Law, Crime and Culture series



# THE SOCIAL CONSTRUCTION OF CORRUPTION IN EUROPE



# The Social Construction of Corruption in Europe

#### Edited by

#### DIRK TÄNZLER

Konstanz University, Germany

#### KONSTADINOS MARAS

Institute for Advanced Studies in the Humanities and University of Tubingen, Germany

(里州人)

Konstanz University, Germany

**ASHGATE** 

© Dirk Tänzler, Konstadinos Maras, Angelos Giannakopoulos and the contributors 2012

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior permission of the publisher.

Dirk Tänzler, Konstadinos Maras and Angelos Giannakopoulos have asserted their right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act, 1988, to be identified as the editors of this work.

Published by Ashgate Publishing Limited Wey Court East Union Road Farnham Surrey, GU9 7PT England

Ashgate Publishing Company Suite 420 101 Cherry Street Burlington VT 05401–4405 USA

www.ashgate.com

#### **British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data**

The social construction of corruption in Europe. – (Law, crime and culture)

- 1. Corruption European Union countries. 2. Corruption European Union countries Public opinion. 3. Corruption European Union countries Prevention.
- 4. Public opinion European Union countries.
- I. Series II. Tänzler, Dirk, 1955- III. Maras, Konstadinos.

IV. Giannakopoulos, Athanasios.

364.1'323'094-dc23

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

The social construction of corruption in Europe / [edited] by Dirk Tänzler,

Konstadinos Maras and Angelos Giannakopoulos.

p. cm. – (Law, crime and culture). Includes index.

- ISBN 978-1-4094-0297-8 (hardback) ISBN 978-1-4094-0298-5 (ebook)
- 1. Political corruption European Union countries. 2. Corruption European Union countries. 3. Political sociology.
- I. Tänzler, Dirk, 1955– II. Maras, Konstadinos. III. Giannakopoulos, Angelos, 1962–JN94.A56C67 2012
   364.1'323094—dc23

2012012004

ISBN 9781409402978 (hbk) ISBN 9781409402985 (ebk)



Printed and bound in Great Britain by the MPG Books Group, UK.

# THE SOCIAL CONSTRUCTION OF CORRUPTION IN EUROPE

# Law, Crime and Culture

#### Series editors:

Professor Susanne Karstedt, University of Leeds, UK; Professor Ralf Rogowski, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK; and Professor Dirk Tänzler, University of Konstanz, Germany

The Law, Crime and Culture series explores an increasingly important and topical area of interdisciplinary research, covering a broad range of themes from understandings of social and legal order in individual cultures to intersections of criminological, legal and cultural inquiry. The series promotes cross-disciplinary and comparative research and the series editors actively welcome submissions on the many and varied topics related to crime, social control, and legal culture across the world.

# List of Figures

Corruption-related crimes reported and the number of people	
	136
Corruption crimes reported to the police, 2004–2009 (Italy)	136
Number of convictions for corruption-related crimes in Italy,	
1996–2006	137
Percentage of citizens in EU countries who were offered or asked	
for a bribe in the last 12 months (2009)	138
Variation in the perception of corruption in Italy, 2000–2009	139
Corruption Perceptions Index in 2008 and 2009 in EU27 and	
G8 countries	140
Annual average number of alleged cases of corruption reported	
by la Repubblica	140
• •	
by la Repubblica, 1995–2008	141
Diagram of possible ways of converting social problems	174
Survey data illustrating the conviction of Polish public opinion	
	177
` '	
• •	
	179
Social corruption index (Portugal)	252
Dimensions of the social corruption index (Portugal)	254
	involved (Italy) Corruption crimes reported to the police, 2004–2009 (Italy) Number of convictions for corruption-related crimes in Italy, 1996–2006 Percentage of citizens in EU countries who were offered or asked for a bribe in the last 12 months (2009) Variation in the perception of corruption in Italy, 2000–2009 Corruption Perceptions Index in 2008 and 2009 in EU27 and G8 countries Annual average number of alleged cases of corruption reported by <i>la Repubblica</i> Number of cases of alleged corruption reported annually by <i>la Repubblica</i> , 1995–2008  Diagram of possible ways of converting social problems Survey data illustrating the conviction of Polish public opinion that corruption is a 'big' or 'rather big' problem (CBOS data) or happens 'very frequently', 'rather frequently' or 'frequently' (OBOP data) Number of articles whose main theme was corruption published in the Polish newspapers <i>Rzeczpospolita</i> and <i>Gazeta Wyborcza</i> between 1989 and 2004  Social corruption index (Portugal)

