



# Fault Lines in Equity

Edited by

Jamie Glister  
and  
Pauline Ridge



OXFORD AND PORTLAND, OREGON  
2012

Published in the United Kingdom by Hart Publishing Ltd  
16C Worcester Place, Oxford, OX1 2JW  
Telephone: +44 (0)1865 517530  
Fax: +44 (0)1865 510710  
E-mail: mail@hartpub.co.uk  
Website: <http://www.hartpub.co.uk>

Published in North America (US and Canada) by  
Hart Publishing  
c/o International Specialized Book Services  
920 NE 58th Avenue, Suite 300  
Portland, OR 97213-3786  
USA  
Tel: +1 503 287 3093 or toll-free: (1) 800 944 6190  
Fax: +1 503 280 8832  
E-mail: [orders@isbs.com](mailto:orders@isbs.com)  
Website: <http://www.isbs.com>

© The editors and contributors severally 2012

The editors and contributors have asserted their right under the  
Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as the authors of this work.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in  
a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior  
permission of Hart Publishing, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms  
agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning  
reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to  
Hart Publishing Ltd at the address above.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data  
Data Available

ISBN: 978-1-84946-219-8

Typeset by Compuscript Ltd, Shannon  
Printed and bound in Great Britain by  
CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CR0 4YY

## **FAULT LINES IN EQUITY**

Equity, the body of law developed in the English Court of Chancery, has a long and distinguished history. In the twenty-first century it continues to be an important regulator of both commercial and personal dealings, as well as informing statutory regulation. Although much equitable doctrine is settled, there remain some intractable problems that bedevil lawyers across jurisdictions.

The essays in this collection employ new historical, comparative and theoretical perspectives to cast light on these fault lines in equitable doctrine and methodology. Leading scholars and practitioners from England, Australia and New Zealand examine such contentious topics as personal and proprietary liability for breaches of equitable duties (including fiduciary duties), the creation of non-express trusts, equitable rights in insolvency, the fiduciary ‘self dealing’ rule, clogs on the equity of redemption, the distribution of assets on family breakdown, and the suitability of unjust enrichment analysis. The authors address specific doctrinal questions as well as the ‘meta’ issues of organisation and methodology, and their findings will be of value to academics and practitioners alike.

**Volume 1 in the series Hart Studies in Private Law**

### **Hart Studies in Private Law**

This monograph series brings together in one place two types of book: works which examine in-depth the fundamental doctrines and principles of private law, and works which engage with the theoretical underpinnings of private law. The series thus aims to contribute to ever-evolving debates about the nature of private law such as problems of classification and taxonomy, remedies, the relationship with public law and the boundaries of private law generally. The series includes, but is not confined to, works on contract, tort, unjust enrichment, equity, property and the conflict of laws, welcoming work which intersects with other fields of study to enable a deeper understanding of private law theory and practice.

---

## PREFACE

---

Each of the essays in this collection considers an unsettled or unexplored aspect of equitable doctrine or methodology. Equity continues to be an important regulator of commercial and personal dealings as well as informing frameworks for statutory regulation. There is continued sense in considering its doctrines and methodology as a coherent and conceptually distinct whole.

Many of the essays draw upon the jurisprudence of the High Court of Australia. The High Court's continued emphasis upon equity as a distinct and vital source of law, combined with its loyalty to Chancery precedent, means that its judgments, whilst sometimes controversial, provide a useful indicator of fault lines in equity. Joachim Dietrich and Mark Leeming's essays evaluate the merits of the principle of unjust enrichment as an alternative and superior explanation to an historical approach to equitable doctrine and methodology. They compare the jurisprudence of the High Court of Australia and the (then) House of Lords. Dietrich considers the question on the macro level by discussing the two courts' attitudes to unjust enrichment on the one hand and the equitable concepts of unconscionability and remedial discretion on the other hand. He finds that, despite their seemingly dichotomous positions, both courts seek the same objectives of legal certainty and transparency of reasoning and attempt to restrain the unbridled use of general concepts. Further complicating the comparison, neither court is entirely consistent in its methodology. Mark Leeming considers the merits of the principle of unjust enrichment on the micro level in relation to equitable subrogation. He argues that the elegant simplicity of the unjust enrichment framework has difficulty in coping with the complex multi-party scenarios that raise subrogation issues. Pauline Ridge also compares and contrasts the methodologies of the Australian and English courts. She argues that an analysis of the case law on participatory liability in equity as a whole suggests a liability scheme for participation in breach of trust or breach of fiduciary duty that is at odds with both the Privy Council and the High Court.

