


# PUBLIC POLICYMAKING

James E. Anderson



Sixth Edition

D 771.222  
A 547  
E-6



# Public

# Policymaking

## *An Introduction*

SIXTH EDITION

**JAMES E. ANDERSON**

Texas A&M University



E2010000271

**HOUGHTON MIFFLIN COMPANY**

BOSTON

NEW YORK

*Editor-in-Chief:* Jean Woy  
*Sponsoring Editor:* Katherine Meisenheimer  
*Editorial Associate:* Christina Lembo  
*Project Editor:* Reba Libby  
*Editorial Assistant:* Deborah Berkman  
*Senior Art/Design Coordinator:* Jill Haber Atkins  
*Manufacturing Coordinator:* Renée Ostrowski

Cover image: Scaffolding on building. © Toshi Sasaki-Photonica.

Table 2.1, page 51: From "Lawyers and the Structure of Influence," by Robert L. Nelson and John P. Heinz with Edward O. Laumann and Robert H. Salisbury, in *Law & Society Review*, Vol. 22:2 (1988), pp. 237-300.

Figure 4.1, page 131: From *Promise and Performance of American Democracy*, 7th Edition, by Bond/Smith/Watson (c) 2006. Reprinted with permission of Wadsworth, a division of Thomson Learning: [www.thomsonrights.com](http://www.thomsonrights.com).

Figure 5.1, page 170: Copyright 2004 by *Congressional Quarterly Inc.* Reproduced with permission of *Congressional Quarterly Inc.* in the format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.

Copyright © 2006 by Houghton Mifflin Company. All rights reserved.

No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system without the prior written permission of Houghton Mifflin Company unless such copying is expressly permitted by federal copyright law. Address inquiries to College Permissions, Houghton Mifflin Company, 222 Berkeley Street, Boston, MA 02116-3764.

Printed in the U.S.A.

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 2005928406

ISBN: 0-618-50686-1

23456789 MP 09 08 07 06 05

# **Public Policymaking**



# Preface

In *Public Policymaking: An Introduction*, Sixth Edition, the policymaking process is presented as a policy cycle—a sequence of functional activities beginning with problem identification and agenda formation, and concluding with the evaluation and the continuation, modification, or termination of policy. This policy cycle schema is a workable approach to the study and analysis of public policymaking, whether in the United States or elsewhere. In addition, the text looks at some other approaches to the study of policy formation; describes and analyzes the political environment of policymaking in the United States; makes comparisons with other countries; and examines some of the logistical aspects of policymaking, such as majority building, cost-benefit analysis, and decision-making.

Since the fifth edition of this book was published, a number of noteworthy changes have occurred in government and policy. A preemptive war was launched in Iraq, budget deficits have reappeared consistently, bankruptcy reform legislation was adopted, and the Department of Homeland Security was set up. The political parties in Congress have become more polarized, affecting the handling of policy issues. The George W. Bush administration has displayed a governing style and policy positions that differ markedly from those of the Clinton administration. These and other matters have been taken into account in updating the book.

The American system, however, continues to demonstrate resilience and the capacity to temper and mitigate change. Policies have been changed, but the fundamental structure of the policymaking process remains as before. As a result, the text's approach to policymaking remains fully relevant to the current field of study.

As the subtitle indicates, *Public Policymaking: An Introduction* serves as a jumping-off point for the study of public policymaking by touching upon all stages or phases of the policymaking process. In addition, for those who want to explore these stages more deeply, the suggested readings and websites listed at the end of each chapter will be helpful.

While I certainly have my own opinions on policy and politics, I try to be evenhanded and impartial in my handling of the many topics covered in this book. I have been guided, and I think with considerable success, by the principle of “intended neutrality” in writing this book. Analysis rather than advocacy and teaching rather than preaching have been my goals.



## Organization and Updates

I have made a variety of changes and additions in this revision, taking into account new developments in policymaking, especially at the national

level in the United States, and recent additions to the scholarly literature on public-policy formation. In the initial chapter, “The Study of Public Policy,” the material laying out the approach of the book has been moved to the front of the chapter. This will give readers an earlier notion of its perspective.

The chapter titled “The Policy-Makers and Their Environment” surveys the political environment or content of policymaking and discusses the official and unofficial participants in the policy process. The material on the chief executive has been expanded.

