


# Women Making Art

History,  
Subjectivity,  
Aesthetics

Marsha **Meskimmon**



# Women Making Art

History, Subjectivity, Aesthetics

Marsha Meskimmon

First published 2003  
by Routledge  
11 New Fetter Lane, London EC4P 4EE

Simultaneously published in the USA and Canada  
by Routledge  
29 West 35th Street, New York, NY 10001

*Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group*

© 2003 Marsha Meskimmon

Typeset in Galliard by RefineCatch Limited, Bungay, Suffolk  
Printed and bound in Great Britain by  
St Edmundsbury Press, Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

*British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data*

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

*Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data*

A catalog record for this book has been requested

ISBN 0-415-24277-0 (hbk)

ISBN 0-415-24278-9 (pbk)

# Women Making Art

*Women Making Art* engages with complementary feminist thinking on history, subjectivity and aesthetics to rework those conventions which have occluded women's cultural agency and defined art made by women as a derivative version of a masculine norm. Rather than providing an inclusive survey of women artists, Marsha Meskimmon examines women's art practice across five continents and in a wide range of media at a number of key moments in the twentieth century to give an understanding of the intersections of history and culture, art practice, and theoretical issues.

Examining the ways in which women artists have reclaimed, expressed and defined personal and political histories, challenged conventional western notions of dichotomous sexed subjectivity, and opened out the relationships of pleasure/knowledge, word/flesh and space/time to new ways of thinking against the grain, Meskimmon discusses the work of artists such as Deborah Lefkowitz, Trinh T. Minh-ha, Cornelia Parker, Faith Ringgold, Mona Hatoum and Maria Helena Vieira da Silva, as well as other, less well-known artists from around the world. Focusing on historical, theoretical and aesthetic moments in the twentieth century such as the Holocaust, the Vietnam War, the African diaspora, Queer Theory and cyberculture, Meskimmon illustrates the importance of women artists in rethinking dominant traditions and assumptions at times of cultural, political and technological change.

**Marsha Meskimmon** is Reader in Art History and Theory at Loughborough University. She is the author of *The Art of Reflection: Women Artists' Self-Portraiture in the Twentieth Century* (1996), *Engendering the City: Women Artists and Urban Space* (1997), and *We Weren't Modern Enough: Women Artists and the Limits of German Modernism* (1999).

**For Mark T. Shutes (1947–2001) – my beloved brother,  
my inspiring teacher and my trusted friend.**

1. Introduction: women making art	
2. <i>Art, labor and danger</i>	1
3. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
4. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
5. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
6. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
7. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
8. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
9. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
10. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
11. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
12. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
13. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
14. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
15. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
16. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
17. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
18. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
19. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
20. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
21. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
22. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
23. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
24. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
25. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
26. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
27. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
28. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
29. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
30. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
31. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
32. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
33. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
34. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
35. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
36. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
37. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
38. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
39. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
40. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
41. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
42. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
43. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
44. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
45. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
46. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
47. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
48. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
49. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
50. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
51. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
52. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
53. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
54. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
55. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
56. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
57. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
58. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
59. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
60. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
61. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
62. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
63. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
64. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
65. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
66. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
67. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
68. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
69. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
70. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
71. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
72. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
73. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
74. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
75. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
76. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
77. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
78. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
79. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
80. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
81. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
82. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
83. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
84. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
85. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
86. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
87. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
88. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
89. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
90. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
91. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
92. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
93. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
94. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
95. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
96. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
97. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
98. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
99. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11
100. <i>Art, labor and the body</i>	11

# Acknowledgements

I have many people to thank for having helped me with this project and none to blame for any residual errors or inaccuracies. I have been delighted and surprised by the number of people who have supported the present project and I would like to thank everyone who has taken the time to engage so positively with its development.

I could not have completed the research and writing of this book without the support of Loughborough University, and especially the Faculty of Social Science and Humanities, who granted me study leave during the Autumn of 2001. This period of leave was matched by the Arts and Humanities Research Board who, through their Research Leave scheme, awarded me time away in Spring 2002. This gift of time has been of inestimable value and I thank both institutions for their generosity.

