# GANG INJUNCTIONS AND ABATEMENT


Using Civil
Remedies
to Curb
Gang-Related
Crimes



Matthew D. O'Deane








# GANG INJUNCTIONS AND ABATEMENT

Using Civil Remedies to Curb Gang-Related Crimes

Matthew D. O'Deane





CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group 6000 Broken Sound Parkway NW, Suite 300 Boca Raton, FL 33487-2742

© 2012 by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC CRC Press is an imprint of Taylor & Francis Group, an Informa business

No claim to original U.S. Government works

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper Version Date: 20111107

International Standard Book Number: 978-1-4398-6787-7 (Hardback)

This book contains information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reasonable efforts have been made to publish reliable data and information, but the author and publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or the consequences of their use. The authors and publishers have attempted to trace the copyright holders of all material reproduced in this publication and apologize to copyright holders if permission to publish in this form has not been obtained. If any copyright material has not been acknowledged please write and let us know so we may rectify in any future reprint.

Except as permitted under U.S. Copyright Law, no part of this book may be reprinted, reproduced, transmitted, or utilized in any form by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publishers.

For permission to photocopy or use material electronically from this work, please access www.copyright.com (http://www.copyright.com/) or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400. CCC is a not-for-profit organization that provides licenses and registration for a variety of users. For organizations that have been granted a photocopy license by the CCC, a separate system of payment has been arranged.

Trademark Notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

O'Deane, Matthew David.

Gang injunctions and abatement : using civil remedies to curb gang-related crimes / author, Matthew D. O'Deane.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-4398-6787-7

1. Gang prevention--Law and legislation--United States. 2. Injunctions--United States. 1. Title.

KF9375.O34 2011 347.73'77--dc23

2011019275

Visit the Taylor & Francis Web site at http://www.taylorandfrancis.com

and the CRC Press Web site at http://www.crcpress.com

## About the Author

Dr. Matthew O'Deane has been a California police officer since 1992 when he was hired by the National City Police Department in southern California.

From 1992 to 2002 he investigated gang and narcotics cases on a daily basis. In May of 2002, he was hired as an Investigator for the San Diego County District Attorney's Office in the Bureau of Investigations where he has worked since, the majoritty of the time working cases while assigned to the Gang Prosecution Unit.

Dr. O'Deane earned his Ph.D. in Public Policy in 2007. He also has a master's degree in Public Administration, and bachelor's degree in Criminal Justice. Currently Dr. O'Deane is a professor for Kaplan University in the Masters of Criminal Justice Program and is also a professor for National University in the Criminal Justice Program.

He has also been a featured presenter at numerous national and international law enforcement and academic conferences speaking on the topic of gangs. This is the third book by Dr. O'Deane on the topic of gangs. He published the *Gang Investigator's Handbook* with Paladin Press in 2007 and *Gangs: Theory, Practice* and *Research with* LawTech Custom Publishing in 2010. Dr. O'Deane has also published articles for *Law Officer Magazine*, *Police Magazine*, and the *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin* in the past several years.

Dr. O'Deane created this book to help understand the dynamics of using civil laws to address the gang problem facing many of our communities. For more on the injunctions, including maps, photos, and research, go to http://www.crcpress.com/product/isbn/9781439867877

# **Contents**

List of Figu	resx	xix
List of Table	esxx	xiii
About the A	Authorxx	xvii
Chapter 1	Introduction	1
	Criminal Law versus Civil Law	3
	Public Nuisances	4
	What Is an Injunction or Abatement?	6
	The Gang Problem	8
	Definition of Terms	10
	Stage 1: At Risk or Peripheral	13
	Stage 2: Associates or Affiliates	14
	Stage 3: Gang Members	14
	Stage 4: Hard-Core Gang Members	15
	Stage 5: Gang Leaders	
	No Single Method Will Solve the Problem	17
Chapter 2	Steps 1 to 5 of the Injunction Process	21
	Step 1: Start with a Motivated and	
	Knowledgeable Prosecutor and Police Officer	21
	Step 2: Accurately Identify the Nuisance	
	Activity and the Problem	24
	Step 3: Identify the Defendants or Gang	
	to Be Named or Targeted by the Injunction	26
	What Are DOEs?	
	Step 4: Identify and Describe the Target	20
	Location, Target Area, or Safety Zone	. 33
	Gang Abatement at a Specific Location	
	Step 5: Collect Evidence	
	Not Enough Evidence: Consider an Eviction	
Chapter 3	Steps 6 to 10 of the Injunction Process	51
•		
	Step 6: Prepare the Order, Decide Which	<i>-</i> 1
	Type to Obtain	51
	Name the Gang, Name the Individuals,	50
	Name Both?	55
	Requirements for Issuance of Preliminary	
	Injunction	37