“Policy Formation: Problems, Agendas, and Formulation” examines policy problems and agendas, agenda-setting processes, and the formulation of policy proposals. This chapter has been generally updated.

The chapter “Policy Adoption” focuses on decision-making and the adoption of policies. Material has been added on party voting in Congress and on policy values.

The “Budgeting and Public Policy” chapter takes up the budgetary process because of its importance for the substance and implementation of policy. The section on “The Budget and Public Policy” has been strengthened and the discussion of the struggle over balancing the budget and budget deficits has been brought up-to-date.

“Policy Implementation” takes a broad look at the administration of policy and explores why people comply with policies. A section has been added on federalism and implementation, the Department of Homeland Security is discussed, and more is said about federal aid to education, including the No Child Left Behind Act.

The chapter titled “Policy Impact, Evaluation, and Change” deals with the effects of policies, the evaluation of policies, and the occasional termination of policies. The discussion of policy impacts has been shifted to the front of the chapter, and the case study on food safety has been updated.

In “Concluding Comments,” as one might guess, some conclusions, comments, and thoughts on the American policy process are presented. These have been honed a bit, but their thrust remains unchanged.



## **New Companion Website**

An exciting new feature of this edition is the text’s companion website, which provides additional resources for instructors and students. The Instructor website includes PowerPoint slides for classroom presentations, ideas for classroom activities, and suggested paper topics, while the Student website has flashcards for reviewing text terms, ACE practice quizzes, Internet exercises, chapter outlines, and weblinks.



## **Acknowledgements**

I wish to express my appreciation to all who have assisted in the preparation of this edition. At the request of Houghton Mifflin, several

scholars provided pre-revision reviews. They presented me with many good ideas and suggestions for change and improvement. Of course, I did not agree with everything that came at me, but it was intellectually valuable and a good learning experience to be so challenged. They helped immensely in this revision. Thanks go to Brian S. Davis, Ohio University; Ngozi Caleb Kamalu, Fayetteville State University; John Clayton Thomas, Georgia State University; and A. Trevor Thrall, University of Michigan–Dearborn.

Various colleagues at Texas A&M University and elsewhere were providers of information and advice. Dan Wood, Jon Bond, and James True especially deserve mention. Greg Hill, my sometime graduate assistant, was a good helper. Carrie Kilpatrick, in her pleasant manner, promptly and accurately transformed my handwritten copy into good typescript. At Houghton Mifflin, Katherine Meisenheimer, Christina Lembo, and Reba Libby helped to get the revision underway, kept me in motion, and otherwise helped to bring the project to a successful conclusion. Alberta (Mrs. Anderson) has long been a source of encouragement and a positive influence on my life and scholarly undertakings. Our unhelpful Boston terriers, Rocky and Stoney, continue to prefer dog biscuits to political science, though it helps buy the biscuits.

Any shortcomings in the book are of my doing, so I accept responsibility for them. I would appreciate if readers would inform me about errors or misjudgments.

# Contents

Preface ix

## **CHAPTER 1    *The Study of Public Policy*** **1**

- The Plan of This Book 2
- What Is Public Policy? 6
- Categories of Public Policies 9
- Approaches to Policy Study 18
- Methodological Difficulties in Studying Public Policy 28
  - For Further Exploration* 31
  - Test Your Knowledge* 31
  - Suggested Readings* 31
  - Notes* 32

## **CHAPTER 2    *The Policy-Makers and Their Environment*** **35**

- The Policy Environment 39
- The Official Policy-Makers 46
- Nongovernmental Participants 57
- Levels of Politics 67
- CASE STUDY The Endangered Snail Darter 73
  - For Further Exploration* 74
  - Test Your Knowledge* 75
  - Suggested Readings* 75
  - Notes* 76

## **CHAPTER 3    *Policy Formation: Problems, Agendas, and Formulation*** **80**

- Policy Problems 82
- The Policy Agenda 86
- The Agenda-Setting Process 89
- Nondecisions 96
- The Loss of Agenda Status 97



