



ENGINEERING ROCK MASS CLASSIFICATION

Tunneling, Foundations, and Landslides

Bhawani Singh R.K. Goel

Engineering Rock Mass Classification

Tunneling, Foundations, and Landslides

Bhawani Singh

*Former Professor of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology
Roorkee - 247 667 (India)*

R. K. Goel

*Scientist G
Central Institute of Mining and Fuel Research
Regional Centre, CBRI Campus
Roorkee - 247 667 (India)*



**BUTTERWORTH
HEINEMANN**



ELSEVIER

Butterworth-Heinemann is an imprint of Elsevier
225 Wyman Street, Waltham, MA 02451, USA
The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford, OX5 1GB, UK

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Details on how to seek permission, further information about the Publisher's permissions policies and our arrangements with organizations such as the Copyright Clearance Center and the Copyright Licensing Agency, can be found at our website: www.elsevier.com/permissions.

This book and the individual contributions contained in it are protected under copyright by the Publisher (other than as may be noted herein).

Notices

Knowledge and best practice in this field are constantly changing. As new research and experience broaden our understanding, changes in research methods or professional practices, may become necessary.

Practitioners and researchers must always rely on their own experience and knowledge in evaluating and using any information or methods described herein. In using such information or methods they should be mindful of their own safety and the safety of others, including parties for whom they have a professional responsibility.

To the fullest extent of the law, neither the Publisher nor the authors, contributors, or editors, assume any liability for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions, or ideas contained in the material herein.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Singh, Bhawani.

Engineering rock mass classification : tunneling, foundations, and landslides / Bhawani Singh & R. K. Goel.
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-12-385878-8 (alk. paper)

1. Engineering geology. 2. Tunneling. 3. Foundations. 4. Landslides—Prevention.
5. Rocks—Classification. 6. Rock mechanics. I. Goel, R. K. II Title.

TA705.S53638 2011

625.1'22—dc22

2011006029

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

For information on all Butterworth-Heinemann publications visit our
Web site at www.elsevierdirect.com

Printed in the United States of America

11 12 13 14 15 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Working together to grow
libraries in developing countries

www.elsevier.com | www.bookaid.org | www.sabre.org

ELSEVIER

BOOK AID
International

Sabre Foundation

Dedicated to
Researchers and Readers

The urgent need for this book, *Engineering Rock Mass Classification: Tunneling, Foundations, and Landslides*, was our motivation to write it. Many questions went through our minds: Is Classification reasonably reliable? Can it be successful in crisis management of geohazards? Can a single classification system be general enough for rock structures? Is classification a scientific approach? Laborious field research was needed to find answers to these vital questions.

By God's grace, scientists of the Central Institute of Mining and Fuel Research (CIMFR), IIT Roorkee, Central Soil and Material Research Station (CSMRS), Irrigation Research Institute (IRI), and the Norwegian Geotechnical Institute (NGI) came together. These God-gifted ideas and the reliable field data made our task of interpretation less tortuous. Consequently, several improvements in correlations have been possible and practical doubts were erased. At this point, consultancy works were started in the previously mentioned institutions. The success in consultancy further boosted our morale. Finally, the research work for this book was systematically compiled to help a new confident generation. The aim of this book is to generate more creative confidence and interest among civil, mining, and petroleum engineers and geologists. This book is a comprehensive revision of our book, *Rock Mass Classification—A Practical Approach in Civil Engineering*, and includes rock mass characterization, examples, and modern classifications.

Based on research, many classification approaches are scientific. Nevertheless, the scientific spirit of prediction, check, and cross-check should be kept alive; thus, many alternative classification systems have been presented here for particular rock structures. In feasibility designs of major projects, the suggested correlations in this book may be used. For final designs of complex openings, rational approaches are recommended. In the design of minor projects, field correlations may be used. The notation for uniaxial compressive strength of rock material in this book is q_c instead of σ_c . The engineering rock mass classification is an amazingly successful approach because it is simple, reliable, and time-tested for more than three decades.

Today the rational approach is becoming popular in consultancy on major projects. Our goal should be a reliable engineering strategy/solution of geological problems and not rigorous analysis. This should remove the prevailing dissatisfaction from the minds of designers. Thus, computer modeling may be the future trend of research at this time.

It appears that field testing and monitoring may always be the key approach to use in rock engineering projects, because all practical knowledge has been gained from interpretations of field observations.