vi Contents

	Procedure for Obtaining Preliminary	
	Injunction: Order to Show Cause (OSC)	57
	Step 7: Obtain the Order, What Activity	
	Will You Restrict?	58
	The Narrowly Tailored Limitation on the	
	Scope of an Injunction	61
	Step 8: Notify the Defendants	
	The Yolo County Broderick Boys Case	
	Step 9: Final Disposition or Trial	
	Proposed Preliminary Injunction	
	Ex Parte Application for Order	
	to Show Cause	66
	Proposed Order to Show Cause	
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	
	in Support of Ex Parte Application and	
	Preliminary Injunction	67
	Obtaining Judgment Granting Permanent	
	Injunction	67
	Step 10: Enforce and Maintain the Order,	
	Educate the Police and Community	70
		72
Chapter 4	Literature and Policy	13
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response	74
Chapter 4	·	74
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response	74
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response Police Response Time	74 77
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response  Police Response Time  Category 2: Other Enforcement That Impacted	74 77
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response  Police Response Time  Category 2: Other Enforcement That Impacted Results	74 77
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response	74 77 79 83
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response	74 77 79 83
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response	74 77 79 83 84 85 86
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response	74 77 83 84 85 86 87
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response	74 77 83 84 85 86 87
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response	74 79 83 84 85 86 87 88
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response	74 79 83 84 85 86 87 88
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response Police Response Time Category 2: Other Enforcement That Impacted Results Category 3: Type of Gang Percentage of the Overall Gang Targeted with Injunction Targeting Gang Leaders Territoriality of the Gang Category 4: Type of Activity Is the Crime Collective or Individual? Category 5: Target Area Characteristics	74 77 83 84 85 86 87 88
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response	74 79 83 84 85 86 87 88
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response	74 79 83 84 85 86 87 88 89
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response Police Response Time Category 2: Other Enforcement That Impacted Results Category 3: Type of Gang Percentage of the Overall Gang Targeted with Injunction Targeting Gang Leaders Territoriality of the Gang Category 4: Type of Activity Is the Crime Collective or Individual? Category 5: Target Area Characteristics Percentage of Turf Enjoined and Displacement Economic Status of the Target Area	74 79 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 91 93 95
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response Police Response Time Category 2: Other Enforcement That Impacted Results Category 3: Type of Gang Percentage of the Overall Gang Targeted with Injunction Targeting Gang Leaders Territoriality of the Gang Category 4: Type of Activity Is the Crime Collective or Individual? Category 5: Target Area Characteristics Percentage of Turf Enjoined and Displacement Economic Status of the Target Area Zoning in Target Area	74 79 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 91 93 95 96
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response Police Response Time Category 2: Other Enforcement That Impacted Results Category 3: Type of Gang Percentage of the Overall Gang Targeted with Injunction Targeting Gang Leaders Territoriality of the Gang Category 4: Type of Activity Is the Crime Collective or Individual? Category 5: Target Area Characteristics Percentage of Turf Enjoined and Displacement Economic Status of the Target Area Zoning in Target Area Category 6: Type of Community	74 79 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 91 93 95 96 97
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response Police Response Time Category 2: Other Enforcement That Impacted Results Category 3: Type of Gang Percentage of the Overall Gang Targeted with Injunction Targeting Gang Leaders Territoriality of the Gang Category 4: Type of Activity Is the Crime Collective or Individual? Category 5: Target Area Characteristics Percentage of Turf Enjoined and Displacement Economic Status of the Target Area Zoning in Target Area Category 6: Type of Community Police-Initiated Injunctions Category 7: Type of Order Category 8: Maintenance of the Order	74 79 83 84 85 86 87 88 91 93 95 96 97 98
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response Police Response Time Category 2: Other Enforcement That Impacted Results Category 3: Type of Gang Percentage of the Overall Gang Targeted with Injunction Targeting Gang Leaders Territoriality of the Gang Category 4: Type of Activity Is the Crime Collective or Individual? Category 5: Target Area Characteristics Percentage of Turf Enjoined and Displacement Economic Status of the Target Area Zoning in Target Area Category 6: Type of Community Police-Initiated Injunctions Category 7: Type of Order	74 79 83 84 85 86 87 88 91 93 95 96 97 98
Chapter 4	Category 1: Police Response Police Response Time Category 2: Other Enforcement That Impacted Results Category 3: Type of Gang Percentage of the Overall Gang Targeted with Injunction Targeting Gang Leaders Territoriality of the Gang Category 4: Type of Activity Is the Crime Collective or Individual? Category 5: Target Area Characteristics Percentage of Turf Enjoined and Displacement Economic Status of the Target Area Zoning in Target Area Category 6: Type of Community Police-Initiated Injunctions Category 7: Type of Order Category 8: Maintenance of the Order	74 79 83 84 85 86 87 88 91 93 95 96 97 98 100 100

