

Time and Money

The Macroeconomics of Capital Structure

Roger W. Garrison



Foundations of the Market Economy

Time and Money

The macroeconomics of capital
structure

Roger W. Garrison



London and New York

First published 2001
by Routledge
11 New Fetter Lane, London EC4P 4EE

Simultaneously published in the USA and Canada
by Routledge
29 West 35th Street, New York, NY 10001

Reprinted 2001 (twice)

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group

© 2001 Roger W. Garrison

Typeset in Garamond by
Florence Production Ltd, Stoodleigh, Devon
Printed and bound in Great Britain by
TJI Digital, Padstow, Cornwall

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilized in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from
the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
Garrison, Roger W.

Time and money: the macroeconomics of capital structure /
Roger W. Garrison.

p. cm. – (Foundations of the market economy)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

1. Money. 2. Capital. 3. Macroeconomics.

I. Title. II. Foundations of the market economy series

HG220.A2 G37 2001

339.5'3–dc21

00–029106

This book has been sponsored in part by the Austrian Economics
Program at New York University.

ISBN 0–415–07982–9

Time and Money

Can we accept or find practical use for a macroeconomics

- in which consumption and investment always move together in the short run
- in which these two magnitudes must move in opposition to change the economy's rate of growth, and
- for which the long run emerges as a seamless sequence of short runs?

It is increasingly recognized that the weakness in modern macroeconomic theorizing is the lack of any real coupling of short- and long-run aspects of the market process. In the short run, the investment and consumption magnitudes move in the same direction, either both downward into recession or both upward toward full employment and even beyond in an inflationary spiral. But for a given period and with a given technology, any change in the economy's growth rate must entail consumption and investment magnitudes that move, initially, in opposition to one another.

Roger W. Garrison claims that modern Austrian macroeconomics, which builds on the early writings of F.A. Hayek, can be comprehended as an effort to reinstate the capital-theory core that allows for a real coupling of short- and long-run perspectives. Although the macroeconomic relationships identified are largely complementary to the relationships that have dominated the thinking of macroeconomists for the past half century, *Time and Money* presents a fundamental challenge to modern theorists and practitioners who overdraw the short-run/long-run distinction. The primary focus of this text is the intertemporal structure of capital and the associated set of issues that have long been neglected in the more conventional labor- and money-based macroeconomics. This volume puts forth a persuasive argument that the troubles that characterize modern capital-intensive economies, particularly the episodes of boom and bust, may best be analyzed with the aid of a capital-based macroeconomics.

Roger W. Garrison is Professor of Economics at Auburn University, Alabama, USA.

Foundations of the market economy

Edited by Mario J. Rizzo, *New York University*

Lawrence H. White, *University of Georgia*

A central theme in this series is the importance of understanding and assessing the market economy from a perspective broader than the static economics of perfect competition and Pareto optimality. Such a perspective sees markets as causal processes generated by the preferences, expectations and beliefs of Economic agents. The creative acts of entrepreneurship that uncover new information about preferences, prices and technology are central to these processes with respect to their ability to promote the discovery and use of knowledge in society.

The market economy consists of a set of institutions that facilitate voluntary cooperation and exchange among individuals. These institutions include the legal and ethical framework as well as more narrowly "economic" patterns of social interaction. Thus the law, legal institutions and cultural and ethical norms, as well as ordinary business practices and monetary phenomena, fall within the analytical domain of the economist.