The Himalayas provide the best field laboratory to learn rock mechanics and engineering geology because of complex geological problems. Further, the hypnotic charm of the upper Himalayas is very healing especially to concerned engineers and geologists. Natural oxygenation on hill tracking charges our whole nervous system and gives a marvelous feeling of energy and inner healing. So, working in the majestic Himalayas is a twin boon.

Acknowledgments

Our foremost wish is to express deep gratitude to Professor Charles Fairhurst, University of Minnesota; Dr. N. Barton; Professor J. A. Hudson, Imperial College of Science and Technology, London; Professor E. Hoek, International Consulting Engineer; Professor J.J.K. Daemen, University of Nevada; Dr. E. Grimstad, NGL; Professor G. N. Pandey, University of Swansea; Professor J. Nedoma, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic; Professor S. Sakurai; Professor Z. T. Bieniawski; Professor Jian Zhao, LMR, EPFL, Switzerland; Professor T. Ramamurthy, IIT Delhi; Professor V. D. Choubey; Dr. B. Singh, Banaras Hindu University; Professor B. B. Dhar; Professor Jagdish Narain, Former Vice Chancellor, University of Roorkee; Dr. N. M. Raju; Dr. A. K. Dube; Dr. J. L. Jethwa; Dr. Amalendu Sinha, Director, CIMFR; Dr. V. M. Sharma, ATEs; Professor Gopal Ranjan; Professor P. K. Jain; Professor M. N. Viladkar; Professor A. K. Srivastava; Professor N. K. Samadhiya; Professor Mahendra Singh; Professor R. Anbalagan; Dr. J. P. Narayan and Dr. Daya Shankar, IIT Roorkee; Dr. T. N. Singh, IIT Mumbai; Dr. V. K. Mehrotra; Dr. Subhash Mitra, IRI, Roorkee; Dr. Bhoop Singh, DST; Dr. Surya Prakash, NIDM; Dr. Rajbal Singh, CSMRS; Dr. S. K. Jain, J. P. University, Solan; and Mr. H. S. Niranjana, HBTI, for constant moral support and vital suggestions and free sharing of precious field data.

We are also grateful to the scientists at CIMFR, CSMRS, IRI, and IIT Roorkee and all project authorities for supporting field research. For whole-hearted moral support, we are grateful to Mr. N. P. Aterkar; Mr. Sandesh Aterkar, Soilex Consultant, Roorkee; Mr. Phillip C. Helwig, Canada; and Mr. A. K. Bajaj, ceramic engineer, Roorkee.

We are very thankful to A. A. Balkema, Rotterdam, Netherlands; the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), Reston; Ellis Horwood, Chichester, UK; the Institution of Mining & Metallurgy, London; John Wiley & Sons, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany; TransTech Europe, Oldenburg, Germany; Taylor & Francis; Maney Publishing; ICIMOD; Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York; ISO; ISRM; and all other publishers whose work has been referred to in this book. We appreciate their kind permission to use excerpts from their publications. In addition, we thank all the eminent researchers whose work is mentioned here. The authors are deeply grateful to Elsevier/Butterworth-Heinemann for the editing, production, and publication of this book.

We are also deeply grateful to our beloved families for their sacrifice, love, deep moral support, and suggestions; and to all of our friends and students. We also thank Holy Teacher Dr. B. K. Saxena, former scientist, CBRI, Roorkee, for his kind blessings.

We wish to encourage all enlightened engineers and geologists to kindly send their important suggestions for improving this book to us.

Preface	xiii
Acknowledgments	xv
1. Philosophy of Engineering Classifications	1
The Classification	1
Philosophy of Classification System	2
Need for Engineering Geological Map	2
Management of Uncertainties	3
Present-Day Practice	3
Scope of the Book	4
2. Shear Zone Treatment in Tunnels and Foundations	7
Shear Zone	7
Treatment for Tunnels	7
Treatment for Dam Foundations	9
3. Rock Material	13
Rock Material	13
Homogeneity and Inhomogeneity	13
Classification of Rock Material	13
Class I and II Brittle Rocks	15
Uniaxial Compression	16
Stability in Water	17
Classification on the Basis of Slake Durability Index	18
4. Rock Quality Designation	21
Rock Quality Designation	21
Direct Method	21
Indirect Methods	23
Weighted Joint Density	24
Red-Flag Effect of Low RQD	29
Application of RQD	30
5. Terzaghi's Rock Load Theory	33
Introduction	33
Rock Classes	33
Rock Load Factor	33
Modified Terzaghi's Theory for Tunnels and Caverns	42

