Intersections



0

INTERSECTIONS: READINGS IN SOCIOLOGY

A CUSTOMIZED SOCIOLOGY READER

COMPILED BY

Kathryn H. Edwards Ashland Community College Introduction to Sociology

PEARSON CUSTOM PUBLISHIN

Director of Database Publishing: Michael Payne

Sponsoring Editor: Karen Usdan

Development Editor: Colby R. Lawrence

Marketing: Annabel Cellini

Operations Manager: Eric M. Kenney

Copyeditor: Lauren Keller Johnson

Proofreaders: Amy Krammes (Paperback Writer Communications)

Leonard Paul Krisak

Keyer: Carole Chu

Desktop Publisher: Jackie Davies

Designer: Julie Gecha

Cover Design: Seamus Culligan

Composition and Layout: Lorraine M. Hamwey

Copyright © 2003 by Pearson Custom Publishing. All rights reserved.

Cover Art: "Apartments in Buenos Aires, Argentina," Courtesy of Glen Allison/ Photo Disc, Inc.

This copyright covers material written expressly for this volume by the editor/s as well as the composition itself. It does not cover the individual selections herein that first appeared elsewhere. Permission to reprint these has only been obtained by Pearson Custom Publishing for this edition only. Further reproduction by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, must be arranged with the individual copyright holders noted.

Printed in the United States of America

10987654321

ISBN: 0536623996

PEARSON CUSTOM PUBLISHING

75 Arlington Street, Suite #300/ Boston, MA 02116 Pearson Education Group

Preface

The fascination of sociology lies in the fact that its perspectives make us see in a new light the very world in which we have lived all our lives . . .

Peter Berger

Pearson Custom Publishing and General Editors Ralph McNeal and Kathleen Tiemann are proud to bring you *Intersections: Readings in Sociology*.

Our highest goal in the creation of *Intersections* is that it does, in fact, assist you in capturing that 'fascination of sociology' Peter Berger refers to above and which so many of us, as teachers, want to impart to our students. A traditional way of doing this has been to expose students to central sociological ideas and examples of sociology in action through a book of readings. While *Intersections* is a reader, it is anything but traditional due to the way it is being provided to you.

With Intersections, we have endeavored to provide you with a rich and diverse archive of high quality readings in such a way that both professors and students will have easy and cost-effective access to the minds and ideas that illuminate and help explain some of the central ideas and issues of sociology. Within Intersections you will find over 300 readings and 19 topical introductions—both of which we will be updated and expanded yearly—from which you can choose only those readings and introductions that are germane to your particular course. No longer will you and your students have to be dependent on the standard large and expensive 'one-sizefits-all' college reader, which often includes more material than will be covered in the course, yet often also lacks those particular pieces that are viewed as essential by individual instructors. In addition, a classification system for each selection provides helpful information on how the selections might be organized to allow the various perspectives on the course to be pursued. Although the primary course for which Intersections was developed is the introductory sociology course, the size and quality of the database may also make it a good resource for a variety of other courses such as social problems, marriage and family, and gender studies.

However it is used, it is our ultimate hope that you will find *Intersections* to be an essential source of readings in sociology—a source noted for its depth, breadth, and flexibility—that meets the highest scholarly and pedagogical standards.

Acknowledgements

A project of this scope cannot be undertaken without the assistance and advice of our colleagues. We wish to thank the following people who helped us tremendously in the development of Intersections.

Andrew Scott Ziner (Cedar Crest College) edited the Health and Medicine and Life Course and Aging sections of Intersections; Charles M. Brown (Ohio University) edited the Religion section; David A. Merrill (University of Wisconsin) edited both Politics and Work and the Economy; and Donald Branson (University of Connecticut) edited the Environment section. Each of these fields required the back-

ground and expertise of these colleagues and their contributions have strengthened the project tremendously.

In addition, the project idea and execution was reviewed several times as it was being developed, and each of the following provided valuable feedback and suggestions, which strengthened the project greatly.

