

The International Tax Law Concept of Dividend

Series
on International
Taxation

36

Published by:

Kluwer Law International
PO Box 316
2400 AH Alphen aan den Rijn
The Netherlands
Website: www.kluwerlaw.com

Sold and distributed in North, Central and South America by:

Aspen Publishers, Inc.
7201 McKinney Circle
Frederick, MD 21704
United States of America
Email: customer.service@aspenpublishers.com

Sold and distributed in all other countries by:

Turpin Distribution Services Ltd.
Stratton Business Park
Pegasus Drive, Biggleswade
Bedfordshire SG18 8TQ
United Kingdom
Email: kluwerlaw@turpin-distribution.com

Printed on acid-free paper.

ISBN 978-90-411-3206-2

© 2010 Kluwer Law International BV, The Netherlands

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the publisher.

Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to: Permissions Department, Wolters Kluwer Legal, 76 Ninth Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10011-5201, USA.
Email: permissions@kluwerlaw.com

Printed in Great Britain.

Preface

My doctoral thesis *The Dividend Concept in International Tax Law – Dividend Payments between Corporate Entities*, was published by Kluwer in 1999. In 2000, the book was awarded the International Fiscal Association Mitchell B. Carrol Price. Almost a decade later, the publisher contacted me with an interesting proposal. Kluwer wanted to publish a second updated edition of my doctoral thesis, which they considered to have become a classic. My first reaction to the publisher's idea was somewhat suspicious because I had never heard about a second edition of a doctoral thesis. After some consultation with Kluwer, I however agreed to publish a second book on the international tax law concept of dividend with Kluwer. In order to make a clear difference between the original doctoral thesis and the new book on the dividend concept, however, I decided not to publish the new book as a second revised edition of the original, but as a separate work with a slightly different title and somewhat different scope.

This second book *The International Tax Law Concept of Dividend* follows the structure and rough outline of the original doctoral thesis. The country specific parts of the book, however, have been replaced with more general parts providing examples of the different domestic law approaches. The core of the new book is in the EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive concept of profit distribution and in the model tax convention concept of dividend. Besides updating the references to the relevant provisions, relevant new case law and new literature have also been considered in this second book.

Marjaana Helminen
Helsinki, March 2010

List of Abbreviations

AB	<i>Aktiebolag</i> (limited company, Sweden)
ABL	<i>Aktiebolagslag</i> (Act on Limited Companies, Sweden)
AG	<i>Aktiengesellschaft</i> (public limited company, Germany)
AktG	<i>Aktiengesetz</i> (Act on Public Limited Companies, Germany)
AO	<i>Abgabenordnung</i> (Fiscal Code, Germany)
AStG	<i>Aussensteuergesetz, Gesetz über die Besteuerung bei Auslandsbeziehungen</i> (International Tax Act, Germany)
AvrL	<i>Lag om avräkning av utländsk skatt</i> (Act on Foreign Tax Credit, Sweden)
BFH	<i>Bundesfinanzhof</i> (Federal Fiscal Court, Germany)
BGB	<i>Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch</i> (Civil Code, Germany)
BMF	<i>Bundesministerium der Finanzen</i> (The Ministry of Finance, Germany)
BStBl	<i>Bundessteuerblatt</i> (Official Tax Gazette – laws, cases, etc., Germany)
CFC	Controlled foreign corporation
EC	European Community
EC Treaty	The Treaty establishing the European Community (the name of the treaty was changed to The Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union by the Treaty of Lisbon, which was signed on 13.12.2007 and which entered into force on 1.12.2009)
EEA	European Economic Area
EFL	<i>Lag om ekonomiska föreningar</i> (Act on Economic Associations, Sweden)
EFTA	European Free Trade Association

