

Progress in Allergy

Vol. 20

1977年 11月 10日



Progress in Allergy

Vol. 20

Editors

PAUL KALLÓS, Helsingborg; BYRON H. WAKSMAN, New Haven, Conn.
and ALAIN DE WECK, Bern

Contributors

H. CANTOR, Boston, Mass.; I. M. GOLDSTEIN, New York, N.Y.;
J. J. OPPENHEIM, Bethesda, Md.; C. W. PARKER, St. Louis, Mo.;
D. L. ROSENSTREICH, Bethesda, Md.; H. J. WEDER, St. Louis, Mo.;
I. WEISSMAN, Stanford, Calif.

13 figures and 9 tables, 1976



S. Karger · Basel · München · Paris · London · New York · Sydney

Progress in Allergy

- Vol. 7: XII* + 334 p., 46 fig., 42 tab., 1963. ISBN 3-8055-1105-1
Vol. 8: X + 261 p., 35 fig., 32 tab., 1964. ISBN 3-8055-1107-8
Vol. 9: VIII + 308 p., 45 fig., 9 tab., 1965. ISBN 3-8055-0373-3
Vol. 10: XII + 292 p., 16 fig., 14 tab., 1967. ISBN 3-8055-0374-1
Vol. 11: XX + 184 p., 40 fig., 21 tab., 1967. ISBN 3-8055-0376-8
Vol. 12: XIV + 317 p., 27 fig., 12 tab., 1968. ISBN 3-8055-0377-6
Vol. 13: XXII + 429 p., 51 fig., 16 tab., 1969. ISBN 3-8055-0378-4
Vol. 14: XVI + 340 p., 35 fig., 18 tab., 1970. ISBN 3-8055-0379-2
Vol. 15: XVI + 485 p., 31 fig., 41 tab., 1971. ISBN 3-8055-1238-4
Vol. 16: XII + 498 p., 52 fig., 31 tab., 2 cpl., 1972. ISBN 3-8055-1335-6
Vol. 17: IX + 299 p., 29 fig., 30 tab., 1973. ISBN 3-8055-1539-1
Vol. 18: XII + 477 p., 46 fig., 38 tab., 1975. ISBN 3-8055-1660-6
Vol. 19: XX + 312 p., 19 fig., 29 tab., 1975. ISBN 3-8055-2033-6

All rights, including that of translation into other languages, reserved. Photomechanic reproduction (photocopy, microcopy) of this book or parts thereof without special permission of the publishers is prohibited.

© Copyright 1976 by S. Karger AG, Basel (Switzerland), Arnold-Böcklin-Strasse 25
Printed in Switzerland by Meier + Cie AG Schaffhausen
ISBN 3-8055-2189-8

Prog. Allergy, vol. 20, pp. IX-XII (Karger, Basel 1976)

Introduction

BYRON H. WAKSMAN

The overwhelming preoccupation of the immunological community with lymphocytes, their markers, their structural and biochemical characteristics, and their functional attributes is reflected in this volume of *Progress in Allergy*. Of the four reviews, three deal explicitly with lymphocytes. Drs. CANTOR and WEISSMAN consider the now very strong evidence for extensive heterogeneity of these cells, even within such narrower categories as T and B. OPPENHEIM and ROSENSTREICH attempt to reduce to some sort of order the mountain of recent information on lymphocyte stimulation and the factors which affect triggering. WEDNER and PARKER consider the conflicting evidence that cyclic nucleotides may play a key role in lymphocyte triggering. Even the review of GOLDSTEIN deals with a closely related topic, the lysosomal activities of macrophages.

These reviews are written against a background of increasing crisis in communication within the field of Immunology. The mass of current research in the various specialties of the field is simply staggering [1]. The number of new specialties with their own journals continues to increase — witness *Immunogenetics* and *Journal of Immunogenetics*, appearing since I last introduced a volume of *Progress in Allergy* in 1973. A similar increase continues in general journals and review volumes — *Annales d'Immunologie* (part of *Annales de l'Institut Pasteur*), *Contemporary Topics in Molecular Immunology*, *Clinical Immunobiology*, *Research in Immunochemistry and Immunobiology*. There is a suggestion that at least this curve is levelling off, since new journals have appeared at a slower rate in the last 2-3 years than previously.