Other titles in the series

The Meaning of Market Process

Essays in the development of modern
Austrian economics

Israel M. Kirzner

Prices and Knowledge

A market-process perspective

Esteban F. Thomas

Keynes's General Theory of Interest

A reconsideration

Fiona C. Maclachlan

Laissez-faire Banking

Kevin Dowd

Expectations and the Meaning of Institutions

Essays in economics by Ludwig Lachmann

Edited by Don Lavoie

Perfect Competition and the Transformation of Economics

Frank M. Machovec

Entrepreneurship and the Market Process

An enquiry into the growth of knowledge

David Harper

Economics of Time and Ignorance

Gerald O'Driscoll and Mario J. Rizzo

Dynamics of the Mixed Economy

Towards a theory of interventionism

Sanford Ikeda

Neoclassical Microeconomic Theory

The founding of Austrian vision

A. M. Endres

The Cultural Foundations of Economic Development

Urban female entrepreneurship in Ghana

Emily Chamlee-Wright

Risk and Business Cycles

New and old Austrian perspectives

Tyler Cowen

Capital in Disequilibrium

The role of capital in a changing world

Peter Lewin

The Driving Force of the Market

Essays in Austrian economics

Israel Kirzner

An Entrepreneurial Theory of the Firm

Frédéric Sautet

Time and Money

The macroeconomics of capital structure

Roger W. Garrison

Microfoundations and Macroeconomics

An Austrian perspective

Steven Horwitz

Money and the Market

Essays on free banking

Kevin Dowd

Calculation and Coordination

Essays on socialism and transitional political
economy

Peter Boettke

To Karen and Jimmy

Preface

My venture into macroeconomics has not been a conventional one. In the mid-1960s, I took a one-semester course in microeconomic and macroeconomic principles in partial fulfillment of the social-studies requirement in an engineering curriculum. The text was the sixth edition (1964) of Samuelson's *Economics*. It was several years later that I returned on my own to reconsider the principles that govern the macroeconomy, having stumbled upon Henry Hazlitt's *Failure of the "New Economics"* (1959). The first few chapters of this critique of Keynes's *General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money* (1936) were enough to persuade me that I could not read Hazlitt's book with profit unless I first read Keynes's. I had no idea at the time what actually lay in store for me.

In his own preface, Keynes does warn the reader that his arguments are aimed at his fellow economists, but he invites interested others to eavesdrop. As it turned out, even the most careful reading of the *General Theory's* 384 pages and the most intense pondering of its one solitary diagram were not enough to elevate me much beyond the status of eavesdropper. But Keynes made me feel that I was listening in on something important and mysterious. The ideas that investment is governed by "animal spirits" and that the use of savings is constricted by the "fetish of liquidity" do not integrate well with more conventional views of the free-enterprise system. Keynes's notion that the rate of interest could and should be driven to zero seemed puzzling, and his call for a "comprehensive socialization of investment" was cause for concern.

With Keynes's mode of argument – though not the full logic of his system – fresh in my mind, Hazlitt's book was intelligible, but his virtual page-by-page critique came across as the work of an unreceptive and hostile eavesdropper. Keynes's vision of the macroeconomy – in which the market tends toward depression and instability and in which the government assumes the role of stimulating and stabilizing it until social reform can replace it with something better – was never effectively countered. Hazlitt did point to the Austrian economists as the ones offering the most worthy alternative vision. There were a double handful of references to Friedrich A. Hayek's writings and twice that many to those of Ludwig von Mises.

My self-directed study expanded to include Mises's *Theory of Money and Credit* ([1912] 1953), Hayek's *Prices and Production* ([1935] 1967), and, soon enough, Murray Rothbard's *America's Great Depression* ([1963] 1972).

After a diet of Keynes, contra-Keynes, and then Austrian economics, I returned to my old principles text to see how I had failed to come to any understanding at all during my undergraduate experience with macroeconomics. In Samuelson's chapters on the macroeconomy, I found a total gloss of the issues. The fundamental questions of whether, how, and in what institutional settings a market economy can be self-regulating were eclipsed by a strong presumption that self-regulation is not possible and by simplistic exercises showing how in a failure-prone macroeconomy the extent of labor and resource idleness is related to the leakages from – and injections into – the economy's streams of spending.

In the early 1970s I entered the graduate program at the University of Missouri, Kansas City, where I learned the intermediate and (at the time) advanced versions of Keynesianism. Having read and by then reread the *General Theory*, the ISLM framework struck me as a clever pedagogical tool but one that, like Samuelson's gloss, left the heart and soul out of Keynes's vision of the macroeconomy. It was at that time that I first conceived of an Austrian counterpart to ISLM – with a treatment of the fundamental issues of the economy's self-regulating capabilities emerging from a comparison of the two contrasting graphical frameworks.

Initially drafted as a term paper, my "Austrian Macroeconomics: A Diagrammatical Exposition," was presented at a professional meeting in Chicago in 1973. In 1976 I rewrote it for a conference on Austrian Economics sponsored by the Institute for Humane Studies and held at Windsor Castle, after which it appeared in the conference volume titled *New Directions in Austrian Economics* (Spadaro, 1978). This early graphical exposition had a certain limited but enduring success. It was published separately as a monograph by the Institute for Humane Studies and was excerpted extensively in W. Duncan Reekie's *Markets, Entrepreneurs and Liberty: An Austrian View of Capitalism* (1984: 75–83). It continues to appear on Austrian economics reading lists, was the basis for some discussion in a interview published in Snowden *et al.* (1994), and tends to get mentioned in histories of the Austrian School, such as in Vaughn (1994), and in survey articles, such as in Kirzner (1997).

