



COMMENTARIES

ON THE

CONFLICT OF LAWS,

FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC,

IN REGARD TO

CONTRACTS, RIGHTS, AND REMEDIES,

AND ESPECIALLY IN REGARD TO



"Il régnera donc toujours entre les nations une contrariété perpétuelle de loix; peutêtre régnera-t-elle perpétuellement entre nous sur bien des objets. Delà la nécessité de s'instruire des règles, et des principes, qui peuvent nous conduire dans la décision des questions, que cette variété peut faire naître."

Boullensis, Traité de la Personalité, &c. des Loix, Préface.

THE LAWBOOK EXCHANGE, LTD.
Clark, New Jersey

ISBN 9781584777731 (hardcover) ISBN 9781616190989 (paperback)

Lawbook Exchange edition 2010

The quality of this reprint is equivalent to the quality of the original work.

THE LAWBOOK EXCHANGE, LTD.

33 Terminal Avenue Clark, New Jersey 07066-1321

Please see our website for a selection of our other publications and fine facsimile reprints of dassic works of legal history: www.lawbookexchange.com

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Story, Joseph, 1779-1845.

Commentaries on the conflict of laws, foreign and domestic, in regard to contracts, rights, and remedies, and especially in regard to marriages, divorces, wills, successions, and judgments / Joseph Story.

p. cm.

Originally published: Boston: Hilliard, Gray, and Company, 1834. Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-1-58477-773-1 (cloth: alk. paper)

ISBN-10: 1-58477-773-7 (cloth: alk. paper)

1. Conflict of laws--United States. 2. Conflict of laws. I. Title.

KF411.S76 2007

340.90973--dc22

2006035985

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper

COMMENTARIES

ON THE

CONFLICT OF LAWS,

FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC,

IN REGARD TO

CONTRACTS, RIGHTS, AND REMEDIES,

AND ESPECIALLY IN REGARD TO

MARRIAGES, DIVORCES, WILLS, SUCCESSIONS, AND JUDGMENTS.

By JOSEPH STORY, LL. D.,

DANE PROFESSOR OF LAW IN HARVARD UNIVERSITY.

BOSTON:
HILLIARD, GRAY, AND COMPANY.
1834.

[&]quot;Il régnera donc toujours entre les nations une contrariété perpétuelle de loix; peutêtre régnera-t-elle perpétuellement entre nous sur bien des objets. Delà la nécessité de s'instruire des règles, et des principes, qui peuvent nous conduire dans la décision des questions, que cette variété peut faire naître."

Boullenois, Traité de la Personalité, &c. des Loix, Préface.

Entered according to the act of Congress, in the year one thousand eight hundred and thirty-four, by Joseph Stort,

in the Clerk's office of the District Court of the District of Massachusetts.

CAMBRIDGE:
CHARLES FOLSOM,
PRINTER TO THE UNIVERSITY.

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

HONORABLE JAMES KENT, LL. D.

SIR,

It affords me very sincere satisfaction to have the opportunity of dedicating this work to you. It belongs to a branch of international jurisprudence, which has been long familiar to your studies, and in which you have the honor of having been the guide and instructer of the American youth. I can trace back to your early labours in expounding the civil and the foreign law the motive and encouragement of my own far more limited researches. I wish the present work to be considered as a tribute of respect to a distinguished Master from his grateful pupil.

It is now about thirty-six years since you began your judicial career on the Bench of the Supreme Court of the State of New York. In the intervening period between that time and the present, you have successively occupied the offices of Chief Justice and of Chancellor of the same State. but the common voice of the Profession and the public, when I say, that in each of these stations you have brought to its duties a maturity of judgment, a depth of learning, a fidelity of purpose, and an enthusiasm for justice, which have laid the solid foundations of an imperishable fame. In the full vigor of your intellectual powers, you left the Bench only to engage in a new task, which of itself seemed to demand by its extent and magnitude a whole life of strenuous diligence. That task has been accomplished. The "Commentaries on American Law" have already acquired the reputation of a juridical Classic, and have placed their author in the first rank of the benefactors of the Profession. You have done for America, what Mr. Justice Blackstone in his invaluable Commentaries has done

for England. You have embodied the principles of our law in pages as attractive by the persuasive elegance of their style, as they are instructive by the fulness and accuracy of their learning.

You have earned the fairest title to the repose, which you now seek, and which at last seems within your reach. It is, in the noblest sense, otium cum dignitate. May you live many years to enjoy it! The consciousness of a life, like yours, in which have been blended at every step public spirit and private virtue, the affections, which cheer, and the taste, which adorns the domestic circle, cannot but make the recollections of the past sweet, and the hopes of the future animating.

I am, with the highest respect,
Your obliged friend,
JOSEPH STORY.

Cambridge, Massachusetts, January 1, 1834.

PREFACE.

I now submit to the indulgent consideration of the profession and the public another portion of the labours appertaining to the Dane Professorship of Law in Harvard University. The subject is one of great importance and interest; and from the increasing intercourse between foreign States, as well as between the different States of the American Union, it is daily brought home more and more to the ordinary business and pursuits of human life. The difficulty of treating such a subject in a manner suited to its importance and interest can scarcely be exaggerated. The materials are loose and scattered, and are to be gathered from many sources, not only uninviting, but absolutely repulsive, to the mere Student of the Common Law. There exists no treatise upon it in the English language; and not the slightest effort has been made, except by Mr. Chancellor Kent, to arrange in any general order even the more familiar maxims of the Common Law in regard to it. Until a comparatively recent period, neither the English Lawyers, nor the English Judges seem to have had their attention drawn towards it, as a great branch of international jurisprudence, which they were required to administer. their researches appear as yet to have gone, they are less profound and satisfactory, than their admirable expositions of municipal law.