Two Cases in Agenda Setting	98
<i>CASE STUDY</i> Coal-Mine Safety	98
<i>CASE STUDY</i> Environmental Pollution Control	101
The Formulation of Policy Proposals	103
Policy Formulation as a Technical Process	109
<i>CASE STUDY</i> Formulating Policy: The Family and Medical Leave Act	111
A Concluding Comment	115
<i>For Further Exploration</i>	116
<i>Test Your Knowledge</i>	116
<i>Suggested Readings</i>	117
<i>Notes</i>	117

## **CHAPTER 4    *Policy Adoption*** **121**

Theories of Decision-Making	122
Decision Criteria	127
The Public Interest	137
Styles of Decision-Making	139
Presidential Decision-Making	147
<i>CASE STUDY</i> Policy Adoption: Consumer Bankruptcy	150
<i>For Further Exploration</i>	157
<i>Test Your Knowledge</i>	157
<i>Suggested Readings</i>	157
<i>Notes</i>	158

## **CHAPTER 5    *Budgeting and Public Policy*** **162**

The Budget and Public Policy	163
The National Budgetary Process	168
<i>CASE STUDY</i> The Struggle to Balance the Budget	185
<i>For Further Exploration</i>	195
<i>Test Your Knowledge</i>	196
<i>Suggested Readings</i>	196
<i>Notes</i>	197

## **CHAPTER 6    *Policy Implementation*** **200**

Federalism and Implementation	202
Who Implements Policy?	205
Administrative Organization	211
Administrative Politics	215

Administrative Policymaking	220
<i>CASE STUDY</i> National Park Service Fire Policy	228
<i>CASE STUDY</i> The Elementary and Secondary Education Act	228
Techniques of Control	229
<i>CASE STUDY</i> The Clean Air Act's Emissions-Trading System	239
Compliance	240
<i>For Further Exploration</i>	248
<i>Test Your Knowledge</i>	249
<i>Suggested Readings</i>	249
<i>Notes</i>	250

## **CHAPTER 7   *Policy Impact, Evaluation, and Change*   255**


Policy Impact	255
Policy Evaluation	260
Policy Evaluation Processes	264
<i>CASE STUDY</i> The GAO and Food Safety	266
Problems in Policy Evaluation	270
Policy Evaluation: The Use and Misuse of Cost-Benefit Analysis	275
<i>CASE STUDY</i> The Politics of Evaluation: Head Start	280
Policy Termination	284
<i>CASE STUDY</i> The Policy Cycle: Airline Regulation and Deregulation	287
<i>For Further Exploration</i>	295
<i>Test Your Knowledge</i>	296
<i>Suggested Readings</i>	296
<i>Notes</i>	297

## **CHAPTER 8   *Concluding Comments*   302**

<i>Notes</i>	311
--------------	-----

Glossary	312
Index	320

## *The Study of Public Policy*



In the course of their daily lives, people are affected, directly and indirectly, obviously and subtly, by an extensive array of public policies. Take, for example, automobile owners. When a car is purchased, the Truth in Lending Act requires provision of accurate information by a lender on the cost of credit. The vehicle features safety equipment, such as a padded dash and seat belts, required by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, and a catalytic converter to reduce tailpipe emissions, necessitated by Environmental Protection Agency rules. Out on the highway, financed jointly by the state and national governments, our driver needs to be aware of state and local traffic regulations or risk direct contact with law enforcement officials. State policy requires that the automobile be insured and that both it and the driver be licensed. The price of the gasoline it consumes is indirectly affected by national energy policies and directly increased by national and state excise taxes. The vehicle's gas mileage must meet the national corporate average fuel economy (CAFÉ) standard or a "gas guzzler" tax will apply.

Public policies in a modern, complex society are indeed ubiquitous. They confer advantages and disadvantages; cause pleasure, irritation, and pain; and collectively have important consequences for our well-being and happiness. They constitute a significant portion of our environment. This being so, we should know something about public policies, including how they are formed, budgeted, implemented, and evaluated. There are also scientific, professional, and political reasons for studying public policies and policymaking.

Scientifically, the systematic and rigorous study of the origins, development, and implementation of public policies will enhance our knowledge of political behavior and governance, as well as of public policy per se. How is policymaking affected by federalism and the separation of powers? Were pressure groups or public opinion or the media influential in the adoption of a policy? Why did government cease to be concerned with a problem? Concern with questions of this sort are designated as *policy study*.