# List of Tables

3.1	UK/English ethical watchdogs: Roles and controversies	76
4.1	The nature of corruption (Germany)	101
4.2	Evaluation of corruption (Germany)	102
4.3	Prevention of corruption (Germany)	103
4.4	Images of society and the state (Germany)	103
6.1	Major direct anti-corruption and potentially 'corruption-enhancing' measures in Italy, 2000–2010	156
9.1	Trust in institutions	240

## List of Contributors

Staffan Andersson is senior lecturer in political science at the School of Social Sciences, Linnaeus University, Sweden. He received his PhD in political science from Umeå University, Sweden and his Masters in Economics from Lund University, Sweden. In the field of corruption he has contributed to several studies of Sweden, as well as comparative studies. He has also taught courses in Sweden and the UK on political corruption and has experience as an adviser for anti-corruption projects. Another major area of research interest is representative government in Europe, where Andersson is involved in creating infrastructure for research on citizen opinion and their representatives: political parties, parliaments and governments.

Rashko Dorosiev MA is a political scientist who has worked on the issue of corruption since 2002. He graduated at the University of Sofia. Until 2008 he was project director with the Centre for Liberal Strategies, Sofia, where he was responsible, among others, for projects concerning the fight against organized crime and corruption, and the reform of the Bulgarian judicial system. Since then he has continued working in these areas, and is based permanently in Brussels. Rashko Dorosiev has published a number of articles in the Bulgarian press, as well as contributions in edited volumes.

Gissur Ó. Erlingsson is a researcher at the Centre for Municipality Studies at Linköping University. He received his PhD in political science from Lund University, Sweden. His main research interests are in the areas of public corruption, intra-party democracy, local government, the emergence of new political parties and institutional change.

Angelos Giannakopoulos is currently Post-doctoral Fellow at the University of Dortmund and Head of Office of the EU-funded ALACs project at the University of Konstanz. He is internationally active in teaching and research and has published in various fields of European integration.

David Hine is Fellow and Tutor in Politics at Christ Church, University of Oxford. His interests are in the rules of political life: particularly ethical rules and electoral systems. Among his recent publications are 'Conflict of Interest in its Institutional Context', in Christine Trost and Alison Gash (eds), *Conflict of Interest and Public Life: Cross-National Perspectives* (Cambridge University Press, 2008); 'Partisan self-interest and electoral reform: The new Italian electoral law of 2005', *Electoral Studies*, 28(3):437–447, 2009; and a report on Electoral Systems, Party Law and

the Protection of Minority Rights (Council of Europe, 2009). He is currently finishing (with Gillian Peele) a book on the British debate on integrity issues.

Effi Lambropoulou is Professor of Criminology at the Department of Sociology at the Panteion University of Social and Political Sciences in Athens. Her qualifications are LL.L (Athens, Greece), Postgraduate Studies in Sociology of Criminal Law and PhD in Criminology: penology and correctional policy (Universities of Bielefeld and Freiburg i. Br., Germany). She has worked with the Criminological Research Group at the Max Planck Institute for Foreign and International Criminal Law (1983–1987, Freiburg, Germany). Her research interests, courses and professional experience are in social control, sociology of criminal law, mass media, corrections, police and policing, social violence, drug policies and corruption issues.

