RECONSTRUCTIVE UROLOGIC SURGERY Pediatric and Adult

JOHN A. LIBERTINO, M.D. LEONARD ZINMAN, M.D. editors

RECONSTRUCTIVE UROLOGIC SURGERY

Edited by JOHN A. LIBERTINO, M.D.

Director, Renal Transplantation Division Department of Urology Lahey Clinic Foundation Boston, Massachusetts

LEONARD ZINMAN, M.D.

Renal Transplantation Division Department of Urology Lahey Clinic Foundation Boston, Massachusetts



The Williams & Wilkins Company/Baltimore



Copyright ©, 1977 The Williams & Wilkins Company 428 E. Preston Street Baltimore, Md. 21202, U.S.A.

All rights reserved. This book is protected by copyright. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any means, including photocopying, or utilized by any information storage and retrieval system without written permission from the copyright owner.

Made in the United States of America

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Main entry under title: Reconstructive urologic surgery.

Bibliography: p. Includes index.

Genito-urinary organs—Surgery.
 Surgery, Plastic. I. Libertino,
 John A. II. Zinman, Leonard. [DNLM: 1. Urogenital system—Surgery.
 Surgery, Plastic. WJ168 L695r]
 RD571.R38 617'.46 76-56857

ISBN 683-04978-X

Composed and printed at the Waverly Press, Inc. Mt. Royal and Guilford Aves. Baltimore, Md. 21202, U.S.A.

RECONSTRUCTIVE UROLOGIC SURGERY

Pediatric and Adult

Pediatric and Adult

Art Editor and Principal Illustrator FRANCIS E. STECKEL, B.S.

> Medical Illustrator Lahey Clinic Foundation Member, Association of Medical Illustrators

Manuscript Editor PAULINE A. ZOROLOW

Editor-in-Chief, Department of Publications Lahey Clinic Foundation Dedicated to
Our parents and wives
Mary Jo, Roberta, and Helen
who made this
endeavor possible

Preface

During the past 25 years, the reconstructive aspects of urologic surgery have emerged and become a major component of our surgical specialty. In this period of time, the ileal conduit, renovascular reconstruction, renal transplantation, and many pediatric reconstructive procedures have been added to our surgical armamentarium.

The purpose of this book is to present the major pediatric and adult reconstructive surgical procedures available today. The technical aspects of reconstructive urologic surgery will be the major focus of this heavily illustrated volume. Since no one individual can be an authority on every aspect of reconstructive urologic surgery, recognized authorities from this country and abroad have enthusiastically collaborated to produce this textbook, which is the outgrowth of a postgraduate symposium held at the Lahey Clinic Foundation.

We hope this book will provide the experienced practitioner of urology and the resident in training with techniques that can be incorporated into their surgical practice. Hopefully it will also act as a catalyst for further surgical innovation and ultimately render this work obsolete.

John A. Libertino Leonard Zinman

Acknowledgments

The editors wish to acknowledge with gratitude the purposeful spirit and cooperation of all the contributors to this textbook of reconstructive surgery.

We wish to express our appreciation to our colleagues in the Department of Urology, Earl E. Ewert and Vernon S. Dick (both now retired) and Lloyd D. Flint, Joseph B. Dowd, and Robert A. Roth, who have made and continue to make our environment pleasant and stimulating. We are particularly grateful to the understanding of our secretary, Mrs. Jan Menovich, and the continuing support of Miss Pauline Zorolow, Manuscript Editor, Mr. Francis E. Steckel, Art Editor and Principal Illustrator, and our operating room and clinic nurses, Miss Nancy Donovan and Miss Catherine Glock, who have assisted us with many of the reconstructive procedures detailed here. The efforts of Mr. George L. Buchanan, Director of the Photographic Department, will always be appreciated.

Our special thanks and forbearance go to our often neglected families and the support of the Lahey Clinic Foundation. We also wish to thank Mr. G. James Gallagher, Editor-in-Chief of the Williams and Wilkins Company, and his associates for their patience and expertise.

pertise

Contributors to this Volume

John Blandy, D.M., M.Chir., F.R.C.S., Professor and Chairman, Department of Urology, The London Hospital, London, England

Charles Burke, M.D., Instructor of Urology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts

Frank E. Ceccarelli, M.D., F.A.C.S., Formerly, Chairman, Department of Urology, Tripler Army Hospital, Honolulu, Hawaii; Presently, Chief of Staff, Castle Memorial Hospital, Kailua, Hawaii

Joseph B. Dowd, M.D., Chairman, Department of Urology, Lahey Clinic Foundation, Boston, Massachusetts