The increase in review volumes is itself a proof of the felt need for better communication. In fact a rapidly increasing part of such communica-

tion takes place at specialized and often rather small meetings, symposia, and workshops, both international and national. In addition we are witnessing a rapid proliferation of annual courses offered by national societies such as the American Association of Immunologists and the British Society of Immunology, by individual university groups such as that at SUNY, Buffalo, by the International Union of Immunological Societies (in conjunction with WHO), and by other umbrella organizations, e.g. WHO/UNESCO/ICRO. One may count in 1975 at least seven of these, which of course take place all over the world. Training in Immunology has been formalized by the creation of graduate programs devoted to this discipline. The Doctorate Records file of the NRC Commission on Human Resources (United States) first recognized Immunology as a separate Ph.D. field in 1972. In that year there were 18 such degrees granted. In 1973 there were 49 and in 1974, 71 [2].

The growth of Immunology is taking place not only at a basic but also at an applied level. It was possible, a decade ago, to foresee the gradual substitution of clinical immunologists, concerned with a wide range of problems in infectious disease, allergy, rheumatology, and hematology, for the more classical allergists, whose interest and competence were limited to atopic disease. This change is now in full swing, and the level of the new activity may be judged by the many books which have appeared devoted entirely to clinical immunology (I will not attempt to list these here), as well as the review series mentioned above.

The flow of technical and conceptual breakthroughs in fundamental Immunology has not diminished. In a previous introduction (1971) I listed identification of lymphocyte subpopulations, topographic and genetic mapping of lymphocytes, the nature of immunocompetence, the mechanisms of thymus differentiation and of transformation and triggering of immunocompetent lymphocytes as major new areas of research. In 1973, the list included helper and suppressor effects of lymphocytes, the characterization of diffusible 'lymphokines' and their role in these effects, the study of pharmacologic receptors (cholinergic, α - and β -adrenergic, etc.) on lymphocytes and their relation to triggering and to the function of microtubules, microfilaments, and the cyclic nucleotides as second messengers, and the use of continuous lymphocytic cell lines and hybridization techniques to study the genetic control of differentiated lymphocytic functions.

Perhaps the most exciting of recent developments has been the change in our understanding of the major histocompatibility complex, originally thought to be simply a genetic locus governing cell surface structures

(histocompatibility antigen, thymocyte-specific antigen, MLC antigen, etc.) [3]. This chromosomal region, with space for as many as 1,000 genetic loci, has been shown to include genes governing immune responsiveness to a variety of antigens (perhaps by governing a surface receptor molecule on T-lymphocytes), cell interactions (both lymphocyte-lymphocyte and macrophage-lymphocyte), a variety of diffusible regulatory mediators (for both co-operation and suppression), and several complement components, and it is becoming clear that the entire region must be derived from a cluster of genes governing an ancestral recognition system. While the MHC is on a different chromosome from the structural genes governing antibody formation, β_2 -microglobulin, an integral component of several of the MHC products, shows peptide sequence homologies with γ -chain domains, and it seems that immunoglobulins also must be derived from such an ancestral system.

MHC products are present on many types of cells (or free in the circulation or released from activated cells) and the system obviously concerns a general cellular property. It is no accident that recognition phenomena resembling graft rejection are recognizable in lower forms such as echinoderms and tunicates [4]. One may speculate that similar but perhaps more primitive recognition phenomena, in earlier phylogenetic forms [5], will soon be identified as being determined by the ancestral MHC.

Several other new areas of rapid advance could be cited, among them the three-dimensional analysis of the antibody combining site, identification of a new group of reactions involving humoral antibody and blood cells acting on metazoan parasites, the functions of thymus hormone. However, enough has been said to show that Immunology is alive and well and moving rapidly forward.

References

- 1 BRENT, L., and HOLBOROW, J., (ed.): Progress in immunology II, vol. 1-5 (immunochemical aspects, biological aspects I and II, clinical aspects I and II) (1974).
- 2 National Research Council Summary Reports: Doctorate recipients from United States universities (1972, 1973, and 1974).
- 3 SHREFFLER, D. C. and DAVID, C. S.: The H-2 major histocompatibility complex and the I immune response region: genetic variation, function and organization. *Adv. Immunol.* 20: 125-195 (1975).