Two essays draw particularly upon the authors' legal history expertise. Joshua Getzler's essay on assignment of future property and Fiona Burns' essay on the doctrine against clogs on the equity of redemption chart the historical development of those respective areas of law. Getzler demonstrates the complex interaction of statute and equity and suggests that equity undermines the spirit of insolvency law in this area. Burns charts the gradual erosion of the anti-clog doctrine due to legal and economic factors concerning the function of mortgages

and the dominance of contract. She shows that English, Australian, Canadian and New Zealand courts have not reacted consistently to these changes and she predicts the imminent demise of the anti-clog doctrine.

By contrast, in his essay Matthew Harding uses legal philosophy to consider the limits of the equitable jurisdiction to allocate family assets on the breakdown of a relationship. He asks why it is that courts exercising equitable jurisdiction do not consider the parties' future needs in allocating family assets, whereas they routinely do so under the statutory schemes. He argues that a distributive norm may apply to the relationship of an unmarried couple that requires the parties to provide material support for each other even after the relationship ends. This would empower courts exercising equitable jurisdiction to consider future needs, although he goes on to explore possible non-doctrinal constraints on the exercise of such a jurisdiction.

Some of the most perplexing problems in equity arise in relation to fiduciaries and trusts. The question of the proper basis of the fiduciary obligation is dealt with by James Edelman who explores the so-called 'self dealing rule' of fiduciary law to determine whether it undermines the argument that fiduciary duties arise only from voluntary undertakings. He argues that the rule is better understood as a collection of distinct rules that are not confined to fiduciary relationships and that apply in a range of different contexts with a range of outcomes. Thus, his 'undertaking' test for imposing the fiduciary obligation remains intact. Jamie Glister unravels the complexities of two problems that beset the remedy of equitable compensation for breach of fiduciary duty, namely, the extent of a custodial fiduciary's duty to restore the trust fund and the application of causation rules to breach of non-custodial fiduciary duties. His essay spans English, Australian, Canadian and New Zealand case law.

Ben McFarlane's essay considers one basis for recognising a non-express trust, as suggested by Lord Browne-Wilkinson's judgment in *Westdeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale v Islington LBC*, and provides an analysis of the circumstances in which such a trust should arise. He argues that the holder of a legal or equitable interest must have acquired the right to that interest by virtue of the same event or transaction that caused the claimant to lose that right (or become subject to a duty in respect of it). The holder of the right must then have knowledge of the matters alleged to affect his or her conscience before a non-express trust over that interest can arise. McFarlane finds support in recent Australian case law for such a trust as well as interesting analogies with the knowledge requirement for recipient liability under the first limb of *Barnes v Addy*. He also finds support in the Australian cases for his thesis that the facts of *Lipkin Gorman v Karpnale* are best explained as an instance of this non-express trust. Michael Bryan also looks at non-express trusts, specifically the remedial constructive trust. He clarifies what is meant by 'remedial' in this context and suggests that proper recognition of remedial constructive trusts has been hindered by a disproportionate fear of the insolvency implications of proprietary interests. He recommends the American Law

Institute's *Restatement (Third) of the Law of Restitution and Unjust Enrichment* as a model for determining when the remedy is appropriate.

Finally, Andrew Butler and Tim Miller's essay considers the ubiquitous rivalry of Australia and New Zealand and argues that, in the context of equity jurisprudence, New Zealand has much to offer.

We hope that this collection highlights the enduring relevance of equity as well as the insights that may be yielded by comparative and inter-disciplinary analysis. All of the essays, apart from Ben McFarlane's, were discussed at a workshop in December 2010 at the University of Sydney. There the authors also benefited from the comments of Simone Degeling, Barbara McDonald, James Penner, John Stumbles and Nicola Bodor. We acknowledge the support of the Ross Parsons Centre of Commercial, Corporate and Taxation Law, and particularly its administrator, Adam Bratt, in facilitating the event. We also thank Richard Hart and all at Hart Publishing.

Jamie Glister  
Pauline Ridge  
August 2011

---

## CONTRIBUTORS

---

**Michael Bryan** is an Emeritus Professor at the University of Melbourne.

**Fiona Burns** is an Associate Professor at the University of Sydney.

**Andrew Butler** is a Partner in the law firm of Russell McVeagh, Wellington.

**Joachim Dietrich** is an Associate Professor at Bond University.

**The Hon Justice James Edelman** is a Judge of the Supreme Court of Western Australia.

**Joshua Getzler** is Professor of Law and Legal History at the University of Oxford, a Fellow and Tutor in Law at St Hugh's College, Oxford, and a Conjoint Professor of Law at the University of New South Wales.