Victor Agadjanian—Arizona State University

Kathleen Almquist-Mesa Community College-

Robert Althauser-Indiana University

Felix M. Berardo-University of Florida

Dennis F. Berg-California State University, Fullerton

David L. Brunsma-University of Alabama, Huntsville

Russ Buenteo-University of South Florida

Thomas Calhoun—University of Nebraska

Ione Y. DeOllos—Ball State University

Michael Dreiling-University of Oregon

Gregory Elliott-Brown University

Morten G. Ender-United States Military Academy

Polly A. Fassinger—Concordia College

Charles Faupel—Auburn University

Celestino Fernández-University of Arizona

Lisa Frehill-New Mexico State University

Robert Futrell-University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Susan G. Greenwood—University of Maine

James Hawdon—Clemson University

Laura Hecht—California State University, Bakersfield

Shirley A. Hill-University of Kansas

Diane Levy-University of North Carolina, Wilmington

Philip Luck—Georgia State University

Kristy McNamara—Furman University

Carrie Uihlein Nilles—Marshall University

Milagros Peña—University of Florida

Karl Pfeiffer-University of Alaska

Mail Fielder Offiversity of Haska

Mary F. Radosh—Western Illinois University

Terry Reuther—Anoka-Ramsey Community College

John Reynolds-Florida State University

Constance L. Shehan—University of Florida

Sue Spivey—James Madison University

John Stolte—Northern Illinois University

Peter Taylor—Colorado State University

L. Susan Williams—Kansas State University

R. Dean Wright—Drake University

Mary Lou Wylie—James Madison University

Andrew Scott Ziner—Cedar Crest College

We welcome your feedback at any time on *Intersections*. Please send comments and suggestions to www.dbasepub@pearsoncustom.com.

INTERSECTIONS: READINGS IN SOCIOLOGY

GENERAL EDITORS

Ralph B. McNeal, Jr. University of Connecticut

Kathleen A. Tiemann University of North Dakota

CONTENTS S

The Promise	
C. Wright Mills	1
The Credit Card: Private Troubles and Public Issues George Ritzer	13
How History and Sociology Can Help Today's Families Stephanie Coontz	38
The Nature of Symbolic Interactionism Herbert Blumer	58
Barbie Doll Culture and the American Waistland Kamy Cunningham	64
Appalachian Culture Kai Erikson	70
The Looking-Glass Self Charles Horton Cooley	82
The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life Erving Goffman	87
Characteristics of Bureaucracy Max Weber	98
Behavioral Study of Obedience Stanley Milgram	102
Positive Functions of Undeserving Poor: Uses of the Underclass in America Herbert J. Gans	110
11c10c1i J. Udlis	119

The Power Elite C. Wright Mills	136
Why the Rich are Getting Richer and the Poor Poorer Robert Reich	147
Reflections on Racial Patterns in America Ronald T. Takaki	157
A Different Mirror Ronald T. Takaki	171
Manifesto of the Communist Party Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels	188
The Way We Weren't: The Myth and Reality of the "Traditional" Family Stephanie Coontz	201
Love, American Style Lisa E. Phillips	210
Recreating Motherhood Barbara Katz Rothman	215
The Men We Carry in Our Minds Scott Russell Sanders	225
If Men Could Menstruate Gloria Steinem	231
Female Sexuality and the Beauty Myth Naomi Wolf	235
In Search of the Sacred Barbara Kantrowitz	242
Contribution to the Critique of Hegel's Philosophy of Right Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels	249
The McDonaldization of Society George Ritzer	255
Writing McDonald's, Eating the Past: McDonald's as a Postmodern Space Allen Shelton	272

The Dromise

C. WRIGHT MILLS

People are often quick to blame others for their misfortunes. However, C. Wright Mills argues that the only way to truly understand people's behavior is to examine the social context in which the behavior occurs. In other words, Mills believes that we need a quality of mind that he calls the sociological imagination. By using sociological imagination, we learn how social, historical, cultural, economic, and political factors influence the choices that people make and the ways in which they live their lives. As you read this article, think about how the larger social context has shaped your own choices over the course of your life.

owadays men often feel that their private lives are a series of traps. The sense that within their everyday worlds, they cannot overcome their troubles, and in this feeling, they are often quite correct: What ordinary men are directly aware of and what they try to do are bounded by the private orbits in which they live; their visions and their powers are limited to the close-up scenes of job, family, neighborhood; in other milieux, they move vicariously and remain spectators. And the more aware they become, however vaguely, of ambitions and of threats which transcend their immediate locales, the more trapped they seem to feel.

