, we are indebted to hundreds of Georgetown University Law Center students¹ who have taken our seminar and found ever better materials for subsequent students to study. Most especially, we have been blessed in the past few years with a succession of energetic, dedicated, and talented research assistants who are virtually co-authors of this book. Each deserves a personal paragraph of thanks, but we have to settle for thanking them collectively. Thanks, then, to Mark Adams, Sharon Albright, Patrick Brown, Matthew McCabe, Katherine Miller, and Marc Sorini.

Our colleagues at Georgetown, as well as at several schools throughout the country, for many years have helped us locate materials and track down issues. In this regard, it would be unfair not to single out Professor Vicki Jackson of Georgetown, who has provided aid and comfort at every step of this journey. In addition, Professor Steve Wermiel, of Georgia State helpfully reviewed the entire manuscript and suggested many valuable additions. We are also very grateful for the continuous support and encouragement from Georgetown Dean Judith C. Areen and Georgetown University Law Center Writer's Grants that greatly facilitated our work.

Our families have helped us keep this project going, by pretending to be interested in reading the final product and by making space available, in countless ways, for the time necessary to get it done. Bless you, to

At the time we wrote this book, Thomas G. Krattenmaker was Professor of Law at Georgetown University Law Center.

Rich, Rebecca, and Michael Bloch and to Bevra, Ken, and John Kratten-maker.

The debt we owe to all the people mentioned above is incalculable. In the final analysis, however, what really drove us to organize and create this book was the inspiration we received from Justice John M. Harlan and Justice Thurgood Marshall, the finest public servants we have ever known and the best bosses we have ever had. If readers find things of value in this book, as we hope they will, please let that discovery be another testament to the memories of these great Justices.

Note on Editing

As in the first edition, we did not want the materials selected for this book to appear as thirty second sound bites, so we have tried to let our authors have their say. But we have also tried to hold everyone to his or her central points. We have eliminated most citations (including internal references) and footnotes without indicating their omission. Other omissions are generally indicated by ellipses or brackets, as appropriate; textual material that has been added, or slightly modified, is indicated by brackets.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the following for their permission to reprint their writings:

Henry J. Abraham, Justices, Presidents, and Senators: A History of the U.S. Supreme Court Appointments from Washington to Clinton, Fourth Edition (1999). Reprinted with permission of Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc.

Susan Behuniak-Long, *Friendly Fire: Amici Curiae and* Webster v. Reproductive Health Services, 74 Judicature 261 (1991). Copyright © 1991 by Susan Behuniak-Long and the American Judicature Society. Reprinted with permission of Susan Behuniak.

William J. Brennan, Jr., In Defense of Dissents, 37 Hastings L.J. 427 (1986). Copyright © by University of California, Hastings College of the Law. Reprinted with permission of the Hastings Law Journal.

William J. Brennan, Jr., *The National Court of Appeals: Another Dissent*, 40 U. Chi. L. Rev. 473 (1973). Copyright © 1973 by the Chicago Law Review. Reprinted with permission of the Chicago Law Review.

Steven G. Calabresi & James Lindgren, *Term Limits for the Supreme Court: Life Tenure Reconsidered*, Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy, Vol. 29, No. 3 (2006): pp. 769-876. Reprinted with permission of the Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy.

Lincoln Caplan, *The Tenth Justice: The Solicitor General and the Rule of Law* (1987). Reprinted with permission of Lincoln Caplan.

Erwin Chemerinsky, *Ideology and the Selection of Federal Judges*. This work, copyright 2003 by Erwin Chemerinsky, was originally published in 36 U.C. Davis L. Rev. 619 (2003), copyright 2003 by the Regents of the University of California. Reprinted with permission of the U.C. Davis Law Review and Erwin Chemerinsky.

Erwin Chemerinsky, *Opening Closed Chambers*, 108 Yale L.J. 1087 (1999). Copyright © 1999 by the Yale Law Journal. Reprinted with permission of the Yale Law Journal and Erwin Chemerinsky.

Erwin Chemerinsky & Catherine Fisk, In Defense of Filibustering Judicial Nominations, 21 Cardozo L. Rev. 331 (2005). Copyright © 2005 by the Cardozo Law Review. Reprinted with permission of Erwin Chemerinsky, Catherine Fisk, and the Cardozo Law Review.