Though largely compatible with the graphical exposition offered in the present volume, this earlier effort was inspired by Mises's original account of boom and bust – an account that was anchored in classical modes of thought:

The period of production . . . must be of such a length that exactly the whole available subsistence fund is necessary on the one hand and sufficient on the other for paying the wages of the labourers throughout the duration of the productive process.

(Mises, [1912] 1953: 360)

This classical language got translated into graphical expression as the supply and demand for dated labor – with the production period being represented by the time elapsing between the employment of labor and the emergence of consumable output. While this construction served its purpose, it placed undue emphasis on the notion of a period of production and put an undue burden on the reader of interpreting the graphics in the light of the more modern language of Austrian macroeconomics. 接之、

Resuming my graduate studies – at the University of Virginia – I dropped the graphical framework but continued to deal with the conflict of visions that separated the Keynesian and Austrian Schools. From my dissertation came two relevant articles, “Intertemporal Coordination and the Invisible Hand: An Austrian Perspective on the Keynesian Vision” (1985a) and “Austrian Capital Theory: The Early Controversies” (1990). Bellante and Garrison (1988), together with the two dozen or so of my singly authored articles that appear in the bibliography, undergird or anticipate to one extent or another the theme of the present volume.

Since 1978, when I joined the faculty at Auburn University, I have taught courses in macroeconomics at the introductory, intermediate, and graduate levels. During the summers I have lectured on business cycle theory and on related issues in teaching seminars sponsored by such organizations as the Institute for Humane Studies, the Ludwig von Mises Institute, and the Foundation for Economic Education. I hit upon the interlocking graphical framework presented in Chapter 3 while teaching intermediate macroeconomics in 1995. Since that time I have used this framework in other courses and have presented it at conferences and teaching seminars with some success. At the very least, it helps in explaining just what the Austrian theory is. But because the interlocking graphics impose a certain discipline on the theorizing, they help in demonstrating the coherence of the Austrian vision. For many students, then, the framework goes beyond exposition to persuasion.

My final understanding of Keynesianism comes substantially from my own reading of Keynes’s *General Theory* together with his earlier writings, but it owes much to two of Keynes’s interpreters – Allan Meltzer and Axel Leijonhufvud. In 1986 I had the privilege of participating in a Liberty Fund Conference devoted to discussing Allan Meltzer’s then-forthcoming book, *Keynes’s Monetary Theory: A Different Interpretation* (1988). Though called a “different interpretation,” Meltzer had simply taken Keynes at his word where other interpreters had been dismissive of his excesses. The notions of socializing investment to avoid the risks unique to decentralized decision making and driving the interest rate to zero in order that capital be increased until it ceases to be scarce were given their due. Meltzer had put the heart and soul back into Keynesianism. My subsequent review article (1993a) substantially anticipates the treatment of these essential aspects of Keynes’s vision in Chapter 9.

Leijonhufvud, who was also a participant at the conference on Meltzer’s book, has influenced my own thinking in more subtle – though no less

substantial – ways. Leijonhufvud (1968) is a treasure-trove of Keynes-inspired insights into the workings of the macroeconomy, and Leijonhufvud (1981b) links many of those insights to the writings of Knut Wicksell in a way that the Austrian economists, who themselves owe so much to Wicksell, cannot help but appreciate. Though Leijonhufvud has often been critical of Austrian theory, he sees merit in emphasizing the heterogeneity of capital goods and the subjectivity of entrepreneurial expectations (1981b: 197) and has recently called for renewed attention to the problems of intertemporal coordination (1998: 197–202). I have dealt only tangentially with Leijonhufvud's views of Keynes and the Austrians (Garrison, 1992a: 144–5), including, though, a mild chiding for his reluctance to integrate Austrian capital theory into his own macroeconomics (1992a: 146–7, n. 10). A late rereading of Leijonhufvud (1981b), and the recent appearance of Leijonhufvud (1999), however, revealed that my treatment in Chapter 8 of Keynes's views on macroeconomic stimulation and stabilization is consistent in nearly all important respects to Leijonhufvud's reconstruction of Keynesian theory.

