

0412.1 E63

UU01UUT BE

RELATIVITY:

THE SPECIAL THEORY

BY

J. L. SYNGE

School of Theoretical Physics Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies



Von Stund an sollen Raum für sich und Zeit für sich völlig zu Schatten herabsinken und nur noch eine Art Union der beiden soll Selbstständigkeit bewahren.

H. Minkowski, Raum und Zeit [Physikalische Zeitschrift 10 (1909) 104]





NOTATION

Latin suffixes take the values 1, 2, 3, 4 and Greek suffixes the range 1, 2, 3, with summation over the appropriate range of values in the case of a repeated suffix. Any exceptions to this rule are explicitly noted.

Real coordinates are written with superscripts, x^r . Coordinates with an imaginary time are written with subscripts, x_r , with $x_4 = ict$.

Partial derivatives are often indicated by a comma $(f_{,r} = \partial f/\partial x^r)$. Covariant derivatives are indicated by a vertical stroke, $f_{r|s}$.

The signs of the components of the fundamental tensor g_{mn} are chosen so that (for real coordinates) the diagonal form is (1, 1, 1, -1) and not (-1, -1, -1, 1).

SYNGE: RELATIVITY

ERRATA

p. 65, Fig. 3: for "nul" read "null".

p. 102: for the three lines following eq. (158), substitute "all such transformations forming a six-parameter group of projective transformations of Euclidean 3-space into itself, equivalent to the six-parameter group of Lorentz transformations Λ of space-time".

p. 231, line 2: read "in the form".

p. 330, line 6 from end: for sygne read synge.

PREFACE

This book originated in the notes of lectures given over a number of years in graduate courses at the University of Toronto and elsewhere. The basic idea is to present the essentials of relativity from the Minkowskian point of view, that is, in terms of the geometry of spacetime. This geometrical approach is used to some extent in all expositions of relativity, but I have emphasised it more than is customary, because it is to me (and I think to many others) the key which unlocks many mysteries. My ambition has been to make space-time a real workshop for physicists, and not a museum visited occasionally with a feeling of awe.

As originally planned, the book was to cover both the special and general theories of relativity. But as it was being written, the charm of the special theory so worked on me that I found it impossible to confine it to the required limits and, in the end, the general theory had to be omitted. This is not wholly regrettable, because the special theory is by far the more firmly embedded in modern physics and should not be overshadowed by the general theory, as tends to be the case. However, I have left in Chapter I a foundation strong enough to support both the special and general theories.

To understand a subject, one must tear it apart and reconstruct it in a form intellectually satisfying to oneself, and that (in view of the differences between individual minds) is likely to be different from the original form. This new synthesis is of course not an individual effort; it is the result of much reading and of countless informal discussions, but for it one must in the end take individual responsibility. Therefore I apologise, if apology is necessary, for departing from certain traditional approaches which seemed to me unclear, and for insisting that the time has come in relativity to abandon an historical order and to present the subject as a completed whole, completed, that is, in its essentials. In this age of specialisation, history is best left to historians.

I have tried to include most of the famous relativistic results and to develope those relativistic formulae which may be regarded as basic, but, having done so, I have felt at liberty to go a little further along

unfamiliar paths where the conclusions may, or may not, be physically significant. In every case I hope that I have made clear the assumptions from which the conclusions spring. In this category I may mention the reconstruction in relativistic form of the discrete system of Newtonian mechanics with action and reaction between its particles (Chapter VII), leading to a statistical concept of the energy tensor (Chapter VIII); the elastic collisions of particles (point-particles, that is) with conservation of angular momentum, leading to a surprising multiple determinacy and some rather intricate algebra (Chapter VII); and the models of uncharged "particles" of finite energy constructed out of singularity-free solutions of Maxwell's equations in vacuo, and model photons constructed according to the same plan (Chapter IX).

I would like to express my debt to the late Dr. L. Silberstein whose lectures at the University of Toronto over thirty years ago started my interest in relativity, to colleagues past and present, in particular Professors L. Infeld, C. Lanczos and E. Schrödinger, for many friendly discussions, to Professor N. L. Balazs who read a considerable portion of the manuscript and was fruitful in suggestions, and to Dr. G. H. F. Gardner who supplied an essential and rather subtle mathematical argument (see p. 239). I am most grateful to Dr. F. A. E. Pirani for his painstaking collaboration in proof-reading and checking formulae, and for suggestions which have unkinked the exposition at many points.