Sidney M. Feldman, M.D., Formerly, Chief Resident in Urology, Lahey Clinic Foundation; Presently, Department of Urology, St. Luke's Hospital, New Bedford, Massachusetts

Lloyd D. Flint, M.D., Department of Urology, Lahey Clinic Foundation, Boston, Massachusetts

Ruben F. Gittes, M.D., Professor and Chairman, Department of Urology, Peter Bent Brigham Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

W. Hardy Hendren, M.D., Professor of Surgery, Harvard Medical School; Chief of Pediatric Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

Joseph K. Hurd, Jr., M.D., Department of Gynecology, Lahey Clinic Foundation, Boston, Massachusetts

Guy W. Leadbetter, Jr., M.D., Professor

and Chairman, Department of Urology, University of Vermont Medical Center, Burlington, Vermont

John A. Libertino, M.D., Director, Renal Transplantation Division, Department of Urology, Lahey Clinic Foundation, Boston, Massachusetts

Edward J. McGuire, M.D., Assistant Professor, Department of Urology, Yale-New Haven Medical Center, New Haven, Connecticut

Manuel J. Merino, M.D., Formerly, Chief Resident in Urology, Lahey Clinic Foundation; Presently, Department of Urology, New England Deaconess Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

Richard Ontell, M.D., Formerly, Chief Resident in Urology, Lahey Clinic Foundation; Presently, Clinical Instructor in Urology, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California

Victor A. Politano, M.D., Professor and Chairman, Department of Urology, University of Miami Medical Center, Miami, Florida

Alan B. Retik, M.D., Clinical Professor of Urology, Tufts University School of Medicine; Chief, Pediatric Urology, Boston Floating Hospital for Infants and Children; Associate Surgeon, Children's Hospital Medical Center; Chief, Pediatric Urology, Massachusetts Hospital School for Handicapped Children, Boston, Massachusetts

John Swinney, M.C., M.D., M.S., F.R.C.S. (Eng.), Professor and Chairman

xii Contributors

Emeritus, Department of Urology, Newcastle University Hospitals, Newcastle, England

Malcolm C. Veidenheimer, M.D., Head, Section of Colon and Rectal Surgery, Lahey Clinic Foundation, Boston, Massachusetts

David Innes Williams, M.D., M.Chir.,

F.R.C.S., Consultant Urologist, The Hospital for Sick Children and St. Peter's Hospital, London, England; Dean, Institute of Urology, University of London, London, England

Leonard Zinman, M.D., Renal Transplantation Division, Department of Urology, Lahey Clinic Foundation, Boston, Massachusetts

Contents

	Renal	
chapter ONE	Treatment of Bacterial Urinary Tract Infections Associated with Urologic Surgery	3
chapter TWO	Edward J. McGuire, M.D. Embryology of the Upper Urinary Tract Manuel J. Merino, M.D.	11
chapter THREE	Surgery of Renal Cast Calculi John Blandy, D.M., M.Chir., F.R.C.S.	17
chapter FOUR	Ureteropelvic Junction Reconstruction Lloyd D. Flint, M.D.	27
chapter FIVE	Partial Nephrectomy and Bench Surgery: Techniques and Applications	45
chapter SIX	Renovascular Hypertension Leonard Zinman, M.D., John A. Liber-	56
chapter SEVEN	tino, M.D. Renal Transplantation and Autotransplantation	81
	Ureteral	
chapter EIGHT	Surgery of the Midureter Leonard Zinman, M.D., John A. Libertino, M.D.	97
chapter NINE	Management of Vesicoureteral Reflux Victor A. Politano, M.D.	118
chapter TEN	Temporary Urinary Diversion in Infants and Children	135
chapter ELEVEN	Reconstructive Surgery in Ureteric Duplications	143
chapter TWELVE	Management of Megaureter	149
chapter THIRTEEN	Contraindications to Remodeling and Reimplantation of the Ureters	181
	1.10.0.3.	xiii