The subject has been discussed with much more fulness, learning, and ability by the foreign Jurists of continental Europe. But even among them there exists no systematical Treatise embracing all the general topics. For the most part, they have discussed it only with reference to some few branches of jurisprudence, peculiar to the civil law, or to the customary law

(almost infinitely varied) of the neighbouring States of Europe, or of the different Provinces of the same Empire. And it must be confessed, that their writings are often of so controversial a character, and abound with so many nice distinctions (not very intelligible to Jurists of the school of the Common Law) and with so many theories of doubtful utility, that it is not always easy to extract from them such principles, as may afford safe guides to the judgment. Rodemburg, Boullenois, Bouhier, and Froland have written upon it with the most clearness, comprehensiveness, and acuteness. But they rather stimulate than satisfy inquiry; and they are far more elaborate in detecting the errors of others, than in widening and deepening the foundations of the practical doctrines of international jurisprudence. I am not aware, that the works of these eminent Jurists have been cited at the English Bar; and I should draw the conclusion, that they are in a great measure, if not altogether, unknown to the studies of Westminster Hall. How it should happen, that, in this age, English Lawyers should be so utterly indifferent to all foreign jurisprudence, it is not easy to conceive. Many occasions are constantly occurring, in which they would derive essential assistance from it, to illustrate the questions, which are brought into contestation in all their Courts.

In consulting the foreign Jurists, I have felt great embarrassment, as well from my own imperfect knowledge of the jurisprudence, which they profess to discuss, as from the remote analogies, which it sometimes bears to the rights, titles, and remedies recognised in the Common Law. To give their opinions at large upon many topics would fill volumes; to omit all statements whatever of their opinions would be to withhold from the reader many most important lights, to guide his own studies, and instruct his own judgment. I have adopted an intermediate course; and have laid before the reader such portions of the opinions and reasonings of foreign Jurists, as seemed to me most useful to enable him to understand their doctrines and principles, and to assist him with the means of making more ample researches, if his leisure or his curiosity should invite him to the pursuit. Humble as this task may appear to many minds, it has been attended with a labour truly discouraging and exhausting. I dare not even now indulge the belief, that my success has been at all proportionate to my wishes or my efforts. I feel, however, cheered by the reflection (is it a vain illusion?), that other minds, of more ability, leisure, and learning, may be excited to explore the paths, which I have ventured only to point out. I beg, in conclusion, to address to the candour of the Profession my own apology in the language of Strykius;—
"Crescit disputatio nostra sub manibus; unum enim si absolveris jus, plura se offerunt consideranda. At nos temporis, quod nimis breve nobis fit, rationem habentes, accuratius illa inquirere haud possumus. Hinc sufficerit, in presens sparsisse quædem saltem adhuc jura, quidque de iis statuamus, vel obiter dixisse." *

JOSEPH STORY.

Cambridge, Massachusetts, January, 1, 1834.

^{*} Strykii Disputatio 1. ch. 2. § 92. Tom. 11. p. 24.

LIST OF AUTHORS CITED.

- THE following list of some of the more important Authors, whose works have been cited, may assist the student in his researches.
- D'AGUESSEAU, HENRY FRANCIS, Chancellor of France, born at Limoges, 1668, and died 1751. His works are collected and published in 13 vols. 4to.
- ALEXANDER AB ALEXANDRO, a Neapolitan lawyer, born 1461, and died at Rome about the age of 62.
- D'ARGENTRÉ, BERTRAND, President of the Présidial of Rennes, born in 1519, and died in 1590. His works are entitled Commentarii in Patrias Britonum Leges, seu Consuetudines generales Ducatûs Britaniæ.
- Baldus, Ubaldus, born about 1324, died 1400. His works are comprised in 4 vols. fol.
- Bartolo, or Bartholus, born at Sasso Ferrato, in the March of Ancona, 1313, and died in his 46th year. He was called "the star and luminary of lawyers, the master of truth, the lantern of equity, the guide of the blind," &c. His works were printed at Venice, 1499, in 4 vols. fol., according to Camus; in 1599 in 10 or 11 vols. fol., according to Watt.
- BOUHIER, J., President of the Parliament of Dijon, born at that place, 1673, and died 1746. His works, relating to the present subject, are published in two vols. fol., and entitled, Les Coutumes du Duché de Bourgogne, avec les Observations du Président Bouhier.
- Boullenois, Louis, advocate in the Parliament of Paris, born at Paris, 1680, and died 1762. There are two works by him, on the present subject; Traité de la Personalité et de la Réalité des Lois, Coutumes, Statuts, par forme d'Observations, in 2 vols. 4to., and Dissertations sur des Questions, qui naissent de la Contrariété des Loiz et des Coutumes. 4to. This last was published first, and is the original outline of the larger work, which afterwards appeared.

- BRETONNIER, BARTHOLEMEW JOSEPH, advocate of the Parliament of Paris, born at Montrotier, near Lyons, 1656, and died 1727. He is the author of a work in 2 vols. 12mo., entitled Recueil des principales Questions de Droit qui se jugent diversement dans les différens Tribunaux du Royaume, avec des Réflexions pour concilier la Diversité de la Jurisprudence. He also edited the works of Henrys.
- Burgundus, Burgundius, or Bourgoigne, Nicolaus, jurisconsult, born at Enghien in Hainault, 1586. He is the author of a work, entitled, Tractatus Controversiarum ad Consuctudinem Flandriæ.
- BYNKERSHOEK, CORNELIUS VAN, born at Middlebourg, 1673, and died 1743. His works are well known.
- CASAREGIS, JOSEPH LAURENTIUS DE, born at Genoa, 1670, and died 1737. His works are entitled, *Discursus legales de Commercio*, and are published in 2, 3, and 4 vols. fol.
- Christinæus, Paulus, born at Malines, 1533, and died 1638. His works are, Practicarum Quæstionum Rerumque in Supremis Belgarum Curiis actarum et Observationum Decisiones; and Commentarii in Leges Municipales Mechlinienses.
- COCHIN, HENRY, advocate in Parliament, born at Paris, 1687, and died 1747. His works are collected in 6 vols. 4to.
- Coquille, Gui, advocate of the Parliament of Paris, born at Decise in Nivernois, 1523, and died 1603. There is a work by him, Des Coutumes des Nivernois.
- Cujas, James, born at Thoulouse, 1520, and died 1590. His voluminous works need not be particularly mentioned.
- Denisart, J. B., jurisconsult, born 1712, and died 1765. He published Collection de Décisions nouvelles relatives à la Jurisprudence.
- DOMAT, JOHN, born at Clermont in Auvergne, 1625, and died 1696. His Civil Law in its Natural Order is well known through the translation of Dr. Strahan.
- Dumoulin, (in Latin, Molinæus,) Charles, born 1500 and died 1560. What he has written upon the present subject is to be found in his Commentary on the first book of the Code, verb. Conclusiones de Statutis, in his 53d Consilium, and in his notes on Alexander, Decius, and Chasseneuz.
- DURANTON, A., Professor of Law at Paris. His works are, Cours de Droit Français, suivant le Code civil, in 16 vols. 8vo.
- EMÉRIGON, BALTAZARD MARIE, advocate of the Parliament of Aix, born about 1725 and died 1784. His *Traité des Assurances*, 2 vols. 4to. is referred to in the present Commentaries.