Dublin, June 1955

J. L. S.



CONTENTS

Preface .		Ш
Chapter	I. THE SPACE-TIME CONTINUUM AND THE SEPARATION BETWEEN EVENTS	
1.	Concepts	1
2.	Events and particles	5
3.	Space-time	6
4.	The assignment of space-time coordinates	7
5.	Notation	8
6.	World lines and space-time diagrams	9
7.	The motion of a material particle	10
8.	Past, present and future	11
9.	Standard clocks	14
10.	The separation between events	15
11.	The fundamental quadratic form	16
12.	Finsler space-time and Hamiltonian methods	19
13.	Space-time as a Riemannian space	22
14.	Measurement of spacelike separation	. 24
15.	The physical meaning of orthogonality	26
16.	Distance between particles	29
17.		30
18.		32
19.	The special and general theories of relativity	34
20.	Rigid motions	36
Снартен	R II. INTRODUCTION TO THE SPECIAL THEORY	
1	Basis of the special theory of relativity	38
2.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	39
3.	How to draw a straight line in space-time	42
4.		44
5.	The physical meaning of the special coordinates	47
6.		49

7.	Galileian frames of reference	52
8.	Proper time and the speed of light	54
9.	Minkowskian coordinates	56
Снарте	R III. SPACE-TIME DIAGRAMS	
1.	Some elements of the geometry of flat space-time	59
2.	Orthogonal projections	61
3.	Space-time diagrams	63
4.	Space-time diagram of the null cone	64
5.	M-geometry and E-geometry	65
6.		67
Снарте	R IV. THE LORENTZ TRANSFORMATION	
1.	The general Lorentz transformation	69
2.	Restrictions on Lorentz transformations	73
3.	The two ways of interpreting transformations	75
4.	Geometrical meaning of the Lorentz transformation .	76
5.	Eulerian angles and pseudoangles	79
6.	Lorentz transformations regarded as rigid body dis-	
	placements	84
7.	The Lorentz 4-screw	86
8.	Reduction of any Lorentz transformation to a 4-screw	90
9.	Correspondence between triads of null rays and unit	
	orthogonal tetrads	94
10.	Lorentz transformations represented by arbitrary	
	transformations of triads of null rays	98
11.	Spinors	103
12.		
	Lorentz transformation	107
13.	A	
	of reference	110
14.	Lorentz transformations with Hermitian (or sym-	
	metric) matrix	114
Снарте	R V. APPLICATIONS OF THE LORENTZ TRANS- FORMATION	
1.		110
_	retardation of a moving clock	118
2.	Snapshots	120

CONTENTS	vii

3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	The red-shift	122 126 130 133 138 142 146 150 152
14.	the age of the universe	156 158
Снарте	R VI. MECHANICS OF A PARTICLE AND COL- LISION PROBLEMS	
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	The state of the s	163 165 166 167 168
7. 8. 9. 10.	magnetic field. Momentum 4-vector for a photon. Collision and disintegration problems. Space-time diagrams of collisions. The triangle inequality in space-time. Mass-centre reference system. Release of energy in	171 172 173 176 177
12. 13. 14. 15.	disintegration Some numerical values Inelastic collision of two particles Disintegration of one particle into two Emission of a photon from an atom The sameness of photons	180 182 183 185 187
17. 18. 19.	The emission and absorption of a photon	191 193 199

viii CONTENTS

Сна	PTE	R VII. MECHANICS OF A DISCRETE SYSTEM	
	1.	Discrete and continuous systems	208
	2.		209
	3.	Internal impulses	210
	4.	The conservation of 4-momentum for a system	213
	5.	Angular momentum and its conservation	216
	6.		218
	7.	•	220
	8.		
		tensor	223
	9.	Elastic collisions with unchanged intrinsic angular	
		momentum invariants. The case of identical material	
		particles	227
	10.	Example of an elastic collision with intrinsic angular	
		momentum invariants unchanged	235
	11.	General treatment of elastic collision with intrinsic	
		angular momentum	237
	12.		246
		Particular cases of collisions	248
		External impulses and impulsive torques acting on a	
		system	251
	15.	The two-body problem	254
-		•	
Сна		VIII. MECHANICS OF A CONTINUUM	
	1.	Density	261
	2.	Fundamental laws of relative momentum and relative	
		energy for a system	263
	3.	Impact of a stream of particles on a target	265
	4.	Pressure in a relativistic gas	267
	5.	Pressure due to the impact of photons '	269
	6.	World tubes and their cross-sections	272
	7.	Green's theorem and the expansion of world tubes	276
	8.	The energy tensor of a continuous medium	281
	9.	The physical meaning of the energy tensor	285
	10.	The energy tensor for an incoherent stream of material	
		particles	288
	11.	6,	290
	12.	, in the second	296
	13.	Equations of motion of a continuous medium	300