Professionally, a person may pursue a career as a policy analyst or evaluator. Practitioners of *policy analysis*, which draws heavily upon economic theory

and statistical and mathematical analytical techniques, have been growing in number in recent decades.<sup>1</sup> Policy analysis has an applied orientation and seeks to identify the most efficient alternative (i.e., the one that will yield the largest net social benefit) for dealing with a current problem, such as the control of air pollution or the disposal of household garbage. A variant of policy analysis is evaluation research, which assesses how well policies attain their goals and the other societal effects that they may have.

Politically, many people want to engage in *policy advocacy*, using knowledge of public policy to formulate and promote “good” public policies that will have the “right” goals, that is, goals that serve their purposes. They may think of themselves as liberals, conservatives, libertarians, communitarians, or socialists and disagree greatly in their notions of what is good or just. The research efforts of policy advocates are frequently skewed by their wish to generate data and analysis in line with their preferences. In contrast, policy study is motivated by the intent to be impartial.

This book draws on the scientific policy studies approach to develop a basic understanding of the policymaking process, which is here viewed as an inherently political process involving conflict and struggle among people (public officials and private citizens) with conflicting interests, values, and desires on policy issues. In describing and analyzing the policymaking process, the scientific policy studies approach has three basic aims.<sup>2</sup> First, its primary goal is to explain the adoption of a policy rather than to identify or prescribe “good” or proper policy. Analysis, rather than advocacy, is its style. Second, it rigorously searches for the causes and consequences of public policies by applying social-scientific methodology, which is not restricted to the use of quantitative data and methodology. At a minimum, it requires that one should strive to be rational, empirical, and objective. Third, this approach aims to develop reliable theories and explanations about public policies and their politics. Thus, policy studies can be both theoretical and relevant to the more practical aspects of policymaking. It has been said that nothing is as practical as a good theory.



## The Plan of This Book

There is not a single process by which public policies are formed. They do not come off an assembly line as do automobiles, refrigerators, and other standard products. Rather, variations in the subjects of policies will produce variations in the style, techniques, and politics of policymaking. Foreign policy, taxation, health-care financing, surface-transportation policy, occupational licensing, and land-use zoning are each characterized by a somewhat different policy process—different participants, procedures, decision rules, political patterns, and more. There is a case to be made for the argument that policy determines politics, though I do not fully subscribe to it.

Policymaking may also take different forms, depending upon whether its organizational venue is a legislature, the White House, the judiciary, or an



administrative agency. Policymaking within agencies is more likely to feature hierarchy, secrecy (or low visibility), and the involvement of experts or professionals than is legislative policymaking. Courts do not act in the same way that legislatures or executives do. And certainly one can discern different patterns in tax policy formation in the United States, Great Britain, and France.

This variability does not mean, however, that there are no common functions or elements and that it is impossible to formulate generalizations on policy formation. Given the diversity and complexity in policymaking processes, the development of some sort of “general theory” that has broad explanatory power is an unrealistic aspiration.<sup>3</sup> But we can achieve a useful start toward what political scientists call “theory building” by striving to develop sound generalizations about such topics as who is involved in policy formation, on what sorts of issues, under what conditions, in what ways, and to what effect. Nor should we neglect to ask about how policy problems develop or obtain a place on governmental agendas. Such questions are not as simple as they may first appear.

To provide a conceptual framework to guide the examination of the policy process in the ensuing chapters, I view it as a sequential pattern of activities or functions that can readily be distinguished analytically although they may be empirically more difficult to pull apart. The following categories or stages are employed (see their portrayal in Table 1.1). Some illustrative questions are included.

1. *Problem identification and agenda setting.* The focus here is on how the problems that may become the targets of public policies are identified and specified. Why only some problems, out of all that exist, receive consideration by policy-makers requires an examination of agenda setting, that is, how governmental bodies decide what problems to address. What is a public problem? Why does some condition or matter become a public problem? How does a problem get on a governmental agenda? Why do some problems not achieve agenda status?
2. *Formulation.* This encompasses the creation, identification, or borrowing of proposed courses of action, often called alternatives or options, for resolving or ameliorating public problems. Who participates in policy formulation? How are alternatives for dealing with a problem developed? Are there difficulties and biases in formulating policy proposals?
3. *Adoption.* This involves deciding which proposed alternative, including taking no action, will be used to handle a problem. In American legislatures this function is performed by majorities. How is a policy alternative adopted or enacted? What requirements must be met? Who are the adopters? What is the content of the adopted policy?
4. *Implementation.* (A synonym is *administration*.) Here attention is on what is done to carry into effect or apply adopted policies. Often further development or elaboration of policies will occur in the course of their administration. Who is involved? What, if anything, is done to enforce or apply a policy? How does implementation help shape or determine the content of policy?

