Ovidiu Cârjă
Ioan I Vrabie
editors

# APPLIED ANALYSIS AND DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

editors

Ovidiu Cârjă

Ioan I Vrabie

*Al I Cuza University, România &*
*O Mayer Mathematics Institute of*
*the Romanian Academy, România*

# APPLIED ANALYSIS AND DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Iaşi, România, 4 - 9 September 2006

World Scientific

NEW JERSEY • LONDON • SINGAPORE • BEIJING • SHANGHAI • HONG KONG • TAIPEI • CHENNAI

*Published by*

World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.

5 Toh Tuck Link, Singapore 596224

*USA office:* 27 Warren Street, Suite 401-402, Hackensack, NJ 07601

*UK office:* 57 Shelton Street, Covent Garden, London WC2H 9HE

**British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data**

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

**APPLIED ANALYSIS AND DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS**



ISBN-13 978-981-270-594-5

ISBN-10 981-270-594-5

Printed in Singapore by World Scientific Printers (S) Pte Ltd

## PREFACE

The *International Conference of Applied Analysis and Differential Equations* was held September 4-9, 2006 at the "Al. I. Cuza" University of Iaşi and the "Octav Mayer" Mathematical Institute of the Romanian Academy of Iaşi, Romania.

It was supported by the Project CERES 4-194/2004.

The conference was devoted to recent advances in *Nonsmooth Analysis and Optimizations, ODE, PDE, Control Theory, Stochastic Analysis* and was well attended by mathematicians all over the world. There were 40 minutes plenary invited lectures, 20 minutes talks and a poster session.

This volume includes 29 selected articles, many of them written by leading specialists in their fields and covering the main topics of the conference.

We take this opportunity to express our gratitude to all who have chosen to publish their contributions in this volume as well as to the referees of the submitted papers for their promptitude and high exigence. Furthermore, we thank Ms. Carmen Savin for her excellent secretarial work, as well as Ms. Elena Mocanu for her extremely efficient and skilful assistance in preparation of the camera-ready copy.

Finally, we express our warmest thanks to World Scientific for the very pleasant and fruitful cooperation during the publication of this volume.

Iaşi, December 19, 2006 Ovidiu Cârjă and Ioan I. Vrabie

## ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

## SERIES EDITORIAL BOARD

## ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

*of the International Conference on*
*Applied Analysis and Differential Equations*

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| O. Cârjă (Chairman) | — | University "Al.I.Cuza", Iaşi, RO |
| V. Barbu | — | University "Al.I.Cuza", Iaşi, RO |
| M. Durea (Secretary) | — | University "Al.I.Cuza", Iaşi, RO |
| M. Necula | — | University "Al.I.Cuza", Iaşi, RO |
| A. Răşcanu | — | University "Al.I.Cuza", Iaşi, RO |
| I.I. Vrabie | — | University "Al.I.Cuza", Iaşi, RO |
| C. Zălinescu | — | University "Al.I.Cuza", Iaşi, RO |

## CONTENTS

# A STABILITY CRITERION FOR DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH IMPULSE EFFECTS

J.O. ALZABUT

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science*
*Çankaya University, 06530 Ankara, Turkey*
*E-mail: jehad@cankaya.edu.tr*

In this paper, we prove that if a delay differential equation with impulse effects of the form

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t) + B(t)x(t-\tau) \quad , t \neq \theta_i,$$

$$\Delta x(\theta_i) = C_i x(\theta_i) + D_i x(\theta_{i-j}), \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

verifies a Perron condition then its trivial solution is uniformly asymptotically stable.

*Keywords*: Impulse; Delay; Adjoint; Perron; Uniform asymptotic stability.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Delay differential equations with impulse effects can suitably model various evolutionary processes that exhibit both delay and impulse characteristics. In particular, they provide a natural description of the motion of several real world processes which, on one hand, depends on the processes history that often turns out to be the cause of phenomena substantially affecting the motion and, on other hand, is subject to short time perturbations whose duration is almost negligible. Such processes are often investigated in various fields of science and technology, such as physics, population dynamics, ecology, biological systems, optimal control, etc., see Refs. 1–11 and reference quoted therein.