While on leave, I was able to take up two international Fellowships through which the research and writing of the project was nurtured, challenged and further expanded. I would like to thank the Directors, Administrators and other Fellows at the Center for Advanced Study in the Visual Arts (CASVA) at the National Gallery of Art, Washington, DC, where I spent September 2001 as Paul Mellon Visiting Senior Fellow for their encouragement of this work at a vital stage. While in Washington, I was also able to use the wonderful facilities of the National Museum of Women in the Arts and I would like to thank their staff, especially the staff of the library, who made my time there productive and very enjoyable.

I spent the first three months of 2002 as a Visiting Senior Research Fellow at the Humanities Research Centre (HRC) of the Australian National University in Canberra, and it was there that I was able to complete the final manuscript and to see, first-hand, the Australian material I had been researching. I want to thank the Directors, Administrators and Fellows at the HRC for their support and to say that the time I spent there was some of the most productive, intellectually rigorous and enjoyable of my research period; I am especially grateful to the staff of the Centre for helping me to meet so many scholars, artists and curators in Australia which enabled the time to become a seed-bed for new work.

There are many academic colleagues I must thank for reading the proposal and drafts of this project at various stages. This reading tends to be an invisible and

thankless task, but it has been of the greatest value to me and I would like to acknowledge and heartily thank these diligent critics, including: Amelia Jones, Karla Oeler, Megan Shutes, Ulli Sieglöhr, Gen Doy, Rosemary Betterton, Paul Jobling, Martin Davies, Michelle Antoinette, and, especially, Whitney Chadwick.

Some of the most stimulating and productive engagements with the drafts of this text came from the artists who read, challenged and explored my approaches to their work with great generosity and skill. I want to thank Joan Brassil, Janet Laurence, Ann Hamilton, Elizabeth King, Rosy Martin, Deborah Lefkowitz and Anna Munster for their time and their creativity in making this a better volume than it would have been. Artists and galleries have also been extremely helpful with reproductions – from obtaining difficult-to-find work and ensuring that the quality of the photos, transparencies or digital files was high to waiving or radically reducing fees and transport costs, these individuals and organisations made this book possible and I owe them a great debt of thanks. In no order of preference, I would like to thank: Svetlana Kopystiansky, Mona Hatoum, Maya Lin, Amalia Mesa-Bains, Sokari Douglas-Camp, Trinh T. Minh-ha, Kathryn Wetzel, Kay Goodridge, Cathy de Monchaux, Faith Ringgold and Martha Rosler.

I am also grateful to the following institutions for generous help with illustrations: Williams College (MA), Sculpture at Goodwood, the Museum of Sydney, the British Film Institute, the Tate Gallery, Scalo Publishers (Andre Gstettenhofer), Frith Street Gallery, Sean Kelly Gallery, the Courtauld Institute (Melanie Blake), Anthony d'Offay Gallery, the Gemäldegalerie Alte Meister, Dresden, the Jersey Museum (Louise Downey), Lark Photography (Desiree Rinkel), Barbara Gladstone Gallery, Howard University Gallery, Marsha Mateyka Gallery and June Kelly Gallery.

Finally, and as always, I must thank my partner, Graham, for his encouragement and support throughout the planning and preparation of this project; he is like an anchor in a storm.

# Contents

<i>List of illustrations</i>	ix
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xiii
<b>Introduction: women making art</b>	1
<i>Exhilaration and danger</i>	1
<i>The work of art</i>	4
<i>New epistemes</i>	7
<b>PART I</b>	
<b>History</b>	11
<b>Introduction</b>	13
<b>1 Exiled histories: Holocaust and <i>Heimat</i></b>	17
<i>Home, covenant and the profundity of the everyday</i>	21
<i>High culture, assimilation and modernity</i>	27
<b>2 Corporeal cartography: women artists of the anglophone African diaspora</b>	35
<i>Dancers, mothers and modernity</i>	38
<i>Dancing: place and ethnography</i>	44
<b>3 Re-inscribing histories: Viet Nam and representation</b>	50
<i>Mass media, documentary and reportage</i>	55
<i>Nation, difference and bodies politic</i>	60
<b>PART II</b>	
<b>Subjectivity</b>	69
<b>Introduction</b>	71