List of Abbreviations

ESTG	<i>Einkommensteuergesetz</i> (Income Tax Act, Germany)
EU	European Union
EU Court	The Court of Justice of the European Union
EVL	<i>Laki elinkeinotulon verottamisesta</i> (Business Income Tax Act, Finland)
GmbH	<i>Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung</i> (Limited liability company, Germany)
GmbHG	<i>Gesetz betreffende die Gesellschaften mit beschränkter Haftung</i> (Act on Limited Liability Companies, Germany)
HBL	<i>Lagen om handelsbolaglag och enkla bolag</i> (Act on Partnerships, Sweden)
HE	<i>Hallituksen esitys</i> (Government Bill, Finland)
HGB	<i>Handelsgesetzbuch</i> (Commercial Code, Germany)
IBFD	International Bureau of Fiscal Documentation
IFA	International Fiscal Association
Interest-Royalty Directive	Council Directive 2003/49/EC of 3 June 2003 on a Common System of Taxation Applicable to Interest and Royalty Payments Made between Associated Companies of Different Member States.
IRC	Internal Revenue Code (the US)
IRS	Internal Revenue Service (the US)
KapErhStG	<i>Gesetz über steuerliche Massnahmen bei Erhöhung des Nennkapitals aus Gesellschaftsmitteln und bei Überlassung von eigenen Aktien an Arbeitnehmer, Kapitalerhöhungsteuergesetz</i> (Act on Tax Measures in Connection with Increases in Nominal Capital out of Company Funds and with Distribution of Stock to Employees, Germany)
KG	<i>Kommanditgesellschaft</i> (limited partnership, Germany)
KGaA	<i>Kommanditgesellschaft auf Aktien</i> (partnership limited by shares, Germany)
KHO	<i>Korkein hallinto-oikeus</i> (Supreme Administrative Court of Finland)
KL	<i>Kommunalskattelagen</i> (Municipal Tax Act, Sweden)
KM	<i>Komitean mietintö</i> (committee report, Finland)
KStG	<i>Körperschaftsteuergesetz</i> (Corporate Income Tax Act, Germany)
KStR	<i>Körperschaftsteuer-Richtlinie</i> (Corporate Income Tax Guidelines, Germany)
KupL	<i>Kupongskattelag</i> (Withholding Tax Act, Sweden)
KVL	<i>Keskusverolautakunta</i> (Central Tax Board, Finland)
LähdeveroL	<i>Laki rajoitetusti verovelvollisen tulon ja varallisuuden verottamisesta</i> (Act on Taxation of Income and Wealth of a Person With Limited Tax Liability, Finland)
MenetelmäL	<i>Laki kansainvälisten kaksinkertaisen verotuksen</i>

	<i>poistamisesta</i> (Act on Elimination of International Double Taxation, Finland)
NSFS	<i>Nordiska skattevetenskapliga forskningsrådet skriftserie</i> (Series of publications of the Nordic Tax Scientific Research Board)
OECD	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
OECD Model	Model Tax Convention on Income and Capital of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (17.7.2008)
OHG	<i>Offene Handelsgesellschaft</i> (partnership with unlimited liability, Germany)
OSK	<i>osuuskunta</i> (Cooperative Society, Finland)
Oy	<i>osakeyhtiö</i> (Limited Company, Finland)
OYL	<i>osakeyhtiölaki</i> (Companies Act, Finland)
P-S Directive	Council Directive 90/435/EEC of 23 July 1990 on the Common System of Taxation Applicable in the Case of Parent Companies and Subsidiaries of Different Member States
RSV	<i>Riksskatteverkets rapport</i> (Report of the State's Tax Office, Sweden)
RFH	<i>Reichsfinanzhof</i> (Supreme Finance Court, Germany)
RÅ	<i>Regeringsrättens Årsbok</i> (Decision of the Supreme Administrative Court, Sweden)
SIL	<i>Lagen om statlig inkomstskatt</i> (National Income Tax Act, Sweden)
SijoitusrahastoL	<i>Sijoitusrahastolaki</i> (Act on Investment Funds, Finland)
SOU	<i>Statens offentliga utredningar</i> (State's public account, Sweden)
TEU	The Treaty on European Union (TEU is used in this book to refer to the Treaty on European Union in the form as amended by the Treaty of Lisbon of 13.12.2007, which entered into force on 1.12.2009)
TFEU	The Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (i.e., the EC Treaty as amended and renamed by the Treaty of Lisbon, which was signed on 13.12.2007 and which entered into force on 1.12.2009)
TVL	<i>Tuloverolaki</i> (Income Tax Act, Finland)
UN Model	United Nations Model Double Taxation Convention between Developed and Developing Countries (2001)
US Model	US Model Income Tax Convention (15.11.2006)
VaVM	<i>Valtiovarainvaliokunnan mietintö</i> (Report of the Finance Committee of the Parliament, Finland)
VerL	<i>Verotuslaki</i> (On 18.12.1995 repealed Taxing Act, Finland)
VeroH	<i>Verohallitus</i> (The National Board of Taxes, Finland)