**Jamie Glister** is a Senior Lecturer at the University of Sydney.

**Matthew Harding** is an Associate Professor at the University of Melbourne.

**Mark Leeming** is a Senior Counsel in New South Wales and Challis Lecturer in Equity at the University of Sydney.

**Ben McFarlane** is a Reader in Property Law at the University of Oxford and a Fellow and Tutor in Law at Trinity College, Oxford.

**Tim Miller** is a Solicitor with the law firm of Russell McVeagh, Wellington.

**Pauline Ridge** is an Associate Professor at the Australian National University.

---

## TABLE OF CASES

---

400091 British Columbia Ltd v Copper Beach Estate Ltd (1992)	
25 RPR (2d) 189.....	63
Abbott v Abbott [2007] UKPC 53.....	198
Abernethy v Hutchinson (1824) 3 LJ Ch 209 .....	134
ACCC v Berbatis Holdings Pty Ltd [2000] FCA 2, (2000) 96 FCR 491 .....	11
Actionstrength Ltd v International Glass Engineering IN.GLEN SpA [2003]	
UKHL 17, [2003] 2 AC 541 .....	6, 13–15
Adams v Bank of New South Wales [1984] 1 NSWLR 285.....	36
Addie v Campbell (1841) 4 Beav 401, 49 ER 394 .....	133
Aequitas v AEFC [2001] NSWSC 14, (2001) 19 ACLC 1006 .....	120
Aerostar Maintenance International Ltd v Wilson [2010] EWHC 2032 (Ch).....	124
Air Canada v British Columbia [1989] 1 SCR 1161.....	30
Air Canada v M & L Travel Ltd [1993] 3 SCR 787 .....	119
Airservices Australia v Ferrier (1996) 185 CLR 483 .....	83
Alcan (NT) Alumina Pty Ltd v Commissioner of Territory Revenue (NT)	
[2009] HCA 41, (2009) 239 CLR 27 .....	38
Alderson v Temple (1768) 4 Burr 2235, 98 ER 165 .....	73, 97
Alexander v Steinhardt, Walker & Co [1903] 2 KB 208 .....	98
Alleyne v Darcy (1854) 4 I Ch R 199.....	121, 131, 133
Amaca Pty Ltd v AB & P Constructions Pty Ltd [2007] NSWCA 220;	
[2007] Aust Torts Reports 81–910 .....	28
Amaltal Corp Ltd v Maruha Corp [2007] 3 NZLR 192 .....	162, 249
Andar Transport Pty Ltd v Brambles Ltd [2004] HCA 28, (2004)	
217 CLR 424.....	37
Anns v Merton London Borough Council [1978] AC 728 .....	42
Aquaculture Corp v New Zealand Green Mussel Co Ltd [1990]	
3 NZLR 299 .....	243–46, 254, 257
Aribalsala v St James Homes (Grosvenor Dock) Ltd [2007]	
EWHC 1694 (Ch) .....	57
Aristoc Industries Pty Ltd v RA Wenham (Builders) Pty Ltd [1965] NSWR 581 .....	230
ASIC v Citigroup Global Markets Australia Pty Ltd (No 4) [2007] FCA 963, (2007)	
160 FCR 35 .....	163
Associated Alloys v ACN 001 452 106 Pty Ltd [2000] HCA 25, (2000)	
202 CLR 588 .....	76, 94
Attorney-General v Blake [1998] Ch 439 .....	108
Attorney-General v Corporation of Leicester (1844) 7 Beav 176, 49 ER 1031 .....	131, 133
Attorney-General v Guardian Newspapers (No 2) [1990] 1 AC 109 .....	134
Attorney-General (Hong Kong) v Reid [1994] 1 NZLR 1 .....	10, 233