6. Rock Mass Rating	45
Introduction	45
Collection of Field Data	45
Estimation of RMR	50
Applications of RMR	52
Precautions	55
Rock Mass Excavability Index for TBM	58
Tunnel Alignment	60
7. Tunneling Hazards	63
Introduction	63
Tunneling Conditions	65
Empirical Approach for Predicting Ground Conditions	74
Theoretical/Analytical Approach	78
Effect of Thickness of Weak Band on Squeezing Ground Condition	80
Sudden Flooding of Tunnels	80
Chimney Formation	80
Environmental Hazards due to Toxic or Explosive Gases and Geothermal Gradient	83
Concluding Remarks	83
8. Rock Mass Quality Q-System	85
The Q-System	85
Joint Orientation and the Q-System	93
Updating the Q-System	93
Collection of Field Data	93
Classification of the Rock Mass	94
Estimation of Support Pressure	96
Estimation of Deformation or Closure	101
Unsupported Span	102
Design of Supports	103
New Austrian Tunneling Method	104
Norwegian Method of Tunneling	106
Rock Mass Characterization	106
Drainage Measures	112
Experiences in Poor Rock Conditions	113
Concluding Remarks	113
9. Rock Mass Number	119
Introduction	119
Interrelation Between Q and RMR	120
Prediction of Ground Conditions	123
Prediction of Support Pressure	123
Effect of Tunnel Size on Support Pressure	123
Correlations for Estimating Tunnel Closure	126

Effect of Tunnel Depth on Support Pressure and Closure in Tunnels	127
Approach for Obtaining Ground Reaction Curve	127
Coefficient of Volumetric Expansion of Failed Rock Mass	129
10. Rock Mass Index	133
Introduction	133
Selection of Parameters used in RMI	133
Calibration of RMI from Known Rock Mass Strength Data	134
Scale Effect	137
Examples (Palmstrom, 1995)	140
Applications of RMI	141
Benefits of Using RMI	141
Limitations of RMI	142
11. Rate of Tunneling	145
Introduction	145
Classification of Ground/Job Conditions for Rate of Tunneling	146
Classification of Management Conditions for Rate of Tunneling	146
Combined Effect of Ground and Management Conditions on Rate of Tunneling	153
Tunnel Management (Singh, 1993)	154
Poor Tender Specifications	155
Contracting Practice	156
Quality Management by International Tunneling Association	156
12. Support System in Caverns	159
Support Pressure	159
Wall Support in Caverns	160
Roof Support in Caverns	162
Stress Distribution in Caverns	163
Opening of Discontinuities in Roof Due to Tensile Stress	164
Rock Reinforcement Near Intersections	164
Radial Displacements	164
Precautions	164
13. Strength Enhancement of Rock Mass in Tunnels	169
Causes of Strength Enhancement	169
Effect of Intermediate Principal Stress on Tangential Stress at Failure in Tunnels	169
Uniaxial Compressive Strength of Rock Mass	172
Reason for Strength Enhancement in Tunnels and a New Failure Theory	173
Critical Strain of Rock Mass	177
Criterion for Squeezing Ground Condition	178
Rock Burst in Brittle Rocks	178

Tensile Strength Across Discontinuous Joints	180
Dynamic Strength of Rock Mass	181
Residual Strength Parameters	181
14. Rock Mass Quality for Open Tunnel Boring Machines	185
Introduction	185
Q and Q_{TBM}	186
Penetration and Advance Rates	188
Cutter Wear	189
Penetration and Advance Rates versus Q_{TBM}	189
Estimating Time for Completion	190
Risk Management	190
15. Strength of Discontinuities	193
Introduction	193
Joint Wall Roughness Coefficient	193
Joint Wall Compressive Strength	196
Joint Matching Coefficient	198
Residual Angle of Friction	198
Shear Strength of Joints	200
Dynamic Shear Strength of Rough Rock Joints	201
Theory of Shear Strength at Very High Confining Stress	202
Normal and Shear Stiffness of Rock Joints	203
16. Shear Strength of Rock Masses in Slopes	205
Mohr-Coulomb Strength Parameters	205
Non-Linear Failure Envelopes for Rock Masses	205
Strength of Rock Masses in Slopes	209
Back Analysis of Distressed Slopes	210
17. Types of Failures of Rock and Soil Slopes	211
Introduction	211
Planar (Translational) Failure	211
3D Wedge Failure	211
Circular (Rotational) Failure	211
Toppling Failure (Topples)	213
Ravelling Slopes (Falls)	214
Effect of Slope Height and Groundwater Conditions on Safe Slope Angle	214
A Basic Landslide Classification System	216
Causative Classification	217
Comprehensive Classification System of Landslides	217
Landslide in Over-Consolidated Clays	217
Rock Slope Failures	224
Landslide Dams	229