TABLE 1.1

***The Policy Process***

Policy Terminology	Stage 1: Policy Agenda	Stage 2: Policy Formulation	Stage 3: Policy Adoption	Stage 4: Policy Implementation	Stage 5: Policy Evaluation
Definition	Those problems, among many, that receive the serious attention of public officials	Development of pertinent and acceptable proposed courses of action for dealing with a public problem	Development of support for a specific proposal so that a policy can be legitimized or authorized	Application of the policy by the government's administrative machinery	Efforts by the government to determine whether the policy was effective and why or why not
Common sense	Getting the government to consider action on the problem	What is proposed to be done about the problem	Getting the government to accept a particular solution to the problem	Applying the government's policy to the problem	Did the policy work?

*Source:* Adapted from James E. Anderson, David W. Brady, and Charles Bullock III, *Public Policy and Politics in the United States*, 2d ed. (Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole, 1984).

5. *Evaluation.* This entails activities intended to determine what a policy is accomplishing, whether it is achieving its goals, and whether it has other consequences. Who is involved? Who is advantaged and disadvantaged by a policy? What are the consequences of policy evaluation? Are there demands for changes in or repeal of the policy? Are new problems identified? Is the policy process restarted because of evaluation?

Within this simplified framework, the formation and implementation of policies are seen as political in that they involve conflict and struggle among individuals and groups, officials and agencies, with conflicting ideas, interests, values, and information on public-policy issues. Policymaking is “political”; it involves “politics.” That is, its features include conflict, negotiation, the exercise of power, bargaining, and compromise—and sometimes such nefarious practices as deception and bribery. There is no good reason to resist or disparage this conclusion, or to imitate those who derogate policies that they do not like with such statements as “It’s nothing but politics.” Although it is sometimes implied or even asserted that if enough analysis were done, if enough facts and data were gathered, all “right-thinking” people would agree on the appropriate course of action to handle a problem, this is not the way the world works. Quite reasonable people can disagree on policy issues because they have differing interests, values, and affiliations. Politics is the way a democratic society resolves such differences.

The policyprocess (sometimes it is called the *policy cycle*) approach to policy study has several advantages. First, and most important, the policy-process approach centers attention on the officials and institutions who make policy decisions and the factors that influence and condition their actions. We need to be concerned about more than the complexity of public problems, the goals of the polity, the general forms policy responses can take, and similar matters. Knowledge of these is clearly of value, but we also want to know who makes policy decisions and how they do it. Consequently, answers are needed for such questions as: What is the legislature’s role in policymaking? How does its structure affect decision-making? What sorts of factors or considerations influence the legislators’ decisions? The policy-process approach not only helps us learn about policymaking and policy; it also causes us to take a more holistic view of how government works.

Second, policymaking usually incorporates the stages or categories of activity that I have described. Its sequential nature thus helps one capture and comprehend the flow of action in the actual policy process. However, in actuality the formulation and adoption stages may blend together, as when proposed legislation on welfare reform is modified during consideration in committees and on the House and Senate floors in order to win votes needed for its enactment. Administrative agencies issue rules elaborating policy, as in the case of public-lands policy, while implementing it (see the chapter titled “Policy Implementation”). The adoption of a policy, such as restrictions on abortion, solves a problem for some people while it creates a problem for others, who then restart the policy process in an effort to modify or repeal the

disliked policy. Even in such instances, the policy-process approach can be used to analytically distinguish the various activities involved.

Third, the policy-process approach is flexible and open to change and refinement.<sup>4</sup> Additional stages can be introduced if experience indicates that they would strengthen description and analysis. Perhaps budgeting should be recognized as a separate stage of the process. Various forms of data collection and analysis, whether quantitative (statistical), historical, legal, or normative (value-oriented), are compatible with it. It can be used to study a single policy (e.g., the Americans with Disabilities Act) or to compare the enactment and implementation of several civil-rights laws. Group, institutional, and other approaches to policy study can be fitted into it. The group approach may help explain policy adoption; institutionalism can cast light on its implementation. Systems theory may help alert us to some of its societal consequences.