- Erskine, John, Professor of Law at Edinburgh. His principal work is entitled Institutes of the Laws of Scotland.
- EVERHARD, NICHOLAS, born in the island of Walcheren, 1462, and died 1532. His works are Topica Juris, sive Loci Argumentorum Legales; and Consilia, sive Responsa Juris.
- FROLAND, Louis, advocate of the Parliament of Rouen, died 1746. His works, relating to the present subject, in two 4to. vols., are entitled, Mémoire concernant la Nature et la Qualité des Statuts.
- Gaille, Andrew, born at Cologne, 1525, and died 1587. He was called the Papinian of Germany.
- GROTIUS, HUGO, born at Delft, 1583, and died 1645. His works are well known.
- Heineccius, Johannes Gotleib, Professor of Philosophy and Law at Halle, born at Eisenburg, 1681, and died 1741. His works need not be particularly mentioned.
- HENRYS, CLAUDE, jurisconsult, born at Montbrison, 1615, and died 1662. His works are collected in four vols. fol.
- HERTIUS, JOHANNES NICOLAUS, born near Giessen, 1651, and died 1710. His treatise, *De Collisione Legum* is to be found in his select works in two vols. 4to.
- Huberus, Ulricus, a lawyer, historian, and philologer, born at Dockum, in the Dutch territories, 1635, and died 1694. His treatise *De Conflictu Legum* is to be found in his *Prælectiones Juris Civilis*, 3 vols. 4to.
- KAIMS, LORD, (HENRY HOME,) born at Kaims, in Berwickshire, 1696, and died 1782. The reader is referred to his *Principles of Equity*.
- LE BRUN, DENIS, advocate, died 1708, before the publication of his principal work, Traité de Communautés.
- LEEUWEN, SIMON VAN, born at Leyden, 1625, and died 1682. His work referred to, in the present Commentaries, is translated into English, with the title of Commentaries on the Roman-Dutch Law.
- LIVERMORE, SAMUEL, of New Orleans, died, 1833. He is the author of Dissertations on the Contrariety of Laws.
- MASCARDUS, JOSEPHUS, an ecclesiastic and Italian jurisconsult, born at Sarzana towards the end of the 16th century, and died about 1630. He is the author of an extensive work, entitled, De Probationibus Conclusiones.
- MERLIN, M. (de DOUAI.) His voluminous works are entitled, Répertoire Universel et Raisonné de Jurisprudence; and Questions de Droit.

- MORNAC, ANTOINE, born near Tours, first appeared before the Parliament of Paris in 1580; and died 1620. His works are comprised in 4 vols. fol.
- Pardessus, J. M. Cours de Droit Commercial. 5 vols. 8vo. Paris. 1831.
- POTHIER, ROBERT JOSEPH, born at Orleans, 1699, and died 1772. His works need not be particularly mentioned.
- PECK, PETER, born at Zirckzee, in Zealand, 1529, and died 1589. His works are collected in one vol. fol.
- Puffendorf, Samuel, born in Upper Saxony, 1632; and died 1694. His works are well known.
- RODEMBURC, was a judge of the Supreme Court of Utrecht and flourished about the middle of the 17th century. His treatise, De Jure quod oritur ex Statutorum vel Consuetudinum Diversitate, is to be found at the end of Boullenois's Traité de la Personalité et de la Réalité des Loix.
- STOCKMANS, PETER, born at Antwerp, 1608, and died 1671. His works are comprised in one vol. 4to.
- STRYKIUS, SAMUEL, born 1640, and died 1710. His son, John Samuel, was born 1668, and died 1715. Their works, with those of Rhetius, are collected in 14 vols. fol.
- VOET, PAUL, (the father,) born at Heusden, in Brabant, 1619, and died 1677. His work on the present subject is entitled, De Statutis et eorum Concursu.
- VOET, JOHN, son of Paul, born at Utrecht, 1647, and died 1714.

 His Commentary on the Pandects contains a short chapter,

 de Statutis.

INDEX TO CASES CITED.