18. Slope Mass Rating	231
The Slope Mass Rating	231
Slope Stability Classes	234
Support Measures	235
Modified SMR Approach	236
Case Study of Stability Analysis using Modified SMR Approach	238
Portal and Cut Slopes	238
19. Landslide Hazard Zonation	245
Introduction	245
Landslide Hazard Zonation Maps—The Methodology	246
A Case History (Gupta and Anbalagan, 1995)	251
Proposition for Tea Gardens	262
Geographic Information System	262
Mega-Regional Landslide Zonation	264
20. Allowable Bearing Pressure for Shallow Foundations	267
Introduction	267
A Classification for Net Safe Bearing Pressure	267
Allowable Bearing Pressure	269
Coefficient of Elastic Uniform Compression for Machine	
Foundations	273
Scour Depth Around Bridge Piers	273
Rock Parameters to Select Type of Dam	274
21. Method of Excavation	281
Excavation Techniques	281
Assessing the Rippability	281
Rock Mass Classification According to Ease of Ripping	282
Empirical Methods in Blasting	284
22. Rock Drillability	287
Drillability and Affecting Parameters	287
Classification for Drilling Condition	288
Other Approaches	291
23. Permeability and Groutability	293
Permeability	293
Permeability of Various Rock Types	293
Permeability for Classifying Rock Masses	295
Permeability versus Grouting	295
Determination of Permeability	295
Grouting	296

24. Gouge Material	307
Gouge	307
Shear Strength of Filled Discontinuities (Silty to Clayey Gouge)	310
Dynamic Strength	311
25. Engineering Properties of Hard Rock Masses	313
Hard Rock Masses	313
Modulus of Deformation	313
UCS	314
Uniaxial Tensile Strength	314
Strength Criterion	314
Support Pressure in Non-Squeezing/Non-Rock Burst Conditions ($H < 350 Q^{1/3}$)	315
Half-Tunnels	315
26. Geological Strength Index	319
Geological Strength Index	319
Generalized Strength Criterion	323
Mohr-Coulomb Strength Parameters	326
Modulus of Deformation	327
Rock Parameters for Intact Schistose	329
Estimation of Residual Strength of Rock Masses	329
Classification of Squeezing Ground Condition	330
27. Evaluation of Critical Rock Parameters	335
Introduction	335
Critical Parameters	335
Parameter Intensity and Dominance	336
Classification of Rock Mass	338
Example for Studying Parameter Dominance in Underground Excavation for a Coal Mine with a Flat Roof	338
Relative Importance of Rock Parameters in Major Projects	340
Interaction between Rock Parameters	340
Application in Entropy Management	344
28. In Situ Stresses	345
The Need for In Situ Stress Measurement	345
Classification of Geological Conditions and Stress Regimes	345
Variation of In Situ Stresses with Depth	347
Effect of In Situ Stress on Rock Mass Properties	349
Core Discing	349
Appendix I Shear and Normal Stiffness of Rock Joints	351
Appendix II Bond Shear Strength of Grouted Bolts	355
Index	357

Philosophy of Engineering Classifications

When you can measure what you are speaking about, and express it in numbers, you know something about it, but when you cannot measure it, when you cannot express it in numbers, your knowledge is of a meagre and unsatisfactory kind; it may be the beginning of knowledge, but you have scarcely in your thoughts, advanced to the stage of science.

Lord Kelvin

THE CLASSIFICATION

The science of classification is called “taxonomy”; it deals with the theoretical aspects of classification, including its basis, principles, procedures, and rules. Knowledge tested in projects is called the “practical knowledge.” Surprisingly the rating and ranking systems have become popular in every part of life in the twenty-first century.

Rock mass classifications form the backbone of the empirical design approach and are widely employed in rock engineering. Engineering rock mass classifications have recently been quite popular and are used in feasibility designs. When used correctly, a rock mass classification can be a powerful tool in these designs. On many projects the classification approach is the only practical basis for the design of complex underground structures. The Gjøvik Underground Ice Hockey Stadium in Norway was designed by the classification approach.