Fourth, the policy-process approach helps present a dynamic and developmental, rather than static and cross-sectional, view of the policy process. It is concerned with the evolution of policy and requires that one think about what moves action on policy from one stage of the process to another. Moreover, it helps emphasize relationships, or interactions, among the participants in policymaking. Political parties, interest groups, legislative procedures, presidential commitments, public opinion, and other matters can be tied together as they drive and help explain the formation of a policy. Further, one can seek to discover how action at one stage of the process affects action at later stages. For example, how does the design and content of legislation ease or complicate its implementation? How does implementation affect its impact?

Fifth, the policy-process approach is not culture bound. It can readily be used to examine policymaking in foreign political systems. It also lends itself to manageable comparisons such as how problems reach governmental agendas, how policies are legitimated, or how policies are implemented in various countries. Some comparisons of this sort are included in this book.<sup>5</sup>

We now turn to an explanation of public policy and of various ways of categorizing public policies.



## What Is Public Policy?

In general usage, the term *policy* designates the behavior of some actor or set of actors, such as an official, a governmental agency, or a legislature, in an area of activity such as public transportation or consumer protection. Public policy also may be viewed as whatever governments choose to do or not to do. Such definitions may be adequate for ordinary discourse, but because we set out in this book to do a systematic analysis of public policy, a more precise definition or concept is needed to structure our thinking and to facilitate effective communication with one another.

In this book a *policy* is defined as *a relatively stable, purposive course of action followed by an actor or set of actors in dealing with a problem or matter of concern*. This definition focuses on what is actually done instead of what is only proposed or intended; differentiates a policy from a decision, which is



essentially a specific choice among alternatives; and views policy as something that unfolds over time.

Public policies are developed by governmental bodies and officials. (Non-governmental actors and factors may of course influence public-policy development.) The special characteristics of public policies stem from their being formulated by what political scientist David Easton has called the “authorities” in a political system, namely, “elders, paramount chiefs, executives, legislators, judges, administrators, councilors, monarchs, and the like.” These are, he says, the persons who “engage in the daily affairs of a political system,” are “recognized by most members of the system as having responsibility for these matters,” and take actions that are “accepted as binding most of the time by most of the members so long as they act within the limits of their roles.”<sup>6</sup> In short, public policies are those produced by government officials and agencies. They also usually affect substantial numbers of people.

There are several implications of this concept of public policy as a relatively stable, purposive course of action followed by government in dealing with some problem or matter of concern. First, the definition links policy to purposive or goal-oriented action rather than to random behavior or chance occurrences. Public policies in modern political systems do not, by and large, just happen. They are instead designed to accomplish specified goals or produce definite results, although these are not always achieved. Proposed policies may be usefully thought of as hypotheses suggesting that specific actions be taken to achieve particular goals. Thus, to increase farm income, the national government has utilized income subsidies and production controls. These programs have indeed enhanced the incomes of many farmers, but by no means all.

The goals of a policy may be somewhat loosely stated and cloudy in content, thus providing general direction rather than precise targets for its implementation. Those who want action on a problem may differ both as to what should be done and how it should be done. Ambiguity in language then can become a means for reducing conflict, at least for the moment. Compromise to secure agreement and build support may consequently yield general phrasing and lack of clarity in the statement of policy goals.

Second, policies consist of courses or patterns of action taken over time by governmental officials rather than their separate, discrete decisions. It is difficult to think of such actions as a presidential decision to honor a movie actor or a Social Security Administration decision to award disability benefits to John Doe as public policies. A policy includes not only the decision to adopt a law or make a rule on some topic but also the subsequent decisions that are intended to enforce or implement the law or rule. Industrial health and safety policy, for example, is shaped not only by the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 but also by a stream of administrative rules and judicial decisions interpreting, elaborating, and applying (or not applying) the act to particular situations.

Third, public policies emerge in response to *policy demands*, or those claims for action or inaction on some public issue made by other actors—private citizens, group representatives, or legislators and other public officials—upon