Contents

Cnapter 5	Gang Injunction and Gang Abatement Theory103
	Deterrence Theory: Increasing Risk
	and Punishment
	Old Town National City Example:
	Testing the Resolve of Police111
	Injunction Violations Help Prove Gang
	Membership112
	Additional Common Provisions113
	Association Theory: Group Processes and
	Negative Peer Influence116
	Frequency, Duration, and Intensity118
	Intimidating Witnesses121
	Environmental Theories: Target Area
	Environmental Factors
	Vandalism and Graffiti
	Broken Windows Theory 125
	Economic Theory: Strain Theorists 127
	Economic Opportunity by Selling Drugs 129
	Reducing Property Crimes Like Burglary
	and Auto Theft132
	Strain Theory's Solution to the Problem132
	Psychological Gang Dynamics Theory: Gang
	Crime Motivators133
	Displacement or Diffusion133
	Common Gang Crime Motivators Addressed
	by Injunctions
	Limit Gang Identification
	(Hand Signs and Gang Clothing) 136
	Turf Violations (Keep Rivals Apart
	to Reduce Confrontations)
	Elevate Standing or Seeking Prestige139
	Personal Conflicts (Less Time Together,
	Less Internal Issues?)140
	Self-Control (Help Them Control
	Themselves)
	Gangs and Schools (Protect Our Youth and
	Those at Risk)
	Reduce Gang Recruiting143
	How a Gang's Structure Impacts a Gang
	Injunction (Territorial vs. Mobile) 144
Chapter 6	Legal Criticism of Gang Injunctions and Gang
	Abatement
	Not Effective Debate
	Illegal Debate

viii Contents

	Gang Injunctions and Legal Accusations
	of Racism155
	Cost Debate
	Community Support Debate159
	Media Campaign of Misinformation162
	Give Them a Way Out of the Gang
	and Off the Injunction: Opting Out163
	Getting Off an Injunction: What
	Is Required?165
	San Francisco Policy168
	So What Is the Review Process?169
	Confidentiality170
	Representation by Counsel170
	How to Submit Your Petition171
	Identifying Information171
Chapter 7	Research Methodology173
	Quantitative Study
	Retrospective, Quasi-Experimental Study174
	Initial Goal/Quantitative Analysis175
	Epidemiological Study
	Comparing Two Populations: Injunction
	and Control
	Testing Dependent Variables: Part 1, Part 2,
	and Total Calls
	Control for Validity
	Sampling Frame and Procedure
	Sample
	Treatment 179
	Instrumentation
	Data Analysis/Statistical Procedures179
	Nature of the Scales
	Compare Same Points in Time
	Measuring Crime
	Measuring Cost
	Measuring Convictions
	<u> </u>
	Measuring Arrests
	National Crime Victimization Surveys
	Localized Community Surveys
	Self-Report Crime Surveys
	The Gang Member's Perspective
	Measuring Crime by Mapping
	Measuring UCRs and Calls 192