Underlying this sense of being trapped are seemingly impersonal changes in the very structure of continent-wide societies. The facts of contemporary history are also facts about the success and the failure of individual men and women. When a society is industrialized, a

[&]quot;The Promise," by C. Wright Mills, reprinted from *The Sociological Imagination*, 1959. Copyright © by Oxford University Press. pp. 3–24.

peasant becomes a worker; a feudal lord is liquidated or becomes a businessman. When classes rise or fall, a man is employed or unemployed; when the rate of investment goes up or down, a man takes new heart or goes broke. When wars happen, an insurance salesman becomes a rocket launcher; a store clerk, a radar man; a wife lives alone; a child grows up without a father. Neither the life of an individual nor the history of a society can be understood without understanding both.

Yet men do not usually define the troubles they endure in terms of historical change and institutional contradiction. The well-being they enjoy, they do not usually impute to the big ups and downs of the societies in which they live. Seldom aware of the intricate connection between the patterns of their own lives and the course of world history, ordinary men do not usually know what this connection means for the kinds of men they are becoming and for the kinds of history-making in which they might take part. They do not possess the quality of mind essential to grasp the interplay of man and society, of biography and history, of self and world. They cannot cope with their personal troubles in such ways as to control the structural transformations that usually lie behind them.

Surely it is no wonder. In what period have so many men been so totally exposed at so fast a pace to such earthquakes of change? That Americans have not known such catastrophic changes as have the men and women of other societies is due to historical facts that are now quickly becoming "merely history." The history that now affects every man is world history. Within this scene and this period, in the course of a single generation, one sixth of mankind is transformed from all that is feudal and backward into all that is modern, advanced, and fearful. Political colonies are freed; new and less visible forms of imperialism installed. Revolutions occur; men feel the intimate grip of new kinds of authority. Totalitarian societies rise, and are smashed to bits—or succeed fabulously. After two centuries of ascendancy, capitalism is shown up as only one way to make society into an industrial apparatus. After two centuries of hope, even formal democracy is restricted to a quite small portion of mankind.

THE PROMISE

Everywhere in the underdeveloped world, ancient ways of life are broken up and vague expectations become urgent demands. Everywhere in the overdeveloped world, the means of authority and of violence become total in scope and bureaucratic in form. Humanity itself now lies before us, the super-nation at either pole concentrating its most co-ordinated and massive efforts upon the preparation of World War Three.

The very shaping of history now outpaces the ability of men to orient themselves in accordance with cherished values. And which values? Even when they do not panic, men often sense that older ways of feeling and thinking have collapsed and the newer beginnings are ambiguous to the point of moral stasis. Is it any wonder that ordinary men feel they cannot cope with the larger worlds with which they are so suddenly confronted? That they cannot understand the meaning of their epoch for their own lives? That—in defense of self-hood—they become morally insensible, trying to remain altogether private men? Is it any wonder that they come to be possessed by a sense of the trap?

It is not only information that they need—in this Age of Fact, information often dominates their attention and overwhelms their capacities to assimilate it. It is not only the skills of reason that they need—although their struggles to acquire these often exhaust their limited moral energy.

What they need, and what they feel they need, is a quality of mind that will help them to use information and to develop reason in order to achieve lucid summations of what is going on in the world and of what may be happening within themselves. It is this quality, I am going to contend, that journalists and scholars, artists and publics, scientists and editors are coming to expect of what may be called the sociological imagination.

The sociological imagination enables its possessor to understand the larger historical scene in terms of its meaning for the inner life and the external career of a variety of individuals. It enables him to take into account how individuals, in the welter of their daily experience, often become falsely conscious of their social positions. Within that welter, the framework of modern society is sought, and within that framework the psychologies of a variety of men and women are formulated. By such means the personal uneasiness of individuals is focused upon explicit troubles and the indifference of publics is transformed into involvement with public issues.

The first fruit of this imagination—and the first lesson of the social science that embodies it—is the idea that the individual can understand his own experience and gauge his own fate only by locating himself within his period, that he can know his own chances in life only by becoming aware of those of all individuals in his circumstances. In many ways it is a terrible lesson; in many ways a magnificent one. We do not know the limits of man's capacities for supreme effort or willing degradation, for agony or glee, for pleasurable brutality or the sweetness of reason. But in our time we have come to know that the limits of "human nature" are frighteningly broad. We have come to know that every individual lives, from one generation to the next, in some society; that he lives out a biography, and that he lives it out within some historical sequence. By the fact of his living he contributes, however minutely, to the shaping of this society and to the course of its history, even as he is made by society and by its historical push and shove.

