

A
RAISIN
IN THE
SUN

LORRAINE HANSBERRY



THE MODERN LIBRARY
NEW YORK

1995 Modern Library Edition

Biographical note copyright © 1995 by Random House, Inc.
Copyright © 1958, 1986 by Robert Nemiroff, as an unpublished work
Copyright © 1959, 1966, 1984, 1987, 1988 by Robert Nemiroff
Introduction copyright © 1987, 1988 by Robert Nemiroff

All rights reserved under International and Pan-American
Copyright Conventions. Published in the United States by
Random House, Inc., New York, and simultaneously in Canada
by Random House of Canada Limited, Toronto.

Modern Library is a registered trademark of Random House, Inc.

CAUTION: Professionals and amateurs are hereby warned that
A Raisin in the Sun, being fully protected under the copyright laws of
the United States of America, the British Empire, including the Dominion
of Canada, and all other countries of the Universal Copyright and
Berne Conventions, is subject to royalty. All rights, including profes-
sional, amateur, motion picture, recitation, lecturing, public reading,
radio and television broadcasting, and the rights of translation into
foreign languages, are strictly reserved. Particular emphasis is laid
on the question of readings, permission for which must be secured
in writing. All inquiries should be addressed to the William Morris
Agency, 1350 Avenue of the Americas, New York, N.Y. 10019,
authorized agents for the Estate of Lorraine Hansberry
and for Robert Nemiroff, Executor.

Grateful acknowledgment is made to Alfred A. Knopf, Inc. for
permission to reprint eleven lines from "Dream Deferred" ("Harlem")
from *The Panther and the Lash* by Langston Hughes. Copyright ©
1951 by Langston Hughes. Reprinted by permission.

Jacket photograph courtesy of UPI/Bettman

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOGING-IN-PUBLICATION DATA
Hansberry, Lorraine, 1930-1965.

A raisin in the sun/Lorraine Hansberry.—Modern Library ed.
p. cm.

ISBN 0-679-60172-4 (acid-free paper)

1. Afro-Americans—History—20th century—Drama.

2. Afro-American families—Drama. I. Title.

PS3515.A515R3 1995

812'.54—dc20 95-16074

Modern Library website address:
www.randomhouse.com/modernlibrary

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper

LORRAINE HANSBERRY

Lorraine Hansberry was born the youngest of four children in Chicago on May 19, 1930. Her father, Carl A. Hansberry, was a successful banker and real-estate broker who was very active in the NAACP and fought to end housing discrimination against blacks. Her mother, Nannie Perry Hansberry, a former schoolteacher, was similarly dedicated to striving for social and political change. As Hansberry later recalled, both parents taught her that "we were the products of the proudest and most mistreated of the races of men" and that "above all, there were two things which were never to be betrayed: the family and the race." She also learned a great deal about racial pride from an uncle, William Leo Hansberry, who was a professor at Howard University as well as one of the first African-American scholars to study African antiquity and history. Throughout her youth, the family's home was a center of black cultural, political, and economic life. On occasion there were visits by such prominent national figures as Langston Hughes, Paul Robeson, Duke Ellington, and W.E.B. Du Bois.

Hansberry entered the University of Wisconsin in 1948, and studied art, literature, drama, and stage design for the two years. In 1950, she moved to New York City to "seek an education of a different kind." Hansberry soon began submitting articles to *Freedom*, a radi-

cal black newspaper published by Paul Robeson, and in 1952 she became its associate editor. The following year she married an aspiring writer named Robert Nemiroff and settled in Greenwich Village. Convinced that the most effective contribution she could make to black causes was through writing, Hansberry resigned from *Freedom* in 1953 to concentrate full-time on turning out plays and short stories. At the age of twenty-six she wrote *A Raisin in the Sun*. “It is a play that tells the truth about people, Negroes, and life,” the young dramatist remarked. “The thing I tried to show was the many gradations in even one Negro family, the clash of the old and the new, but most of all the unbelievable courage of the Negro people.”