Abraham v. Plestoro Ackworth, Bain v. Ackworth Bain v. Ackworth Bain v. Ackworth Bain v. Ablant, Fox v. Aidrich v. Kenney Aldrich v. Kenney Aldrich v. Kenney Aldrich v. Kenney Allan, Phillips v. Arplilips v. Allout, Scott v. Assay Sey. Ballantine v. Golding Ballingalls v. Gloster Bank of England, De la Chdumette v. 288, 292 Bank of Washington v. Triplett 299 Banks, Peacock v. Barber, Cockerell v. Barber, Cockerell v. Assay Peacock v. Barker, Lanusse v. Barrett, Hancock v. Barrett, Hancock v. Bartest v. Atwater Battelle, Lincoln v. 489, 483, 487, Arglasse v. Muschamp Archbald, Harvey v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Toler Antott v. Redfern Atwater v. Townsend Atwater		
Abraham v. Plestoro Adams, Fox v. ——, Whittemore v. Aicken, Hitchcock v. Aldrich v. Kenney Allan, Phillips v. 279, 280, 283, 289 Allen, Buttrick v. ——, James v. Alpuente, Barrera v. Alpuente, Barrera v. Alves v. Hodgson Anstruther v. Chalmers Annedon, Ward v. Andrews v. Herriot Annorynous (9 Mod. 66), Anstruther v. Chalmers Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armroyd, Williams v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armroyd, Williams v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armroyd, Williams v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armroyd, Williams v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armroyd, Williams v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armroyd, Williams v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armroyd, Williams v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armroyd, Williams v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armroyd, Williams v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armroyd, Williams v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Arthotock v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Arthotock v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armroyd, Williams v. 495, 496 Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, Atkyns v. Smith Attorney General v. Cockerell v. Bellows v. Ingraham Sob, 509 Bennder, Grimshaw v. Bender, Grimshaw v. Bellows v. Ingraham Sob, 509 Bernes, Stanley v. Bender, Grimshaw v. Bender, Grimshaw v. Bender, Grimshaw v. 265 Beron v. Burgot Beruier, Lear Arglasse v. Blockerol Bellows v. Ingraham Sob, 509 Bernes, Stanley v. 48, 393 Berouler v. Meda'r v. 48, 393 Berouler v. Meda'r v. 48, 393 Berouler v. Meda'r v. 48, 486 Bellows v. Ingraham Sob, 509 Bernes, Stanley v. 48, 394 Berouler v. Meda'r v. 48, 394 Berouler v. Westor Bellows v. Ingraham Sob, 509 Bernes, Stanley v. 48, 394 Berouler v. Preiriot Ard v. Ale v. 495, 496 Berouler v. Meda'r v. 495, 496 Beron v. Burgot Beroler v. Meda'r v. 495, 496 Beron v. Burgot Beroler v. M	Α	Balfour v. Scott 392, 407
Ackworth, Bain v. 350 Adams, Fox v. 350 —, Whittemore v. 479 Aicken, Hitchcock v. 508 Allan, Phillips v. 279, 280, 283, 289 Allan, Phillips v. 279, 280, 283, 289 Allan, Buttrick v. 459, 508 —, James v. 459, 508 Alpuente, Barrera v. 76 Alves v. Hodgson 203, 216, 219 Amedie, The 475 Amory, Orr v. 354, 474 Andrews v. Herriot 475 Anory, Orr v. 354, 474 Andrews v. Herriot 475 Annorymous (9 Mod. 66), 443, 530 Anstruther v. Chalmers 410 Antelope, The 93 Appleton v. Campbell 213 Archbald, Harvey v. 273, 274 Arglasse v. Muschamp Armroyd, Williams v. 495, 496 Armstrong v. Lear 422 Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, 207 Atkyns v. Smith 432 Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 ———— v. Dunand 316 ————————— v. Mill 378 Attwater v. Townsend 276 Babcock v. Weston Bain v. Ackworth Balawin v. Gray 73, 77, 78 Babcock v. Weston Balan v. Ackworth Balawin v. Gray 73, 77, 78 Balades ex parte Balades ex parte Balades ex parte Balades ex parte		
Adams, Fox v. ———————————————————————————————————	Abraham v . Plestoro 349, 353	
Aicken, Hitchcock v. 508 Aldrich v. Kenney 508, 509 Allan, Phillips v. 279, 280, 283, 289 Allen, Buttrick v. 459, 508 —, James v. 459, 508 Alpuente, Barrera v. 76 Alves v. Hodgson 203, 216, 219 Amedie, The 475 Amory, Orr v. 354, 474 Andrews v. Herriot 475 Anonymous (9 Mod. 66), Anstruther v. Chalmers 410 Arristrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Lear 422 Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206 Atwaster v. Townsend 276 Atwater v. Townsend 276 Atwater v. Townsend 276 Austin, Trecothick v. 422, 431 Babcock v. Weston Baldwin v. Gray 73, 77, 78 Baldwin v. Gray 242 Baldwin v. Gray 277, 78 Baldwin v. Gray 428 Baldwin v. Gray 73, 77, 78 Baldwin v. Gray 429 Baldwin v. Gray		
Aicken, Hitchcock v. Aldrich v. Kenney Allan, Phillips v. 279, 280, 283, 289 Allan, Phillips v. 279, 280, 283, 289 Allan, Buttrick v. 459, 508 ——, James v. Alpuente, Barrera v. Alpuente, Barrera v. Alves v. Hodgson Amedie, The Amory, Orr v. 354, 474 Andrews v. Herriot Anonymous (9 Mod. 66), 443, 530 Anstruther v. Chalmers Archbald, Harvey v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Toler Armstrong v. Toler V. Dunand Atkyns v. Smith Attorney General v. Attorney General v. Babcock v. Weston Babcock v. Weston Balbocok v. Weston Balbocok v. Weston Baldwin v. Gray Balaks, Peacock v. Barber, Cockerell v. 255, 258 Barher, Cockerell v. 250, 208 Barrera v. Root 190, 191 Barker, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta v. Alpuente 76 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta v. Alpuente 8arreta v. Alpuente 8arreta v. Alpuente 8arreta, v. Alpuente 8arreta, v. Alpuente 8ar		
Aldrich v. Kenney Allan, Phillips v. 279, 280, 283, 289 Allen, Buttrick v. 459, 508 Alnutt, Scott v. 301, 303, 332 Alpuente, Barrera v. 76 Alves v. Hodgson 203, 216, 219 Amedie, The 92 Amedon, Ward v. 457 Amory, Orr v. 354, 474 Andrews v. Herriot Annonymous (9 Mod. 66), 443, 530 Anstruther v. Chalmers Antelope, The 93 Appleton v. Campbell 213 Archbald, Harvey v. 243 Arell, Warder v. 273, 274 Arglasse v. Muschamp 455 Armott v. Redfern 242, 504, 508 Atwater v. Townsend 276 Atwater v. Townsend 276 Babcock v. Weston Bain v. Ackworth Baldwin v. Gray 73, 77, 78 Baldakes ex parte Barker, Cockerell v. 255, 258 Barker, Lanusse v. 190, 191 Barker, Lanusse v. 190, 191 Barker, Lanusse v. 190, 191 Barker, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barker, Canustich v. 190, 191 Barker, Canustich v. 190, 483, 487, 484 Barter v. Alpuente 274 Barrett, Hancock v. 238, 487, 484 Barter v. Alpuente 274 Barrett, Hancock v. 289, 483, 487, 488 Barrera v. Alpuente 274 Barrett, Hancock v. 289, 483, 487, 488 Barrera v. Alpuente 274 Barrett, Hancock v. 289, 483, 487, 488 Barrera v. Alpuente 28 Barrett, Hancock v. 289, 483, 487		