- 4 REDDY, A. L.; BRYAN, B., and HILDEMAN, W. H.: Integumentary allograft versus autograft reactions in *Ciona intestinalis*: a protochordate species of solitary tunicate. *Immunogenetics* 1: 584-590 (1975).
- 5 LOEB, L.: The biological basis of individuality (Thomas, Springfield 1945).

variety of T-lymphocytes, a variety of diffusible regulatory mediators (for both co-operation and suppression), and several complement components, and it is becoming clear that the entire region must be derived from a cluster of genes governing an ancestral recognition system. While the MHC is on a different chromosome from the structural genes governing antibody formation, β -microglobulin, an integral component of several of the MHC products, shows peptide sequence homologies with γ -chain domains, and it seems that immunoglobulins also must be derived from such an ancestral system.

MHC products are present on many types of cells (or free in the circulation or released from activated cells) and the system obviously concerns a general cellular property. It is no accident that recognition phenomena resembling graft rejection are recognizable in lower forms such as echinoderms and tunicates [4]. One may speculate that similar but perhaps more primitive recognition phenomena, in earlier phylogenetic forms [5], will soon be identified as being determined by the ancestral MHC.

Several other new areas of rapid advance could be cited, among them the three-dimensional analysis of the antibody combining site, identification of a new group of reactions involving humoral antibody and blood cells acting on metazoan parasites, the functions of thymus hormone. However, enough has been said to show that Immunology is alive and well and moving rapidly forward.

References

- 1 BRANT, J., and HOLBOROW, J. (ed.): Progress in immunology II, vol. 1-2 (immunological aspects, biological aspects I and II, clinical aspects I and II) (1974).
- 2 National Research Council Summary Report: Donor-recipient relationships from United States universities (1972, 1973, and 1974).
- 3 SHREFFLER, D. C. and DAVIS, C. S.: The H-2 major histocompatibility complex and the immune response region: genetic variation, function and organization. Adv.

Correspondence to: Dr. BYRON H. WAKSMAN, Department of Microbiology, Yale University School of Medicine, 310 Cedar Street, New Haven, CT 06510 (USA)

| | |
|--|----|
| 5. Other Markers | 18 |
| D. Regulation of Intrathymic Maturation | 18 |
| IV. Thymus Cell Migration to the Peripheral Tissues | 19 |
| V. Thymocyte Maturation and Generation of Diversity | 23 |
| A. Acquisition of Antigen Receptors | 23 |
| B. Maintenance in Periphery | 24 |
| VI. Identification of Peripheral T Cells | 25 |
| VII. Subpopulations of T Lymphocytes | 27 |
| A. Are there Peripheral T Cells at Different Stages of Post-Thymic Maturation? | 27 |
| 1. The Effects of Adult Thymectomy on Peripheral T Cells | 27 |
| 2. The Effects of Thymic Products on Peripheral T Cells | 29 |
| 3. The Ontogeny of Peripheral T Cells | 30 |
| B. Life Span and Homing Properties of T Cells | 31 |
| C. Mitogens as Probes for T Cell Subsets | 34 |
| VIII. Evidence for Interactions between Subpopulations of T Cells Mediating Cellular Immune Responses | 35 |
| A. Transplantation Immunity - Experimental Models | 35 |
| 1. Mixed Lymphocyte Response | 35 |
| 2. Graft-versus-Host Response | 36 |
| 3. Cytotoxic Response | 38 |
| B. Evidence for T-T Interaction | 39 |
| 1. In the Mixed Lymphocyte Response | 39 |
| 2. In the Graft-versus-Host Response | 41 |
| 3. In the Production of Cytotoxic Responses | 43 |
| IX. Regulation of the Immune Response by Subclasses of T Cells | 47 |
| A. Regulatory Interactions between Spleen and Lymph Node-Seeking T Cells | 47 |
| B. Regulatory Effects of Mitogen-Activated T Cells | 48 |
| C. Identification of Precursors of Cytotoxic Cells and Helper Cells Distinguished by Different Ly Antigens | 49 |
| References | 50 |
| <i>Signals Regulating in vitro Activation of Lymphocytes</i> | |
| J. J. OPPENHEIM and D. L. ROSENSTREICH, Bethesda, Md. | 65 |
| I. Introduction | 67 |
| II. Methods of Detecting Lymphocyte Activation <i>in vitro</i> | 68 |
| III. Primary Activating Signals: Mitogens, Antigens and Allogeneic Cells | 71 |
| 1. Mitogens | 71 |
| A. T Cell Mitogens | 71 |
| 1. Phytohemagglutinin and Concanavalin A | 71 |
| a) Binding of mitogens to the cell surface and its relationship to activation | 72 |
| b) Size of lymphocyte populations reactive to PHA and Con A | 75 |
| c) Evidence for the T lymphocyte specificity of PHA and Con A | 75 |
| 1. Mitogen reactivity in congenital immunodeficiency states | 75 |