The sociological imagination enables us to grasp history and biography and the relations between the two within society. That is its task and its promise. To recognize this task and this promise is the mark of the classic social analyst. It is characteristic of Herbert Spencer—turgid, polysyllabic, comprehensive; of E. A. Ross—graceful, muckraking, upright; of Auguste Comte and Emile Durkheim; of the intricate and subtle Karl Mannheim. It is the quality of all that is intellectually excellent in Karl Marx; it is the clue to Thorstein Veblen's brilliant and ironic insight, to Joseph Schumpeter's many-sided constructions of reality; it is the basis of the psychological sweep of W. E. H. Lecky no less than of the profundity and clarity of

Max Weber. And it is the signal of what is best in contemporary studies of man and society.

No special study that does not come back to the problems of biography, of history and of their intersections within a society has completed its intellectual journey. Whatever the specific problems of the classic social analysts, however limited or however broad the features of social reality they have examined, those who have been imaginatively aware of the promise of their work have consistently asked three sorts of questions:

- (1) What is the structure of this particular society as a whole? What are its essential components, and how are they related to one another? How does it differ from other varieties of social order? Within it, what is the meaning of any particular feature for its continuance and for its change?
- (2) Where does this society stand in human history? What are the mechanics by which it is changing? What is its place within and its meaning for the development of humanity as a whole? How does any particular feature we are examining affect, and how is it affected by, the historical period in which it moves? And this period—what are its essential features? How does it differ from other periods? What are its characteristic ways of history-making?
- (3) What varieties of men and women now prevail in this society and in this period? And what varieties are coming to prevail? In what ways are they selected and formed, liberated and repressed, made sensitive and blunted? What kinds of "human nature" are revealed in the conduct and character we observe in this society in this period? And what is the meaning for "human nature" of each and every feature of the society we are examining?

Whether the point of interest is a great power state or a minor literary mood, a family, a prison, a creed—these are the kinds of questions the best social analysts have asked. They are the intellectual pivots of classic studies of man in society—and they are the equations inevitably raised by any mind possessing the sociological imagination. For that imagination is the capacity to shift from one perspective to another—from the political to the psychological; from exami-

● THE PROMISE ●

nation of a single family to comparative assessment of the national budgets of the world; from the theological school to the military establishment; from considerations of an oil industry to studies of contemporary poetry. It is the capacity to range from the most impersonal and remote transformations to the most intimate features of the human self—and to see the relations between the two. Back of its use there is always the urge to know the social and historical meaning of the individual in the society and in the period in which he has his quality and his being.

That, in brief, is why it is by means of the sociological imagination that men now hope to grasp what is going on in the world, and to understand what is happening in themselves as minute points of the intersections of biography and history within society. In large part, contemporary man's self-conscious view of himself as at least an outsider, if not a permanent stranger, rests upon an absorbed realization of social relativity and of the transformative power of history. The sociological imagination is the most fruitful form of this self-consciousness. By its use men whose mentalities have swept only a series of limited orbits often come to feel as if suddenly awakened in a house with which they had only supposed themselves to be familiar. Correctly or incorrectly, they often come to feel that they can now provide themselves with adequate summations, cohesive assessments, comprehensive orientations. Older decisions that once appeared sound now seem to them products of a mind unaccountably dense. Their capacity for astonishment is made lively again. They acquire a new way of thinking, they experience a transvaluation of values: in a word, by their reflection and by their sensibility, they realize the cultural meaning of the social sciences.

Perhaps the most fruitful distinction with which the sociological imagination works is between "the personal troubles of milieu" and "the public issues of social structure." This distinction is an essential tool of the sociological imagination and a feature of all classic work in social science.

Troubles occur within the character of the individual and within the range of his immediate relations with others; they have to do with his self and with those limited areas of social life of which he is directly and personally aware. Accordingly, the statement and the resolution of troubles properly lie within the individual as a biographical entity and within the scope of his immediate milieu—the social setting that is directly open to his personal experience and to some extent his willful activity. A trouble is a private matter: values cherished by an individual are felt by him to be threatened.