Allan, Phillips v. 279, 280, 283, 289 Allen, Buttrick v. 459, 508 Alloutt, Scott v. 301, 303, 332 Alpuente, Barrera v. 76 Alves v. Hodgson 203, 216, 219 Amedie, The 92 Amedon, Ward v. 457 Anoryn, Orr v. 354, 474 Andrews v. Herriot 475 Anonymous (9 Mod. 66), 443, 530 Anstruther v. Chalmers 410 Antelope, The 93 Appleton v. Campbell 213 Archbald, Harvey v. 273, 274 Arglasse v. Muschamp 455 Armstrong v. Lear 422 Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, 208 Arnott v. Redfern 242, 504, 508 Atkyns v. Smith 432 Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, 206 Atkyns v. Smith 432 Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 Atwater v. Townsend 276 Babcock v. Weston 289 Bain v. Ackworth Bain v. Ackworth Bain v. Ackworth Baldwin v. Gray 73, 77, 78 Ballades ex parte Ballades ex parte Barreta v. Alpuente 508 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 238 Barrera v. Alpuente 48, 485, 30 Barrera v. Alpuente 76 Barreta, Lanusse v. 167, 244 Barreta v. Alpuente 274 Barreta v. Alpuente 274 Barreta v. Alpuente 488 Barcer v. Alpuente 488 Barcer v. Alpuente 488 Barcer v. Meacroft, Crampton v. 116 Barret v. Alpuente 488 Barter v. Alpuente 488 Barret v. Alpuente 488 Barter v		
Allen, Buttrick v. 259, 508 — James v. 280 Alnutt, Scott v. 301, 303, 332 Alpuente, Barrera v. 76 Alves v. Hodgson 203, 216, 219 Amedie, The 92 Amedon, Ward v. 457 Amory, Orr v. 354, 474 Andrews v. Herriot 475 Anonymous (9 Mod. 66), 443, 530 Anstruther v. Chalmers 410 Arell, Warder v. 273, 274 Arglasse v. Muschamp 455 Armstrong v. Lear 422 Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, 208 Arnott v. Redfern 242, 504, 508 Atkyns v. Smith 432 Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 ———————————————————————————————————		
Same		
Alpuente, Barrera v. Alpuente, Barrera v. Alves v. Hodgson Amedie, The Amedie, The Amedon, Ward v. Amory, Orr v. Anorymous (9 Mod. 66), Anstruther v. Chalmers Appleton v. Campbell Archbald, Harvey v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Toler Armstrong v. Toler Armstrong v. Toler Battelle, Lincoln v. Appleton v. Bearcroft, Crampton v. Bearcroft, Crampton v. Bearcroft, Crampton v. Beackford v. Wade Becquet v. McCarthy 506, 459, 461 Bellamont, Conner v. Bellamont, Conner v. Bellamont, Conner v. Bellamont, Conner v. Bernies, Stanley v. Bearly v. Bearcroft, Crampton v. Bellamont, Conner v. Bellamont, Conner v. Bellamont, Conner v. Bellamont, Conner v. Berquier, Desesbats v. Berquier, Desesbat		
Alpuente, Barrera v. Alves v. Hodgson 203, 216, 219 Amedie, The 92 Amedon, Ward v. Anory, Orr v. 354, 474 Andrews v. Herriot 475 Anonymous (9 Mod. 66), 443, 530 Anstruther v. Chalmers Appleton v. Campbell 213 Archbald, Harvey v. Arell, Warder v. 273, 274 Arglasse v. Muschamp 455 Armstrong v. Lear 422 Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, 208 Arnott v. Redfern 242, 504, 508 Atkyns v. Smith 432 Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 ———————————————————————————————————		
Alves v. Hodgson 203, 216, 219 Amedie, The 92 Amedon, Ward v. 457 Amory, Orr v. 354, 474 Andrews v. Herriot 475 Anonymous (9 Mod. 66), 443, 530 Anstruther v. Chalmers 410 Antelope, The 93 Appleton v. Campbell 213 Archbald, Harvey v. 273, 274 Arglasse v. Muschamp 455 Armroyd, Williams v. 495, 496 Armstrong v. Lear 422 Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, 208 Arnott v. Redfern 242, 504, 508 Atkyns v. Smith 432 Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 ———————————————————————————————————		
Amedie, The Amedon, Ward v. Amory, Orr v. 354, 474 Andrews v. Herriot 475 Anonymous (9 Mod. 66), Anstruther v. Chalmers Antelope, The Arnelope, The Arglasse v. Muschamp Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, Atkyns v. Smith Attveney General v. Cockerell 422 ——v. Mill Atwater v. Townsend ——, Bartsth v. Austin, Trecothick v. 422, 431 Babcock v. Weston Baldwin v. Gray 73, 77, 78 Battelle, Lincoln v. 489, 483, 487, 488, 530 489, 504, 504, 504, 504, 504, 504, 504, 504		
Amedon, Ward v. Andrews v. Herriot Anonymous (9 Mod. 66), Anstruther v. Chalmers Antelope, The Antelope, The Arpleton v. Campbell Archbald, Harvey v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, 208 Arnott v. Redfern 242, 504, 508 Atkyns v. Smith Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 — v. Mill Atwater v. Townsend — v. Dunand 316 — v. Mill Atwater v. Townsend — p. Bartsth v. Austin, Trecothick v. Bayle v. Zacharie Bayon v. Vavasseur Bearcroft, Crampton v. Beazely v. Beazely 87, 99, 109, 117 — Conway v. 82, 85, 181, 277 Beckford v. Wade Becquet v. McCarthy 506, 459, 461 Bellamont, Conner v. 239, 244, 252 Bellows v. Ingraham So8, 509 Bender, Crimshow v. Bellamont, Conner v. 239, 244, 252 Bellows v. Ingraham So8, 509 Bender, Crimshow v. Bender, Grimshaw v. Bernes, Stanley v. Bervan, Scott v. Biggs v. Lawrence 208, 211, 212 Biggs v. Briggs 492, 508, 509, 437, 459 Bilades ex parte 454 Becapet v. Made 488 Becapet v. McCarthy 506, 459, 461 Bellamont, Conner v. 239, 244, 252 Bellows v. Ingraham 508, 509 Bender, Grimshaw v. 265 Bender, Grimshaw v. 265 Bervan, Scott v. 255, 260 Biggs v. Lawrence 208, 211, 212 Biggs v. Bervan, Scott v. 255, 260 Biggs v. Lawrence 208, 211, 212 Bigssell v. Briggs 492, 508, 509, 437, 459 Bilades ex parte 454 Becapet v. Made 488 Becapet v. Mace 489 Becapet v. Mace 489 Becapet v. Mace 489 Becapet v. Johnstone 45 Bellows v. Ingraham 508, 509 Bellows v. Ingraham 508, 509 Bellows v. Ingraham 508, 509 B	Alves v. Hodgson 203, 216, 219	
Amory, Orr v. 354, 474 Andrews v. Herriot 475 Anonymous (9 Mod. 66), 443, 530 Anstruther v. Chalmers 410 Antelope, The 93 Appleton v. Campbell 213 Archbald, Harvey v. 243 Arell, Warder v. 273, 274 Arglasse v. Muschamp 455 Armstrong v. Lear 422 Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, 208 Arnott v. Redfern 242, 504, 508 Atkyns v. Smith 432 Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 ———————————————————————————————————	Amedie, The 92	
Andrews v. Herriot Anonymous (9 Mod. 66), Anstruther v. Chalmers Antelope, The Appleton v. Campbell Archbald, Harvey v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, Atkyns v. Smith Attorney General v. Cockerell Attorney General v. Cockerell Attwater v. Townsend ——, Bartsth v. Austin, Trecothick v. Babcock v. Weston Bain v. Ackworth Baldwin v. Gray 475 Auston (9 Mod. 66), 443, 530 Bearcroft, Crampton v. Beazely v. Beazely 87, 99, 109, 117 Beazely v. Beazely 87, 99, 109, 117 Beazely v. Beazely v. Beazely 87, 99, 109, 117 Beazely v. Beazely v. Beazely 87, 99, 109, 117 Beazely v. Beazely v. Beazely 87, 99, 109, 117 Beazely v. Beazely v. Beazely 87, 99, 109, 117 Beazely v. Beazel		
