

# The Politics of Congressional Elections

Fourth Edition



Gary C. Jacobson

# THE POLITICS OF CONGRESSIONAL ELECTIONS

FOURTH EDITION

**Gary C. Jacobson**

University of California, San Diego



**LONGMAN**

---

An imprint of Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.

New York • Reading, Massachusetts • Menlo Park, California • Harlow, England  
Don Mills, Ontario • Sydney • Mexico City • Madrid • Amsterdam

Acquisitions Editor: Peter Glovin  
Supervision Production Editor: Lois Lombardo  
Project Coordination and Text Design: York Production Services  
Cover Design: Scott Russo  
Manufacturing Manager: Hilda Koparanian  
Electronic Page Makeup: ComCom  
Printer and Binder: R. R. Donnelley and Sons Company  
Cover Printer: Phoenix Color Corp.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Jacobson, Gary C.

The politics of congressional elections / Gary C. Jacobson. — 4th ed.  
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references (p. ) and index

ISBN 0-673-99637-9

1. United States. Congress—Elections. I. Title

JK1067.J3 1997

324.973—dc20

96-17458

CIP

Copyright © 1997 by Addison-Wesley Educational Publishers Inc.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher. Printed in the United States.

ISBN 0-673-99637-9

12345678910—DOC—99989796

# **THE POLITICS OF CONGRESSIONAL ELECTIONS**

*For Marty and Karen*

---

# Preface

Like the first three editions of *The Politics of Congressional Elections*, this fourth edition is about congressional election politics, broadly understood. In writing it, I have tried to keep in mind that elections are means, not ends in themselves. What happens during campaigns or on election days is, of course, fascinating and important, and I do not neglect congressional candidates, campaigns, and voters. But campaigns and elections are more than curious rituals only because they reflect deeper structural patterns and currents in American political life and help determine how—and how well—we are governed. A considerable part of the book is therefore devoted to tracing the connections between the electoral politics of Congress and other important political phenomena. Examining congressional election politics in this way inevitably raises fundamental questions about representation and responsibility, and these are the central normative concerns of the book. My intent here, then, is to offer a systematic account of what goes on in congressional elections and to show how electoral politics reflect and shape other basic components of the political system, with profound consequences for representative government.

Research on congressional elections continues to thrive, and, as before, it will quickly become clear to the reader how much I have learned from the work and ideas of other scholars. Information on congressional voters, candidates, and campaign finances becomes richer with each passing election as well; we now have the 1988–1992 Senate Election Study to go along with the indispensable American National Election Studies. These developments, along with the remarkable upheavals produced by the congressional elections of the early 1990s, continue to make thinking and writing about congressional elections an intellectual pleasure.

I remain deeply indebted to the many friends and colleagues who have guided and stimulated my thinking about congressional election politics. The genesis of

this book was my work as a member of the Committee on Congressional Election Research of the Board of Overseers of National Election Studies, which designed the congressional component included in the American National Election Studies since 1978. Everyone I worked with on the committee has contributed to it in some way: Alan Abramowitz, David Brady, Heinz Eulau, Richard Fenno, John Ferejohn, Morris Fiorina, Barbara Hinckley, Malcolm Jewell, Jack Katosh, James Kuklinski, Thomas Mann, David Mayhew, Warren Miller, Glenn Parker, Barbara Sinclair, Michael Traugott, Raymond Wolfinger, and Gerald Wright.

Since then, a continuing association with the NES Board has helped keep me in touch with other scholars who have contributed in various ways to my understanding of congressional elections and politics: Larry Bartels, Richard Brody, Stanley Feldman, William Flanigan, Charles Franklin, Edie Goldenberg, Mary Jackman, Stanley Kelley, Rod Kiewiet, Donald Kinder, David Legee, Douglas Rivers, Steven Rosenstone, Gina Sapiro, Merrill Shanks, Walter Stone, Mark Westlye, and John Zaller. I also wish to thank the many political scientists who used the earlier editions of this book in their teaching and have let me know what they liked and disliked about the book.

I am grateful to all of my colleagues at University of California, San Diego, for providing an environment wonderfully conducive to scholarly work. Samuel Kernell read and commented on several chapters and has shared some of the research reported in the book. I have also enjoyed instructive and stimulating conversations with Nathaniel Beck, Amy Bridges, Peter Cowhey, Gary Cox, Elizabeth Gerber, Richard Kronick, David Laitin, Skip Lupia, Mathew McCubbins, John Mendeloff, and Samuel Popkin. Mo Fiorina, Herbert Jacob, Burdett Loomis, Tom Mann, and Steve Rosenstone read the entire manuscript of the first edition, and their service continues to register in this one. Jon Bond, Priscilla Southwell, Darrell West, Lynda Powell, and five anonymous scholars reviewed previous editions with an eye to improving this one; the book is clearly better for their suggestions. I am obliged to Denise Gimlin, Edward Lazarus, Del Powell, and David Wilsford for helping to gather some of the data analyzed in Chapter 6.