Issues have to do with matters that transcend these local environments of the individual and the range of his inner life. They have to do with the organization of many such milieux into the institutions of an historical society as a whole, with the ways in which various milieux overlap and interpenetrate to form the larger structure of social and historical life. An issue is a public matter: some value cherished by publics is felt to be threatened. Often there is a debate about what that value really is and about what it is that really threatens it. This debate is often without focus if only because it is the very nature of an issue, unlike even widespread trouble, that it cannot very well be defined in terms of the immediate and everyday environments of ordinary men. An issue, in fact, often involves a crisis in institutional arrangements, and often too it involves what Marxists call "contradictions" or "antagonisms."

In these terms, consider unemployment. When, in a city of 100,000, only one man is unemployed, that is his personal trouble, and for its relief we properly look to the character of the man, his skills, and his immediate opportunities. But when in a nation of 50 million employees, 15 million men are unemployed, that is an issue, and we may not hope to find its solution within the range of opportunities open to any one individual. The very structure of opportunities has collapsed. Both the correct statement of the problem and the range of possible solutions require us to consider the economic and political institutions of the society, and not merely the personal situation and character of a scatter of individuals.

THE PROMISE

Consider war. The personal problem of war, when it occurs, may be how to survive it or how to die in it with honor; how to make money out of it; how to climb into the higher safety of the military apparatus; or how to contribute to the war's termination. In short, according to one's values, to find a set of milieux and within it to survive the war or make one's death in it meaningful. But the structural issues of war have to do with its causes; with what types of men it throws up into command; with its effects upon economic and political, family and religious institutions, with the unorganized irresponsibility of a world of nation-states.

Consider marriage. Inside a marriage a man and a woman may experience personal troubles, but when the divorce rate during the first four years of marriage is 250 out of every 1,000 attempts, this is an indication of a structural issue having to do with the institutions of marriage and the family and other institutions that bear upon them.

Or consider the metropolis—the horrible, beautiful, ugly, magnificent sprawl of the great city. For many upper-class people, the personal solution to "the problem of the city" is to have an apartment with private garage under it in the heart of the city, and forty miles out, a house by Henry Hill, garden by Garrett Eckbo, on a hundred acres of private land. In these two controlled environments—with a small staff at each end and a private helicopter connection—most people could solve many of the problems of personal milieux caused by the facts of the city. But all this, however splendid, does not solve the public issues that the structural fact of the city poses. What should be done with this wonderful monstrosity? Break it all up into scattered units, combining residence and work? Refurbish it as it stands? Or, after evacuation, dynamite it and build new cities according to new plans in new places? What should those plans be? And who is to decide and to accomplish whatever choice is made? These are structural issues; to confront them and to solve them requires us to consider political and economic issues that affect innumerable milieux

THE PROMISE O

In so far as an economy is so arranged that slumps occur, the problem of unemployment becomes incapable of personal solution. In so far as war is inherent in the nation-state system and in the uneven industrialization of the world, the ordinary individual in his restricted milieu will be powerless—with or without psychiatric aid—to solve the troubles this system or lack of system imposes upon him. In so far as the family as an institution turns women into darling little slaves and men into their chief providers and unweaned dependents, the problem of a satisfactory marriage remains incapable of a purely private solution. In so far as the overdeveloped megalopolis and the overdeveloped automobile are built-in features of the overdeveloped society, the issues of urban living will not be solved by personal ingenuity and private wealth.

What we experience in various and specific milieux, I have noted, is often caused by structural changes. Accordingly, to understand the changes of many personal milieux we are required to look beyond them. And the number and variety of such structural changes increase as the institutions within which we live become more embracing and more intricately connected with one another. To be aware of the idea of social structure and to use it with sensibility is to be capable of tracing such linkages among a great variety of milieux. To be able to do that is to possess the sociological imagination.

What are the major issues for publics and the key troubles of private individuals in our time? To formulate issues and troubles, we must ask what values are cherished yet threatened, and what values are cherished and supported, by the characterizing trends of our period. In the case both of threat and of support we must ask what salient contradictions of structure may be involved.

When people cherish some set of values and do not feel any threat to them, they experience *well-being*. When they cherish values but *do* feel them to be threatened, they experience a crisis—either as a personal trouble or as a public issue. And if all their values seem involved, they feel the total threat of panic.