List of Abbreviations

VKL	<i>Velkakirjalaki</i> (The Promissory Notes Act, Finland)
VM	<i>Valtiovarainministeriön työryhmämuistio</i> (working group report of the Ministry of Finance, also Ministry of Finance in general, Finland)
VML	<i>Laki verotusmenettelystä</i> (Taxing Act, Finland)
VVL	<i>Varallisuusverolaki</i> (Wealth Tax Act, Finland)
VäliyhteisöL	<i>Laki ulkomaisten väliyhteisöjen osakkaiden verotuksesta</i> (Act on Taxation of Shareholders of Foreign Controlled Corporations, Finland)
YHL	<i>Laki yhtiöveron hyvityksestä</i> (Act on Imputation Credit, Finland)

Table of Contents

List of Abbreviations	xi
Preface	xv
Chapter 1	
Introduction	1
1.1 Subject	1
1.2 Specific Purpose and Scope of the Study	2
1.3 Approach and Research Methods	8
1.4 Relevance of the Study	9
1.4.1 Double Taxation or Non-taxation Caused by Different Dividend Definitions	9
1.4.2 The Concept of Dividend and Tax Neutrality	11
1.5 Materials	12
1.6 Terminology	14
1.7 Outline of the Study	15
Chapter 2	
Tax Treatment of the Inter-corporate Cross-Border Dividends	17
2.1 Domestic Law Dividend Taxation Systems	17
2.2 EU Tax Law	18
2.2.1 The Parent-Subsidiary Directive	18
2.2.2 The TFEU Treaty	19
2.2.2.1 Permanent Establishments	19
2.2.2.2 Foreign-Source Dividend of a Resident	20
2.2.2.3 Dividend Received by a Non-resident	22

Table of Contents

2.3	Tax Treaties	24
2.4	A Comparison between the Taxation of Dividend and Other Income	26
Chapter 3		
Interaction among Different Legal Systems of International Tax Law		31
3.1	Relationship among Different Legal Systems of International Tax Law	31
3.1.1	Relevance of the Relationship	31
3.1.2	Domestic Tax Laws of Two States	31
3.1.3	Tax Treaties and Domestic Tax Law	32
3.1.4	EU Tax Law and Domestic Tax Law	34
3.1.5	Tax Treaties and EU Tax Law	37
3.2	The Classification Conflicts of International Tax Law	39
3.2.1	Description of the Problem	39
3.2.2	Solutions to Classification Conflicts	42
3.2.2.1	General Solutions	42
3.2.2.2	Express Definitions in Tax Treaties	46
3.2.2.3	The Rule of Interpretation in Tax Treaties	47
3.2.2.4	Double Taxation Relief Articles	49
3.2.2.5	Mutual Agreement Procedure	51
3.2.2.6	Solutions in Actual Tax Treaties	52
3.3	Conclusions	53
Chapter 4		
Different Dividend Concepts in International Tax Law		55
4.1	The Norms Determining the Tax Law Concept of a Dividend	55
4.2	Domestic Laws	58
4.3	The EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive	59
4.4	Tax Treaties	62
4.4.1	Introduction	62
4.4.2	The Model Conventions	63
4.4.3	Actual Tax Treaties	66
4.5	Conclusions	67
Chapter 5		
Dividend-Distributing Entities		71
5.1	Introduction	71
5.2	Domestic Laws	72
5.2.1	Different Forms of Doing Business	72
5.2.2	Entity Classification for Tax Law Purposes	73
5.2.3	Dividend-Distributing Entities	74
5.2.4	Inconsistent Classification and the Elimination of Double Taxation	75

5.3	The EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive	75
5.4	Tax Treaties	77
5.4.1	The Model Conventions	77
5.4.2	Actual Tax Treaties	84
5.5	Conclusions	87

Chapter 6

Dividend-Stripping and the Dividend-Generating Relationship 91

6.1	Introduction	91
6.2	The Basic Relationship	93
6.2.1	Domestic Tax Laws	93
6.2.2	The Parent-Subsidiary Directive	93
6.2.3	Tax Treaties	96
6.2.3.1	The Model Conventions	96
6.2.3.2	Actual Tax Treaties	97
6.2.4	Conclusions	99
6.3	Classification of Payments under Dividend-Stripping Arrangements	99
6.3.1	Proceeds from the Transfer of Dividend Rights	99
6.3.1.1	Introduction	99
6.3.1.2	Domestic Tax Laws	100
6.3.1.3	The EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive	100
6.3.1.4	Tax Treaties	101
6.3.1.5	Conclusions	105
6.3.2	Substitute Payments under Equity Securities Lending Transactions	107
6.3.2.1	Introduction	107
6.3.2.2	Domestic Tax Laws	109
6.3.2.3	The EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive	109
6.3.2.4	Tax Treaties	110
6.3.2.5	Conclusions	114
6.3.3	Dividend Equivalent Payments from Derivative Financial Instruments	115
6.3.3.1	Introduction	115
6.3.3.2	Domestic Tax Laws	122
6.3.3.3	The Parent-Subsidiary Directive	123
6.3.3.4	Tax Treaties	123
6.3.3.5	Conclusions	126