Stephen Choi & Mitu Gulati, A Tournament of Judges?, 92 Cal. L. Rev. 299 (2004). Copyright © 2004 by the California Law Review, Inc. Reprinted from California Law Review, Vol. 92 No. 1, 299-322, by permission of Stephen Choi, Mitu Gulati, and the California Law Review, Inc.

Margaret Meriwether Cordray & Richard Cordray, *The Philosophy of Certiorari: Jurisprudential Considerations in Supreme Court Case Selection*, 82 Wash. U. L. Q. 389 (2004). Copyright © 2004 by the Washington University Law Quarterly. Reprinted with permission of Margaret Meriwether Cordray, Richard Cordray, and the Washington University Law Review.

Margaret Meriwether Cordray & Richard Cordray, *The Supreme Court's Plenary Docket*, 58 Wash. & Lee L. Rev. 737 (2001). Copyright © 2001 by the Washington & Lee Law Review. Reprinted with permission of Margaret Meriwether Cordray, Richard Cordray, and the Washington & Lee Law Review.

Sue Davis, *Power on the Court: Chief Justice Rehnquist's Opinion Assignments*, 74 Judicature 66 (1990). Copyright © 1990 by Sue Davis and the American Judicature Society. Reprinted with permission of Sue Davis.

Drew S. Days, III, When the President Says "No": A Few Thoughts on Executive Power and the Tradition of Solicitor General Independence, 3 J. App. Prac. & Process 509 (2001). Copyright © 2001 by the Journal of Appellate Practice and Process. Reprinted with permission of the Journal of Appellate Practice and Process and Drew Days.

Neal Devins, *Explaining* Grutter v. Bollinger, 152 U. Pa. L. Rev. 347 (2003). Copyright © 2003 by the University of Pennsylvania Law Review. Reprinted with permission of Neal Devins, the University of Pennsylvania Law Review, and William S. Hein & Company, Inc.

Bruce Ennis, *Effective Amicus Briefs*, 33 Cath. U. L. Rev. 603 (1984). Copyright © 1984 by Catholic University Law Review. Reprinted with permission of the Catholic University Law Review.

Lee Epstein, *A Better Way to Appoint Justices*, Christian Science Monitor, Mar. 17, 1992 at 19. Copyright © 1992 by Lee Epstein and The Christian Science Monitor. Reprinted with permission of Lee Epstein.

Lee Epstein & Jack Knight, *The Choices Justices Make*. Copyright © 1998 by CQ Press, a division of Congressional Quarterly Inc. Reprinted with permission of CQ Press, Lee Epstein, and Jack Knight.

Ward Farnsworth, *The Case for Life Tenure*, in *Reforming the Court* (Paul Carrington & Roger Cramton, eds.) pp. 251-269. Copyright © 2006 by Carolina Academic Press. Permission granted by Paul Carrington, Roger Cramton, and the Carolina Academic Press, Durham, North Carolina.

Ward Farnsworth, *The Ideological Stakes of Eliminating Life Tenure*, Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy Vol. 29, No. 3 (2006): pp. 879-890. Reprinted with permission.

Paul A. Freund, Appointment of Justices: Some Historical Perspectives, 101 Harv. L. Rev. 1146 (1988). Copyright © 1988 by The Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the Harvard Law Review Association.

David J. Garrow, *The Lowest Form of Animal Life? Supreme Court Clerks and Supreme Court History*, 84 Cornell L . Rev. 855 (1999). Copyright © 1999 by Cornell Law Review. Reprinted with permission of the Cornell Law Review and David Garrow.

Michael J. Gerhardt, *The Constitutionality of the Filibuster*, 21 Const. Comm. 450 (2004). Copyright © 2004 by Constitutional Commentary. Reprinted with permission of Constitutional Commentary and Michael Gerhardt.

Michael J. Gerhardt, *The Federal Appointments Process: A Constitutional and Historical Analysis* (excerpts from pp. 295-97). Copyright © 2000 by Duke University Press. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission of the publisher and Michael Gerhardt.

Michael J. Gerhardt, *Merit vs. Ideology*, 26 Cardozo L. Rev. 353 (2005). Copyright © 2005 by the Cardozo Law Review. Reprinted with permission of the Cardozo Law Review and Michael Gerhardt.

Michael J. Gerhardt, *Toward a Comprehensive Understanding of the Federal Appointment Process*, Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy, Vol. 21, No. 2 (1997): 467, 482-495. Copyright © 1997 by The Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy. Reprinted with permission.