Anonymous (9 Mod. 66), Anstruther v. Chalmers Antelope, The Antelope, The Appleton v. Campbell Archbald, Harvey v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, 208 Arnott v. Redfern 242, 504, 508 Atkyns v. Smith Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 — v. Mill Atwater v. Townsend — v. Dunand Atwater v. Townsend — p. Bartsth v. Austin, Trecothick v. Babcock v. Weston Bain v. Ackworth Baladwin v. Gray Bearcroft, Crampton v. Beazely v. Beazely 87, 99, 109, 117 ——, Conway v. 82, 85, 181, 277 ——, Conway v. 82, 85, 181, 277 Beckford v. Wade Beacquet v. McCarthy 506, 459, 461 Bellamont, Conner v. 239, 244, 252 Bellows v. Ingraham Bempde v. Johnstone 45, 46, 48, 391, 392, 395 Bender, Grimshaw v. 265 Benton v. Burgot Bervan, Scott v. 255, 260 Birgham, Ommany v. 48, 392 Bircham, Currie Adm'r v. 432, 431 Biddes ex parte Blakes ex parte 116 Beazely v. Beazely 87, 99, 109, 117 ——, Conway v. 82, 85, 181, 277 Beckford v. Wade 8bellamont, Conner v. 239, 244, 252 Bellows v. Ingraham 508, 509 8empde v. Johnstone 45, 46, 48, 391, 392, 395 Bernes, Stanley v. 8erquier, Desesbats v. 8giggs v. Lawrence 208, 211, 212 Bircham, Currie Adm'r v. 432, 431 ——, Pawling v. 190, 461, 508 Birsell v. Briggs 492, 508, 509, 437, 459, 461, 463 Blades ex parte 454 Bellamont, Conner v. 239, 244, 252 Bellows v. Ingraham 508, 509 8erpde v. Johnstone 45, 46, 48, 391, 392, 395 Bernes, Stanley v. 8erquier, Desesbats v. 8irgham, Ommany v. 48, 392 Bircham, Currie Adm'r v. 432, 431 Bircham, Currie Adm'r v. 459, 461 450 Beazely v. Beazely v. 8chord v. Wade 8chord v		
Anstruther v. Chalmers Antelope, The Appleton v. Campbell Archbald, Harvey v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, 208 Arnott v. Redfern 242, 504, 508 Atkyns v. Smith Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 — v. Mill Atwater v. Townsend — v. Mill Atwater v. Townsend — Babcock v. Weston Bain v. Ackworth Baldwin v. Gray Appleton v. Campbell 213 Beazely v. Beazely 87, 99, 109, 117 ——, Conway v. 82, 85, 181, 277 Beckford v. Wade 488 Becquet v. McCarthy 506, 459, 461 Belisario, Linclo v. 100 Bellamont, Conner v. 239, 244, 252 Bellows v. Ingraham 508, 509 Bender, Grimshaw v. 265 Benton v. Burgot Bernes, Stanley v. 45 Bervan, Scott v. 255, 260 Birgham, Ommany v. 48, 392 Bircham, Currie Adm'r v. 432, 434 Bird v. Caritat — v. Pierpont — v. Pawling v. Bilades ex parte Blakes ex parte		
Antelope, The Appleton v. Campbell Archbald, Harvey v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Toler Armstrong v. Toler Atkyns v. Smith Attorney General v. Cockerell Atwater v. Townsend ——, Bartsth v. Austin, Trecothick v. Babcock v. Weston Bain v. Ackworth Baldwin v. Gray Archbald, Harvey v. 243 Beckford v. Wade Becquet v. McCarthy 506, 459, 461 Beclisario, Linclo v. Bellamont, Conner v. 239, 244, 252 Benton v. Burgot Berquier, Desesbats v. Berquier, Deses		
Appleton v. Campbell Archbald, Harvey v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, Atkyns v. Smith Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 ———————————————————————————————————		
Archbald, Harvey v. Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp Armroyd, Williams v. Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Toler Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, Arnott v. Redfern Atkyns v. Smith Attorney General v. Cockerell Atwater v. Townsend ————————————————————————————————————		
Arell, Warder v. Arglasse v. Muschamp 455 Armroyd, Williams v. 495, 496 Armstrong v. Lear 422 Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, 208 Arnott v. Redfern 242, 504, 508 Atkyns v. Smith 432 Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 ———————————————————————————————————		
Arglasse v. Muschamp Armroyd, Williams v. 495, 496 Armstrong v. Lear 422 Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, 206 Arnott v. Redfern 242, 504, 508 Atkyns v. Smith 432 Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 C		
Armroyd, Williams v. 495, 496 Armstrong v. Lear 422 Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, 208 Arnott v. Redfern 242, 504, 508 Atkyns v. Smith 432 Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 ———————————————————————————————————		
Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, Arnott v. Redfern 242, 504, 508 Atkyns v. Smith 432 Attorney General v. Cockerell 422		
Armstrong v. Lear Armstrong v. Toler 204, 205, 206, Arnott v. Redfern 242, 504, 508 Atkyns v. Smith 432 Attorney General v. Cockerell 422	Armroyd, Williams v. 495, 496	
208	Armstrong v. Lear 422	
Arnott v. Redfern 242, 504, 508 Atkyns v. Smith 432 Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 Bernes, Stanley v. 45 Berquier, Desesbats v. 38, 398 Bevan, Scott v. 255, 260 Bevan, Scott v. 255, 260 Beyan, Scott v. 255, 260 Bevan, Scott v. 255, 260 Bevan, Scott v. 255, 260 Biggs v. Lawrence 208, 211, 212 Bingham, Ommany v. 48, 392 Bircham, Currie Adm'r v. 432, 434 Bird v. Caritat 474, 479 v. Pierpont 474		
Atkyns v. Smith Attorney General v. Cockerell 422		
Attorney General v. Cockerell 422 v. Dunand 316 Bevan, Scott v. 255, 260 Biggs v. Lawrence 208, 211, 212 Biggs v. Lawrence		
-v. Dunand 316 Bevan, Scott v. 255, 260 Biggs v. Lawrence 208, 211, 212 Biggs v. Lawrence 208, 211, 212 Bingham, Ommany v. 48, 392 Bircham, Currie Adm'r v. 432, 434 Bird v. Caritat 474, 479 -v. Pierpont 474 -v. Pierpont 475 -v.		
Atwater v. Townsend	Attorney General v. Cockerell 422	
Atwater v. Townsend ——, Bartsth v. Austin, Trecothick v. B. Babcock v. Weston Bain v. Ackworth Baker v. Wheaton Baldwin v. Gray 276 Bingham, Ommany v. 48, 392 Bircham, Currie Adm'r v. 432, 434 Bird v. Caritat —— v. Pierpont ——, Pawling v. Birmington v. Wallis Birsell v. Briggs 492, 508, 509, 437, 459, 461, 463 Blades ex parte 454 Blakes ex parte		
, Bartsth v. Austin, Trecothick v. B. Babcock v. Weston Bain v. Ackworth Baker v. Wheaton Baldwin v. Gray 274 Bircham, Currie Adm'r v. 432, 434 Bird v. Caritat 474, 479 v. Pierpont 474 v. Pierpont Birmington v. Wallis 213 Bissell v. Briggs 492, 508, 509, 437, 459, 461, 463 Blades ex parte 454 Blakes ex parte 342		
Austin, Trecothick v. 422, 431 B. Babcock v. Weston Bain v. Ackworth Baker v. Wheaton Baldwin v. Gray 422, 431 Bird v. Caritat 474, 479 — v. Pierpont — n. Pawling v. 190, 461, 508 Birmington v. Wallis 213 Bissell v. Briggs 492, 508, 509, 437, 459, 461, 463 Blades ex parte 454 Blakes ex parte 342		
Babcock v. Weston Bain v. Ackworth Baker v. Wheaton Baldwin v. Gray Baldwin v. Gray Baldwin v. Gray Baldwin v. Pierpont		
B. ——, Pawling v. 190, 461, 508 Birmington v. Wallis 213 Bissell v. Briggs 492, 508, 509, 437, Baker v. Wheaton 276, 281 Baldwin v. Gray 73, 77, 78 Birmington v. Wallis 213 Bissell v. Briggs 492, 508, 509, 437, 459, 461, 463 Blades ex parte 342	Austin, Trecothick v. 422, 431	Bird v. Caritat 474, 479
Babcock v. Weston 289 Birmington v. Wallis 213 Bissell v. Briggs 492, 508, 509, 437, 459, 461, 463 Baker v. Wheaton 276, 281 Baldwin v. Gray 73, 77, 78 Blakes exparte 342		
Babcock v. Weston 289 Birmington v. Wallis 213 Bissell v. Briggs 492, 508, 509, 437, 459, 461, 463 Baker v. Wheaton 276, 281 Baldwin v. Gray 73, 77, 78 Blakes exparte 342	В.	, Pawling v. 190, 461, 508
Babcock v. Weston Bain v. Ackworth Baker v. Wheaton Baldwin v. Gray 289 Bissell v. Briggs 492, 508, 509, 437, 459, 461, 463 Blades ex parte 454 Blakes ex parte 342		Birmington v. Wallis 213
Baker v. Wheaton 276, 281 Blades ex parte 454 Baldwin v. Gray 73, 77, 78 Blakes ex parte 342		Bissell v. Briggs 492, 508, 509, 437,
Baldwin v. Gray 73, 77, 78 Blakes exparte 342		
Baldwin v. Gray 73, 77, 78 Blakes exparte 342		Blades ex parte 454
	Baldwin v. Gray 73, 77, 78	
		Blake, Hull v. 496