Chapter 7

Fictive Distributions as a Dividend 127

7.1	Classification of CFC Income	127
7.1.1	Introduction	127
7.1.2	Domestic Tax Laws	130

Table of Contents

7.1.3	EU Law	130
7.1.3.1	The TFEU Treaty	130
7.1.3.2	The EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive	132
7.1.4	Tax Treaties	134
7.1.5	Conclusions	140
7.2	Treatment of Bonus Shares	141
7.2.1	Introduction	141
7.2.2	Domestic Tax Laws	142
7.2.3	The EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive	142
7.2.4	Tax Treaties	144
7.2.5	Conclusions	147

Chapter 8		
Classification of Economic Benefits from Corporations to their Shareholders in the Form of Transfer Prices		149
8.1	Introduction	149
8.2	Domestic Laws	152
8.3	EU Tax Law	153
8.3.1	The TFEU Treaty	153
8.3.2	The Parent-Subsidiary Directive	154
8.4	Tax Treaties	156
8.5	Conclusions	161

Chapter 9		
Classification of Return on Debt-Equity Hybrids		163
9.1	Introduction	163
9.2	General Approaches	165
9.2.1	General Characteristics of Debt and Equity	165
9.2.2	Domestic Tax Laws	168
9.2.3	The EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive	170
9.2.4	Tax Treaties	174
9.2.4.1	The Model Conventions	174
9.2.4.2	Actual Tax Treaties	179
9.3	Interest on Long-Term or Perpetual Debt	180
9.3.1	Introduction	180
9.3.2	Domestic Tax Laws	182
9.3.3	The EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive	182
9.3.4	Tax Treaties	183
9.4	Interest on Profit-Participating Debt Instruments	185
9.4.1	Introduction	185
9.4.2	Domestic Tax Laws	186
9.4.3	The EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive	187
9.4.4	Tax Treaties	187

9.5	Interest on Convertible Debt Instruments	190
9.5.1	Introduction	190
9.5.2	Domestic Tax Laws	192
9.5.3	The Parent-Subsidiary Directive	192
9.5.4	Tax Treaties	193
9.6	Interest on Subordinated Debt Instruments	195
9.6.1	Introduction	195
9.6.2	Domestic Tax Laws	196
9.6.3	The EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive	196
9.6.4	Tax Treaties	197
9.7	Classification of the Return on Preferred Shares	198
9.7.1	Introduction	198
9.7.2	Domestic Tax Laws	200
9.7.3	The EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive	201
9.7.4	Tax Treaties	202
9.8	Conclusions	204
Chapter 10		
Classification of Interest in Thin Capitalization Situations		207
10.1	Introduction	207
10.2	Non-discrimination	209
10.2.1	Tax Treaties	209
10.2.2	The TFEU Treaty	210
10.3	The EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive	211
10.4	Tax Treaties	215
10.5	Conclusions	221
Chapter 11		
Classification of Liquidation Distributions		223
11.1	Introduction	223
11.2	Domestic Tax Laws	225
11.3	The EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive	226
11.4	Tax Treaties	230
11.4.1	The Model Treaties	230
11.4.2	Actual Treaties	237
11.5	Conclusions	238
Chapter 12		
Concluding Remarks		239
12.1	General	239
12.2	Dividend-Distributing Entities	239
12.3	Dividend-Stripping and the Dividend-Generating Relationship	241
12.3.1	The Basic Relationship	241

Table of Contents

12.3.2 Dividend-Stripping Arrangements	242
12.3.2.1 Transfer of Dividend Rights	242
12.3.2.2 Equity Securities Lending Transactions	243
12.3.2.3 Derivative Financial Instruments	244
12.4 Fictive Distributions	244
12.4.1 CFC Income	244
12.4.2 Bonus Shares	245
12.5 Economic Benefits in the Form of Transfer Prices	246
12.6 Return on Debt-Equity Hybrids	246
12.7 Thin Capitalization Interest	247
12.8 Liquidation Distributions	248
12.9 Final Conclusions	249
Bibliography	251
Index	279