<b>4 Embodiment: space and situated knowledge</b>	<b>75</b>
<i>Embodying the woman artist</i>	<i>75</i>
<i>Embodied vision: situation(ism), the library and the studio</i>	<i>81</i>
<i>Skin, borderlands and mestiza knowledge</i>	<i>85</i>
<b>5 Performativity: desire and the inscribed body</b>	<b>91</b>
<i>From the performed to the materialised</i>	<i>91</i>
<i>Inside/outside</i>	<i>97</i>
<i>Erotic surfaces</i>	<i>105</i>
<b>6 Becoming: individuals, collectives and wondrous machines</b>	<b>110</b>
<i>Flower painters and feminist figurations</i>	<i>110</i>
<i>Figuring the body in the virtual machine</i>	<i>119</i>
<i>Transindividuality and the loop of becoming</i>	<i>124</i>
<b>PART III</b>	
<b>Aesthetics</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>7 Pleasure and knowledge: 'Orientalism' and filmic vision</b>	<b>135</b>
<i>Aesthetics: pleasure and the voice</i>	<i>139</i>
<i>Aesthetics: pleasure and the embodied eye</i>	<i>144</i>
<i>Aesthetics: pleasure and 'inter' space of corporeal theory</i>	<i>148</i>
<b>8 The word and the flesh: text/image re-made</b>	<b>151</b>
<i>Reading matter</i>	<i>154</i>
<i>The book in the expanded field</i>	<i>160</i>
<b>9 The place of time: Australian feminist art and theory</b>	<b>168</b>
<i>A glance at (ec)centric histories</i>	<i>171</i>
<i>Hesitation, elaboration and the emergent subject</i>	<i>178</i>
<b>Afterword – On Wonder</b>	<b>185</b>
<i>Notes</i>	<i>186</i>
<i>Bibliography</i>	<i>209</i>
<i>Index</i>	<i>223</i>

# Illustrations

- 0.1 Cornelia Parker, *The Negatives of Words* (silver residue from engraving words), 1996 5  
copyright, Cornelia Parker; photograph, Frith Street Gallery, London
- 1.1 Deborah Lefkowitz, still from *Intervals of Silence: Being Jewish in Germany*, 1990 22  
courtesy of Deborah Lefkowitz
- 1.2 Deborah Lefkowitz, still from *Intervals of Silence: Being Jewish in Germany*, 1990 24  
courtesy of Deborah Lefkowitz
- 1.3 Kitty Klaidman, *Hidden Memories: Attic in Humence* (triptych), 1991 27  
copyright, Kitty Klaidman; photograph, Marsha Mateyka Gallery, Washington, DC
- 1.4 Rebecca Horn, *Concert for Buchenwald*, 1999, Part 1: Tram Depot 29  
copyright Rebecca Horn, DACS; photograph from the book *Concert for Buchenwald* by Rebecca Horn, Scalo Publishers, Zürich
- 1.5 Rebecca Horn, *Concert for Buchenwald*, 1999, Part 2: Schloss Ettersburg 30  
copyright Rebecca Horn, DACS; photograph from the book *Concert for Buchenwald* by Rebecca Horn, Scalo Publishers, Zürich
- 1.6 Rachel Whiteread, *Holocaust Memorial*, 1996–2000 32  
copyright, Rachel Whiteread; photograph, Anthony d'Offay Gallery, London
- 2.1 Faith Ringgold, *Dancing at the Louvre*, Part 1, no. 1, from *The French Collection*, 1991 40  
courtesy of Faith Ringgold
- 2.2 Sokari Douglas Camp, *Small Iriabo (Girl Clapping)*, 1984 45  
courtesy of Sokari Douglas Camp