It is well known in the theory of ordinary differential equations (see eg. Ref. 12 [p. 120]) that if for every continuous function $f(t)$ bounded on $[0, \infty)$, the solution of the equation

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t) + f(t),$$

satisfying $x(0) = 0$ is bounded on $[0, \infty)$, then the trivial solution of the corresponding homogeneous equation

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t)$$

is uniformly asymptotically stable. This result is referred as Perron theorem Ref. 13. Later, Perron theorem has been extended to delay differential equations Ref. 12 [p. 371]. Indeed, it was shown that if for every continuous function $f(t)$ bounded on $[0,\infty)$, the solution of the equation

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t) + B(t)x(t-\tau) + f(t),\ t > 0$$

satisfying $x(t) = 0$ for $t \in [-\tau, 0]$ is bounded on $[0,\infty)$, then the trivial solution of the equation

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t) + B(t)x(t-\tau),$$

is uniformly asymptotically stable. For more related materials, see the papers Refs. 14,15.

In this paper, we carry out the above result to a type of linear delay differential equations with impulse effects. Indeed, we consider equation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &x'(t) = A(t)x(t) + B(t)x(t-\tau), && t \neq \theta_i, \\ &\Delta x(\theta_i) := x(\theta_i^+) - x(\theta_i) = C_i x(\theta_i) + D_i x(\theta_{i-j}), && i \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and show that its trivial solution is uniformly asymptotically stable under a Perron condition. Our equation differs from the previous ones, see also Refs. 16–19, not only it is more general but also it allows delay terms in the impulse conditions. Such impulse conditions are more natural for delay differential equations.

With regard to equation (4) it is assumed that

(i) $A$ and $B$ are $n \times n$ continuous bounded matrices, $\tau > 0$ is a positive real number;

(ii) $C_i$ and $D_i$ are $n \times n$ bounded matrices, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed;

(iii) $\{\theta_i\}$ is an increasing sequence of real numbers with $\lim\limits_{i\to\infty} \theta_i = \infty$.

We also assume that $\det(I + C_i) \neq 0$ and that there exist a positive real numbers $\rho$ and $\nu$ such that $\|D_i\| \leq \rho$ and $\|(I+C_i)^{-1}\| \leq \rho$ and $\theta_i - \theta_{i-j} \leq \nu$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. $\|\cdot\|$ denotes any matrix norm.

**Definition 1.1.** Equation (4) is said to verify Perron condition if for every continuous bounded on $[0,\infty)$ function $f(t)$ and every bounded sequence

$\beta_i$ the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= A(t)x(t) + B(t)x(t-\tau) + f(t), \quad t \neq \theta_i, \\ \Delta x(\theta_i) &= C_i x(\theta_i) + D_i x(\theta_{i-j}) + \beta_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

satisfying $x(t) = 0$ for $t \in [-\tau, 0]$ is bounded on $t \in [0, \infty)$.

By a solution of (6) on an interval $J$, we mean a function $x$ defined on $J$ such that $x$ is continuous on $J$ except possibly at $\theta_i \in J$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where $x(\theta_i^+) : \lim_{t \to \theta_i^+} x(t)$ and $x(\theta_i^-) := \lim_{t \to \theta_i^-} x(t)$ exist, $x(\theta_i^-) := x(\theta_i)$, and that $x$ satisfies (6) on $J$. Clearly, if $f \equiv 0$ and $\beta_i = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ then (6) reduces to (4).

Let $PLC([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of piecewise left continuous functions $\phi : [-\tau, 0] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ having a finite number of discontinuity points of the first kind. Under the above conditions, one can easily show that for given $\sigma \geq 0$ and $\phi \in PLC([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ there is a unique solution $x(t)$ of (6) such that

$$x(t + \sigma) = \phi(t), \quad t \in [-\tau, 0]. \tag{3}$$

## 2. Preparatory lemmas

The following lemmas, see Ref. 12 for delay differential equations without impulse effects, are essential in proving the main result of this paper. Lemma 4.1 is needed to define an adjoint equation of (4), Lemma 2.2 provides representation of solutions, and Lemma 2.3 is concerned with the boundedness of fundamental matrices of (4).

Consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned} y'(t) &= -A^T(t)y(t) - B^T(t+\tau)y(t+\tau), \qquad t \neq \theta_i, \\ \Delta y(\theta_i) &- (I + C_i^T)^{-1} C_i^T y(\theta_i) - (I + C_i^T)^{-1} D_{i+j}^T y(\theta_{i+j}^+), \; i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We claim that equation (9) is an adjoint of (4) with respect to a function resembles the one used by Halanay in Ref. 12 [p. 371]. It turns out that this function has the form

$$\begin{aligned} < y(t), x(t) > = y^T(t)x(t) &+ \int_t^{t+\tau} y^T(s)B(s)x(s-\tau)\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \sum_{n(t) \leq k < n(t)+j} y^T(\theta_k^+) D_k x(\theta_{k-j}), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where

$$n(t) = \min\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \theta_i \geq t\}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *If $x(t)$ is a solution of (4) and $y(t)$ is a solution of (9) then*

$$\langle y(t), x(t)\rangle = c = \text{constant},$$

*where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is defined by (10).*

**Proof.** Let $t \in (\theta_i, \theta_{i+1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\langle y(t), x(t)\rangle &= -y^T(t)A(t)x(t) - y^T(t+\tau)B(t+\tau)x(t)\\ &\quad + y^T(t+\tau)B(t+\tau)x(t) - y^T(t)B(t)x(t-\tau)\\ &\quad + y^T(t)A(t)x(t) + y^T(t)B(t)x(t-\tau) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\langle y(t), x(t)\rangle = c_i = \text{constant}$ for $t \in (\theta_i, \theta_{i+1})$. We may claim that $c_i = c$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, since

$$c_{i+1} - c_i = \Delta\langle y(t), x(t)\rangle\,|_{t=\theta_i},$$

by (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}c_{i+1} - c_i &= y^T(\theta_i^+)x(\theta_i^+) - y^T(\theta_i)x(\theta_i)\\ &\quad + \sum_{n(\theta_i^+)\le k<n(\theta_i^+)+j} y^T(\theta_k^+)D_k x(\theta_{k-j})\\ &\quad - \sum_{n(\theta_i)\le k<n(\theta_i)+j} y^T(\theta_k^+)D_k x(\theta_{k-j}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $n(\theta_i^+) = i+1$ and $n(\theta_i) = i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}c_{i+1} - c_i &= y^T(\theta_i^+)x(\theta_i^+) - y^T(\theta_i)x(\theta_i) - y^T(\theta_i^+)D_i x(\theta_{i-j})\\ &\quad + y^T(\theta_{i+j}^+)D_{i+j}x(\theta_i).\end{aligned}$$

Using the impulse conditions in (4)

$$x(\theta_i^+) = (I + C_i)x(\theta_i) + D_i x(\theta_{i-j})$$

and the impulse conditions in (9)

$$y^T(\theta_{i+j}^+)D_{i+j} = y^T(\theta_i) - y^T(\theta_i^+) - y^T(\theta_i^+)C_i$$

we deduce that $c_{i+1} - c_i = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus $\langle y(t), x(t)\rangle = c$. □

**Remark 2.1.** It is easy to verify also that the adjoint of (9) is (4), i.e they are mutually adjoint of each other.

**Definition 2.1.** A matrix solution $X(t,\alpha)$ of (4) satisfying $X(\alpha,\alpha) = I$ and $X(t,\alpha) = 0$ for $t < \alpha$ is called a fundamental matrix of (4).

**Definition 2.2.** A matrix solution $Y(t,\alpha)$ of (9) satisfying $Y(\alpha,\alpha) = I$ and $Y(t,\alpha) = 0$ for $t > \alpha$ is said to be a fundamental matrix of (9).

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let $X(t,\alpha)$ be a fundamental matrix of* (4) *and $\sigma \geq 0$ a real number. If $x(t)$ is a solution of* (6)*, then*