My understanding of Monetarism reflects the influence of Leland Yeager, though in ways he may not appreciate. In fact, had I taken his blunt and frequent condemnations of Austrian business cycle theory to heart, I would never have conceived of writing this book. But as professor and dissertation director at the University of Virginia and as colleague and friend at Auburn University, he has influenced me in many positive ways. For one, Yeager's graduate course in macroeconomics focused intensely on Don Patinkin's *Money, Interest, and Prices* (1965). Having profited greatly from that course, I show, in Chapter 10, that Patinkin's account of interest-rate dynamics complements the more conventional Monetarist theory in a way that moves Monetarism in the direction of Austrianism. For another, his exposition and development of Monetary Disequilibrium Theory have persuaded me, as I explain in Chapter 11, that pre-Friedman Monetarism is an essential complement to the Austrian theory – though Yeager himself sees the Austrian theory as an embarrassingly poor substitute for Monetary Disequilibrium Theory.

I had occasion to learn from and interact with Ludwig Lachmann in the early 1980s when he was a visiting professor at New York University and I was a postdoctoral fellow there. As recounted in Chapter 2, Lachmann's ideas about expectations and the market process served as an inspiration for many of my own arguments.

Though I met and talked with Friedrich Hayek on several occasions, I can hardly claim to have known him. However, the reader will not fail to notice his influence in virtually every chapter – and in virtually every graph – of this book. His writings fueled my interest in the early years and in later years provided the strongest support for my own rendition of Austrian macroeconomics. It is to Hayek, then, that I owe my greatest intellectual debt.

Roger W. Garrison
January 2000

Acknowledgments

The author and publisher would like to thank the following publishers and journals for granting permission to incorporate previously published material in this work:

The Edwin Mellen Press for permission to incorporate into Chapter 1 a reworking of material drawn from my foreword to John P. Cochran and Fred R. Glahe, *The Hayek-Keynes Debate: Lessons for Current Business Cycle Research* (1999). *The South African Journal of Economics* for permission to include as Chapter 2 an adaptation of "The Lachmann Legacy: An Agenda for Macroeconomics" (1997), *South African Journal of Economics*, 65(4). This paper was originally presented as the Fourth Ludwig Lachmann Memorial Lecture at the University of the Witwatersrand in August 1997. Routledge for permission to incorporate into Chapter 6 material drawn from my "Hayekian Triangles and Beyond," which originally appeared in J. Birner and R. van Zijp (eds) *Hayek, Coordination and Evolution: His Legacy in Philosophy, Politics, Economics, and the History of Ideas* (1994). The Free Market Foundation of Southern Africa for permission to incorporate into Chapter 6 material drawn from my *Chronically Large Federal Budget Deficits*, which originally appeared as FMF Monograph No. 18 (1998). *Critical Review* for permission to incorporate into Chapter 9 material drawn from my "Keynesian Splenetics: From Social Philosophy to Macroeconomics" (1993), *Critical Review*, 6(4). The MIT Press for permission to use as Figure 10.1 a graph that is analytically equivalent to Figure X-4 in Don Patinkin's *Money, Interest, and Prices: An Integration of Monetary and Value Theory*, 2nd edn, abridged (1989). Aldine Publishing Company for permission to use as Figure 10.3b a graph that resembles in all critical respects Figure 12.6 in Milton Friedman, *Price Theory* (New York: Aldine de Gruyter) Copyright © 1962, 1976 by Aldine Publishing company, New York. *Economic Inquiry* for permission to incorporate into Chapter 11 material drawn from my "Friedman's 'Plucking Model': Comment" (1996), *Economic Inquiry*, 34(4).

The author would like to thank Routledge Editor Robert Langham as well as Alan Jarvis, who preceded Mr Langham in that post, and especially Editorial Assistant Heidi Bagtazo for their efficiency and goodwill in seeing

this book project through to completion. The helpful guidance in the final stages from Susan Leaper and Simon Dennett (of Florence Production Ltd) was much appreciated. A warm thanks is also extended to the Series Editors, Mario J. Rizzo and Lawrence H. White, for their patience and helpfulness. The author is indebted to many others who provided encouragement and helpful feedback at various stages of production: John Cochran, Robert Formaini, Randall Holcombe, Steven Horwitz, Roger Koppl, Thomas and Donna McQuade, Michael Montgomery, Ivo Sarjanovic, Larry Sechrest, George Selgin, Mark Skousen, Sven Thommesen, and John Wells. The author alone, of course, is responsible for all remaining errors.