Contents ix

	National Incident-Based Reporting System	
	(NIBRS)	. 194
	Two Studies Conducted Using UCRs Data	
	Prior to This the Third	
	Jeff Grogger Study	
	Los Angeles Grand Jury Study	195
Chapter 8	Results of 25 Injunction Studies	. 197
	Section 1: Injunction and Control Pairings	. 197
	Descriptive: Injunction versus Control	198
	Correlation between Injunction and	
	Matched Controls	. 199
	Summary of Section 1: Injunction and	
	Control Pairings	. 201
	Section 2: Effect of Injunctions on Calls for	
	Service	. 202
	Baseline Values, Injunction and Control	. 202
	Baseline Part 2 Calls	. 202
	Baseline Total Calls for Service	. 202
	Summary of Baseline Calls	. 202
	Percent Change for Part 1 Crime	. 203
	Part 2 Calls for Service	. 204
	Total Calls for Service	. 205
	Summary: Effect of Injunctions on Calls	
	for Service	. 205
	Section 3: Further or Exploratory Analysis	. 207
	Variance by Gang Ethnicity	. 207
	Summary of Injunctions of Hispanic Gangs	210
	Summary of Ethnicity	215
	Variance by Gang Injunction Size (More or	
	Less than 50 Members)	216
	Summary of Gang Injunctions: More versus	
	Less than 50 Targeted Member Results	223
	Variance by County	223
	Summary of County Analysis	232
	Variance by Gang Injunction Area (Square	
	Miles)	
	Summary of Square Mileage Analysis	
	Variance by Overall Gang Size	
	Summary of Overall Gang Size Analysis	
	Summary of Further Analysis	
	Limitations with the Injunctions	
	Section 4: Case-by-Case Analysis	249
	Case/Pair 1: Posole and Vista Homeboys:	
	D 1 C-:	2.40

x Contents

Case/Pair 1: Posole and Vista Homeboys:
Part 2 Crime
Case/Pair 1: Posole and Vista Homeboys:
Total Calls
Case/Pair 2: San Marcos and South Los:
Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 2: San Marcos and South Los:
Part 2 Crime
Case/Pair 2: San Marcos and South Los:
Total Calls
Case/Pair 3: Old Town National City
and Shelltown Gamma: Part 1 Crime251
Case/Pair 3: Old Town National City
and Shelltown Gamma: Part 2 Crime251
Case/Pair 3: Old Town National City and
Shelltown Gamma: Total Calls
Case/Pair 4: Mesa Locos and Center Street:
Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 4: Mesa Locos and Center Street:
Part 2 Crime
Case/Pair 4: Mesa Locos and Center Street:
Total Calls252
Case/Pair 5: Linda Vista and Mission Bay:
Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 5: Linda Vista and Mission Bay:
Part 2 Crime
Case/Pair 5: Linda Vista and Mission Bay:
Total Calls
Case/Pair 6: Diablos and Fallbrook Locos:
Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 6: Diablos and Fallbrook Locos:
Part 2 Crime
Case/Pair 6: Diablos and Fallbrook Locos:
Total Calls
Case/Pair 7: Westside and Encinitas Locos:
Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 7: Westside and Encinitas Locos:
Part 2 Crime
Total Calls
Case/Pair 8: Nestor and Imperials: Part 1
Crime
Case/Pair 8: Nestor and Imperials: Part 2
Crime
Case/Pair 8: Nestor and Imperials:
Total Calls