Grzegorz Makowski PhD is a sociologist, and director of the Civil Society Programme at the Institute of Public Affairs (Warsaw, Poland). His main fields of interests are sociology of social problems, classical sociological theories and civil society development. He is the author of the book *Corruption as a Social Problem* (Trio Publishers, 2008) and numerous other scientific publications, articles and expert opinions. His last work is a comparative study of central anti-corruption bodies: *A Sociological Analysis of the Functioning of The Central Anti-Corruption Agencies: An International Perspective and Polish Experience* (forthcoming). He is currently Lecturer at the Collegium Civitas (Warsaw, Poland). Until 2008, he was assistant professor at the Institute of Applied Social Sciences of Warsaw University. From 2000–2002 he was Coordinator of the Against Corruption Programme – a joint undertaking of the Stefan Batory Foundation and the Helsinki Foundation for Human Rights.

Konstadinos Maras is currently research fellow in the Institute for Advanced Study in the Humanities (KWI) and participates in the research project *Promotion of Participation and Citizenship in Europe through the 'Advocacy and Legal Advice Centres (ALACs)' of Transparency International* supported within the Seventh Framework Programme of the European Commission. He earned two PhDs in Philosophy and History of Art at the University of Tübingen, and his Masters in German Literature, Art History and Economics at the Universities of Tübingen and Athens. He was Visiting Scholar at the Centre for International and Area Studies at Yale University, USA (2004) in the framework of an international research project on the *Relations between the EU and Turkey*. His special research and teaching interests are Critical Theory, European identity and integration, cultural aspects of corruption and qualitative methods of social research. He has published extensively in his research fields.

Gillian Peele is Fellow and Tutor in Politics at Lady Margaret Hall, University of Oxford. Among her recent publications are 'Conflict of Interest in British Public Life' (with Robert Kaye), in Christine Trost and Alison Gash (eds), Conflict of

Interest and Public Life: Cross-National Perspectives (Cambridge University Press, 2008) and 'Regulating Conflict of Interest: Securing Accountability in the Modern State' (with Robert Kaye), published in 2010 by Siglo Press XXI as Corrupcion Y Transparencia: Debatiendo las fronteras entre Estado, mercado y sociedad (edited by Irma Sandoval). A study of the American right (co-edited with Joel Aberbach), Crisis of Conservatism? The Republican Party, the Conservative Movement and American Politics after Bush, will be published by OUP New York later this year. She is currently finishing (with David Hine) a book on the British debate on integrity issues.

Donatella della Porta is professor of sociology in the Department of Political and Social Sciences at the European University Institute. Among her recent publications are: (with M. Caiani), Social Movements and Europeanization, Oxford University Press, 2009; (ed.) Another Europe, Routledge, 2009; (ed.) Democracy in Social Movements, Palgrave, 2009; (with Michael Keating) Approaches and Methodologies in the Social Sciences, Cambridge University Press, 2008; (with Gianni Piazza), Voices of the Valley; Voices of the Straits, Berghahn, 2008; The Global Justice Movement, Paradigm, 2007; (with Massimiliano Andretta, Lorenzo Mosca and Herbert Reiter), Globalization from Below, The University of Minnesota Press, 2006; (with Abby Peterson and Herbert Reiter), The Policing of Transnational Protest, Ashgate, 2006; (with Mario Diani), Social Movements: An Introduction, 2nd edition, Blackwell, 2006; (with Sidney Tarrow), Transnational Protest and Global Activism, Rowman and Littlefield, 2005.

Iuliana Precupețu is a Senior Researcher at the Research Institute for Quality of Life, Romanian Academy, and the Deputy Editor of the *Quality of Life Journal*. She has twelve years' teaching experience at the Faculty of Sociology and Social Work, University of Bucharest, teaching courses in methods of social research and quality of life. Iuliana Precupețu specializes in quality of life, social policy, methodology of social research and the study of corruption. She has coordinated research projects and has been part of many research teams, both national and international. Currently, she is the country team coordinator of the project Growing Inequalities Impacts (GINI) funded under the Seventh Framework Programme of EU. She was also involved in research projects under the Fifth and Sixth Framework Programmes of EU. She has published three books as co-editor, one book as author, has contributed about ten chapters to various books and around twelve research articles, and has written more than twenty research reports.