Part of the research reported here was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation, for which I am most grateful. Some of the data used in this book were made available by the Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research. The data for the 1978–1994 American National Election Studies and the 1988–1992 Senate Election Study were originally collected by the Center for Political Studies of the Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, under grants from the National Science Foundation. Neither the original collectors of the data nor the consortium bear any responsibility for the analyses and interpretations presented here, and the same, of course, holds for anyone else I have mentioned.

GARY C. JACOBSON

---

# Contents

<i>List of Tables</i>	xi
<i>List of Figures</i>	xv
<i>Preface</i>	xvii

## **1 INTRODUCTION** 1

## **2 THE CONTEXT** 5

Constitutional Framework	5
Congressional Districts	7
Election Laws	11
Political Parties	12
Social and Political Contexts	14

## **3 CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES** 19

Incumbency Factor	19
Sources of the Incumbency Advantage	28
Strategic Politicians	34
Money in Congressional Elections	38
Discouraging the Opposition	43



**4 CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS 52**

Campaign Money	54
Party Money	60
Self-Financing by Candidates	64
Campaign Organizations	65
Campaign Strategies	66
Campaign Messages	70
Incumbents' Campaigns	73
Campaigns for Open Seats	77
Senate Campaigns	78
Concluding Observations	79

**5 CONGRESSIONAL VOTERS 85**

Turnout in Congressional Elections	85
Partisanship in Congressional Elections	89
Information and Voting	92
Contacting Voters	97
Effects of Campaign Spending	104
Models of Voting Behavior	106
Evaluating Incumbents	108
Winning Challengers	116
Issues in Congressional Elections	119

**6 NATIONAL POLITICS AND CONGRESSIONAL ELECTIONS 123**

Political Interpretations of Congressional Elections	124
Presidential Coattails	128
National Conditions and Strategic Politics	134
House Elections, 1980–1994	141
The Clinton Problem	161
Nationalizing the Vote	163
House Election Patterns, 1980–1994	168
Senate Elections, 1980–1994	169

**7 ELECTIONS AND THE POLITICS OF CONGRESS 179**

Congressional Parties	181
Committee System	183
Particularism	185

Serving the Organized	188
Immobility	189
Symbolism	190
Doing the Right Thing	191
Building Coalitions	193
Budgetary Process	195

## **8 REPRESENTATION, RESPONSIBILITY, AND THE FUTURE OF CONGRESSIONAL ELECTIONS 204**

Representation	204
Responsiveness versus Responsibility	208
Divided Government	213
Reforming Congress	215
1996 and Beyond	219

<i>Bibliography</i>	227
---------------------	-----

<i>Index</i>	241
--------------	-----

---

# List of Tables

3.1	Reelection Rates of House and Senate Incumbents, 1946–1994	21
3.2	Incumbents Defeated in General Elections to the U.S. House of Representatives by Previous Vote Margin and Decade	26
3.3	Personal Staffs of House and Senate Members, 1930–1993	29
3.4	House Members' Paid Trips to their Districts, 1962–1995	30
3.5	Election-Year Congressional Mailings, 1954–1992	31
3.6	Success Rates and Quality of Nonincumbent House Candidates, 1946–1994	35
3.7	Electoral Competition and Spending by Nonincumbent House Candidates, 1972–1994	37
3.8	Winning House Challengers, by Level of Campaign Spending, 1972–1994	39
3.9	Campaign Spending and Challenger Victories, 1986–1994	41
3.10	Campaign Spending and Democratic Victories in open seats, 1986–1994	42
4.1	Sources of Campaign Contributions to House and Senate Candidates, 1972–1994	55
4.2	The Growth of PACs and PAC Contributions to Congressional Candidates, 1974–1994	56
4.3	Selected Trends in the Distribution of PAC Contributions to House Candidates, 1978–1994	58
4.4	Selected Trends in the Distribution of PAC Contributions to Senate Candidates, 1978–1994	59
4.5	Party Contributions and Coordinated Expenditures, 1976–1994	62