| IV | Contents | V |
|-----|--|-----|
| 125 | 2. Mitogen reactivity in experimental immunodeficiency states . . . | 76 |
| 126 | 3. Evidence of T cell specificity of PHA and Con A based on <i>in vitro</i> experiments . . . | 77 |
| 126 | 4. Activation of both B and T cells by Con A and PHA . . . | 78 |
| 127 | d) Mitogen reactivity in acquired diseases associated with immunodeficiency . . . | 80 |
| 129 | 2. Other T Cell Mitogens - Sodium Periodate (NaIO ₄) . . . | 82 |
| 130 | B. B Cell Mitogens . . . | 83 |
| 130 | 1. Anti-Immunoglobulins . . . | 84 |
| 130 | 2. Endotoxin and Other Large Polymers . . . | 85 |
| 131 | 3. Trypsin . . . | 87 |
| 131 | C. Mitogens that Activate both T and B Cells . . . | 88 |
| 131 | 1. Pokeweed Mitogen . . . | 88 |
| 141 | 2. Anti-lymphocyte Serum . . . | 89 |
| 141 | 3. Miscellaneous Mitogens . . . | 90 |
| 142 | 2. Antigens . . . | 90 |
| 142 | A. Antigen-Induced Lymphocyte Proliferation . . . | 92 |
| 143 | 1. The Type of Cell Activated to Proliferate in Response to Antigen <i>in vitro</i> . . . | 94 |
| 143 | B. Antigen-Induced Lymphokine Production <i>in vitro</i> . . . | 94 |
| 143 | C. Nature of Antigen-Receptor Interactions . . . | 96 |
| 143 | 3. Allogeneic Cells and the Mixed Lymphocyte Reaction . . . | 98 |
| 143 | A. The Nature of the Lymphocyte Response to Allogeneic Cells . . . | 98 |
| 143 | B. Class of Lymphocytes Reacting in the MLR . . . | 100 |
| 143 | C. Subpopulations of T Lymphocytes Activated by Allogeneic Antigens. Cell-Mediated Lympholysis . . . | 101 |
| 143 | 4. The Nature of the Stimulant in the MLR . . . | 102 |
| 143 | IV. Auxiliary Activating and Regulatory Signals . . . | 104 |
| 143 | 1. Serum Factors that Regulate Activation of Lymphocytes <i>in vitro</i> . . . | 104 |
| 143 | A. Nonspecific Factors. Enhancing Factors and Regulatory α -Globulin . . . | 104 |
| 143 | B. Specific Serum Factors . . . | 106 |
| 143 | 1. Antibody . . . | 106 |
| 143 | 2. Antigen-Antibody Complexes . . . | 108 |
| 143 | 3. Alloimmune Antisera . . . | 109 |
| 143 | 2. Lymphocyte-Derived Factors that Regulate Activation <i>in vitro</i> . . . | 112 |
| 143 | A. Inhibitory Factors. Interferon and Chalmers . . . | 113 |
| 143 | B. General Enhancing Factors . . . | 115 |
| 143 | 1. Blastogenic Factor . . . | 115 |
| 143 | 2. Transfer Factor . . . | 115 |
| 143 | C. The Mechanism of T-B Collaboration <i>in vitro</i> . . . | 116 |
| 143 | 1. Nonspecific T Cell Factors . . . | 117 |
| 143 | 2. Specific T Cell Factors . . . | 120 |
| 143 | 3. Non-T Cell-Derived Substances that Can Act as Second Signals for B Lymphocyte Activation . . . | 121 |
| 143 | V. The Regulatory Role of Macrophages in the Activation of Lymphocytes . . . | 122 |
| 143 | 1. Macrophage-Dependent and Immune Reactions <i>in vitro</i> . . . | 123 |
| 143 | A. T Lymphocyte Activation . . . | 123 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 1. T Cell Lymphokine Production | 125 |
| B. B Cell Activation | 126 |
| 1. Thymus-Dependent Antigens | 126 |
| C. Macrophage Independence of Thymus-Independent Antigens and Mitogens | 127 |