x *Illustrations*

- 3.1 Trinh T. Minh-ha, still from *Surname Viet Given Name Nam*, 1989 52  
courtesy of Trinh T. Minh-ha
- 3.2 Martha Rosler, *Balloons*, from *Bringing the War Home: House Beautiful*, 1967–72 57  
courtesy of Martha Rosler
- 3.3 Trinh T. Minh-ha, still from *Surname Viet Given Name Nam*, 1989 59  
courtesy of Trinh T. Minh-ha
- 3.4 Maya Lin, *Vietnam Veterans Memorial*, 1982 61  
copyright, Maya Lin; photograph, National Parks Service, Washington, DC
- 3.5 Maya Lin, *Vietnam Veterans Memorial*, 1982 63  
copyright, Maya Lin; photograph copyright Christopher Lark
- 3.6 Edmonia Lewis, *Forever Free*, 1867 66  
courtesy of Howard University Gallery, Washington, DC
- 3.7 Elizabeth Catlett, *Homage to My Young Black Sisters*, 1968 67  
copyright, Elizabeth Catlett; photograph June Kelly Gallery
- 4.1 Emily Mary Osborn, *Nameless and Friendless*, 1857 78  
Private Collection. Photograph: Photographic Survey, Courtauld Institute of Art
- 4.2 Maria Helena Vieira da Silva, *The Library*, 1949 84  
copyright DACS; photograph L'Agence Photographique de la R.M.N., Paris
- 4.3 Amalia Mesa-Bains, *Venus Envy Chapter II: The Harem and Other Enclosures, The Library of Sor Juana Inés de la Cruz*, 1994 89  
Collection: Williams College Museum of Art, Williamstown, Massachusetts; reproduced courtesy of Amalia Mesa-Bains
- 5.1 Claude Cahun and Marcel Moore, 'Moi-même', Montage II, from *Aveux non Avenus*, 1930 97  
Éditions du Carrefour, Paris
- 5.2 Claude Cahun and Marcel Moore, 'N.O.N.', Montage IX, from *Aveux non Avenus*, 1930 99  
Éditions du Carrefour, Paris
- 5.3 Rosy Martin (with Jo Spence), *Unwind the Lies that Bind*, 1988 101  
courtesy of Rosy Martin
- 5.4 Rosy Martin (with Kay Goodridge) *Outrageous Agers*, 2000 104  
courtesy of Rosy Martin and Kay Goodridge
- 5.5 Cathy de Monchaux, *Cruising Disaster*, 1996 107  
courtesy of Cathy de Monchaux
- 5.6 Cathy de Monchaux, *Evidently Not*, 1995 107  
courtesy of Cathy de Monchaux