Biggs v London Loan & Savings Co <i>see</i> Brickenden v London Loan & Savings Co of Canada Bishopsgate Investment Management Ltd (in liq) v Maxwell (No 2) [1994] 1 All ER 261.....	167
Black & Black v S Freedman & Co (1910) 12 CLR 105.....	174, 185, 188, 190–92, 219, 232
Blanco v Canada Trust Co [2003] 9 WWR 79.....	167
Bloye's Will Trust, re (1849) 1 Mac & G 488, 41 ER 1354.....	112
Blue Haven Enterprises Ltd v Tully [2006] UKPC 17 .....	19–20
Blundell, re (1888) 40 Ch D 370.....	184
Boardman v Phipps [1967] 2 AC 46 .....	107, 225–26, 234
Bofinger v Kingsway Group Ltd (formerly Willis & Bowring Mortgage Investments Ltd) [2009] HCA 44, (2009) 239 CLR 269 .....	3, 22, 30–43
Bolkiah (Prince Jefri) v KPMG (a firm) [1999] 2 AC 222 .....	108
Bonham v Fishwick [2007] EWHC 1859.....	66
Bradley v Carritt [1903] AC 253 .....	53–54, 58
Brandeaux Advisers (UK) Ltd v Chadwick [2010] EWHC 3241 (QB) .....	160
Brandon v Robinson (1811) 18 Ves Jun 429, 34 ER 379 .....	74
Breen v Williams (1996) 186 CLR 71.....	107
Brennenstuhl Estate v Trynchy [2007] Alta QB 703.....	63
Briar Building Holdings Ltd v Bow West Holdings Ltd (1981) 126 DLR (3d) 566 .....	59
Brickenden v London Loan & Savings Co [1934] 3 DLR 465; <i>affirming</i> [1933] 3 DLR 161 .....	143, 146, 158–68, 249, 252
Bridgeman v Green (1757) Wilm 58, 97 ER 22 .....	133
Bridgewater v Leahy [1998] HCA 66, (1998) 194 CLR 457 .....	13
Brighton & Hove City Council v Audus [2009] EWHC 340 (Ch) .....	62
Bristol & West Building Society v May May & Merrimans (No 1) [1996] 2 All ER 801 .....	166
Bristol & West Building Society v Mothew [1998] Ch 1 .....	159, 169, 172
British America Elevator Co v Bank of British North America (1914) 20 DLR 944.....	165
British Columbia (Attorney-General) v Malik [2009] BCCA 202 .....	58
British Eagle International Air Lines Ltd v Cie Nationale Air France [1975] 1 WLR 758 .....	74
Brown v Brown (1993) 31 NSWLR 582.....	232
Browne v Ryan [1901] 1 IR 653 .....	58
Buchko v Truelove [2003] SKQB 465.....	59
Burns v Stapleton (1959) 102 CLR 97.....	99
Butler v Rice [1910] 2 Ch 277 .....	175
Butters v BBC Worldwide Ltd [2009] EWHC 1954 (Ch) .....	74
Byrnes v Kindle [2011] HCA 26 .....	94
Cadwallader v Bajco Pty Ltd [2002] NSWCA 328.....	120
Caffrey v Darby (1801) 6 Ves Jun 488, 31 ER 1159.....	145
CalTEX Refineries (Qld) Pty Ltd v Stavar [2009] NSWCA 258, (2009) 75 NSWLR 649.....	40, 42
Campbell v Walker (1800) 5 Ves Jun 678, 31 ER 801 .....	111–12
Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce v McIvor (QB, 10 July 1998) .....	69
Cannane v J Cannane Pty Ltd (in liq) [1998] HCA 26, (1998) 192 CLR 557.....	89–92