Blake v. Williams 272, 313, 330	Buchanan v. Rucker 492, 459
334, 345, 346, 348, 349, 350, 354	
361.	474
Blakely, Newly v. 488	Budge Montgomery v. 242
Blanchard v. Russell 22, 34, 37	Bull, Rex v. 521, 522
203, 218, 264, 276, 277, 278, 282	Bush, Mather v . 279
287, 290, 299	Butler v. Gastrill 105, 106
Bland, Robinson v. 37, 116, 166	
	, ,
203, 213, 234, 241, 247, 253, 301 316, 466, 470, 478	
Bligh, Obicini v. 508	
Bohlen v. Cleveland 318, 328, 330	Burnham, Stearns v. 296, 422
Bollard v. Spencer 433	
Borden v. Borden 429	Burton ex parte 280
v. Fitch 192, 459, 508	Burwell, Harrison v. 105, 106, 107
Boston, Selectmen of, v. Boylston	
423, 43	_
Boucher v. Lawson 204, 205, 212	, C.
217, 498, 500, 503	
Boulanger, Talleyrand v. 477	
Bourcier v. Lanusse 133, 153	Cambroso v. Moffat 205, 209, 211
Bourke v. Ricketts 259	Campbell, Appleton v. 213
Bourne, Watson v. 276, 289	2, East India Co. v. 521
Bowaman v. Reeve 443	
Boyce v. Edwards 238, 247, 266	5 — v. Tonsey 422, 432
Boyden v. Taylor 524	Canaan v. Brice 206, 208, 211
Boylston, Dawes v. 423	
, Selectmen of Boston v	, White v. 280
423, 431	
Boynton, James v. 474	
Bracket v. Norton 202, 528	
Bradford v. Farrand 284	
v. Harvard 230	
Braynard v. Marshall 282, 285	
v. Maynard 266	
	Cassin, Touro v. 203
Brice, Canaan v. 206, 208, 211 Brickwood v. Miller 356	Cathorwood Isman 916 917 919
British Linen Co. v. Drummond 487	
Broadie v. Barry 361, 390, 391, 395	
406, 409	Chalmers, Anstruther v. 410
Brooks's Syndics, Dumford v. 319	Champant, Ranlagh v. 239, 241, 246,
Brown v. Brown 406	
——————————————————————————————————————	Champlin v. Tilley 422
——, Hicks v. 261, 276	Chandler, Fisk v. 319
, I otter v. 202, 211, 210, 210	Chaper, Lannage v. 407
313	
v. Richardson 224	
v. United States 275	
Bruce v. Bruce 45, 47, 299, 313, 324	Clarke v. Graham 361
404	
Bruncan v. Bruncan's Heirs 153	Clement, Yrissari v. 243
Brush v. Curtis 474	
v. Wilkins 530	
	Cob, Dix v. 330
	,