Ruth Bader Ginsburg, *Speaking in a Judicial Voice*, 67 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1185 (1992). Copyright © 1992 by the New York University Law Review. Reprinted with permission of Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg and the New York University Law Review.

Linda Greenhouse, *Telling the Court's Story: Justice and Journalism at the Supreme Court*, 105 Yale L. J. 1537 (1996). Copyright © 1996 by the Yale Law Journal. Reprinted with permission of the Yale Law Journal and Linda Greenhouse.

Edward A. Hartnett, *Questioning Certiorari: Some Reflections Seventy-Five Years After the Judges' Bill*, 100 Colum. L. Rev. 1643 (2000). Copyright © 2000 by the Columbia Law Review. Reprinted with permission of the Columbia Law Review.

Arthur D. Hellman, *The Shrunken Docket of the Rehnquist Court*, 1996 Sup. Ct. Rev. 403. Copyright © 1996 by the University of Chicago Press. Reprinted with permission of the University of Chicago Press.

Vicki C. Jackson, *Packages of Judicial Independence: The Selection and Tenure of Article III Judges*, 95 Geo. L.J. 965 (2007). Copyright © 2007 by the Georgetown Law Journal. Reprinted with permission of Vicki Jackson and the Georgetown Law Journal.

Marianne Jennings & Nim Razook, *Duck When a Conflict of Interest Blinds You: Judicial Conflicts of Interest in the Matters of Scalia and Ginsburg*, 39 U.S.F. L. Rev. 873 (2005). Copyright © 2005 by University of San Francisco Law Review. Reprinted with permission of the University of San Francisco Law Review.

Joseph D. Kearny & Thomas W. Merrill, *The Influence of Amicus Curiae Briefs on the Supreme Court*, 148 U. Pa. L. Rev. 743 (2000). Copyright © 2000 by the University of Pennsylvania Law Review. Reprinted with permission of the University of Pennsylvania Law Review and William S. Hein & Company, Inc.

John P. Kelsh, *The Opinion Delivery Practices of the United States Supreme Court 1790-1945*, 77 Wash. U. L. Q. 137 (1999). Copyright © 1999 by the Washington University Law Quarterly. Reprinted with permission of the Washington University Law Review and John P. Kelsh.

Sally Kenney, *Puppeteers or Agents? What Lazarus's* Closed Chambers *Adds to Our Understanding of Law Clerks at the U.S. Supreme Court*, 25 L. & Soc. Inquiry 185 (2000). Copyright © 2000 by Wiley-Blackwell Publishing Ltd. Reprinted with permission of Sally Kenney and Wiley-Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

James Lindgren & William P. Marshall, *The Supreme Court's Extraordinary Power to Grant Certiorari Before Judgment in the Court of Appeals*, 1986 Sup. Ct. Rev. 259. Copyright © 1986 by the University of Chicago Press. Reprinted with permission of the University of Chicago Press.

Dahlia Lithwick, A High Court of One: The Role of the "Swing Voter" in the 2002 Term, in A Year at the Supreme Court (Neal Devins and Davison M. Douglas, eds.) pp. 11-31. Copyright © 2004 by the Duke University Press. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission of the Duke University Press.

Laura E. Little, *The Judicial Appointments Process: The ABA's Role in Prescreening Federal Judicial Candidates: Are We Ready to Give Up on the Lawyers?*, 10 Wm. & Mary Bill Rts. J. 37 (2001). Copyright © 2001 by the William & Mary Bill of Rights Journal. Reprinted with permission of the William & Mary Bill of Rights Journal.

John Anthony Maltese, *The Selling of Supreme Court Nominees*. Copyright © 1995, 1998 by the Johns Hopkins University Press. Reprinted with permission of The Johns Hopkins University Press.

Boyce F. Martin, Jr., *Gee Whiz, The Sky is Falling*, 106 Mich. L. Rev. First Impressions 1 (2007). Copyright © 2007 by The Michigan Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the Michigan Law Review and Boyce Martin, Jr.

Alpheus T. Mason, *The Chief Justice of the United States: Primus Inter Pares*, 17 J. Pub. L. 20 (1968). Copyright © 1968 by the Emory Law Journal. Reprinted with the permission of the Emory Law Journal.

Tony Mauro, *The Right Legislation for The Wrong Reasons*, 106 Mich. L. Rev. First Impressions 8 (2007). Copyright © 2007 by The Michigan Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the Michigan Law Review and Tony Mauro.