4.6	Incumbents' Expenditures as a Function of Challengers' Expenditures in House Elections, 1972-1994	75
5.1	Party-Line Voters, Defectors, and Independents in House and Senate Elections, 1956-1994	92
5.2	Partisan Voters Defecting to Incumbents and Challengers	93
5.3	Incumbency Status and Voters' Familiarity with Congressional Candidates, 1980-1994	96
5.4	Familiarity with Candidates and Voting Behavior in Congressional Elections	97
5.5	Incumbency Status and Voters' Likes and Dislikes of House and Senate Candidates	98
5.6	Voters' Ratings of House and Senate Candidates on the 100-Point Thermometer Scale, by Incumbency Status, 1980-1994	99
5.7	Voters' Contacts with House and Senate Candidates	100
5.8	Definitions of Probit Equation Variables	102
5.9	Probit Equations Estimating the Effects of Contacts on Voter's Knowledge and Evaluations of House Candidates, 1994	103
5.10	The Effects of Contacts on Voters' Knowledge and Evaluations of House Candidates, 1994	104
5.11	Campaign Expenditures and Voters' Contacts and Familiarity with Challengers, 1994	105
5.12	Probit Models of the Voting Decision in the House and Senate Elections	107
5.13	Probit Estimates of the Effects of Party Identification, Incumbency, and Candidate Familiarity and Affect in Congressional Elections	109
5.14	Evaluations of the Incumbent's Performance and the Vote in House and Senate Elections	110
5.15	Voters' Mentions of Things They Liked and Disliked About House Candidates, Selected Years, 1978-1994	113
5.16	Voters' Mentions of Things They Liked and Disliked About Senate Candidates, Selected Years, 1988-1992	115
5.17	Voters' Responses to Winning and Losing Challengers and Incumbents	117
6.1	Net Party Shift in House and Senate Seats, 1946-1994	125
6.2	Effects of National Conditions on U.S. House Elections, 1946-1994	127
6.3	Districts with Split Results in Presidential and House Elections, 1920-1992	130
6.4	Coattail Effects (Probit Estimates)	131
6.5	The Vote for Republican House and Senate Candidates, 1980-1992, by Party Identification and Presidential Vote	133
6.6	Average Campaign Expenditures by House Candidates, 1972-1994, by Party and Incumbency Status	137

6.7	Determinants of the Percentage of Experienced House Challengers, 1946–1994	138
6.8	National Forces, Strategic Politicians, and Inter-Election Seat Swings in House Elections, 1946–1994	139
6.9	House Bank Overdrafts and Membership Attrition	156
6.10	Experience, Gender, and Success of Nonincumbent House Candidates, 1992	158
6.11	District Partisanship and Electoral Outcomes in 1994 House Elections	164
6.12	Democrats' Party Loyalty on Key Votes and Republican Challengers' Campaign Spending and Percentage of Victories, by District Presidential Leanings	167
6.13	Issues, Challenges, and Turnover in House Elections, 1980–1994	168
6.14	Successful Challenges in Election Years Favorable to the Challenger's Party, 1972–1994	169
8.1	Effects of National Conditions on the Democrats' Share of U.S. House Seats, 1946–1994	222

---

# List of Figures

3.1	Success Rates of House and Senate Incumbents Seeking Reelection, 1946–1994	22
3.2	Average Percentage of Two-Party Vote Won by House Incumbents in Contested Elections, 1946–1994	23
3.3	Incumbency Advantage in House Elections, 1946–1994	24
3.4	House Candidates Receiving More Than 60 Percent of the Two-Party Vote, 1946–1994	26
5.1	Turnout in Presidential and House Elections, 1932–1994	86
5.2	Name Recall of House Challengers and Incumbents, 1958–1994	94
8.1	Party Unity Votes, 1954–1994	210
8.2	House Party Unity, 1954–1994	211
8.3	Senate Party Unity, 1954–1994	212
8.4	Disapproval of Congress's Performance, 1990–1996	219

# Chapter 1

---

## Introduction

**E**lections touch the core of American political life. They provide ritual expression of the myth that makes political authority legitimate: We are governed, albeit indirectly, by our own consent. Elections are also the focus of thoroughly practical politics. They determine who will hold positions of real power in the political system and, by establishing a framework in which power is pursued, profoundly affect the behavior of people holding or seeking power. The mythical and practical components of elections meet at the point where electoral constraints are supposed to make leaders responsive and responsible to the public. How comfortably they fit together has deep consequences for the entire political system. Almost any important development in American political life will be intertwined with the electoral process.

Congressional elections in particular are intimately linked to many basic phenomena of American politics. In countless ways, obvious and subtle, they affect the performance of Congress and, through it, the entire government. At the same time they reflect the changing political landscape, revealing as well as shaping its fundamental contours.

The basic questions to be asked about congressional elections are straightforward: Who gets elected to Congress and how? Why do people vote the way they do in congressional elections? How do electoral politics affect the way Congress works and the kinds of policies it produces? What kind of representation do congressional elections really provide? Every answer has further implications for the workings of American politics; many of them must be traced out in order to grasp the deeper role of congressional elections in the political process.

To explain what goes on in congressional elections and to understand how they are connected in myriad ways to other aspects of American political life are the broad purposes of this book. It also has a more pointed intention: To use a careful

examination of the complex, multifaceted business of electing Congress to help understand why politicians in Washington have found it so difficult to fashion measured solutions to pressing national problems.