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= X(t,\sigma)x(\sigma) \\ &+ \int_{\sigma-\tau}^{\sigma} X(t,\alpha+\tau)B(\alpha+\tau)x(\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha + \int_{\sigma}^{t} X(t,\alpha)f(\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha \\ &+ \sum_{n(\sigma)-j\leq k<n(\sigma)} X(t,\theta_{k+j}^{+})D_{k+j}x(\theta_k) + \sum_{n(\sigma)\leq k<n(t)} X(t,\theta_k^{+})\beta_k. \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Multiplying the differential equation in (6) by the matrix $Y^T(\alpha,t)$ and integrating with respect to $\alpha$ from $\sigma$ to $t$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= Y^T(\sigma,t)x(\sigma) - \int_{\sigma}^{t} Y^T(\alpha+\tau,t)B(\alpha+\tau)x(\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha \\ &+ \int_{\sigma}^{t} Y^T(\alpha,t)B(\alpha)x(\alpha-\tau)\mathrm{d}\alpha + \int_{\sigma}^{t} Y^T(\alpha,t)f(\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha \\ &+ \sum_{n(\sigma)\leq k<n(t)} \Big[Y^T(\theta_k^{+},t)x(\theta_k^{+}) - Y^T(\theta_k,t)x(\theta_k)\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing $\alpha$ by $\alpha+\tau$ in the second integral and using the impulse conditions in (6) and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= Y^T(\sigma,t)x(\sigma) + \int_{\sigma-\tau}^{\sigma} Y^T(\alpha+\tau,t)B(\alpha+\tau)x(\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha \\ &+ \sum_{n(\sigma)-j\leq k<n(\sigma)} Y^T(\theta_{k+j}^{+},t)D_{k+j}x(\theta_k) \\ &+ \int_{\sigma}^{t} Y^T(\alpha,t)f(\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha + \sum_{n(\sigma)\leq k<n(t)} Y^T(\theta_k^{+},t)\beta_k. \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

Since $X(t,\sigma) = Y^T(\sigma,t)$, which can be seen by replacing $x(t)$ by the fundamental matrix $X(t,\sigma)$ in (7) with $f \equiv 0$ and $\beta_i = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, (7) is the same as (6). □

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let $X(t,\alpha)$ be a fundamental matrix of (4) and $Y(t,\alpha)$ be a fundamental matrix of (9). Then*

$$X(t,\alpha) = Y^T(\alpha,t).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *If (4) verifies Perron condition, then*

$$\|X(t,\alpha)\| < M \text{ for } t \geq \alpha \geq 0.$$

**Proof.** We first claim that there exists a constant $d$ such that

$$\int_0^t \|X(t,\alpha)\|d\alpha + \sum_{0\leq m<n(t)} \|X(t,\theta_m^+)\| < d \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \tag{8}$$

Define the space $\Pi = CB \times S$, where $CB$ is the set of bounded functions $f \in C([0,\infty),\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $S$ is the set of bounded sequences $\beta = \{\beta_m\}$, $\beta_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The elements are represented by the pair $(f,\beta)$ supplied by the norm $\|(f,\beta)\| = \sup_{t\in[0,\infty)} \|f(t)\| + \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \|\beta_m\|$. Consider the operator $U$ defined on the Banach space $\Pi$ by

$$U(f,\beta_m) = \int_0^t X(t,\alpha)f(\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha + \sum_{0\leq m<n(t)} X(t,\theta_m^+)\beta_m.$$

We may use the Banach Steinhaus theorem Ref. 20 by employing similar arguments developed in Ref. 12 to arrive at (8).

Now let us consider (9) satisfied by $Y(\alpha,t)$. Integrating both sides from $\sigma$ to $t$ leads to

$$Y^T(\sigma,t) = I + \int_\sigma^t Y^T(\alpha,t)A(\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha + \int_\sigma^t Y^T(\alpha+\tau,t)B(\alpha+\tau)\mathrm{d}\alpha - \sum_{n(\sigma)\leq i<n(t)} \Delta Y^T(\theta_i,t).$$

Observing that $Y^T(\theta_i,t) = Y^T(\theta_i^+,t)(I+C_i) + Y^T(\theta_{i+j}^+,t)D_i$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n(\sigma)\leq i<n(t)} \|\Delta Y^T(\theta_i,t)\| \leq 2\rho \sum_{0\leq i<n(t)} \|Y^T(\theta_i^+,t)\|.$$

It follows that

$$\|Y^T(\sigma,t)\| \leq 1 + 2\gamma \int_0^t \|Y^T(\alpha,t)\| \mathrm{d}\alpha + 2\rho \sum_{0\leq i<n(t)} \|Y^T(\theta_i^+,t)\|,$$

where

$$\gamma = \max\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0}\|A(t)\|, \sup_{t\geq 0}\|B(t)\|\right\}.$$