| 2. Mechanisms of Action of Macrophages on Lymphocyte Activation | 129 |
| A. The Role of Macrophages in the Binding, Processing and Presentation of Stimulants to Lymphocytes | 130 |
| 1. Antigen Binding | 130 |
| 2. Antigen Processing | 130 |
| 3. Antigen Presentation | 136 |
| a) The role of macrophage-lymphocyte contact in T lymphocyte activation | 136 |
| b) The role of macrophage-lymphocyte contact in B cell activation .. | 139 |
| B. Production of Soluble Mediators by Macrophages | 141 |
| 1. Lymphocyte-Activating Factor | 141 |
| 2. Synthetic Compounds that Can Replace Macrophages | 142 |
| 3. Sheep Erythrocyte-Solubilizing Factor | 142 |
| C. Role of Macrophages in Suppressing Lymphocyte Activation | 143 |
| 1. Suppressive Factors Elaborated by Macrophages | 147 |
| D. Comparison of the Effects of Macrophages and Other Cell Types on Lymphocyte Activation | 148 |
| VI. General Mechanisms of Lymphocyte Activation | 149 |
| 1. The Effect of Activating Agents on the Lymphocyte Surface | 149 |
| 2. Early Biochemical Events Related to Lymphocyte Activation | 150 |
| 3. Hypothetical Mechanisms of B and T-Lymphocyte Activation | 152 |
| A. B Cell Activation. The Second Signal Hypothesis and the Role of C3 .. | 153 |
| B. T Cell Activation. The Role of the Macrophage and Cell Surfaces .. | 154 |
| VII. Summary and Conclusions | 156 |
| Acknowledgments | 158 |
| Abbreviations | 158 |
| References | 159 |
| <i>Lymphocyte Activation</i> | |
| H. J. WEDNER and C. W. PARKER, St. Louis, Mo. | 195 |
| I. Introduction | 197 |
| II. Lymphocyte-Activating Agents | 198 |
| A. Antigens | 198 |
| B. Plant Mitogens | 199 |
| C. Bacterial Products | 202 |
| D. Periodate | 202 |
| E. Ions of Heavy Metals | 204 |
| F. Proteolytic Enzymes | 206 |
| G. Antibodies Directed toward Lymphocyte Surface Antigens | 208 |
| H. Ionophores | 209 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| I. Combinations of Mitogenic Agents | 209 |
| III. Problems in the Study of Biochemical Changes in Activated Lymphocytes | 210 |
| A. Lymphocyte Heterogeneity, Incomplete Responses, and Nonsynchrony in Activation | 210 |
| B. Restimulation | 213 |
| C. High Dose Inhibition | 214 |
| D. Accessory Cells and Cell-Cell Interactions | 214 |
| E. Serum Proteins and Other Components of the Medium | 217 |
| F. Substances Secreted during Stimulation | 218 |
| IV. Lymphocyte Activation as a Cell Surface Phenomenon | 218 |
| V. Biochemical Changes in Activated Lymphocytes | 219 |
| A. Changes Associated with the External Plasma Membrane | 219 |
| 1. Sodium and Potassium Ion Transport | 219 |
| 2. Calcium Ion Transport | 222 |
| 3. Amino Acid Transport | 224 |
| 4. Carbohydrate Transport | 226 |
| 5. Nucleoside Transport | 228 |
| 6. Glycoprotein, Glycopeptide and Glycolipid Metabolism | 229 |
| 7. Lipid Metabolism | 231 |
| 8. Protein Phosphorylation | 235 |
| 9. Dynamic Membrane Changes | 236 |
| 10. Summary of Early Changes in Plasma Membrane Function and Metabolism | 238 |
| B. Cytoplasmic Changes | 239 |
| 1. Protein Phosphorylation | 239 |