When *A Raisin in the Sun* opened at the Ethel Barrymore Theatre on March 11, 1959, it made theatrical history as the first work by a black woman to be produced on Broadway. The reviewers were virtually unanimous in their praise. “A seething interplay of past and present, of wisdom and passion,” said Walter Kerr. “The mood is 49 parts anger and 49 parts control, with a very narrow escape hatch for the steam.” In *The New Yorker*, Kenneth Tynan wrote: “It evokes a life that relates to ours and makes sympathy endemic.” The work enjoyed a nineteen-month run and won the New York Drama Critics Circle Award as Best Play of the Year. At twenty-nine, Hansberry became the youngest winner and the first African-American to be so honored. In 1961 the movie version of *A Raisin in the Sun*, with a screenplay

by Hansberry, earned a special prize at the Cannes Film Festival.

In the wake of the enormous success of *A Raisin in the Sun*, the playwright used her prominence to help gain social, political, and economic liberation for blacks, all while continuing to write. But *The Drinking Gourd*, a ninety-minute TV drama concerning the horrors of slavery, was deemed too controversial by network executives to air on national television. Likewise, *What Use Are Flowers?*, a one-act fable about human survival following a nuclear holocaust, went unproduced. (It was ultimately staged in 1994 at the National Black Arts Festival in Atlanta.) Hospitalized with cancer in 1963, Hansberry nevertheless kept working on another full-length play. *The Sign in Sidney Brustein's Window*, which chronicled the self-discovery of an idealistic newspaper publisher living in Greenwich Village, opened on Broadway on October 15, 1964, and became a theatrical legend because the public—including some of the most distinguished artists of stage and screen—fought to keep it running for 101 performances as a tribute to the gravely ill playwright. It closed on January 12, 1965, the same day Lorraine Hansberry died, at the age of thirty-four, of cancer. At the time Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., declared: “Her commitment of spirit . . . her creative ability, and her profound grasp of the deep social issues . . . will remain an inspiration to generations yet unborn.”

To Be Young, Gifted and Black, at once an informal

autobiography and dramatic portrait of the playwright adapted by her literary executor, Robert Nemiroff, from her writings, was staged off-Broadway in 1969. Hansberry's last work, a drama about the struggle for black liberation in late-colonial Africa entitled *Les Blancs*, was produced on Broadway in 1970. *Raisin*, a musical adaptation of *A Raisin in the Sun*, opened on Broadway in 1973 and garnered a Tony Award for Best Musical. In the 1980s fresh and inventive revivals of *A Raisin in the Sun* were staged at the Roundabout Theatre in New York and the Kennedy Center in Washington. "One of a handful of great American plays," hailed *The Washington Post*. "It belongs in the inner circle along with *Death of a Salesman*, *Long Day's Journey into Night*, and *The Glass Menagerie*."

What happens to a dream deferred?
Does it dry up
Like a raisin in the sun?
Or fester like a sore—
And then run?
Does it stink like rotten meat
Or crust and sugar over—
Like a syrupy sweet?

Maybe it just sags
Like a heavy load.

Or does it explode?

LANGSTON HUGHES

To Mama:
in gratitude for the dream

INTRODUCTION

by Robert Nemiroff

This is the most complete edition of *A Raisin in the Sun* ever published. Like the American Playhouse production for television, it restores to the play two scenes unknown to the general public, and a number of other key scenes and passages staged for the first time in twenty-fifth anniversary revivals and, most notably, the Roundabout Theatre's Kennedy Center production on which the television picture is based.

"The events of every passing year add resonance to *A Raisin in the Sun*. It is as if history is conspiring to make the play a classic"; "... one of a handful of great American dramas . . . *A Raisin in the Sun* belongs in the inner circle, along with *Death of a Salesman*, *Long Day's Journey into Night*, and *The Glass Menagerie*." So wrote *The New York Times* and the *Washington Post* respectively of Harold Scott's revelatory stagings for the Roundabout in which most of these elements, cut on Broadway, were restored. The unprecedented resurgence of the work (a dozen regional revivals at this writing, new publications and productions abroad, and now the television production that will be seen by millions) prompts the new edition.