Replacing $Y^T(\sigma,t)$ by $X(t,\sigma)$ and using inequality (8) result in the desired conclusion. □

## 3. The main result

**Theorem 3.1.** *If equation* (4) *verifies Perron condition then its trivial solution is uniformly asymptotically stable.*

**Proof.** Let $x(t;\sigma,\phi)$ denote the solution of (4) satisfying (3). From Lemma 2.2,

$$x(t;\sigma,\phi) = X(t,\sigma)\phi(0) + \int_{-\tau}^{0} X(t,\alpha+\sigma+\tau)B(\alpha+\sigma+\tau)\phi(\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha + \sum_{-j\leq k<0} X(t,\theta^+_{k+n(\sigma)+j})D_{k+n(\sigma)+j}\phi(\theta_k).$$

By Lemma 2.3, there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|X(t,r)\| < M$. Hence

$$\|x(t;\sigma,\phi)\| \leq M(1+\tau\gamma+j\rho)\|\phi\|_0 = M_1\|\phi\|_0,$$

where

$$M_1 = M(1+\tau\gamma+j\rho)$$

and

$$\|\phi\|_0 = \sup_{r\in[-\tau,0]}\|\phi(r)\|.$$

Thus, the zero solution of (4) is uniformly stable.

To complete the proof we need to show that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t;\sigma,\phi) = 0 \quad \text{uniformly with respect to } \sigma \text{ and } \phi. \tag{9}$$

For our purpose, let $\mu \geq \sigma$. It is clear that $x(t) = x(t;\sigma,\phi)$ satisfies

$$x(t;\sigma,\phi) = X(t,\mu)x(\mu;\sigma,\phi) + \int_{\mu-\tau}^{\mu} X(t,\alpha+\tau)B(\alpha+\tau)x(\alpha;\sigma,\phi)\mathrm{d}\alpha$$
$$+ \sum_{n(\mu)-j\leq k<n(\mu)} X(t,\theta_{k+j}^{+})D_{k+j}x(\theta_k;\sigma,\phi).$$

Integrating both sides from $\sigma$ to $t$ and then changing the order of integrations and the order of summation and the integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(t-\sigma)x(t;\sigma,\phi) &= \int_{\sigma-\tau}^{\sigma}\int_{\sigma}^{\alpha+\tau} X(t,\alpha+\tau)B(\alpha+\tau)x(\alpha;\sigma,\phi)\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}\alpha \\ &+ \int_{\sigma}^{t-\tau}\int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\tau} X(t,\alpha+\tau)B(\alpha+\tau)x(\alpha;\sigma,\phi)\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}\alpha \\ &+ \int_{\sigma}^{t} X(t,\mu)x(\mu;\sigma,\phi)\mathrm{d}\mu \\ &+ \sum_{n(\sigma)-j\leq k<n(\sigma)}\int_{\sigma}^{\theta_{k+j}} X(t,\theta_{k+j}^{+})D_{k+j}x(\theta_k;\sigma,\phi)\mathrm{d}\mu \\ &+ \sum_{n(\sigma)\leq k<n(t)-j}\int_{\theta_k}^{\theta_{k+j}} X(t,\theta_{k+j}^{+})D_{k+j}x(\theta_k;\sigma,\phi)\mathrm{d}\mu.\end{aligned}$$

We easily see from above that

$$\begin{aligned}(t-\sigma)\|x(t;\sigma,\phi)\| &\leq \gamma\tau^2 MM_1\|\phi\|_0 + j\rho\nu MM_1\|\phi\|_0 \\ &+ M_1\max\{\tau\gamma,\nu\rho,1\}\|\phi\|_0\Big[\int_0^t \|X(t,s)\|\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \sum_{0\leq r<n(t)}\|X(t,\theta_r)\|\Big]. \qquad (10)\end{aligned}$$

In view of (8), the right side of (10) is bounded. Hence

$$\|x(t;\sigma,\phi)\| \leq \frac{M_2}{t-\sigma}\|\phi\|_0, \qquad (11)$$

where $M_2$ is chosen so that

$$M_2 < MM_1(\gamma\tau^2 + j\rho\nu) + M_1\max\{\tau\gamma_2,\nu\rho,1\}d.$$

Obviously, (9) follows from (11). □