Michael W. McConnell, *The Rule of Law and the Role of the Solicitor General*, 21 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 1105 (1988). Copyright © 1988 by the Loyola of

Los Angeles Law Review. Reprinted with permission of Michael McConnell and the Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review.

John McGinnis & Michael Rappaport, Supermajority Rules and the Judicial Confirmation Process, 26 Cardozo L. Rev. 543 (2005). Copyright © 2005 by the Cardozo Law Review. Reprinted with permission of John McGinnis, Michael Rappaport, and the Cardozo Law Review.

Roy M. Mersky & Tobe Liebert, eds., *The Supreme Court Nominations*, 1916-2005, Vol. 20A, reprinting U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee Holds a Hearing on the Nomination of John Roberts To Be Chief Justice of the United States Supreme Court (Transcript, U.S. Senate Judiciary Comm., Exec. Sess., Sept. 22, 2005). Published by William S. Hein & Co., Inc. Reprinted with permission of William S. Hein & Co., Inc.

Roy M. Mersky & Tobe Liebert, eds., *The Supreme Court Nominations*, 1916-2006, Vol. 21B, reprinting U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee Meets to Vote on the Nomination of Judge Samuel Alito to the United States Supreme Court (Transcript, U.S. Senate Judiciary Comm., Exec. Sess., Jan. 13, 2006). Published by William S. Hein & Co., Inc. Reprinted with permission of William S. Hein & Co., Inc.

Henry Paul Monaghan, *The Confirmation Process: Law or Politics?*, 101 Harv. L. Rev. 1202 (1988). Copyright © 1988 by The Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the Harvard Law Review Association.

Thomas R. Morris, States Before the U.S. Supreme Court: State Attorneys General as Amicus Curiae, 70 Judicature 298 (1987). Copyright © 1987 by Thomas R. Morris and the American Judicature Society. Reprinted with permission of Thomas R. Morris.

Alan B. Morrison & D. Scott Stenhouse, *The Chief Justice of the United States: More than Just the Highest Ranking Justice*, 1 Const. Comm. 57 (1984). Copyright © 1984 by Constitutional Commentary. Reprinted with permission of Alan Morrison, D. Scott Stenhouse, and Constitutional Commentary.

David M. O'Brien, *Join-3 Votes, The Rule of Four, The Cert Pool, and The Supreme Court's Shrinking Plenary Docket*, 13 J. L. & Pol. 779 (1997). Copyright © 1997 by the Journal of Law and Policy. Reprinted with permission of the Journal of Law and Policy.

David M. O'Brien, Storm Center: The Supreme Court in American Politics, Sixth Edition. Copyright © 2003, 2000, 1996, 1993, 1990, 1986 by David O'Brien. Used by permission of W.W. Norton & Company, Inc.

H.W. Perry, Jr., *Deciding to Decide: Agenda Setting in the United States Supreme Court.* Reprinted by permission of the publisher from "A Decision Model" in DECIDING TO DECIDE: AGENDA SETTING IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT by H.W. Perry, Jr., pp. 274-284, Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, Copyright © 1991 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College.

Cornelia T.L. Pillard, *The Unfulfilled Promise of the Constitution in Executive Hands*, 103 Mich. L. Rev. 676 (2005). Copyright © 2005 by The Michigan Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of Cornelia Pillard and the Michigan Law Review.

Robert Post, The Supreme Court Opinion as Institutional Practice: Dissent, Legal Scholarship, and Decisionmaking in the Taft Court, 85 Minn. L. Rev. 1267 (2001). Copyright © 2001 by Robert Post. Reprinted with permission of Robert Post.

William H. Rehnquist, *The Supreme Court: How It Was, How It Is.* Copyright © 1987 by William H. Rehnquist. Reprinted with permission of Alfred A. Knopf, a division of Random House, Inc.

William H. Rehnquist, *The Supreme Court*. Copyright © 2001 by William H. Rehnquist. Reprinted with permission of Alfred A. Knopf, a division of Random House, Inc.

Judith Resnik, *Judicial Selection and Democratic Theory: Demand, Supply and Life Tenure*, 26 Cardozo L. Rev. 579 (2005). Copyright © 2005 by Judith Resnik. Reprinted with permission of Judith Resnik and the Cardozo Law Review.