Produced in 1959, the play presaged the revolution in black and women's consciousness—and the revolutionary ferment in Africa—that exploded in the years following the playwright's death in 1965 to ineradicably alter the social fabric and consciousness of the nation and the world. As so many have commented lately, it did so in a manner and to an extent that few could have foreseen, for not only the restored material, but much else that passed unnoticed in

The late Robert Nemiroff, Lorraine Hansberry's literary executor, shared a working relationship with the playwright from the time of their marriage in 1953. He was the producer and/or adapter of several of her works, including *The Sign in Sidney Brustein's Window*; *To Be Young, Gifted and Black*; and *Les Blancs*. In 1974, his production of the musical *Raisin*, based on *A Raisin in the Sun*, won the Tony Award for Best Musical.

the play at the time, speaks to issues that are now inescapable: value systems of the black family; concepts of African American beauty and identity; class and generational conflicts; the relationships of husbands and wives, black men and women; the outspoken (if then yet unnamed) feminism of the daughter; and, in the penultimate scene between Beneatha and Asagai, the larger statement of the play—and the ongoing struggle it portends.

Not one of the cuts, it should be emphasized, was made to dilute or censor the play or to “soften” its statement, for everyone in that herculean, now-legendary band that brought *Raisin* to Broadway—and most specifically the producer, Philip Rose, and director, Lloyd Richards—believed in the importance of that statement with a degree of commitment that would have countenanced nothing of the kind. How and why, then, did the cuts come about?

The scene in which Beneatha unveils her natural haircut is an interesting example. In 1959, when the play was presented, the rich variety of Afro styles introduced in the mid-sixties had not yet arrived: the very few black women who wore their hair unstraightened cut it very short. When the hair of Diana Sands (who created the role) was cropped in this fashion, however, a few days before the opening, it was not contoured to suit her: her particular facial structure required a fuller Afro, of the sort she in fact adopted in later years. Result? Rather than vitiate the playwright’s point—the beauty of black hair—the scene was dropped.

Some cuts were similarly the result of happenstance or unpredictables of the kind that occur in any production: difficulties with a scene, the “processes” of actors, the dynamics of staging, etc. But most were related to the length of the play: running time. Time in the context of bringing to Broadway the first play by a black (young and unknown) woman, to be directed, moreover, by another unknown black “first,” in a theater where black audiences virtually did not exist—and where, in the entire history of the American stage, there had never been a serious *commercially successful* black drama!

So unlikely did the prospects seem in that day, in fact,

to all but Phil Rose and the company, that much as some expressed admiration for the play, Rose's eighteen-month effort to find a co-producer to help complete the financing was turned down by virtually every established name in the business. He was joined at the last by another newcomer, David Cogan, but even with the money in hand, not a single theater owner on the Great White Way would *rent* to the new production! So that when the play left New York for tryouts—with a six-hundred-dollar advance in New Haven and no theater to come back to—had the script and performance been any less ready, and the response of critics and audiences any less unreserved than they proved to be, *A Raisin in the Sun* would never have reached Broadway.

Under these circumstances the pressures were enormous (if unspoken and rarely even acknowledged in the excitement of the work) *not* to press fate unduly with unnecessary risks. And the most obvious of these was the running time. It is one thing to present a four-and-a-half-hour drama by Eugene O'Neill on Broadway—but a *first* play (even ignoring the special features of this one) in the neighborhood of even *three*??? By common consensus, the need to keep the show as tight and streamlined as possible was manifest. Some things—philosophical flights, nuances the general audience might not understand, shadings, embellishments—would have to be sacrificed.

At the time the cuts were made (there were also some very good ones that focused and strengthened the drama), it was assumed by all that they would in no way significantly affect or alter the statement of the play, for there is nothing in the omitted lines that is not implicit elsewhere in, and throughout, *A Raisin in the Sun*. But to think this was to reckon without two factors the future would bring into play. The first was the swiftness and depth of the revolution in consciousness that was coming and the consequent, perhaps inevitable, tendency of some people to assume, because the "world" had changed, that *any* "successful" work which preceded the change must embody the values they had outgrown. And the second was the nature of the American audience.