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 SUBJECT

Classification of corporate distributions is a major problem facing tax authorities and tax practitioners. Internationally, capital moves at a breakneck speed and the value of cross-border inter-corporate investments and finance has grown rapidly. The instruments used for finance and investment both in national and international relations have become numerous and complex. There are many forms in which profits of a corporate entity resident in one state may be distributed to a corporate entity resident in another state. The fact that these different forms may be taxed very differently produces substantial tax planning opportunities for multinational enterprises. Due to the increasing amount and complexity of the possible inter-corporate cross-border transactions, it has become more and more difficult to keep abreast of them and to give them a name in order for the proper tax assessments to be made.

‘Dividend’ in its economic sense is the return on an equity investment in a corporate entity. Dividend distribution is a transaction in which corporate profits are distributed to the owners of the corporate entity without the corporate entity’s expectation of receiving anything in return. As a result, the assets of the corporate entity decrease and the assets of the owners increase. A dividend distribution based upon the decision of the owners at a formally recognized meeting is the basic form of such a transaction. It is, however, only one of the forms in which corporate profits may legally be distributed to the owners of a corporate entity without any equivalent value or benefit in exchange or in which an investor may enjoy a return on an equity investment.

The question is to what extent do these other transactions, where corporate profits are transferred to the owners of the corporate entity, constitute a dividend and to what extent do they constitute some other type of income for tax purposes. From an economic perspective, it seems natural to tax all forms of return on equity

investments in the same way. From a company law perspective, however, a distinction between the different possible forms may be made. It may be difficult to distinguish between a remuneration that actually constitutes a return on equity capital, and a payment from a corporate entity to its owners, that constitutes another form of a remuneration or repayment of capital.

The solutions to the classification problems are not necessarily the same for different states. The tax treatment of the different forms in which an owner may benefit from corporate profits is often different, not only in one state, but particularly in the cross-border situations where two different states are involved. The tax laws of two states may define the concept of 'dividend' differently. Therefore, in cross-border situations, classification conflicts may and do emerge. Certain transactions may be regarded as constituting a dividend in the view of the tax authorities of one state but not in the view of the tax authorities of another state. Such inconsistent classification may lead to either international double taxation or non-taxation. Therefore, it is important to draw the line between a dividend and other transactions similar in nature to a dividend, especially in cross-border situations.

This study covers different types of inter-corporate cross-border arrangements where an investor may receive a 'dividend equivalent return' directly from a corporation or indirectly through a third party. The topics covered will include:

- the extent to which these constitute a dividend for the purposes of the different legal systems of international tax law;
- the extent to which inconsistent income classification with respect to the covered arrangements lead or may lead to conflicts that further lead or may lead to international double taxation or non-taxation; and finally
- whether and by what means such conflicts are or may be resolved in practice.

1.2 SPECIFIC PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE STUDY

In order for proper tax assessment to be carried out, four basic determinations must be made:

- (1) Who is the right tax subject?
- (2) What is the tax object?
- (3) What is the tax rate to be applied in connection with the tax subject and tax object?
- (4) What is the amount of the tax when the tax rate is applied to the tax object?¹

In cross-border situations, this inquiry also includes determining which state or states have taxing rights with respect to a specific tax subject and tax object, and to what extent. In cross-border interactions, the answers to these questions depend upon the different legal systems of international tax law, that is, the domestic tax

1. See for these steps, for example, Tikka (1972), 30–46.

law of each state concerned, upon any applicable tax treaty, and, with respect to the Member States of the European Union, upon EU tax law.

In this study, the focus is on determining the tax object. The purpose is to determine under what conditions different cross-border arrangements produce income that is or that may be taxed as a dividend and thereby to clarify and define the concept of 'dividend' in international tax law.² The tax law term 'dividend' is defined with respect to different possible arrangements between parties resident in two different states. For the purposes of this study, it is irrelevant who the right tax subject is for a dividend, and what the actual tax burden is on the dividend.

In general, a dividend means a profit distribution from a corporate entity to its owners by virtue of the owner's status as such. Dividend distribution is a transaction by which the assets of the distributing corporate entity decrease and the assets of the receiving owner increase. Dividend distribution is a transfer of an economic benefit from a corporate entity to its owner for no equivalent value or benefit in exchange or, in the case that there might be some return value or benefit, it does not fully correspond to the economic benefit received.³ This general definition of 'dividend' may be broken down further.