CONTENTS	1X

14.	The perfect fluid in relativity	
15.		
16.	Isolated systems and the energy tensor	309
Снартен	R IX. THE ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD IN VACUO	
1.	The electromagnetic tensor F_{rs}	317
2.		
	3-vectors	320
3.	The energy tensor	322
4.	Eigen values and principal directions for the electro-	
	magnetic energy tensor	325
5.	The canonical forms for an electromagnetic field at an	331
,	event	336
6. 7.	Eigen properties of the tensors F_{rs} and F_{rs}^* The tensors F_{rs} and F_{rs}^* expressed in terms of invariants	550
7 .	and principal null vectors	339
8.	The 4-potential	345
9.		350
10.		354
11.	Reduction of a pair of sinusoidal plane wave systems.	
	Interference	356
12.		359
13.		0.40
	function	363
14.	0	366 372
15. 16.		374
17.	Model of a photon with $\beta = 0 \dots \dots \dots$	375
18.	ž ,	379
19.	*	383
20.		385
Снартен	X. FIELDS AND CHARGES	
1.	The discrete and continuous methods	387
2.	The Coulomb field of an electric charge	388
3.	9	391
4.	The ponderomotive force	394 396
5.	The electromagnetic Kepler problem	370

6.	Radiation of energy and third-order equations of	
	motion	399
7.	Maxwell's equations with current	403
8.	Explicit formula for the 4-potential	405
	The energy tensor of a field with current	410
10.	Maxwell's equations derived from a variational	
	principle	413
11.	Maxwell's equations in moving matter	415
AP	PENDIX	
Δ	3-waves and 2-waves	419
		422
B.	Radiation of energy from an accelerated charge	
C.	Scattering and capture by a fixed nucleus	426
D.	The absolute 2-content of a 3-cell on a null cone	430
E.	Calculations for retarded potential	432
Ref	ferences	435
Ind	lex	439

CHAPTER I

THE SPACE-TIME CONTINUUM AND THE SEPARATION BETWEEN EVENTS

§ 1. CONCEPTS

A scientific theory may be divided into three parts: (a) foundations, (b) accepted dogma, (c) excursions. The foundations are axioms, principles or laws (e.g. Newton's laws of motion or the first and second laws of thermodynamics). The accepted dogma consists of deductions from the foundations confirmed by observation and experiment, linking reason with nature in a satisfying way (e.g. Newtonian mechanics as it stood before relativity was thought of). The excursions wander out of the domain of accepted dogma, sometimes arousing in cautious minds a feeling that they have more imagination than solid fact in them (e.g. Maxwell's electromagnetic theory of light at the time when he put it forward — all excursions are not so successful!). A scientific theory is a living thing which grows and changes; fruitful excursions extend the body of accepted dogma and critical scrutiny of the foundations clarifies and sometimes modifies them.

If someone, otherwise well equipped in knowledge of mathematics and physics, wants to understand the theory of relativity, by what door is he to enter in? As the result of what process is he to find himself comfortably at home in the accepted dogma of relativity, capable of appreciating the foundations critically and able to discuss the excursions of others and to make his own?

Such questions are of course not pertinent to relativity only—they apply to any branch of theoretical physics, or indeed to any branch of science. But they are particularly difficult to answer satisfactorily in the cases of relativity and quantum mechanics, not merely because these subjects are comparatively young, but because they both uproot concepts usually accepted without question.

The ancient Greeks had their answer. The door by which they entered a subject was a set of axioms; granted these, all one had to do

was to follow the processes of logical thought. To the works of Euclid and Archimedes, written in this axiomatic spirit, modern science owes its being. But it is not as simple as it looks. Axioms, we now realise, are not the self-evident truths they were long supposed to be, but rather the rules of a game, the pieces of which are elements or concepts which remain and must ever remain undefined because there is nothing in terms of which to define them. This was brought to light by the researches of Hilbert into the foundations of geometry at the end of the nineteenth century, and the knowledge that any theory with a claim to logical structure must start with undefined elements and unproved propositions is slowly permeating through science.