Contents

<i>List of figures</i>	ix
<i>Preface</i>	xi
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xv

PART I

Frameworks 1

- 1 The macroeconomics of capital structure 3
- 2 An agenda for macroeconomics 15

PART II

Capital and time 31

- 3 Capital-based macroeconomics 33
- 4 Sustainable and unsustainable growth 57
- 5 Fiscal and regulatory issues 84
- 6 Risk, debt, and bubbles: variation on a theme 107

PART III

Keynes and capitalism 123

- 7 Labor-based macroeconomics 125
- 8 Cyclical unemployment and policy prescription 145
- 9 Secular unemployment and social reform 168

PART IV

Money and prices 189

10 Boom and bust in the Monetarist vision 191

11 Monetary disequilibrium theory 221

PART V

Perspective 245

12 Macroeconomics: taxonomy and perspective 247

Bibliography 256

Index 265

Figures

3.1	The market for loanable funds (or for investable resources)	37
3.2	The production possibilities frontier (guns and butter)	41
3.3	Capital and growth (the United States and postwar Japan)	42
3.4	Gross investment and growth (contraction, stationarity, and expansion)	43
3.5	The structure of production (continuous-input/ point-output)	47
3.6	The structure of production (continuous-input/ continuous-output)	48
3.7	The macroeconomics of capital structure	50
3.8	Secular growth (with assumed interest-rate neutrality)	54
4.1	Technology-induced growth	59
4.2	Saving-induced capital restructuring	62
4.3	Capital restructuring (with auxiliary labor-market adjustments)	65
4.4	Boom and bust (policy-induced intertemporal disequilibrium)	69
4.5	A generalization of the Austrian theory	76
5.1	Deficit finance (shifting the debt burden forward)	86
5.2	Deficit finance (with Ricardian Equivalence)	89
5.3	Deficit spending (borrowing to finance inert government projects)	91
5.4	Deficit spending (borrowing to finance infrastructure)	95
5.5	Credit control (broad-based interest-rate ceiling)	99
5.6	Tax reform (from an income tax to a consumption tax)	103
7.1	Labor-based macroeconomics (full employment by accident)	131
7.2	Labor-based macroeconomics (with Keynesian adjustment potentials)	142
7.3	Labor-based macroeconomics (with Austrian adjustment potentials)	143
8.1	Market malady (a collapse in investment demand)	147
8.2	Locking in the malady (with a flexible wage rate)	148

8.3	Compounding the market malady (with a scramble for liquidity)	152
8.4	Full employment by design (through monetary and fiscal policies)	154
8.5	The Keynesian vision plus self-correcting tendencies	159
8.6	The paradox of thrift (saving more means earning less)	161
8.7	The paradox of thrift (the Keynesian vision in the Hayekian framework)	163
8.8	Resolving the paradox of thrift (with intertemporal restructuring)	164
8.9	Keynes and Hayek (head to head)	165
8.10	A contrast of visions (Keynes and Hayek)	166
9.1	Fetish of liquidity (with assumed structural fixity)	170
9.2	Fetish of liquidity (with the implied structural adjustments)	175
9.3	Full investment (with zero interest and no scarcity value of capital)	182
10.1	Monetarist framework (Wicksell–Patinkin)	194
10.2	Monetarist framework (Friedman–Phelps)	197
10.3	Labor-market adjustments to an increased money supply	198
10.4	Labor-based framework (with all magnitudes in real terms)	204
10.5	Boom and bust (a labor-based view of Phillips curve analysis)	205
10.6	Boom and bust (a labor-based view of the real-cash-balance effect)	208
10.7	Capital-based framework (with all magnitudes in real terms)	211
10.8	Boom and bust (a capital-based view of Phillips curve analysis)	212
10.9	Boom and bust (a capital-based view of the real-cash-balance effect)	213
11.1	Collapse and recovery (Friedman’s Plucking Model)	223
11.2	Monetary disequilibrium (in the labor-based framework)	236
11.3	Monetary disequilibrium (in the capital-based framework)	238
12.1	A graphical taxonomy of visions	248
12.2	A matrix of frameworks and judgments	253

Part I

Frameworks