| 2. Protein Synthesis | 239 |
| 3. Carbohydrate Metabolism | 241 |
| 4. Miscellaneous Cytoplasmic Enzymes | 242 |
| C. Nuclear Changes | 243 |
| 1. Histone Metabolism | 244 |
| 2. Acidic Protein Metabolism | 245 |
| D. RNA Metabolism | 246 |
| 1. Overall RNA Synthesis | 246 |
| 2. Attempts to Demonstrate New Species of RNA | 247 |
| 3. Polyadenylic Acid-Rich RNA | 248 |
| 4. Transfer RNA Metabolism | 248 |
| 5. Polyamines | 249 |
| 6. Nucleotide Metabolism | 250 |
| 7. RNA Polymerase | 251 |
| 8. Nuclear Template Activity | 252 |
| E. DNA Metabolism | 252 |
| 1. DNA Polymerase | 252 |
| 2. Nucleoside Metabolism | 253 |
| 3. Problems in Interpretation | 254 |
| VI. Cyclic Nucleotide Metabolism in Activated Lymphocytes | 255 |
| A. Reasons for Suspecting Cyclic Nucleotide Involvement during Activation | 255 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| B. Lectin Effects on Lymphocyte cAMP and cGMP Concentrations | 257 |
| C. Effects of cAMP and cGMP on Lymphocyte Metabolism | 260 |
| D. Studies in Subcellular Fractions | 264 |
| E. Studies of Antigen Activation | 264 |
| F. Involvement of Cyclic Nucleotides in T and B Cell Differentiation | 266 |
| G. Evidence for cAMP Compartmentalization in Human Lymphocytes | 266 |
| 1. Additivity Studies | 266 |
| 2. cAMP Immunofluorescence Studies | 267 |
| 3. Studies with Isolated Subcellular Fractions | 269 |
| H. Possible Models for Cyclic Nucleotide Action in Lymphocyte Activation | 270 |
| VII. Concluding Remarks | 273 |
| References | 274 |
| <i>Polymorphonuclear Leukocyte Lysosomes and Immune Tissue Injury</i> | |
| I. M. GOLDSTEIN, New York, N.Y. | 301 |
| I. Introduction | 302 |
| A. Polymorphonuclear Leukocytes in Immune Tissue Injury | 302 |
| II. The Lysosomal System of Polymorphonuclear Leukocytes | 303 |
| A. Origin and Content of Polymorphonuclear Leukocyte Lysosomes | 303 |
| B. Function of Polymorphonuclear Leukocyte Lysosomes | 305 |
| III. Lysosomal Constituents as Inflammatory Mediators | 306 |
| A. Acid Proteases | 307 |
| B. Neutral Proteases | 308 |
| 1. Human Leukocyte Elastase-Like Esterase | 309 |
| 2. Human Leukocyte Collagenase | 310 |
| 3. Neutral Proteases and Human Disease | 311 |
| C. Nonenzymatic Inflammatory Mediators | 312 |
| D. Lysosomal Constituents and Other Inflammatory Mediator Systems | 313 |
| 1. Complement and Mediators of Chemotaxis | 313 |
| 2. Leukokinins and Bradykinin | 315 |
| 3. Coagulation System | 317 |
| 4. Fibrinolytic System | 318 |
| 5. Histamine | 319 |
| IV. Release of Polymorphonuclear Leukocyte Lysosomal Constituents | 319 |
| A. 'Regurgitation during Feeding' | 321 |
| B. 'Reverse Endocytosis' | 321 |
| C. Complement-Mediated Lysosomal Enzyme Release | 323 |
| V. Pharmacologic Modulation of Lysosomal Enzyme Release | 324 |
| A. Pharmacologic Modulation of Complement-Mediated Lysosomal Enzyme Release | 326 |
| B. Other Factors which Influence Lysosomal Enzyme Release | 327 |
| 1. Cation Requirements for Lysosomal Enzyme Release | 328 |
| VI. Summary and Conclusions | 329 |
| References | 329 |