Judith Resnik & Lane Dilg, Responding to a Democratic Deficit, 154 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1575 (2006). Copyright © 2006 by University of Pennsylvania Law Review. Reprinted with permission of Lane Dilg, Judith Resnik, the University of Pennsylvania Law Review and the William S. Hein & Company, Inc.

John C. Roberts, *Majority Voting in Congress: Further Notes on the Constitutionality of the Senate Cloture Rule*, 20 J. L. & Politics 505 (2004). Copyright © 2004 by the Journal of Law and Politics. Reprinted with permission of the Journal of Law and Politics.

John G. Roberts, Jr., *Oral Advocacy and the Reemergence of a Supreme Court Bar*, 30 Sup. Ct. Hist. 68 (2005). Copyright © 2005 by Wiley-Blackwell Publishing Ltd. Reprinted with permission of Chief Justice John Roberts and Wiley-Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

Ira P. Robbins, *Justice By the Numbers: The Supreme Court and the Rule of Four—Or Is It Five?*, 36 Suffolk U. L. Rev. 1 (2002). Copyright © 2002 by the Suffolk University Law Review. Reprinted with permission of the Suffolk University Law Review.

David M. Rosenzweig, Note, Confessions of Error in the Supreme Court by the Solicitor General, 82 Geo. L. J. 2079 (1994). Reprinted with permission of the publisher, Georgetown Law Journal © 1994.

Ronald D. Rotunda, *The Role of Ideology in Confirming Federal Judges*, 15 Geo. J. Legal Ethics 127 (2001). Reprinted with permission of the publisher, Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics © 2001.

Rebecca Mae Salokar, *The Solicitor General: The Politics of Law*. Material excerpted from "The Solicitor General" by Rebecca Mae Salokar from

The Solicitor General: The Politics of Law by Rebecca Mae Salokar. Used by permission of Temple University Press. © 1992 by Temple University. All Rights Reserved.

Glendon Schubert, Constitutional Politics. From SCHUBERT, CONSTITUTIONAL POLITICS, 1E. © 1960 Wadsworth, a part of Cengage Learning, Inc. Reproduced with permission of Cengage Learning, Inc. www.cengage.com/permissions.

Bernard Schwartz, *The Ascent of Pragmatism: The Burger Court in Action*. Copyright © 1990 by Bernard Schwartz. Reprinted by permission of Brian Schwartz, son of Bernard Schwartz.

Elliot E. Slotnick, *Media Coverage of Supreme Court Decision Making: Problems and Prospects*, 75 Judicature 128 (1991). Copyright © 1991 by the American Judicature Society and Elliot E. Slotnick. Reprinted with permission of Elliot E. Slotnick.

Lawrence B. Solum, *Empirical Measures of Judicial Performance: A Tournament of Virtue*, 32 Fla. St. U. L. Rev. 1365 (2005). Copyright © 2005 by the Florida State Law Review. Reprinted with permission of the Florida State Law Review.

John Paul Stevens, *The Life Span of a Judge-Made Rule*, 58 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1 (1983). Copyright © 1983 by the New York University Law Review. Reprinted with permission of the New York University.

David R. Stras, *Book Review Essay: The Supreme Court's Gatekeepers: The Role of Law Clerks in the Certiorari Process*, 85 Tex. L. Rev. 947 (2007). Copyright © 2007 by the Texas Law Review. Reprinted with permission of David Stras and the Texas Law Review.

David Strauss & Cass Sunstein, *The Senate, The Constitution, and The Confirmation Process*, 101 Yale L.J. 1491 (1992). Reprinted by permission of The Yale Law Journal Company and William S. Hein Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 101, pages 1491-1524.

Stuart Taylor, Jr., Ruing Fixed Opinions, N.Y. Times, Feb. 22, 1988 at A16. Copyright © 1988 by The New York Times Company. Reprinted with permission of The New York Times.

Jeffrey Tulis, Constitutional Abdication: The Senate, The President, and Appointments to the Supreme Court, 47 Case W. Res. L. Rev. 1331 (1997). Copyright © 1997 by Case Western Reserve Law Review. Reprinted with permission of the Case Western Reserve Law Review.

Mark Tushnet, A Court Divided: The Rehnquist Court And The Future Of Constitutional Law. Copyright © 2005 by Mark Tushnet. Used by permission of W. W. Norton & Company, Inc.

Mark Tushnet, Foreword: The New Constitutional Order and the Chastening of Constitutional Aspiration, 113 Harv. L. Rev. 29 (1999). Copy-