Engineering rock mass classification systems have been widely used with great success in Austria, South Africa, the United States, Europe, and India for the following reasons:

1. They provide better communication between planners, geologists, designers, contractors, and engineers.
2. An engineer’s observations, experience, and judgment are correlated and consolidated more effectively by an engineering (quantitative) classification system.
3. Engineers prefer numbers in place of descriptions; hence, an engineering classification system has considerable application in an overall assessment of the rock quality.
4. The classification approach helps in the organization of knowledge and is amazingly successful.
5. An ideal application of engineering rock mass classification occurs in the planning of hydroelectric projects, tunnels, caverns, bridges, silos, building complexes, hill roads, rail tunnels, and so forth.

The classification system, in the last 60 years of its development, has been cognizant of the new advances in rock support technology starting from steel rib supports to the latest supporting techniques such as rock bolts and steel fiber reinforced shotcrete (SFRS).

PHILOSOPHY OF CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

In any engineering classification system, the minimum rating is called “poor rock mass” and the maximum rating is called “excellent rock mass.” Thus, every parameter of a classification plays a more dominant role as overall rating decreases, and many classifications are accurate in both excellent and poor rock conditions. Reliability may decrease for medium rock conditions. No single classification is valid for assessment of all rock parameters. Selection of a classification for estimating a rock parameter is, therefore, based on experience. The objective should be to classify the undisturbed rock mass beyond excavated faces. *Precaution should be taken to avoid the double-accounting of joint parameters in the classification and in the analysis. Thus, joint orientation and water seepage pressure should not be considered in the classification if these are accounted for in the analysis.*

It is necessary to account for fuzzy variation of rock parameters after allowing for uncertainty; thus, it is better to assign a range of ratings for each parameter. There can be a wide variation in the engineering classifications at a location. When designing a project, the average of rock mass ratings (RMR) and geological strength index (GSI) should be considered in the design of support systems. For rock mass quality (Q), a geometric mean of the minimum and the maximum values should also be considered in the design.

A rigorous classification system may become more reliable if uncertain parameters are dropped and considered indirectly. An easy system’s approach (Hudson, 1992) is very interesting and tries to sequence dominant parameters at a site (see Chapter 27). This classification is a holistic (whole) approach, considering all parameters.

Hoek and Brown (1997) realized that a classification system must be non-linear to classify poor rock masses realistically. In other words, the reduction in strength parameters with classification should be non-linear, unlike RMR in which strength parameters decrease linearly with decreasing RMR. (Mehrotra, 1993, found that strength parameters decrease non-linearly with RMR for dry rock masses.) More research is needed on the non-linear correlations for rock parameters and rock mass characterization.

Sound engineering judgment evolves out of long-term, hard work in the field.

NEED FOR ENGINEERING GEOLOGICAL MAP

Nature tends to be heterogeneous, which makes it easy to predict its weakest link. More attention should be focused on the weak zones (joints, shear zones, fault zones, etc.) in the rock mass that may cause wedge failures and/or toppling. Rock failure is localized and three dimensional in heterogeneous rock mass and not planar, as in homogeneous rock mass.

First, a geological map on macro-scale (1:50,000) should be prepared before tunneling or laying foundations. Then an engineering geological map on micro-scale (1:1000) should be prepared soon after excavation. This map should highlight geological details for an excavation and support system. These include Q, RMR, all the shear zones, faults, dip and dip directions of all joint sets (discontinuities), highest ground water table

(GWT), and so forth along tunnel alignment. The engineering geological map helps civil engineers immensely. Such detailed maps prepared based on thorough investigation are important for tunnel excavations. If an engineering geological map is not prepared then the use of a tunnel boring machine (TBM) is not advisable, because the TBM may get stuck in the weak zones, as experienced in Himalayan tunneling. An Iraqi proverb eloquently illustrates this idea:

Ask 100 questions, but do not make a single mistake.

MANAGEMENT OF UNCERTAINTIES

Empirical, numerical, or analytical and observational approaches are various tools for engineering designs. The empirical approach, based on rock mass classifications, is the most popular because of its simplicity and ability to manage uncertainties. Geological and geotechnical uncertainties can be tackled effectively using proper classifications. Moreover, this approach allows designers to make on-the-spot decisions regarding supporting measures if there is a sudden change in the geology. The analytical approach, on the other hand, is based on assumptions and obtaining correct values of input parameters. This approach is both time-consuming and expensive. The observational approach, as the name indicates, is based on monitoring the efficiency of the support system.