Canson Enterprises Ltd v Boughton & Co [1991] 3 SCR 534; <i>affirming</i> (1989) 61 DLR (4th) 732; <i>itself affirming</i> (1988)	
52 DLR (4th) 323.....	154, 158–59, 167, 253
Caparo Industries plc v Dickman [1990] 2 AC 605 .....	42
Carey v Palmer <i>see</i> Palmer v Carey	
Carl Zeiss Stiftung v Herbert Smith & Co (No 2) [1969] 2 Ch 276 .....	128, 130, 135
Carly v Farrelly [1975] 1 NZLR 356.....	4
Carr v Western Australia [2007] HCA 47, (2007) 232 CLR 138 .....	27, 38
Casborne v Scarfe (1738) 1 Atk 603, 26 ER 377 .....	47
Cassis v Kalfus (No 2) [2004] NSWCA 315.....	164, 168
Central London Property Trust Ltd v High Trees House Ltd (1947) 1 KB 130.....	70
Chameleon Mining NL v Murchison Metals Ltd [2010] FCA 1129.....	225
Chan v Cresdon Pty Ltd (1989) 168 CLR 242 .....	77, 79
Chan v Zacharia (1984) 154 CLR 178.....	158
Chang v Registrar of Titles (1976) 137 CLR 177.....	79
Chapman v Chapman [1954] AC 429.....	238, 242
Charles v Jones (1887) LR 35 Ch D 544.....	36, 38
Charmelyn Enterprises Pty Ltd v Klonis (1981) 2 BPR 9527 .....	61
Chase Manhattan Bank v Israel-British Bank [1981] Ch 105.....	170–71, 173, 176–77, 180–81, 219, 229, 234
Chief Commissioner of Stamp Duties v ISPT Pty Ltd (1998)	
45 NSWLR 639.....	77
Christchurch Foodcourts Ltd v Tony NG Thiam Soon and Betty Goh as Trustees of Global Trust [2005] NZHC 417.....	68–69
Citibank NA v QVT Financial LP [2007] EWCA Civ 11.....	107
Cityland and Property (Holdings) Ltd v Dabrah [1968] 1 Ch 166 .....	65
Clay v Clay [2001] HCA 9, (2002) 202 CLR 410.....	108–10, 112, 114
Clench v Witherly (1678) Rep Finch 376, 23 ER 206 .....	49
Clough v Bond (1838) 3 My & Cr 490, 40 ER 1016 .....	145
Cocker v Quayle (1830) 1 Russ & My 535, 39 ER 206.....	146
Cole v South Tweed Heads Rugby League Football Club Ltd [2004] HCA 29, (2004) 217 CLR 469.....	27–28
Collyer v Isaacs (1881) 19 Ch D 342 .....	81–82
Commerce Capital Trust Co v Berk (1989) 57 DLR (4th) 759.....	165
Commercial Bank of Australia v Amadio (1983) 151 CLR 447.....	70, 132, 136, 139
Commercial Banking Co of Sydney Ltd v Mann [1961] AC 1 .....	178
Commerzbank AG v IBM Morgan plc [2004] EWHC 2771 (Ch), [2005] 2 All ER (Comm) 564 .....	173
Commissioner of Stamp Duties v Livingston [1965] AC 694 .....	77
Commissioner of Stamp Duties (Qld) v Jolliffe (1920) 28 CLR 178 .....	94, 100
Commonwealth Bank of Australia v Smith (1991) 42 FCR 390.....	158, 163–64
Commonwealth v SCI Operations Pty Ltd [1998] HCA 20, (1998) 192 CLR 285.....	18
Commonwealth v Verwayen [1990] HCA 39, (1990) 170 CLR 394 .....	67, 70
Consul Development Pty Ltd v DPC Estates Pty Ltd (1975) 132 CLR 373.....	9, 120, 122–25, 127–30, 133–34, 140–41, 184

Cook v Deeks [1916] AC 555 .....	116, 134
Co-op Credit Centre Union v Greba (1984) 55 AR 176 .....	62
Crabb v Arun District Council [1976] Ch 179 .....	212
Crawley v Short [2009] NSWCA 410.....	160, 165
Cressman v Coys of Kensington (Sales) Ltd [2004] EWCA Civ 47, [2004] 1 WLR 2775.....	175
Criterion Properties plc v Stratford UK Properties LLC [2004] UKHL 28, [2004] 1 WLR 1846.....	8, 116
Crofts v Middleton (1855) 2 Kay & J 194, 69 ER 749.....	94
Crumlin Viaduct Works Co, re (1879) 11 Ch D 755 .....	79
Cukurova Finance International Ltd v Alfa Telecom Turkey Ltd (British Virgin Islands) [2009] UKPC 19, [2009] 3 All ER 849.....	72
D'Orta Ekenaikai v Victoria Legal Aid [2005] HCA 12, (2005) 223 CLR 1 .....	31
David Securities Pty Ltd v Commonwealth Bank of Australia (1992) 175 CLR 353 .....	2, 11, 29–30, 229
Dawson (dec'd), re; Union Fidelity Trustee Co Ltd v Perpetual Trustee Co Ltd [1966] 2 NSWLR 211 .....	143–49, 151, 154, 156–57
Day v Mead [1987] 2 NZLR 443 .....	241–43, 255–56
De Beers Consolidated Mines Ltd v British South Africa Co [1912] AC 52.....	61–62
De Bruyne v De Bruyne [2010] EWCA Civ 51 .....	216, 218–19
Dearle v Hall (1823) 3 Russ 1, 38 ER 475 .....	96
Delaforce v Simpson-Cook [2010] NSWCA 84 .....	258
Dennant v Skinner [1948] 2 KB 164 .....	79
Denton v Donner (1856) 23 Beav 285, 53 ER 112.....	111
Deutsche Morgan Grenfell Group plc v Inland Revenue Commissioners [2006] UKHL 49, [2007] AC 558 .....	5–6
Devlin v Surfers Paradise Investments Pty Ltd [1997] QCA 102, [1998] 1 Qd R 404.....	68–69
Dical Investments v Morrison (1990) 75 DLR (4th) 497.....	57, 59, 63
Digital Pulse Pty Ltd v Harris <i>see</i> Harris v Digital Pulse Pty Ltd	
Dillwyn v Llewelyn (1862) De GF & J 517, 45 ER 1285 .....	227–28, 232
Dodsworth v Dodsworth (1973) 228 EG 1115.....	228
Don King Productions Inc v Warren [2000] Ch 291 .....	78
Dooby v Watson (1886) LR 39 Ch D 178 .....	156
DPC Estates Pty Ltd v Grey and Consul Pty Ltd [1974] 1 NSWLR 443 .....	128
Drew v Lockett (1863) 32 Beav 499; 55 ER 196.....	36
Duchess of Hamilton v Countess of Dirlton (1654) 1 Ch R 165, 21 ER 539 .....	47
Earl of Aylesford v Morris (1873) LR 8 Ch App 484 .....	70
Earl of Chesterfield v Janssen (1750) 2 Ves Sen 125, 28 ER 82 .....	54
Eaves v Hickson (1861) 30 Beav 136, 54 ER 840 .....	121, 131, 133–34
Eddis v Chichester Constable [1969] 2 Ch 345 .....	116
Edwards v Attorney-General [2004] NSWCA 272, (2004) 60 NSWLR 667 .....	28
Elders Trustee & Executor Co Ltd v EG Reeves Pty Ltd (1987) 78 ALR 193.....	126, 133
Emmanuel College v Evans (1625) 1 Ch Rep 18, 21 ER 494 .....	48
English Scottish and Australian Bank Ltd v Phillips (1937) 57 CLR 302.....	55
Epic Feast Ltd v Mawson KLM Holdings Pty Ltd (in liq) [1998] SASC 7106, (1998) 71 SASR 161 .....	61, 66