Case/Pair 9: Logan Red Steps and
Shelltown 38th Street: Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 9: Logan Red Steps and
Shelltown: Part 2 Crime256
Case/Pair 9: Logan Red Steps
and Shelltown 38th Street: Total Calls 257
Case/Pair 10: Center Street and South Los:
Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 10: Center Street and South Los:
Part 2 Crime
Case/Pair 10: Center Street and South Los:
Total Calls257
Case/Pair 11: Posole and Vista Homeboys:
Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 11: Posole and Vista Homeboys:
Part 2 Crime
Case/Pair 11: Posole and Vista Homeboys:
Total Calls
Case/Pair 12: Lincoln Park and 5/9 Brims:
Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 12: Lincoln Park and 5/9 Brims:
Part 2 Crime
Case/Pair 12: Lincoln Park and 5/9 Brims:
Total Calls259
Case/Pair 13: Skyline Piru and West Coast
Crips: Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 13: Skyline Piru and West Coast
Crips: Part 2 Crime
Case/Pair 13: Skyline Piru and West Coast
Crips: Total Calls260
Case/Pair 14: Old Town National City and
Otay: Part 1 Crime260
Case/Pair 14: Old Town National City and
Otay: Part 2 Crimes
Case/Pair 14: Old Town National City and
Otay: Total Calls261
Case/Pair 15: 7th Street and Southside
Colton: Part 1 Crime261
Case/Pair 15: 7th Street and Southside
Colton: Part 2 Crime261
Case/Pair 15: 7th Street
and Southside Colton: Total Calls
Case/Pair 16: Verdugo Flats
and Northside Colton: Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 16: Verdugo Flats
and Northside Colton: Part 2 Crime

xii Contents

Case/Pair 16: Verdugo Flats and Northside
Colton: Total Calls
Case/Pair 17: Project Crips and Colton City
Crips: Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 17: Project Crips and Colton City
Crips: Part 2 Crime
Case/Pair 17: Project Crips and Colton City
Crips: Total Calls
Case/Pair 18: Canoga Park and Reseda
South/Westside: Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 18: Canoga Park and Reseda
South/Westside: Part 2 Crime
Case/Pair 18: Canoga Park Alabama
and Reseda South/Westside: Total Calls 264
Case/Pair 19: 18th Street Pico Union and
SSK/Drifters Gang: Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 19: 18th Street Pico and
SSK/Drifters Gang: Part 2 Crime
Case/Pair 19: 18th Street Pico Union
and SSK/Drifters Gang: Total Calls 265
Case/Pair 20: Krazy Ass Mexicans
and State/Clarence Street: Part 1 Crime 265
Case/Pair 20: Krazy Ass Mexicans
and State/ Clarence Street: Part 2 Crime 266
Case/Pair 20: Krazy Ass Mexicans
and State/Clarence Street: Total Calls 266
Case/Pair 21: Rolling Sixties Crips and
Eight Tray Gangsters: Part 1 Crime266
Case/Pair 21: Rolling Sixties Crips and
Eight Tray Gangsters: Part 2 Crime
Case/Pair 21: Rolling Sixties Crips
and Eight Tray Gangsters: Total Calls 267
Case/Pair 22: Varrio Nueva Estrada and
White Fence: Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 22: Varrio Nueva Estrada and
White Fence: Part 2 Crime
Case/Pair 22: Varrio Nueva Estrada and
White Fence: Total Calls
Case/Pair 23: Grape Street Crips and 97th
Street Crips: Part 1 Crime
Case/Pair 23: Grape Street Crips
and 97th Street Crips: Part 2 Crime
Case/Pair 23: Grape Street Crips and 97th
Street Crips: Total Calls
Case/Pair 24: Bounty Hunter Bloods
and Front/Back Street Crips: Part 1 Crime 269