chapter FOURTEEN	Techniques and Complications of Small and Large Bowel Anastomoses	185
chapter FIFTEEN	Ileal Conduit and the Use of Small Bowel in Urology	191
	SECTION THREE Bladder	
chapter SIXTEEN	Reconstruction of the Urinary Tract Using Prostheses	207
chapter SEVENTEEN	Bladder Augmentation Procedures Ruben F. Gittes, M.D.	216
chapter EIGHTEEN	Technique of Transvesical Repair of the Vesicovaginal Fistula	227
chapter NINETEEN	Vaginal Repair of Vesicovaginal Fistula Joseph K. Hurd, Jr., M.D.	232
chapter TWENTY	Urinary Incontinence	239
	SECTION FOUR	
	Genitalia and Urethra	
chapter TWENTY-ONE	Embryology of the Lower Urinary Tract Manuel J. Merino, M.D.	255
chapter TWENTY-TWO	Reconstructive Surgery for Exstrophy of the Bladder and Epispadias	262
chapter TWENTY-THREE	Hypospadias Repair John Swinney, M.C., M.D., M.S., F.R.C.S. (Eng.)	267
chapter TWENTY-FOUR	One-Stage and Two-Stage Urethroplasty . John Blandy, D.M., M.Chir., F.R.C.S.	275
chapter TWENTY-FIVE	Urethral Valves	287
chapter TWENTY-SIX	Orchidopexy	293
chapter TWENTY-SEVEN	The Diagnosis and Treatment of Patients	300
	Index	320

SECTION

Renal

Chapter ONE

Treatment of Bacterial Urinary Tract Infections Associated with Urologic Surgery

Edward J. McGuire, M.D.

Bacterial colonization of the urinary tract is frequently found in patients undergoing major urologic surgical procedures. In such patients, bacteriuria may be chronic before operation or may occur in relation to the operative procedure. The significance of bacteriuria varies with the clinical circumstances in which it occurs; in some patients the risk may be small, but in others urinary infection may pose a threat to a successful surgical procedure or even a hazard to life. Ideally, antimicrobial treatment should result in permanent eradication of bacteriuria, and, in some patients, surgical correction of an anatomic or functional urinary disorder may enable this result. However, in others, treatment goals may more realistically be limited to the prevention of bacterial tissue or vascular invasion. While host factors are important, a working knowledge of the bacteriology of the organisms commonly associated with urinary tract infection and of the antimicrobial agents em-

ployed in their treatment is helpful in attaining a satisfactory clinical result.

BACTERIOLOGY OF URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS

Organisms, which commonly infect the urinary tract, can arbitrarily be grouped by antibacterial sensitivity patterns and by the clinical settings which favor colonization by a particular organism or group of organisms. Most urinary infections acquired outside the hospital are caused by three organisms: Escherichia coli, Proteus mirabilis, and the enterococci. They are generally penicillin sensitive, although E coli infections acquired in the hospital are less likely to respond to therapy than those encountered in domiciliary practice (76% of 855 Yale-New Haven Hospital isolates in the first quarter of 1975). Proteus mirabilis, a urease-producing organism, is frequently associated with struvite calculi. In the presence of these calculi, persistent bacteriuria may occur with essentially

static antibacterial sensitivity patterns despite multiple courses of antimicrobial therapy (28). The enterococci, gram-variable organisms, show important differences in response to the usual urinary antimicrobial agents; they are sensitive to the penicillins and occasionally to erythromycin and furadantin but frequently resistant to carbenicillin, gentamicin, nalidixic acid, and the cephalosporins. Common antimicrobial sensitivity patterns for this group of organisms are given in Table 1.1.

The second group of urinary tract organisms occur largely in hospitalized patients, in patients with structural or functional urinary tract abnormalities, or in patients previously treated with antimicrobial agents. Klebsiella, Enterobacteriaceae, indole-positive Proteus species (morganii, vulgris, and rettgeri), and occasionally other organisms, some of which were previously grouped as the "Paracolons," comprise this group. Some strains of Klebsiella are urea splitting and are also associated with formation of struvite calculi. Klebsiella is frequently associated with superinfections in hospitalized patients previously treated with antimicrobial agents (31). The organism is often sensitive to the cephalosporins. Enterobacteriaceae is generally not sensitive either to penicillin or the cephalosporins. Typical antimicrobial sensitivity patterns for this group of organisms are given in Table 1.2. Pseudomonas and Serratia urinary in-

TABLE 1.1
Percent of Group 1 Isolates Sensitive to Various Antimicrobials*

	E coli	Proteus Mirabilis	Entero- cocci
Number of isolates	855	212	575
Ampicillin	76	98	100
Cephalosporin	80	96	N**
Sulfamethoxazole- Trimethoprim	86	84	N**
Kanamycin	98	96	
Gentamicin	100	98	
Carbenicillin	80	98	
Nalidixic acid	99	99	
Tetracycline	70	N**	
Nitrofurantoin	90	80	

^{*} Kirby-Bauer method.

fections occur in patients with structural or functional abnormalities of the urinary tract, long-term catheter drainage, ileal conduit urinary diversions, and in patients with infected calculi, as both organisms may be urease producing. These organisms are distinguished by an insensitivity to most antimicrobial agents without dose-related toxicity. However, carbenicillin may be useful for both Pseudomonas and Serratia infections (80% of Yale-New Haven Hospital Serratia isolates in the first guarter of 1975) and oxytetracycline may be effective in Pseudomonas infections (35). Some evidence has shown that bacteremia resulting from this highly resistant group of organisms is more difficult to treat successfully than similar conditions resulting from E coli infections (15) (Table 1.3).

ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS AND SENSITIVITY TESTING

The content of an antimicrobial agent in commercially available sensitivity disks is such that diffusion of the material onto the agar plate results in a concentration of antibiotic which approximates an ideal level in the serum except in the case of nalidixic acid or nitrofurantoin. Considerable evidence exists that concentrations in urine, and not in serum, are of critical importance in the ultimate response of urinary tract infections to antimicrobial agents (22, 34). This suggests that disk sensitivity testing may underestimate efficacy of antimicrobial agents with greater concentration in urine than in serum and is particularly true with the cephalosporins and ampicillin. Conversely, chloromycetin is approximately 80% detoxified in the liver, and a significant percent is excreted in the urine as an inactive metabolite, which reduces its efficacy in urinary tract infections particularly in patients with impaired renal function. Moreover, since the concentration of antibiotic in the urine is critical to the ultimate prognosis of curing urinary infection, inadequate renal function may limit the effectiveness of treatment with any antimicrobial agent. Cure of urinary tract infection in an anephric patient or a patient with vir-

^{**} N = 30% or less.

TABLE 1.2			
Percent of Group 2 Isola	tes Sensitive to	Various	Antimicrobials*

	Enterobacter	Klebsiella	Proteus (Indole +)	Citrobacter
Number of isolates	200	318	75	50
Ampicillin	N**	N**	N**	N**
Cephalosporin	N**	88	N**	N**
Sulfamethoxazole-	26	N**	N**	N**
Trimethoprim				
Kanamycin	95	95	100	90
Gentamicin	99	100	100	100
Carbenicillin	86	N**	\mathbf{E}^{\dagger}	N**
Nalidixic acid	95	95	100	100
Tetracycline	92	93	\mathbf{E}^{\dagger}	90
Nitrofurantoin	30	28	N**	88

^{*} Kirby-Bauer method.

TABLE 1.3
Percent of Group 3 Isolates Sensitive to Various Antimicrobials*

Pseudomonas	Serratia
217	41
N**	N**
N**	88
97	100
N**	95
92	90
	217 N** N** 97 N**

^{*} Kirby-Bauer method.

tually no renal function may be impossible except by direct instillation of an antimicrobial agent into the urinary tract.

ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS

Oral Agents with No Useful Serum Activity

Sulfonamides. These agents are useful in infections acquired outside the hospital but are of limited use in surgical patients. Sulfonamide administration is associated with rapid changes in the intestinal flora, presumably the pool of organisms from which superinfections occur. Most infections acquired in the hospital are not reliably susceptible to these agents.

Nalidixic Acid. Commercially available nalidixic acid disks for sensitivity tests result in concentrations on the agar plate which approximate those achievable in the urine. Reports (6) of the rapid emergence of resistant organisms during treatment

have recently been disputed. A 10-year study of the sensitivity of urinary pathogens to nalidixic acid in a pyelonephritic unit showed essentially identical results at the beginning and termination of the study. Fecal excretion is minimal, and the intestinal flora remains fairly stable during long-term treatment (2). However, clinical response to the agent may vary, and its applicability in surgical patients should be limited to circumstances in which closed urinary drainage is achieved or can be achieved within a short period after the initiation of treatment, providing ideal conditions for antimicrobial therapy.

Methanamine Salts. Antibacterial activity of methanamine salts is dependent upon release of formaldehyde in the presence of an acid urine (pH, 5.5 or less). They are ineffective in the treatment of infections with urease-positive organisms because of the inability to achieve a truly acid urine. These include infections with certain Proteus species, Klebsiella species, Pseudomonas, and, occasionally, Serratia species. Applicability in surgical patients is limited

Nitrofurantoin. Sensitivity patterns to nitrofurantoin have remained stable over a long period of time. There is no effective level of the antibiotic in serum, but the agent is concentrated in renal lymphatic tissue. In general, the range of sensitive bacteria is too small for widespread use in patients undergoing major surgical procedures with complicated urinary infections.

^{**} N = 30% or less.

 $[\]dagger E = 30 \text{ to } 50\%.$

^{**} N = 30% or less.