In fact, although it seems most natural to start with the foundations and build on them, we now realise that the foundations of a theory are actually the most elusive and confusing part of it. Anyone who tries to put a physical theory on an impeccable axiomatic basis soon realises that he has undertaken a major task, absorbing all his energy and leaving none for the body of the theory in which his real interest lies. The axiomatisation of physics is of great interest, but it is a job for the specialist in axiomatics, and the fruits of his labour are likely to be enjoyed rather by fellow specialists than by theoretical physicists at large. In brief, axiomatics do not provide the door we are seeking.

In modern works on theoretical physics axiomatisation has been largely abandoned. Instead, the entry to a new subject is by what may be called the "cuckoo-process". The eggs are laid, not on the bare ground to be hatched in the clear light of Greek logic, but in the nest of another bird, where they are warmed by the body of a foster mother, which, in the case of relativity, is the Newtonian physics of the nineteenth century. The student is first thoroughly indoctrinated with Newtonian physics, and he accepts its concepts as true to physical reality. Then, step by step, the concepts are modified, until finally he bites off the head of his foster mother and flies from the nest a full-fledged relativitist.

This cuckoo-process follows the true order of historical development in science and it has the advantage that at every stage of the transformation the learner has the comforting support of familiar surroundings. As each support falls away, it is replaced by another, constructed to the new pattern. But it is confusing. The concepts of Newtonian physics interlock with one another (e.g. force, acceleration, inertial mass and gravitational mass), and until one has finally reviewed all Newtonian

concepts, there is always present a suspicion that the same word is being used with meanings which differ with the context.

The plan adopted in this book is a compromise. Formal axiomatics are avoided, but a serious effort is made to state the assumptions with enough clarity to show that relativity is essentially a logical structure for which any interested logician might seek a system of axioms if he wanted to. The appeal to undefined elements, essential in an axiomatic treatment but repellent to most physicists as an unnecessary mystification, is avoided by taking over concepts from Newtonian physics and setting them in a new background. There is, of course, a danger in this, for even in Newtonian physics different people have slightly different ways of looking at concepts, and so the theory of relativity, created in the mind of the individual, may have a slightly subjective character depending on who the individual is. This is unavoidable; only by the give-and-take of scientific conversation can anyone be sure that his concepts are the same as the concepts of others, or, if they are not the same, find out how they differ.

It might seem that this is the cuckoo-process over again, but it is not. We shall from the first turn a cold and sceptical eye on Newtonian physics, never admitting a bunch of interlocking Newtonian concepts but only concepts one at a time, alone and disinfected.

Let us now get to work. We start with a tabula rasa, a clean sheet, a mind in a state of intellectual nudity.

Into this void we admit at once the whole body of pure mathematics, or at least those portions of pure mathematics which we may have occasion to use later. Applied mathematics on the other hand is excluded, for almost all applied mathematics deals with Newtonian physics, and the words used in it evoke Newtonian concepts and these we are prepared to admit only singly and under scrutiny.

This embargo on applied mathematics is serious, for it excludes the dynamics of particles and rigid bodies, celestial mechanics, Lagrangian and Hamiltonian methods, hydrodynamics, elasticity and electrodynamics. The trouble with these subjects is that they all involve the Newtonian concept of time, and that, as we shall see in due course, is one of those Newtonian concepts which we shall not take over into relativity. If the subjects listed above are to appear in the theory of relativity, they must appear in a revised form. However the student of relativity has not wasted his time in the study of Newtonian subjects, for in relativity we frequently seek contact with Newtonian physics

in certain limiting cases, and such contacts are understandable only to one familiar with Newtonian theories.

The subject of geometry deserves special mention. Is it pure mathematics or applied mathematics? There is considerable confusion on this question, because the word is used to cover two entirely different things. In so far as it is axiomatic (logical deduction from axioms dealing with undefined elements), it is pure mathematics and as such admissible into our relativistic scheme, provided we do not subconsciously define the undefined elements physically and accept the axioms concerning them. In so far as geometry deals with the form of actual things and their measurement, it is definitely applied mathematics, and as such excluded from our relativistic scheme, at least until such time as we are ready to consider the possibility of admitting it.

There is another way of looking at this question of geometry. The positive integers, which correspond to the primitive physical operation of counting, form the basis of a considerable portion of mathematics. From them we derive negative numbers, irrational numbers and complex numbers, and hence the body of mathematical analysis. Relativity is not so revolutionary as to question the validity of counting, and in fact it accepts all mathematics based on counting. Thus the relationship of geometry to relativity is most satisfactorily established when geometry is regarded analytically, a "point" being nothing but a set of numbers (its coordinates) and a "line" a set of points. This is a most fruitful way to look at geometry, and we shall make extensive use of it. It is only when geometry purports to deal with "physical space" that we must view it with extreme caution. This does not mean that the geometry of physical space will not be discussed, but only that we reserve the right to discuss it at our own time and in our own terms.