Hervé Rayner is Standing Professor of Political Science at the University of Lausanne (UNIL), and Lecturer in social science at the Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL). He is the author of several books and articles on political scandals. His fields of research are scandals, mobilizations, corruption, French, Italian and Swiss politics, while his teaching encompasses sociology of political institutions, concepts and theories in political science, sociology of risks.

Ralf Rogowski is Professor of Law and former Director of the Social Theory Centre at the University of Warwick. His fields of research are European law and policies, social theory, legal professions, labour law and labour market policies. Recent book publications include *Transforming European Employment Policy: Labour Market Transitions and the Promotion of Capabilities* (Edward Elgar, 2012, with Robert Salais and Noel Whiteside), *The European Social Model and Transitional Labour Markets: Law and Policy* (Ashgate, 2008) and *The Shape of the New Europe* (Cambridge University Press, 2006, with Charles Turner). He is currently finishing a book on the transformation of labour law in the global context.

Zeynep Şarlak acquired her bachelor's degree in Economics and her master's degree in Political Science and International Relations from Boğaziçi University. She also received a master's degree in Comparative Politics from the Paris Institute of Political Studies. She worked as editor-in-chief for the newspaper *Posta Europe* in Paris. She worked as a research assistant at Galatasaray University and as a researcher for the Turkish leg of the project Crime and Culture under the European Union Sixth Framework Program. She currently works as a field specialist in economics for a project on family education at the Office of the Prime Minister's Directorate of Family and Social Studies, and teaches economics for the International Baccalaureate Programme. She has published articles on military and national security in Turkey.

Daniel Smilov is a comparative constitutional lawyer and political scientist. He is Programme Director at the Centre for Liberal Strategies, Sofia, Recurrent Visiting Professor of Comparative Constitutional Law at the Central European University, Budapest, and Assistant Professor of Political Theory at the Political Science Department, University of Sofia. He holds doctorates from the University of Oxford and the Central European University, Budapest. In 2003–2004 he was Jean Monnet Fellow at the European University Institute, Florence. He has also been a Visiting Scholar at the Boalt Hall School of Law, University of California, Berkeley, in 1995. Dr Smilov is co-author (with Martin Tisne) of From the Ground Up: Assessing the Record of Anticorruption Assistance in Southeast Europe, Central European University Press, 2004; co-editor (with Denis Galligan) of Administrative Law in Central and Eastern Europe, CEU Press, 1999; and co-editor (together with Jurij Toplak) of Political Finance and Corruption in Eastern Europe, Ashgate, 2007.

**Luís de Sousa** obtained his PhD in Political Sciences at the European University Institute, Italy, in 2002. He is currently a Research Fellow at the Institute of Social Sciences of the University of Lisbon, Portugal. He has published regularly on corruption control and political financing.

**Dirk Tänzler** is Professor for Sociology at the University of Konstanz and at the Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelm-University, Bonn; he was Visiting Professor at the University of Lucerne (2008), University of Zurich (2007), Vienna University

(2005, 2006), Visiting Lecturer at the University of Zurich (2005–2010), Lucerne (2005–2008), Zeppelin University Friedrichshafen (2006), University of Salzburg (2005), Humboldt University of Berlin (1995, 1996), Otto-von-Guericke University, Magdeburg; he is coordinator of the EU Research Consortium Crime and Culture (2006-2009) and ALACs (2009-2012); he was Director of the Sozialwissenschaftliches Archiv Konstanz (Alfred-Schütz-Gedächtnis-Archiv) / Zentralarchiv der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Soziologie (2000-2005), Research Fellow at University of Konstanz (1999-2000), at the Science Centre Berlin for Social Research (WZB) (1993-1997) and at the Institute for Economic Culture at Boston University (1991-1992). He received his Habilitation at University of Konstanz (2005), his PhD at J.-W. Goethe University of Frankfurt a.M. (1990) and a Diploma in Sociology at J.-W. Goethe University of Frankfurt a.M. (1982). Major research interests are sociology of corruption; social theory; sociology of knowledge; cultural, visual and political sociology; qualitative methods. Together with Susanne Karstedt, University of Leeds, and Ralf Rogowski, University of Warwick, he is editor of the Ashgate book series Crime, Culture and Law.