James Baldwin has written that “Americans suffer from an ignorance that is not only colossal, but sacred.” He is referring to that apparently endless capacity we have nurtured through long years to deceive ourselves where race is concerned: the baggage of myth and preconception we carry with us that enables northerners, for example, to shield themselves from the extent and virulence of segregation in the North, so that each time an “incident” of violence so egregious that they cannot look past it occurs they are “shocked” anew, as if it had never happened before or as if the problem were largely passé. (In 1975, when the cast of *Raisin*, the musical, became involved in defense of a family whose home in Queens, New York City, had been fire-bombed, we learned of a 1972 City Commissioner of Human Rights Report, citing “eleven cases *in the last eighteen months* in which minority-owned homes had been set afire or vandalized, a church had been bombed, and a school bus had been attacked”—in New York City!)

But Baldwin is referring also to the human capacity, where a work of art is involved, to substitute, for what the writer has written, what in our hearts we *wish* to believe. As Hansberry put it in response to one reviewer’s enthusiastic if particularly misguided praise of her play: “. . . it did not disturb the writer in the least that there is no such implication in the entire three acts. He did not need it in the play; he had it in his head.”¹

Such problems did not, needless to say, stop America from embracing *A Raisin in the Sun*. But it did interfere drastically, for a generation, with the way the play was interpreted and assessed—and, in hindsight, it made all the more regrettable the abridgment (though without it would we even know the play today?). In a remarkable rumination on Hansberry’s death, Ossie Davis (who succeeded Sidney Poitier in the role of Walter Lee) put it this way:

The play deserved all this—the playwright deserved all this, and more. Beyond question! But I have a feeling that for

¹“Willie Loman, Walter Younger, and He Who Must Live,” *Village Voice*, August 12, 1959.

all she got, Lorraine Hansberry never got all she deserved in regard to *A Raisin in the Sun*—that she got success, but that in her success she was cheated, both as a writer and as a Negro.

One of the biggest selling points about *Raisin*—filling the grapevine, riding the word-of-mouth, laying the foundation for its wide, wide acceptance—was how much the Younger family was just like any other American family. Some people were ecstatic to find that “it didn’t really have to be about Negroes at all!” It was, rather, a walking, talking, living demonstration of our mythic conviction that, underneath, all of us Americans, *color-ain’t-got-nothing-to-do-with-it*, are pretty much alike. People are just people, whoever they are; and all they want is a chance to be like other people. This uncritical assumption, sentimentally held by the audience, powerfully fixed in the character of the powerful mother with whom everybody could identify, immediately and completely, made any other questions about the Youngers, and what living in the slums of Southside Chicago had done to them, not only irrelevant and impertinent, but also disloyal . . . because everybody who walked into the theater saw in Lena Younger . . . his own great American Mama. And that was decisive.²

In effect, as Davis went on to develop, white America “kidnapped” Mama, stole her away and used her fantasized image to avoid what was uniquely *African* American in the play. And what it was saying.

Thus, in many reviews (and later academic studies), the Younger family—maintained by two female domestics and a chauffeur, son of a laborer dead of a lifetime of hard labor—was transformed into an acceptably “middle class” family. The decision to move became a desire to “integrate” (rather than, as Mama says simply, “to find the nicest house for the least amount of money for my family. . . . Them houses they put up for colored in them areas way out always seem to cost twice as much.”).

²“The Significance of Lorraine Hansberry,” *Freedomways*, Summer 1985.

In his "A Critical Reevaluation: *A Raisin in the Sun's* Enduring Passion," Amiri Baraka comments aptly: "We missed the essence of the work—that Hansberry had created a family on the cutting edge of the same class and ideological struggles as existed in the movement itself and among the people. . . . The Younger family is part of the black majority, and the concerns I once dismissed as 'middle class'—buying a home and moving into 'white folks' neighborhoods—are actually reflective of the essence of black people's striving and the will to defeat segregation, discrimination, and national oppression. There is no such thing as a 'white folks' neighborhood' except to racists *and to those submitting to racism.*"³

Mama herself—about whose "acceptance" of her "place" in the society there is not a word in the play, and who, in quest of her family's survival over the soul- and body-crushing conditions of the ghetto, is prepared to defy housing-pattern taboos, threats, bombs, and God knows what else—became the safely "conservative" matriarch, upholder of the social order and proof that if one only perseveres with faith, everything will come out right in the end and the-system-ain't-so-bad-after-all. (All this, presumably, because, true to character, she speaks and thinks in the *language* of her generation, shares their dream of a better life and, like millions of her counterparts, takes her Christianity to heart.) At the same time, necessarily, Big Walter Younger—the husband who reared this family with her and whose unseen presence and influence can be heard in every scene—vanished from analysis.