Development and Function of Subpopulations of Thymocytes and T Lymphocytes

HARVEY CANTOR¹ and IRVING WEISSMAN²

Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Farber Cancer Center, Boston, Mass., and Department of Pathology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, Calif.

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| I. Introduction | 2 |
| II. Identification and Characterization of Thymic Precursors | 3 |
| A. Bone Marrow Origin of Thymic Precursor Cells | 3 |
| 1. Rate of Entry of Precursor Cells into the Thymus | 4 |
| 2. Differentiation of Thymic Precursors in Allogeneic Hosts | 4 |
| B. Evidence from Thymic Precursor Activity outside Bone Marrow | 5 |
| 1. Precursor Activity in Peripheral Tissues | 5 |
| 2. Does a Portion of Thymic Precursor Cell Activity Develop in the Thymus <i>in situ</i> ? | 6 |
| C. Identification and Separation of Thymic Precursors from Multipotential Stem Cells in Marrow | 7 |
| D. Where Does Induction Occur? | 9 |
| III. Cellular Proliferation and Maturation within the Thymus | 10 |
| A. Anatomy of the Thymus | 10 |
| B. Evidence for Alternative Maturation Pathways within the Thymus | 12 |
| 1. Cellular Proliferation in the Thymus | 12 |
| 2. Cell Migration within the Thymus | 13 |
| C. Properties of Thymus Subpopulations at Different Stages of Intrathymic Maturation | 14 |
| 1. Long-Lived, Nondividing Thymocyte Subpopulations | 14 |
| 2. Cell Surface Antigens | 15 |
| 3. Cell Size and Surface Antigenicity | 16 |
| 4. Cell Density and Surface Antigenicity | 17 |
| 5. Other Markers | 18 |
| D. Regulation of Intrathymic Maturation | 18 |
| 1. Supported in part by NIH Grant No. AI-12184-01. | |
| 2. Faculty Research Award, American Cancer Society, New York. Supported in part by USPHS Grant AI-09072. | |

| | |
|--|----|
| IV. Thymus Cell Migration to the Peripheral Tissues | 19 |
| V. Thymocyte Maturation and Generation of Diversity | 23 |
| A. Acquisition of Antigen Receptors | 23 |
| B. Maintenance in Periphery | 24 |
| VI. Identification of Peripheral T Cells | 25 |
| VII. Subpopulations of T Lymphocytes | 27 |
| A. Are there Peripheral T Cells at Different Stages of Post-Thymic Maturation? | 27 |
| 1. The Effects of Adult Thymectomy on Peripheral T Cells | 27 |
| 2. The Effects of Thymic Products on Peripheral T Cells | 29 |
| 3. The Ontogeny of Peripheral T Cells | 30 |
| B. Life Span and Homing Properties of T Cells | 31 |
| C. Mitogens as Probes for T Cell Subsets | 34 |
| VIII. Evidence for Interactions between Subpopulations of T Cells Mediating Cellular Immune Responses | 35 |
| A. Transplantation Immunity - Experimental Models | 35 |
| 1. Mixed Lymphocyte Response | 35 |
| 2. Graft-versus-Host Response | 36 |
| 3. Cytotoxic Response | 38 |
| B. Evidence for T-T Interaction | 39 |
| 1. In the Mixed Lymphocyte Response | 39 |
| 2. In the Graft-versus-Host Response | 41 |
| 3. In the Production of Cytotoxic Responses | 43 |
| IX. Regulation of the Immune Response by Subclasses of T Cells | 47 |
| A. Regulatory Interactions between Spleen and Lymph Node-Seeking T Cells | 47 |
| B. Regulatory Effects of Mitogen-Activated T Cells | 48 |
| C. Identification of Precursors of Cytotoxic Cells and Helper Cells Distinguished by Different Ly Antigens | 49 |
| References | 50 |

I. Introduction

There is a large body of experimental evidence delineating subpopulations of thymus cells on the basis of size, surface antigens, proliferative potential, susceptibility to various physical and chemical agents, and immunological function. There is also increasing evidence that the thymus may give rise to functionally distinct subpopulations of peripheral T cells. In this review, we shall attempt to define thymus and T cell subpopulations in terms of functional and intermitotic life span, distinctive surface characteristics, anatomic locale, homing properties, and immunologic function in the production of cell-mediated and humoral immunity. We do not attempt an exhaustive review of the literature, but limit our analysis to two key aspects

of the problem: the intrathymic maturation sequence, and the existence of (and interaction between) peripheral T cell subpopulations.

We attempted to complete the literature review by mid 1973, and to limit the references to papers which were most definitive for the topics in question. Necessarily, we excluded papers which contributed to, but were not central to our discussion, and also probably missed several papers central to our topic by misadventure.