Equity Trustees Executors & Agency Co Ltd v New Zealand Loan & Mercantile Agency Co Ltd [1940] VLR 201.....	37
Esso Petroleum Co Ltd v Harper's Garage (Stourport) Ltd [1968] AC 269 .....	61
Estoril Investments Pty Ltd v Westpac Banking Corp (1993) 6 BPR 13,146 .....	60
Everist v McEvedy [1996] 3 NZLR 348 .....	162, 168
Eyre v Burmester (1862) 10 HLC 90, 11 ER 959 .....	94
Fairclough v Swan Brewery Co Ltd [1912] AC 565.....	57
Farah Constructions Pty Ltd v Say-Dee Pty Ltd [2007] HCA 22, (2007) 230 CLR 89; reversing [2005] NSWCA 309 .....	2, 8, 9, 20, 22, 25, 30, 32, 35, 36, 42, 92, 120–21, 123–31, 134, 137, 140–41, 174, 182–84, 186, 192, 217, 226
Farepak Food and Gifts Ltd, re [2006] EWHC 3272 (Ch).....	169
Farrar v Farrar's Ltd (1888) LR 40 ChD 395 .....	111
Farrington v Rowe McBride & Partners [1985] 1 NZLR 83 .....	162
Federal Commissioner of Taxation v Everett (1980) 143 CLR 440 .....	79
Ferdinando Antonio Puntoriero and Tonetta Puntorier, ex parte: Nickpack Pty Ltd v Richard Andrew Gagie [1992] FCA 257.....	102
Ferrier and Knight (as liquidators of Compass Airlines Pty Ltd (in liq)) v Civil Aviation Authority (1994) 55 FCR 28 .....	83, 86, 89, 99
Finch v Ross, Todd & Co [2006] ABCA 98 .....	165
Fortex Group Ltd (in rec & liq) v MacIntosh [1998] 3 NZLR 171.....	216
Foskett v McKeown [2000] UKHL 29, [2001] 1 AC 102.....	7, 19–20, 25–26, 225
Franks v Bollans (1868) LR 3 Ch App 717.....	111
Freeman v Pope (1870) LR 5 Ch App 538 .....	74, 88–89
Friend v Brooker [2009] HCA 21, (2009) 239 CLR 129 .....	3–4, 12, 30, 141
Fyffes Group Ltd v Templeman [2000] 2 Lloyd's Rep 643 (Comm) .....	124, 134, 137, 158
Fyler v Fyler (1841) 3 Beav 550, 49 ER 216.....	121, 131, 133, 138
G and M Aldridge Pty Ltd v Walsh [2001] HCA 27, (2001) 203 CLR 662 .....	88
Galambos v Perez [2009] SCC 48, [2009] 3 SCR 247.....	107
Garcia v National Australia Bank Ltd [1998] HCA 48, (1998) 194 CLR 395.....	10, 28, 70, 132, 134
Gemstone Corp v Grasso (1994) 62 SASR 239.....	163
Gilbert v Shanahan [1998] 3 NZLR 528 .....	162, 168
Giles v Rhind [2008] EWCA Civ 118, [2008] 3 WLR 1233.....	91
Gillett v Holt [2001] 1 Ch 210.....	212
Gillies v Keogh [1989] 2 NZLR 327 .....	199, 216, 257
Gissing v Gissing [1971] AC 886 .....	196–98
Giumelli v Giumelli [1999] HCA 10, (1999) 196 CLR 101 .....	22, 213, 222, 228
Glandon Pty Ltd v Strata Consolidated Pty Ltd (No 3) (4 June 1990, unreported) .....	160
Godfrey v Poole (1888) 13 App Cas 497 .....	91
Gold Star Insurance Co Ltd v Gaunt [1998] 3 NZLR 80 .....	256–57, 259
Goldcorp Exchange Ltd, re [1995] 1 AC 74 .....	6, 12, 25, 82
Golden Glory Pty Ltd v Sacco [2009] VSC 442 .....	68, 69