Alberto Vannucci is Professor of Political Science and Public Policy at the Department of Political and Social Sciences of the University of Pisa. Among his research interests are political and administrative corruption; the Mafia and illegal markets; neo-institutional political theory; competitive processes within the Italian political-institutional system; policies against irregular work. In 1996 he collaborated with the Committee for the Prevention of Corruption of the Italian Chamber of Deputies. Among his latest publications are: *Nero, Grigio, Sommerso: Attori e Politiche per l'Emersione del Lavoro Irregolare* (Felici, 2009); *Mani Impunite. Vecchia e Nuova Corruzione in Italia* (Laterza, 2007, with D. della Porta); *Lo Spettro della Competitività. Le Radici Istituzionali del Declino Italiano* (Rubbettino, 2006, with R. Cubeddu).

# Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge the support of the European Commission for this book. The larger part of its contributions results from the research project Crime and Culture which was funded within the Sixth Framework Programme of the European Commission. However, the views expressed in all book chapters are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the legal or political views of the European Commission, and the European Commission is not responsible for any use that might be made of the information presented in this publication.

We would like to acknowledge our debt to all the colleagues who contributed to this volume and fruitfully cooperated with us during the preparation of the book. We want to mention in particular Andreas Göttlich, Michael Dobbins and the Textwings Agency for translation and editorial support.

# Contents

	Figures	vii
	Tables	ix
	Contributors	xi
Ackno	wledgements	xvii
Introd	uction	1
1	The Social Construction of Corruption: Theoretical Reflections  Dirk Tänzler, Konstadinos Maras, Angelos Giannakopoulos and	13
	Ralf Rogowski	
PART	1: DISENCHANTED MODERNITY	
2	New Public Management and Risks of Corruption:	
	The Case of Sweden	33
	Staffan Andersson / Gissur Ó. Erlingsson	
3	Integrity Issues in the United Kingdom: An Emerging Debate	59
	David Hine and Gillian Peele	
4	The German Myth of a Corruption-Free Modern Country	87
	Dirk Tänzler, Konstadinos Maras and Angelos Giannakopoulos	
5	Corruption in France: Structural and Contextual Conditions	107
	Hervé Rayner	
6	When Anti-Corruption Policy Fails: The Italian Case Eighteen	
	Years After the mani pulite Investigations	133
	Donatella della Porta and Alberto Vannucci	
D. D.	A CATCH UP MODERNIZATION	
PART	2: CATCH-UP MODERNIZATION	
7	Diffusion of Corruption in Poland	165
	Grzegorz Makowski	

8	Systemic Factors of Corruption in Romania: Evidence from Discourses on Corruption  Iuliana Precupețu	195
9	Corruption in Bulgaria: Contested Perceptions, Shared Disappointment  Daniel Smilov and Rashko Dorosiev	219
PART	3: SEMI-MODERNITY	
10	'Above the Law, Below Ethics': Some Findings on Portuguese Attitudes Towards Corruption Luís de Sousa	245
11	Corruption Discourse as a Wild Card: Politics and Media in Greece and the 'Modern' Triumphalism of Anti-Corruption <i>Effi Lambropoulou</i>	265
12	Corruption in Turkey: A Systemic Problem Zeynep Şarlak	297
Index		321

## Introduction

Corruption is called a scourge of humanity. This general proposition disguises the fact that corruption has shown many faces in history and at different places. The present volume presents a first, but hopefully substantial, glimpse at the variety of the social construction of the phenomenon throughout Europe. The intention is not only to pour out the horn of plenty. The title of the book, *Social Construction of Corruption*, refers to a pragmatic understanding of corruption: from the perspective of the social actors in their concrete socio-historical situation, corruption is seen as problem-solving. Conceiving corruption this way is not the result of mere academic contemplation – although this is a view that is typical of sociologists, especially those of the species called 'constructivists'. This approach offers more than an intellectual provocation of the common sense by offering a bizarre taxonomy of social maladies. In fact, it is a reaction to the ineffectiveness of recent anti-corruption practices in Europe in recent years.