II. Identification and Characterization of Thymic Precursors

A. Bone Marrow Origin of Thymic Precursor Cells

Much of our current understanding of the development of the thymus derives from early experiments on the role of the thymus in murine leukemogenesis [88, 128, 143, 154]. Two systems were initially studied – spontaneous leukemogenesis in AKR and C58 mice, and radiation-induced leukemias in C57Bl and A strain mice. In the course of studying the mechanisms involved in radiation-induced C57Bl leukemia, KAPLAN and co-workers [29, 129] noted that whole body sublethal doses of irradiation led to rapid involution of the thymus, and that rapid regeneration of thymus weight and architecture could be induced by thigh shielding [29] or injection of syngeneic bone marrow cells [29], but not by injection of thymocytes or implantation of muscle [29]. Cytogenetic evidence that most mitotic cells in the regenerating thymus were indeed derived from bone marrow was provided by FORD *et al.* [81] using (allogenic) cells bearing a cytogenetic marker, T_6 [43], and GENGOZIAN *et al.* [89] using rat bone marrow cells identified in the thymus by both cytogenetic and thymus antigen markers. However, it remained possible that these donor cells proliferated in the host thymus as a result of stimulation by host transplantation antigens. Definitive proof of proliferating donor BM cells in a syngeneic host thymus awaited the development of a line of CBA mice bearing the T_6 chromosome marker. In this model, syngeneic chromosomally marked donor bone marrow cells were observed to divide in irradiated host thymuses in the regenerative phase [82]. Since over 95% of thymic lymphocytes are derived from recently dividing cells (see sect. III), observation of chromosome markers identifiable only in mitotically active cells is useful for studying thymus regeneration. Unirradiated thymus *grafts*, which undergo an initial phase of cell death followed by a regenerative phase, also show host-type mitotic cells in the majority by 2–3

weeks [112, 157] derived from BM precursors [65]. In the irradiated host injected with bone marrow cells, the cells in the regenerating thymus express the genetic potential of the donor and not the host, at least with regard to the specificity in the induction of graft-versus-host (GVH) disease [182] and the expression of thymus-specific alloantigens [180, 201] and heteroantigens [89].

1. Rate of Entry of Precursor Cells into the Thymus

Only a few experiments have been performed in which the entry and proliferation of bone marrow precursors into the uninjured thymus under relatively physiological conditions have been assayed. FORD *et al.* [83] irradiated the lower quarter of mice and then transfused them with syngeneic T₆ marked bone marrow which rapidly colonized the irradiated femoral, but not the unirradiated humeral marrow cavities. Donor-type mitoses were first apparent in the thymus at 5 weeks, reaching maximum values at about 9 weeks. Similarly, unirradiated CBA hosts were transfused with syngeneic T₆ marked bone marrow cells [160]. Donor mitoses rapidly appeared in bone marrow, but donor mitotic cells were not apparent in the thymus until 4 weeks postinfusion; their levels rising until at least 12 weeks. In another approach, BRAHIM and OSMOND [28] pulse-labeled bone marrow by a single intracavitary injection of ³H-TdR into the femorae and tibiae of guinea pigs (while clamping off the venous return and simultaneously injecting ³HTdR into the heart), and found substantial numbers (1.8%) of labeled polymorphonuclear granulocytes appearing in the blood 2–5 days later. Very few labeled cells (at most 16 per whole thymus section) were seen in the thymus in the ensuing 12 days; those were localized preferentially in the juxtamedullary cortex. These studies suggest that although bone marrow serves as a source of cells capable of proliferation in the thymus, and that although these cells are important in the relatively rapid repopulation of the experimentally involuted thymus, their rate of immigration into the intact thymus in normal animals is quite low. It has been reported that massive antigenic stimulation may slightly, but significantly accelerate this inflow of bone marrow cells in the intact host [161].

2. Differentiation of Thymic Precursors in Allogeneic Hosts

A related question of some clinical importance involves whether stem cells are capable of differentiating to thymocytes and immunocompetent T lymphocytes in allogeneic, immunosuppressed hosts. Although there is good evidence that this differentiation does occur [98], it is not clear whether immunocompetent cells reactive to the transplantation antigens of the host are