Contents xiii

Case/Fail 24. Doubly fluiter bloods	
and Front/Back Street Crips: Part 2 Crime	. 269
Case/Pair 24: Bounty Hunter Bloods	
and Front/Back Street Crips: Total Calls	. 269
Case/Pair 25: Colonia Chiques	
and Southside Chiques: Part 1 Crime	. 270
Case/Pair 25: Colonia Chiques	
and Southside Chiques: Part 2 Crime	. 270
Case/Pair 25: Colonia Chiques	
and Southside Chiques: Total Calls	. 270
Compare Injunction and Control for Part 1	
Crime	271
Summary of Part 1 Crime	
Compare Injunction and Control for Part 2	
Crime	. 271
Summary of Part 2 Crime	
Compare Injunction and Control for Total	
Calls	271
Summary of Total Calls	
Policy or Political Differences	
That May Have Impacted the Results	272
Surveyed	
Survey Methods	
Injunction Effectiveness	
Survey Design	
Survey Target Population/Participants	
Sample	
Survey Was Piloted	
Survey Data Collection Procedures	
Connecting the Survey to the Case Studies	
Statistical Procedures for the Survey	
Data Analysis	
Limitations	
Police Officer Survey Results	
Description of Participant Demography	282
Results Survey Question 1: Making an	
Arrest for a Gang Injunction Violation Is	202
an Effective Use of My Time?	282
Results Survey Question 2: Gang	
Injunction Violations Are Responded to	202
in Which Manner in My Jurisdiction?	282
Summary of Response Speed and	202
Injunction Success	283

xiv Contents

Results Survey Question 3: If Aware of
the Presence of an Injunction, Was the
Injunction Part of a Larger Intervention
or Enforcement Program?284
Part of a Larger Intervention and Injunction
Success 284
Results Survey Question 4: If Yes to
Question 3, What Were the Goals of the
Larger Program?
Results Survey Question 5: What Is the
Size of the Gang You Targeted with the
Injunction?
Gang Size and Injunction Success
Gangs of 250 or More and Injunction Success286
Gangs of 200 or More and Injunction Success 286
Gangs of 150 or More and Injunction Success 286
Gangs of 100 or More and Injunction Success 280
Summary of Gang Size and Injunction
Success 287
Results Survey Question 6: What
Percentage of the Overall Gang Size
Was Actually Named in the Injunction or
Later Served with the Injunction?
Summary of Percentage of Gang Served
and Success
Results Survey Question 7: Were
the Gang Leaders Targeted with the
Injunction?
Summary of Leaders Targeted and
Injunction Success
Results Survey Question 8: The Gangs
in My Jurisdiction Are Territorial and
Have Well-Defined Borders That They
Actively Defend against Rivals290
Results Survey Question 9: Was the
Activity That Originally Brought the
Gang Problems in the Injunction Part 1
Crime?
Results Survey Question 10: Gang Crime
in My Jurisdiction Is Committed by
Gang Members Working as Individuals
Rather Than Working Together as
a Group
Results Survey Question 11: What Is the
Size of the Gang Turf of the Gang You
Targeted with the Injunction?

Contents xv

	Results Survey Question 12: What	
	Percentage of the Total Gang's Turf Was	
	Made Part of the Gang Injunction Target	
	Area?	291
	Results Survey Question 13: What Is the	
	General Economic Status of the Gang	
	Injunction Area?	291
	Results Survey Question 14: What Best	
	Describes the Zoning in the Target Area?	291
	Results Survey Question 15: How Was	
	the Injunction Obtained? Rate the	
	Following Groups' Level of Participation	
	in the Decision to Obtain the Injunction	291
	Results Survey Question 16: If Aware of	
	an Injunction, Who Initially Requested	
	the Injunction?	. 292
	Requestor of the Injunction and Injunction	
	Success	. 292
	Results Survey Question 17: Which of	
	the Following Below Best Describes the	
	Injunction in Your Area?	. 292
	Results Survey Question 18: Does Your	
	Department Keep You Adequately	
	Informed as to the Status of	
	the Injunction?	. 293
	Keeping Informed and Injunction Success	. 293
	Results Survey Question 19: If an Arrest	
	Is Made for a Gang Injunction Violation,	
	What Is the Typical Response in Your	
	Jurisdiction?	. 294
	Results Survey Question 20: In My	
	Jurisdiction We Constantly Evaluate the	
	Injunction and Add or Remove Members	
	as It Becomes Necessary to Keep the	
	Injunction Current	294
	The Police Officer Survey	
Chapter 10	Research and Conclusions about Effectiveness	299
•	Grogger Study: First Scientific Look at	
	Effectiveness	300
	Edward Allan Study (Survey of Prosecutors)	
	Los Angeles Grand Jury Study, June 2004	
	Maxson Community Study of Verdugo Flats	
	Fresno Grand Jury Makes Gang Injunction	505
	Recommendations	304
	**************************************	