The common understanding of corruption as the misuse of an office for private gain is too broad to explain the real functioning of corruption in specific situations. The same is true for legal or economic definitions that narrow the explanation to the negative consequences of the crime. Our argument does not reject such approaches, but 'resets' them as possible constructions among others. Their theoretical and practical validity is relative. Neither at all times nor everywhere is the phenomenon we use to call corruption designated as such; what we might condemn as corrupt practice is sometimes not even viewed as deviant behaviour or crime. To speak of corruption depends on cognitive assumptions and social conditions that are not universal but historical. The meaning of corruption changes over time and from place to place. Diverse forms of corrupt practice and various modes of the perception as well as the evaluation of corruption can be observed simultaneously in one society, in different social groups and even in the behaviour of one and the same actor in separate social contexts.

The first chapter of this volume sketches the concept of the social construction of corruption. The subsequent chapters present empirical case studies. These studies on corruption in European countries are organized in a cluster model of modernization pathways (Hall and Soskice 2001, Stark 1992). Following Max Weber's (1978) emphasis on the fundamental functions of the mode of production and the mode of administration or power structure (market and state), this volume reconstructs and explains cross-national patterns of modernity generating specific forms and perceptions of corruption. Needless to say, the presumption of a convergent model of modernity, as was widespread in former days, is dropped in favour of the assumption of a multiplicity of modernities (Eisenstadt 2002).

The end of modernity has been frequently announced since the end of the nineteenth century, although the majority of mankind had not even entered the project. Irrespective of the question of the objectivity and heuristic efficiency of a concept of historical epochs, we stick to the idea of a 'project of modernity' (Habermas 1984, 1990) for at least two reasons: empirically, people (not only in Europe) still identify themselves as citizens of modern states; theoretically, other concepts like that of postmodernity (Lyotard 2005) or globalization (Robertson 1992, Albrow 1996) do not really indicate an epochal break, but seem to be mere 'consequences of modernity' (Giddens 1990).<sup>1</sup>

To underline the heuristic function and empirical validity of the theoretical model, we speak of clusters and not of ideal types in the strong Weberian sense. The contributions to this volume are related to eleven countries that are grouped together in three clusters:<sup>2</sup>

- 1. disenchanted modern<sup>3</sup> West European countries: Sweden, Great Britain, Germany, France and Italy;
- 2. post-socialist countries, which left the communist path to modernity and started a catch-up modernization:<sup>4</sup> Poland, Romania and Bulgaria;
- 3. South-Eastern European countries characterized by semi-modernity: Portugal, Greece and Turkey.

At first sight, the classification might seem less convincing, because historically Germany was seen as a latecomer in comparison to England; France and Italy represent very different modernization pathways and Turkey is a partial copy of the European development, adopting elements like laicism or capitalism, but ignoring characteristics like liberalism or the separation of powers. The underlying idea of the analytic construction is to assemble those countries in a common cluster which start from similar structural conditions, but show fundamental differences in the way in which they deal with these conditions. Thus, a cluster is a unit on the one hand on the basis of similarity and on the other hand in contrast to different cases. Like Weber's ideal types, the parts represent transformational structures for heuristic use in empirical exploration rather than ontological entities. In principal, the 'project of modernity' is not finished anywhere. Wolfgang Zapf's (1991) phrase the 'modernization of modern societies' fits well with the idea of a multiple modernity, each manifestation of which is obviously incomplete and hybrid (Weber 1978, Latour 1997).

<sup>1</sup> For further elaboration on this topic, see Chapter 1.

<sup>2</sup> The tripartite scheme of the book reproduces this clustering.

<sup>3</sup> Cf. Tiryakian 1985.

<sup>4 &#</sup>x27;Catch-up modernization' is a theoretical concept introduced by Wolfgang Zapf (1996). For a critical reconstruction, see Müller 1991, Tänzler 1998.