In order to answer the question as to what items of income qualify as a dividend, a determination must be made as to what entities qualify as dividend-distributing entities under the different legal systems of international tax law. Not all transfers of benefits from a qualifying entity to another entity constitute a dividend; a certain relationship must exist between the parties and the benefit must be transferred because of this special relationship. In addition, the question of which part of the benefit transferred is to be taxed as dividend must be answered. Finally, in order to arrive at the actual taxing consequence, it must be determined who the right tax subject is with respect to the dividend received. All these determinations must be made both in the state where the distributing entity is a resident and in the state where the income recipient is a resident. The answers to these questions depend upon domestic tax law, upon any applicable tax treaties, and, with respect to EU Member States, upon EU tax law.

Identifying the dividend-distributing entity, the dividend-generating relationship and the amount of taxable dividend determines the international tax law concept of 'dividend'. These, then, are the relevant features of this study. The questions concerning the right taxpayer of the dividend received and the actual tax rate do not, strictly speaking, determine the concept of 'dividend', but rather only give some indication of how a dividend is taxed and as whose income a dividend is recognized. These questions, therefore, are not covered by this study.

-
2. International tax law is a branch of tax law, traditionally considered to cover all tax provisions concerning situations involving the territory of more than one state. In other words, the term is used to refer to all tax provisions regulating the so-called 'cross-border situations'. See, for example, Vogel et al. (1997), 10, Schaumburg (1998), 1–3, Helminen (2009), 1, Helminen (2009a), 1, Helminen (2010), Ch. 3 and Rohatgi (2005), 1. See for a discussion on the term from the time when the meaning was not yet quite clear in Bühler (1964), 3 and Knechtle (1979), 11–16.
 3. See for these general characteristics of a dividend, for example, Sandström (1962), 3.

The actual tax treatment of the income that is regarded as constituting a dividend is covered in this study only to the extent that it illustrates the importance of distinguishing a dividend from other income.⁴

Questions concerning valuation or timing are not covered in this study, although these questions, to a certain extent, are meaningful in determining the concept of a dividend. Valuation problems would require a separate study even by limiting a review of them to those that arise in connection with dividend taxation. These difficult problems are widely covered in numerous studies concerning constructive dividends and transfer pricing and, therefore, are excluded from the scope of this study. For the purposes of this study, the assumption made is that the value of distributed assets, which is taken into account for tax purposes, is clear. The question concerning the moment when a dividend is paid and realized as taxable income is also not covered.

One important objective of this study is to identify and systemize the international tax law issues relating to the question of distinguishing transactions constituting a dividend from other transactions. As a result, this study systematically covers the most important issues relating to distinguishing inter-corporate dividend transactions from other inter-corporate transactions for the purposes of international tax law. By systematically studying the cases in which the line between a dividend and some other types of income is hazy, this study provides an answer to the question of what transactions constitute dividend in international tax law.

This study evaluates the question of what entities qualify as dividend-distributing entities. It considers the typical domestic law forms of business organizations in evaluating which of these forms are regarded as dividend-distributing entities for the purposes of the different legal systems of international tax law. Both incorporated and unincorporated business enterprises are discussed. Different non-business or non-profit entities are, however, beyond the scope of this study, regardless of whether or not they appear in a form available for business organizations.

Investment funds, as a special group of entities, are, in principle, of interest in determining what entities qualify as a dividend-distributing entity. Through an investment fund, an investor may indirectly invest in the equity capital of a dividend-distributing entity. Under the domestic tax law of different states, it varies whether investment funds themselves are treated as separate taxable entities and as

4. This study excludes also the issue of beneficial ownership despite the fact that especially the availability of tax treaty benefits in the source state depends on who the beneficial owner of the dividend is. See for the beneficial owner concept, for example, Doernberg and van Raad (1997), 84–85 and 106, Hinnekens (1989), 359, paras 12–12.2 of the Commentaries to Art. 10(2) of the OECD Model, para. 8 of the Commentaries to Art. 11(2) of the OECD Model and para. 4 of the Commentaries to Art. 12(1) of the OECD Model, OECD (1987a), 93, Vogel et al. (1997), 562–563, International Fiscal Association (2000), Helminen (2002), 434–460, Helminen (2009), 40 and 141, Helminen (2009a), 71, Helminen (2010), Ch. 4, Todellinen omistaja, Ryyänänen (2003), 345–366, Rohatgi (2005), 147, Du Toit (1999) and Wheeler (2005), 478–482. See Wheeler (2007), 17–58 about conflicts in the attribution of income to a person.