- 6.1 Maria Sybilla Merian, *Manihot* from *Metamorphosis insectorum surinamensium*, 1705 114  
 photograph and copyright, British Library, London
- 6.2 Rachel Ruysch, *Woodland Still-Life with Milk Thistles, Flowers, Insects, a Frog and a Lizard*, 1694 116  
 courtesy of the Gemäldegalerie Alte Meister, Dresden
- 6.3 Anna Munster, *Wundernet* (<http://wundernet.cofa.unsw.edu.au/>),  
 uploaded in 2000 121  
 courtesy of Anna Munster
- 6.4 Elizabeth King, *Pupil*, 1987–90; photograph, *Pupil: pose 7*,  
 1997 by Katherine Wetzel. Photograph appears on page 26 of  
*Attention's Loop: A Sculptor's Reverie on the Coexistence of  
 Substance and Spirit*, Elizabeth King, NY: Harry N. Abrams,  
 1999. 125
- 7.1 Moufida Tlatli, still from *Silences of the Palace*, 1994 140  
 copyright Moufida Tlatli; photograph, British Film Institute
- 7.2 Mona Hatoum, still from *Measures of Distance*, 1988 142  
 copyright Mona Hatoum; photograph, Tate Modern, London
- 7.3 Shirin Neshat, stills from *Turbulent*, 1998 145  
 video stills, copyright Shirin Neshat; photograph, Barbara  
 Gladstone Gallery, New York
- 8.1 Ann Hamilton, *lineament*, detail, balls of wound text, 1994 156  
 copyright Ann Hamilton; photograph, Robert Wedemeyer,  
 courtesy of Sean Kelly Gallery, New York
- 8.2 Svetlana Kopystiansky, *The Ironed Books*, 1990 159  
 courtesy of Svetlana Kopystiansky
- 8.3 Svetlana Kopystiansky, *Untitled (installation in the Café  
 Einstein, Berlin)* 1991 162  
 courtesy of Svetlana Kopystiansky
- 8.4 Ann Hamilton, interior view, *myein*, 1999 164  
 copyright Ann Hamilton; photograph, Thibault Jeanson,  
 courtesy of Sean Kelly Gallery, New York
- 9.1 Janet Laurence in collaboration with Fiona Foley and Denton  
 Corker Marshall Architects, *Edge of the Trees*, 1994 173  
 courtesy of the Museum of Sydney
- 9.2 Janet Laurence in collaboration with Fiona Foley and Denton  
 Corker Marshall Architects, detail, *Edge of the Trees*, 1994 176  
 courtesy of the Museum of Sydney
- 9.3 Joan Brassil, *Can It Be that the Everlasting is Everchanging*,  
 1978 (on the back wall: *Light beyond seeing, Sound beyond  
 hearing and Memory beyond recall*, 1970–74) 180  
 courtesy of Joan Brassil
- 9.4 Joan Brassil, *Randomly Now and Then*, 1990 183  
 courtesy of Joan Brassil

# Introduction: Women Making Art

## Exhilaration and danger

Over the past thirty years, a substantial body of literature on the topic of women artists and their work has demonstrated clearly that women have played a significant role in the production of visual art for centuries. The present volume inherits from that empowering scholarly tradition its absolute confidence in the ability of women to make art which can change the way we think about the world.

For myself, and many other feminist scholars like me, researching women's unique cultural and intellectual contributions to both the past and the present is an exhilarating exercise and an important revision of those histories from which women's activities have been excluded. Linda Nochlin's recent account of her early feminist research, teaching and curatorial work, describes precisely her sense of excitement as the significance of long-forgotten women and their art began to unfold in scholarly articles, highly-charged classroom experiences and exhibitions:

... it was no mere passive conjunction of events that united me to the history of that year [1969] and those that followed, but rather an active engagement and participation, a sense that I, along with many other politicized, and yes, liberated, women, was actually intervening in the historical process and changing history itself: the history of art, of culture, of institutions and of consciousness.<sup>1</sup>

When second-wave feminists sought out the women who came before them, they uncovered a substantial body of evidence confirming women's important political, artistic and historical presence in the cultural life of the past and this material changed the way in which they understood history and their place within it. However, this groundbreaking work has not yet fully changed the iniquitous dynamics of sexual discrimination in the present, in the art world or elsewhere. It is still perfectly possible, for example, for a major exhibition of a century's painting to be mounted by three national galleries without including a single work by a woman.<sup>2</sup> It is even possible, when asked about this omission, to hear that it had gone unnoticed by the curators and be referred to the work of a particularly 'feminine' male painter who made the inclusion of work by women unnecessary.<sup>3</sup>

## 2 Introduction

In contemporary art, it is still a surprise, or possibly a 'fix', if the nominees for a major art prize are all women, but not even noted when they are all men.<sup>4</sup>

It is obvious that much work remains to be done before the art made by women is given the attention it deserves. Yet writing about women's art practices, historical or contemporary, is not a simple task; indeed, it is a dangerous one. In the first place, crude models of affirmative action – counting the number of works by men and women in a show, for instance – are both insensitive to the complexity of sexual difference as it informs visual culture and, frankly, rather ineffective in changing power dynamics in the art world. Moreover, the 'addition' of women to the current canon of 'masters', the simplistic production of an alternative canon (the 'great' women artists) and/or other forms of celebratory separatism, provide no critique of the prevailing norms by which women have been occluded from the histories of art and no tactics by which those histories might themselves be changed.