For historical accounts of the theory of relativity, the reader may consult Dugas [1950] and Whittaker [1953], or for more mathematical detail, Pauli [1920].¹ Out of the work of Lorentz and Poincaré the special theory of relativity emerged, Einstein [1905] clearing up philosophical difficulties by destroying the concepts of ether and absolute simultaneity and Minkowski [1909] giving the theory a clear mathematical form in terms of the geometry of space-time. After some tentative approaches, the general theory of relativity (the new theory

¹ For these and other references, see p. 435.

of gravitation) took final shape in 1915-1916 (EINSTEIN [1915, 1916])1.

In the present chapter historical order is abandoned and a basis laid down wide enough to support both the special and the general theories. This avoids a cuckoo-process by which the special theory is first developed and later eaten up by the general theory; if this delays a little the reader's contact with the details of relativity, it will, it is hoped, save him from headaches later on.

We shall now start to take over Newtonian concepts into relativity, beginning with the concepts of *event* and *particle*.

§ 2. EVENTS AND PARTICLES

The word event does not occur frequently in Newtonian physics, but this is accidental, because the concept is quite-clear. Anything that happens is an event, but (just as in geometry we sharpen the concept of a point) we sharpen the concept of an event to mean an occurrence which takes up no room and has no duration. To emphasise this sharpening we may call it a point-event, but it is unnecessary to do so because we shall always use the word event in this sharpened sense.

To stimulate the imagination we may think of an event as an explosion or collision, but this dramatic or catastrophic association is not essential. Any occurrence, sharpened as aforesaid, is an event, and we can of course imagine possible events as well as those which we think of as actually occurring. We shall presently consider the totality of all possible events (space-time).

The concept of a material particle also is taken over from Newtonian physics. We are familiar with the way in which it appears in physical theory — a moving point with a number (mass) associated with it, and perhaps a second number (electric charge). This concept we accept in relativity, with one slight reservation; perhaps the mass is not constant. We exercise the same discrimination as in Newtonian physics regarding the circumstances under which a real piece of matter may be treated as a particle; it may on occasion be an electron, an atom, a billiard ball, a planet, a star, or even a nebula.

It is convenient to introduce also the particle of light or photon, the properties of which will be discussed later. For the moment we think of it as a moving point. There is nothing un-Newtonian in the idea of a small parcel of light.

¹ English translations of a number of fundamental papers are contained in LORENTZ [1923].

We have now taken over from Newtonian physics the concepts of event and particle (material particle and photon). They are linked together by the fact that the history of a particle is a continuous sequence of events.

§ 3. SPACE-TIME

In Newtonian physics an event may be identified by four numbers (x, y, z, t), where (x, y, z) are the rectangular Cartesian coordinates of the place where it occurs and t the time at which it occurs. But we do not have to use these numbers. If we define (X, Y, Z, T) as four functions of (x, y, z, t), then the values of (X, Y, Z, T) serve to identify an event. The essential thing is that an event needs four numbers to identify it, and for that reason we say that in Newtonian physics the totality of all possible events form a four-dimensional continuum.

This italicised statement we take over into relativity, without necessarily taking over the chain of thought leading up to it. We are not yet ready to discuss whether the concepts of rectangular Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z) and time t are acceptable in relativity. Actually, we shall accept them later with important reservations. For the present let us not think about them, but accept as a fundamental hypothesis of relativity the statement that the totality of all possible events form a four-dimensional continuum. This continuum we call space-time; we are not at all in a position to remove the hyphen and speak of space and time separately.

In regard to space-time, the emphasis is on the word *four*. It is four-dimensional, not three-dimensional or five-dimensional. In saying that it is four-dimensional, we mean that an event is identified by four numbers, say (x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) , which numbers we call the *coordinates* of the event, or *space-time coordinates* if we want to be emphatic.

It is well at this point to interject a cautionary remark which does not affect the general line of thought. In Newtonian physics the spacetime of all possible events is covered once over by the coordinates (x, y, z, t), each of these four coordinates ranging from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. There is in fact a one-to-one correspondence between events and tetrads of numbers (x, y, z, t). It is not asserted that the space-time of relativity is covered once over by the coordinates (x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) ranging from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. It is enough to think that a portion of space-time is covered once over by these coordinates with suitable ranges. To cover the whole of space-time it may be necessary to use