Gomez v Graham (1743) 9 Mod 287, 88 ER 457 .....	78
Gorringe v Irwell India Rubber and Gutta Percha Works (1885) 34 Ch D 128.....	79
Gould v Brown (1998) 193 CLR 346.....	89
Grainge v Wilberforce (1889) 5 TLR 436.....	113
Grant v Edwards [1986] Ch 638.....	197
Griffith, ex parte; In re Wilcoxon (1883) 23 Ch D 69 .....	95
Guardian Mortgages v Miller [2004] NSWSC 1236, (2004) 12 BPR 22,833.....	66
Guerin v R [1984] 2 SCR 335 .....	144
Gunsbourg, re (1920) 2 KB 426 .....	85–86
Gurfinkel v Bentley Pty Ltd (1966) 116 CLR 98.....	62
Gwembe Valley Development Co Ltd v Koshy [2003] EWCA Civ 1048, [2004] WTLR 97 .....	166
Hales v Hales (1636) 1 Ch Rep 105, 21 ER 520 .....	48
Hallett's Estate, re (1880) LR 13 Ch D 696 .....	187
Halloran v Minister Administering National Parks & Wildlife Act 1974 [2006] HCA 3, (2006) 229 CLR 545 .....	219
Hancock Family Memorial Foundation Ltd v Porteous [1999] WASC 55, (1999) 32 ACSR 124.....	120
Hancom v Allen (1774) 2 Dick 498, 21 ER 363 .....	145
Hardie v Hanson (1960) 105 CLR 451.....	89
Harkness v Partnership Pacific Ltd (1997) 41 NSWLR 204.....	85
Harper v Joblin [1916] NZLR 895 .....	59
Harries v Rees [1867] 37 LJ Ch 102 .....	133, 137–38
Harris v Digital Pulse Pty Ltd [2003] NSWCA 10, (2003) 56 NSWLR 298; reversing [2002] NSWSC 33.....	21, 28, 239–40, 243, 245–47
Harrison (JJ) (Properties) Ltd v Harrison [2001] EWCA Civ 1467, [2002] BCC 729 .....	115–16
Hawke v BR Metcalfe Construction Ltd (HC Hamilton, AP131/90, 5 June 1992).....	257
Haxton v Equuscorp Pty Ltd [2010] VSCA 1 .....	4
Hazell v Hammersmith & Fulham LBC [1992] 2 AC 1 .....	172
Heffernan v Grangewood Securities Ltd [2001] EWCA Civ 1082.....	69
Heinl v Jyske Bank (Gibraltar) Ltd [1999] Lloyd's Rep Bank 511 .....	116
Hellbut, Symons & Co v Buckleton [1913] AC 30 .....	70
Heperu Pty Ltd v Belle [2009] NSWCA 252, (2009) 76 NSWLR 230 .....	174, 185–92
Hewett v Court (1983) 149 CLR 639 .....	77, 99
Higinbotham v Holme (1812) 19 Ves Jun 88, 34 ER 451 .....	74, 86
Hilton v Barker Booth & Eastwood (a firm) [2005] UKHL 8, [2005] 1 WLR 567.....	107
Hirachand Punamchand v Temple [1911] 2 KB 330 .....	114
Hoar v Mills (No 2) [1935] 1 WWR 433 .....	59
Hodgkinson v Simms [1994] 3 SCR 377 .....	165
Hodson v Deans [1903] 2 Ch 647 .....	111
Holder v Holder [1968] Ch 353 .....	110, 113
Holroyd v Marshall (1862) 10 HLC 191, 11 ER 999.....	75–79, 81–82, 96, 101, 103
Hongkong Bank of Canada v Wheeler Holdings Ltd [1993] 1 SCR 167 .....	57
Hospital Products Ltd v United States Surgical Corp (1984) 156 CLR 41.....	107
How v Vigures (1628) 1 Ch Rep 32, 21 ER 499 .....	49
Howard v Harris (1681) 1 Vern 33, 23 ER 288; (1683) 1 Vern 191, 23 ER 406 .....	49