Classifications are likely to be invalid in areas where there is damage due to blasting and weathering such as in cold regions, during cloudbursts, and under oceans. If the rock has extraordinary geological occurrence (EGO) problems, then these should be solved under the guidance of national and international experts.

According to Fairhurst (1993), designers should develop design solutions and design strategies so that support systems are ductile and robust, that is, able to perform adequately even in unknown geological conditions. For example, shotcreted and reinforced rock arch is a robust support system. The Norwegian Method of Tunneling (NMT) after 30 years, has evolved into a successful strategy that can be adopted for tunnel supporting in widely different rock conditions.

PRESENT-DAY PRACTICE

Present-day practice is a combination of all of the previously described approaches. This is basically a “design as you go” approach. Experience led to the following strategy of refinement in the design of support systems.

1. In feasibility studies, empirical correlations may be used for estimating rock parameters.
2. At the design stage, in situ tests should be conducted for major projects to determine the actual rock parameters. It is suggested that in situ triaxial tests (with σ_1 , σ_2 , and σ_3 applied on sides of the cube of rock mass) should be conducted extensively, because σ_2 is found to affect both the strength and deformation modulus of rock masses in tunnels. This is the motivation for research, and its presentation in this book is likely to prove an urgent need for in situ polyaxial tests.
3. At the initial construction stage, instrumentation should be carried out in drifts, caverns, intersections, and other important locations with the objective of acquiring field data on displacements both on the supported excavated surfaces and within the rock mass. Instrumentation is also essential for monitoring construction quality. Experience confirms that instrumentation in a complex geological environment is the key to success

for a safe and steady tunneling rate. These data should be utilized in computer modeling for back analysis of both the model and its parameters (Sakurai, 1993).

4. At the construction stage, forward analysis of rock structures should be carried out using the back analyzed model and the parameters of rock masses. Repeated cycles of back analysis and forward analysis (BAFA) may eliminate many inherent uncertainties in geological mapping and knowledge of engineering behavior of rock masses. Where broken/plastic zones are predicted, the borehole extensometers should reveal a higher rate of displacement in the broken zone than in the elastic zone. The predicted displacements are very sensitive to the assumed model, parameters of rock masses and discontinuities, in situ stresses, and so forth.
5. The principle of dynamic programming should be adopted. Construction strategy will evolve with time in every step to reach the goal quickly; for example, grouting may improve ground conditions significantly. Dynamic programming is essentially a “re-design while you go” evolutionary approach.
6. The aim of computer modeling should be to design site-specific support systems and not just analysis of the strains and stresses in the idealized geological environment. In a non-homogeneous and complex geological environment, which is difficult to predict, slightly conservative rock parameter values may be assumed for the purpose of designing site-specific remedial measures (lines of defenses) and for accounting inherent uncertainties in geological and geotechnical investigations.
7. Be prepared for the worst and hope for the best.

SCOPE OF THE BOOK

This book presents an integrated system of classifications and their applications for tunnels, foundations, and landslides in light of the field research conducted in India and Europe during the last three decades. This revised edition offers an integrated practical knowledge on the rock mass characterization for use in software packages along with extensive tables.

This text is a specialized book on rock mass classifications and is written for civil engineers and geologists who have basic knowledge of these classifications. The analysis and design of rock slopes is beyond the scope of this book (see Singh & Goel, 2002). There are several types of popular software for non-linear analysis, but they need an approximate solution to be useful, which is provided by the engineering rock mass classification.

This book is written to help civil engineers and geologists working on civil engineering jobs such as hydroelectric projects, foundations, tunnels, caverns, and rapid landslide hazard zonation.

Some engineers work under the assumption that a rock mass is homogeneous and isotropic, but this may not always be correct as shear zones are encountered frequently. Because of this, shear zone treatment is discussed in Chapter 2.

REFERENCES

- Fairhurst, C. (1993). Analysis and design in rock mechanics—The general context. In *Comprehensive rock engineering* (Vol. 3, Chap. 1, pp. 1–29). New York: Pergamon.
- Hoek, E., & Brown, E. T. (1997). Practical estimation of rock mass strength. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 34(8), 1165–1186.
- Hudson, J. A. (1992). *Rock engineering systems — Theory and practice* (p. 185). Chichester, UK: Horwood Ltd.