The problem is not one of recognising that histories need to be redressed, but of understanding how this can be done without recourse to reductive definitions of 'women artists' and 'women's art' as homogeneous categories of alterity. In other words, it is imperative that the significant and complex differences between women, and not just between women and men, are acknowledged and made to signify in any reconceived histories of visual culture, and that the vexing question 'what difference does it make that this art was produced by women?' be addressed in all its subtle and meaningful variations.

Nowhere have the dangers of reductive categorisation been better stated than by Griselda Pollock when she wrote:

If we use the term *women* of artists, we differentiate the history of art by proposing artists and 'women artists'. We invite ourselves to assume a difference, which all too easily makes us presume that we know what it is. Furthermore, art becomes its deposit and expressive vehicle . . .<sup>5</sup>

Heeding the advice not to assume a difference (and then presume we know what it is) does not imply that difference is irrelevant or unable to be articulated. Indeed, the intellectual challenge presented by women's art practice is to mobilise radical difference and think otherwise; every intervention into this subject is strategic, exhilarating and dangerous, changing both what and how we know. As a critical form of epistemological enquiry, exploring women making art is invaluable. Since we cannot just add women's art unproblematically to the category of 'things known', we are obliged to reconceive the very processes of knowing in acts of experimental and creative thinking.

In considering the potential impact of Gilles Deleuze's thought on cultural studies, Ian Buchanan identified similar problems with the presumed known: '[c]ultural studies displays a common assumption that its object is ready-made and that theory is something one simply applies'.<sup>6</sup> By contrast, for Buchanan, a 'Deleuzian cultural studies', would 'begin with the question of the subject, but it would not ask, what is a subject? Rather, as we have just seen, it would ask, how

does one become a subject?'<sup>7</sup> What Buchanan so aptly argues is that a shift from object to process, from an ontology of being to one of becoming, is the crucial component of thinking beyond an economy of the same, of the already-known. If we ask 'what *is* a woman artist' or 'what *is* women's art', we fall back into the logic of objectification and marginality, but if we take the lead and enquire into how women's art comes to articulate sexual difference in its material specificity and at its particular historical locus, the potential to generate new answers, ideas and concepts is endless. In the present volume, I am embarking upon this dangerous and exhilarating path, engaging in an active dialogue with women making art.

The title of this book is precise and implies process; this is not a text about a category of objects defined as 'women's art', it is about the contingency of 'women' and 'art', coming together to make and re-make meaning in particular social situations and aesthetic encounters. To define women artists as an homogeneous cohort, irrespective of the dynamics of their histories, or to seek in women's art some monolithic 'female essence', preceding specific practices as their knowable 'origin point', erases differences between women and reinstates that exclusionary paradigm which rendered female subjectivity invisible, illegible and impossible to articulate. Moving beyond that logic to engage with women's art and radical difference interrogates traditional modes of historical enquiry, the nature of the artist, concepts of authorship, intentionality and the very definition of 'art'.

Indeed, the present volume is focused upon works of art, attending closely to their potential to signify differently and materialise female subjectivity otherwise. This does not presume that art made by women is a vehicle for some kind of eternal 'woman-ness', nor that there is any obvious, literal or uniform relationship between the sex of the maker and the work produced. Rather, I agree with Elizabeth Grosz's point in 'Sexual Signatures: Feminism After the Death of the Author', that:

The sex of the author has . . . no direct bearing on the political position of the text, just as other facts about the author's private or professional life do not explain the text. Nevertheless, there are ways in which the sexuality and corporeality of the subject leave their traces or marks on the texts produced, just as we in turn must recognize that the processes of textual production also leave their trace or residue on the body of the writer (and readers).<sup>8</sup>

In this essay, Grosz argued that 'discursive positioning', or the 'complex relation between the corporeality of the author, . . . the text's materiality and its effects in marking the bodies of the author and readers',<sup>9</sup> provides the key to examining the practices by which sexual difference might be articulated in and through an individual text. The significance of such thinking resides in its double play between materiality and agency. The specific corporeality of subjects and works ('texts') in conjunction with their historical location and material presence in the world, are neither dismissed as irrelevant nor reified as the essential origin of their meaning. Corporeal specificity is, instead, implicated in relations, processes and practices

#### 4 Introduction

through which matter comes to *matter*, or becomes meaningful. The inter-relationship between an artist and a work, therefore, is both materially situated and in process, an effect of actions in the world.