xvi Contents

	O'Deane Gang Injunction Study—September	
	2007	305
	O'Deane Gang Injunction Study—November	
	2007	305
	Comparing the Empirical Findings	308
	Long-Term Impact of Six Gang Injunctions	
	Evaluated	.310
	Limitations	.313
	Suggestions for Future Research	.314
	What Are the Policy Recommendations?	.315
	Research Conclusion	.315
61 4 11		217
Chapter 11	The Birth of Gang Injunctions	
	Year 1982	.318
	People v. Dogtown, Primera and 62nd	
	Street East Coast Crips Gangs (Los Angeles	
	Case C418875), July 22, 1982	.318
	California Corporations Code Used against	
	Gangs	.319
	Year 1987	320
	People v. Playboy Gangster Crips	
	(Los Angeles Case WEC118860),	
	October 26, 1987	320
Chantan 11	Cana Injunctions 1000, 1000	222
Chapter 12	Gang Injunctions 1990–1999	
	Year 1992	323
	Fairview Property Associates v. Westside	
	Playboys (Los Angeles Case EC009117),	
	July 1, 1992	323
	People v. Acosta (Los Angeles Case	
	EC010205), October 7, 1992	323
	Year 1993	324
	People v. Blythe Street Gang (Los Angeles	
	Case LC020525), February 22, 1993	324
	People v. Acuna (Santa Clara Case 729322),	
	February 26, 1993	324
	Blythe Injunction (False Promises), ACLU	
	Study and City Attorney Response	325
	People v. Amaya (Orange County Case	
	713223), June 30, 1993 (Attempt)	327
	Strategies against Gang Environments	
	Forms in LA to Help Get Gang	
	Injunctions	328

Year 1994	. 329
People v. Avalos (Santa Clara Case	
CV739089), March 16, 1994	. 329
People v. B Street Boys (Alameda Case	
735405-4), May 18, 1994 (Attempt)	. 329
City of Norwalk v. Orange Street Locos	
(Los Angeles Case VC016746), July 21, 1994	330
Year 1995	
City of Long Beach v. Westside Longos	
(Los Angeles Case NC17601), October 6,	
1995	331
City of Pasadena v. Pasadena Denver	
Lanes (Los Angeles Case GC015651),	
October 25, 1995	331
Year 1996	
City of Redondo Beach v. North Side	
Redondo 13 (Los Angeles Case YC026580),	
April 30, 1996	332
City of Pasadena v. Villa Boys and Krazy	/./
Boys (Los Angeles Case GC016746/	
GC017109), June 13, 1996	333
People v. Headhunters (SB	
Case SCV30441), June 20, 1996 (Attempt)	334
People v. Lennox-13 (Los Angeles Case	. 557
YC027006), July 30, 1996	335
City of Commerce v. Chopper 12 (Los	
Angeles Case BC155927), August 20, 1996	225
City of Inglewood v. Crenshaw Mafia	
(Los Angeles Case YC028318),	
December 17, 1996	226
Year 1997	
People v. 18th Street Gang Alsace Clique	331
(Los Angeles Case BC167915), March 21,	
	227
1997	
People v. Brown Nation (Los Angeles Case	
VC 24170), March 27, 1997 (Attempt)	337
City of Long Beach v. West Coast Crips	000
(Los Angeles Case NC021240), May 5, 1997	339
People v. 18th Street Gang Pico/Union	
(Los Angeles Case BC175684),	0.40
<i>c</i> ,	. 340
People v. 7th Street Gang (San Bernardino	0.15
Case SCVSS42552), October 24, 1997	. 342
People v. Varrio Posole Locos (San Diego	
Case N76652), November 26, 1997	343