A central theme in earlier editions of this book was that political incapacity and stalemate were fostered by an electoral process that gave senators and representatives every reason to be individually responsive but little reason to be collectively responsible. Since the third edition was published, the chickens of collective irresponsibility have come home to roost. First, the 1992 elections brought the highest turnover of House seats in 50 years. Then the 1994 elections put Republicans in control of both Houses of Congress for the first time in 40 years. These elections raised many new questions and opened many new possibilities, and I spend a good deal of time in this edition examining the changes in the electoral politics of Congress observed in the early 1990s and speculating on their durability.

Congressional elections are complex events. The sources of this complexity are many. An important one is the number of different perspectives from which congressional elections can be examined. Consider the alternative ways the question, "How's the congressional election going?" might be answered. A candidate or campaign manager would immediately begin talking about what was going on in the district, who was ahead, what groups were supporting which candidate, how much money was coming in, what issues were emerging. A national party leader—the president, for example—would respond in terms of how many seats the party might gain or lose in the House and Senate and what this might mean for the administration's programs. A private citizen might grumble about the hot air, mudslinging, and general perfidy of politicians, or might scarcely be aware that an election was taking place.

Similarly, political scientists and other people who study congressional elections do so from a variety of research orientations. Some study voters: Why do people vote the way they do? Why do they vote at all? Others study candidates and campaigns: Who runs for Congress, and why? What goes on in campaigns? How is money raised and spent—and what difference does it make? Or they explore the aggregate results of congressional elections: What accounts for the changes in the distribution of House and Senate seats between the two parties? Still others are interested in representation: How are the activities of members of Congress, and the performance of Congress as an institution, connected with what goes on in elections? These and other questions are deserving of individual attention. But it is no less essential to understand how they are all interrelated.

People involved in congressional elections are at least implicitly aware of the connections between the different levels of analysis. Voters are interested primarily in the candidates and campaigns in their state or district, but at least some are conscious of the broader political context and may, for example, adapt their congressional voting decision to their feelings about presidential candidates. Presidents worried about the overall makeup of the Congress are by no means indifferent to individual races and sometimes involve themselves in local campaigns. Candidates and other congressional activists are mindful of national as well as local political conditions they believe influence election outcomes; and of course they



spend a great deal of time trying to figure out how to appeal effectively to individual voters.

Scholars, too, are fully aware that, although research strategies dictate that the congressional election terrain be subdivided into workable plots, no aspect of congressional elections can be understood in isolation. It is essential to integrate various streams of investigation for any clear account of what is going on. This is no simple task. One difficulty is quite familiar to students of the social sciences: how to connect the accounts of individual behavior to large-scale social phenomena. The problem is one of coordinating the micro and macro level accounts of political behavior (there are middle levels, too, of course). But it turns out to be a most fruitful problem. Its solution is a rich source of insight into congressional election processes and their consequences.

The approach taken in this book is to examine congressional elections from several perspectives while attending throughout to the interconnections among them. Chapter 2 sets out the legal and institutional context in which congressional elections take place. This formal context is easily taken for granted and overlooked, but it is, on reflection, fundamental. The very existence of congressional elections depends on this structure, and it shapes them in a great many important ways. The chapter also surveys briefly the rich variety of social, economic, and ethnic mixes that are found among states and congressional districts, for this diversity underlies many distinctive aspects of congressional election politics.

The third and fourth chapters examine, respectively, congressional candidates and campaigns. The pervasive effects of incumbency inject a theme common to both of these chapters. The resources, strategies, and tactics of candidates vary sharply, depending on whether a candidate is an incumbent, a challenger to an incumbent, or running for an open seat where neither candidate is an incumbent. They also differ between House and Senate candidates in each of these categories. The strategies of candidates in different electoral situations and the consequences of varying strategies are explored. So are the roles of campaign money, organization, campaign activities and tactics, and the local political context. Campaigns both reflect and work to reinforce candidates' assumptions about the electorate, and they are also closely linked to the behavior in office of those elected.

Chapter 5 deals with voting in congressional elections. Knowing who votes and what influences the voting decision are valuable pieces of information in their own right, but such knowledge is even more important as a means for understanding what congressional elections mean, what they can and cannot accomplish. The way voters react is tied closely to the behavior of candidates and the design and operation of campaigns—and to what members of Congress do in office.

Chapter 6 looks upon congressional elections as aggregate phenomena. When all the individual contests are summed up over an election year, the collective outcome determines which party controls the Congress and with how large a majority. It also strongly influences the kinds of national policies that emerge; it is at this level that the government is or is not held responsible. Congressional elections clearly respond to aggregate political conditions. But aggregate outcomes are no