Critics, theorists and historians also participate in this double play of materiality and agency; theory is not transparently applied to mute objects by disembodied, knowing subjects, but emerges from the positioning activities of knowledge projects. Following Buchanan, the questions we ask of women making art participate in the meanings which are produced – we are implicated in the productive relation. This is not a bad thing. Indeed, throughout this volume, I argue that engaging with women's art differently changes both what and how we know about histories, subjectivities and aesthetics, and that close attention to the double play of materiality and agency in women's art enables us to ask new questions of vital importance to the future. This locates me as a partner in dialogue with women making art rather than in the position of a privileged interpreter, explicating the inherent truths of women, art and cultural history. In an important sense, my task here is not to reveal the essence of female subjectivity expressed in art (even if this were possible), but to explore the *work* of women's art, the work it can do in articulating histories, subjects and sensory knowledges against the grain.

#### The work of art

It is important to begin an examination of the *work* of art with an instance. An exceptionally useful one is Cornelia Parker's 1996 piece, *The Negatives of Words* (*silver residue accumulated from engraving words*), one of a number of Parker's works which focus on the traces left from processes of meaning production. In *The Negatives of Words*, tiny coils of metal, left from engraving, are carefully piled to form a delicate mound. Their treatment and display render them aesthetically provocative and visually absorbing. But this piece is compelling for a number of other reasons as well, including its resolute return of excised traces and residues to the focus of our attention, its emphasis upon the processes through which physical objects are brought forth and its strategic deployment of the 'in-between' of text, image and object. *The Negatives of Words* does not simply illustrate the concepts discussed earlier, it instantiates them, enabling us to grasp the work done by art at the interstices of materiality, subjectivity and agency.

If *The Negatives of Words* does not illustrate concepts, then what does it do? Mieke Bal's formulation of art as a mode of 'cultural philosophy' in which works act as 'theoretical objects', is instructive for thinking about practices such as Parker's.<sup>10</sup> That is, these works crystallise theory, they 'theorise', by forging a critical link between thinking and making, between the materiality of objects and the agency of artists and participant-observers. This locates the 'art' of these pieces in the 'work' that is done with them and again, requires us to ask different questions in our encounter. For example, asking what the 'negatives of words' *are* defeats the complex configuration of image, text, matter and idea in Parker's piece and simply reinstates the object: the negatives of words are the silver residue





Figure 0.1 Cornelia Parker, *The Negatives of Words* (silver residue from engraving words), 1996, copyright, Cornelia Parker; photograph, Frith Street Gallery, London

accumulated in the act of engraving. I am arguing that here, the work of art does not reside in the visual image, physical artifact, suggestive title or descriptive parenthetical line, but emerges in their relational play, a play engendered by an embodied, corporeal subject.

For instance, one of the meanings which *The Negatives of Words* develops through this interplay concerns the effaced 'body' of 'text'. The body, deftly avoided in text-based knowledge regimes, commonly forms the base from which word differentiates itself to assume both transcendence and power over flesh, image and object.<sup>11</sup> Not coincidentally, the base matter from which words are engraved in the printing process is called the matrix. The links between matter, matrix and woman are definitive; by locating them as the negatives of words, the corporeal residue avoided as we ascend to text, Parker's work could hardly confront the gendered word/flesh dichotomy more explicitly. We can, of course, argue these ideas textually, but the modulation between the written word and the material object in *The Negatives of Words* engenders this meaning in a fully sensory, embodied connection with the work of art.

This, I am arguing, is one of the things art can do in terms of thinking; the work of art is the work of embodiment, of bringing us to our senses in cognition.