And perhaps most ironical of all to the playwright, who had herself as a child been almost killed in such a real-life story,⁴ the climax of the play became, pure and simple, a "happy ending"—despite the fact that it leaves the Youngers on the brink of what will surely be, in their new home,

³*A Raisin in the Sun and The Sign in Sidney Brustein's Window*, Vintage Books, 1995.

⁴Hansberry, *To Be Young, Gifted and Black*, New American Library, p. 51.

at *best* a nightmare of uncertainty. (“If he thinks that’s a happy ending,” said Hansberry in an interview, “I invite him to come live in one of the communities where the Youngers are going!”⁵) Which is not even to mention the fact that that little house in a blue-collar neighborhood—hardly suburbia, as some have imagined—is hardly the answer to the deeper needs and inequities of race and class and sex that Walter and Beneatha have articulated.

When Lorraine Hansberry read the reviews—delighted by the accolades, grateful for the recognition, but also deeply troubled—she decided in short order to put back many of the materials excised. She did that in the 1959 Random House edition, but faced with the actuality of a prize-winning play, she hesitated about some others which, for reasons now beside the point, had not in rehearsal come alive. She later felt, however, that the full last scene between Beneatha and Asagai (drastically cut on Broadway) and Walter’s bedtime scene with Travis (eliminated entirely) should be restored at the first opportunity, and this was done in the 1966 New American Library edition. As anyone who has seen the recent productions will attest, they are among the most moving (and most applauded) moments in the play.

Because the visit of Mrs. Johnson adds the costs of another character to the cast and ten more minutes to the play, it has not been used in most revivals. But where it has been tried it has worked to solid—often hilarious—effect. It can be seen in the American Playhouse production, and is included here in any case, because it speaks to fundamental issues of the play, makes plain the reality that waits the Youngers at the curtain, and, above all, makes clear what, in the eyes of the author, Lena Younger—in her typicality within the black experience—does and does *not* represent.

Another scene—the Act I, Scene Two moment in which Beneatha observes and Travis gleefully recounts his latest

⁵“Make New Sounds: Studs Terkel Interviews Lorraine Hansberry,” *American Theatre*, November 1984.

adventure in the street below—makes tangible and visceral one of the many facts of ghetto life that impel the Youngers' move. As captured on television and published here for the first time, it is its own sobering comment on just how "middle class" a family this is.

A word about the stage and interpretive directions. These are the author's original directions combined, where meaningful to the reader,⁶ with the staging insights of two great directors and companies: Lloyd Richards' classic staging of that now-legendary cast that first created the roles; and Harold Scott's, whose searching explorations of the text in successive revivals over many years—culminating in the inspired production that broke box office records at the Kennedy Center and won ten awards for Scott and the company—have given the fuller text, in my view, its most definitive realization to date.

Finally, a note about the American Playhouse production. Unlike the drastically cut and largely one-dimensional 1961 movie version—which, affecting and pioneering though it may have been, reflected little of the greatness of the original stage performances—this new screen version is a luminous embodiment of the stage play as reconceived, but not altered, for the camera, and is exquisitely performed. That it is, is due inextricably to producer Chiz Schultz's and director Bill Duke's unswerving commitment to the text; Harold Scott's formative work with the stage company; Duke's own fresh insights and the cinematic brilliance of his reconception and direction for the screen; and the energizing infusion into this mix of Danny Glover's classic performance as Walter Lee to Esther Rolle's superlative Mama. As in the case of any production, I am apt to question a nuance here and there, and regrettably, because of a happenstance in production, the Walter-Travis scene has been omitted. But that scene will, I expect, be restored in the videocassette version of the picture, which should be available shortly. It is thus an excellent version for study.

⁶Much fuller directions for staging purposes are contained in the Samuel French Thirtieth Anniversary acting edition.