G. J	D 1 000 400
Cochrane, Forbes v. 92, 215	
Cockell v. Gray 227	Davenport, Cutter v. 302, 361,
Cockerell, Attorney General v. 422	370, 405, 437
—— v. Barber 255, 258	Davis, Selkrig v. 143, 160, 328,
Cogswell v. Dolliver 526	332, 334, 343, 357
Commonwealth v. Deacon 522	v. Estey 423, 431, 432, 439
v. De Long-	——, Gale v. 154 —— v. Peckars 508
champs 522	
v. Green 90, 516, 518	Dawes v. Boylston 423
	Day, Scholfield r. 423, 431, 439
Conner v. Bellamort 239, 244, 252	Day, Scholfield r. 242 Deacon, Commonwealth v. 522
Consequa, Fanning v. 234, 241, 247	
v. Fanning 236, 248	De Caix, McCarthy v. 99, 109, 180, 186
—— v. Willing 201, 242.	De Couche v. Savatier 87, 88, 134,
254, 479, 528	
Conway v. Beazely 82, 85, 181, 277	489
, Stapleton v. 240, 244,	Deevar v. Spear 239, 244, 253
253, 259	De la Chaumette v. The Bank
Coolidge v. Poor 236	of England 288, 292
	Delafield v. Hurd 531
Coppin v. Coppin 361, 370, 398	De la Vega v. Vianna 470, 479,
Cottingham, Neal v. 342	483, 487
Courtois v. Carpenter 227, 254, 479	Delegal v. Naylor 255, 260
Cowper, Wills v. 302, 361, 370,	De Longchamps, Common-
398, 405	wealth r. 522
Cox & Dix v. U. S. 234, 241	Delvalle v. Plomer 219
Crampton v. Bearcroft 116	Depeau v. Humphreys 215, 248,
Crandall, Robinson v. 433	252, 261
Cranston v. Johnston 455, 459	De Rottenham, Murray v. 289
Crosby, United States v. 361, 370,	Desesbats v. Berquier 38, 398
398, 405	Deshon, Buchanan v. 363
Croudson v. Leonard 495	De Sobry v. Laistre 201, 202, 313,
Crowninshield, Sturgis v. 276, 486	395, 404, 439, 527, 528, 531
- Le Roy v . 219,	De Weitz v. Hendricks 214
224, 280, 284, 489	De Wolf v. Johnson 239, 242, 244
Cruger, M'Candish v. 266	Dickey, Morrill v. 414
Curling v. Thornton 392	Dickinson's Adm'rs v. McCraw 422
Currie, adm'r. v. Bircham 432, 434	Dix v. Cobb 330
Curtis, Brush v. 474	Dixon's Ex'tors v. Ramsay's
, Greenwood v. 38, 95, 104,	Ex'tors 395, 422
107, 203, 209, 213, 215	Dobrey ex parte 343
Cuthbert, Royal Bank of Scot-	Doe dem. Lewis v. McFar-
land v . 343, 357	land 419, 420
Cutter v. Davenport 302, 361,	Doe dem. Birthwhistle v. Var-
370, 405, 437	dill 81, 86, 91, 277, 361, 369, 405
Cutts v. Haskins 45, 417	Dolliver, Cogswell v. 526
	Doolittle v. Lewis 431, 437
_	Dormay, In re 530
D.	Douglas v. Forrest 461, 508
To 111	Doulson v. Matthews 467
Dallison, Wigglesworth v. 226	Dowdale's Case 432
Dalrymple v. Dalrymple 75, 82,	Drake, Saunders v. 259
100, 104, 174, 229, 530	Drummond v. Drummond 407, 443
Dangerfield v. Thurston 422	, British Linen Co. v. 487
Confl. c	