Howe v Earl of Dartmouth (1802) 7 Ves Jun 137, 32 ER 56 .....	145
Hunt v Carew (1649) Nelson 47, 21 ER 786 .....	227
Hunt v Mortimer (1829) 10 Barn & Cress 44, 109 ER 367 .....	95–96, 98
ING Bank NZ v Ros Roca SA [2011] EWCA Civ 353 .....	258
Ingram v Inland Revenue Commissioners [1997] 4 All ER 395.....	109, 114
Instant Funding Ltd v Greenwich Property Holdings Ltd [2007] NZHC 1527 .....	69
Island Realty Investments Ltd v Douglas (1985) 19 ETR 56 .....	165
Item Software (UK) Ltd v Fassilhi [2004] EWCA Civ 1244, [2004] BCC 994 .....	160
Jacks v Davis (1982) 141 DLR (3d) 355 .....	160
James Baird Co v Gimbel Bros Inc 64 F 2d 344 (2nd Circ Ct of Appeals, 1933) .....	13
Jeavons, ex parte Mackay, re (1873) LR 8 Ch App 643 .....	74
Jennings v Rice [2002] EWCA Civ 159, [2003] 1 P & CR 100 .....	212, 228
Jennings v Ward (1705) 2 Vern 520, 23 ER 935 .....	45, 50, 57
Jerome v Kelly (Inspector of Taxes) [2004] UKHL 25, [2004] 1 WLR 1409 .....	79
John Alexander's Clubs Pty Ltd v White City Tennis Club Ltd [2010] HCA 19, (2010) 241 CLR 1 .....	13, 213, 216–17, 222, 234–35
John Owen & JM Gutch v Sarah Homan (1853) 4 HL Cas 997, 1034; 10 ER 752.....	133–34
Johns v Australian Securities Commission (1993) 178 CLR 408 .....	131
Johns, re [1928] 1 Ch 737 .....	86
Johnson v Buttress (1936) 56 CLR 113 .....	70
Jones v Kernott [2011] UKSC 53 .....	221
Jones v Morgan [2001] EWCA Civ 995, [2002] EGLR 125 .....	59–60, 62, 65, 71
Juzwa v Hill [2007] NZCA 222.....	256
Karak Rubber Co Ltd v Burden [1972] 1 WLR 602 .....	128, 130
Katsikalis v Deutsch Bank (Asia) AG [1988] 2 Qd R 641 .....	60
Kauter v Hilton (1953) 90 CLR 86 .....	94
Kayford Ltd (in liq), re [1975] 1 WLR 279 .....	116
Kelly v Cooper [1993] AC 205.....	107
Kelly v Solari (1841) 11 LJ Ex 10 .....	176
Khan v Khan [2004] NSWSC 1189, (2004) 62 NSWLR 229 .....	136
Kildrummy (Jersey) Ltd v IRC [1990] STC 657 .....	112
Kleinwort Benson Ltd v Lincoln City Council [1999] 2 AC 349 .....	5, 30, 229
Knightsbridge Estate Trust v Byrne [1939] Ch 441.....	54, 57
Kreglinger v New Patagonia Meat and Cold Storage Co Ltd [1914] AC 25.....	47–48, 50–51, 53–55, 57, 61, 64–66
Kreick v Wansbrough [1971] 2 WWR 561 .....	59
LAC Minerals Ltd v International Corona Resources Ltd (1989) 61 DLR (4th) 14 .....	25, 225, 231
Lacey, ex parte (1802) 6 Ves Jun 625, 31 ER 1228.....	111
Lands Allotment Co, re [1894] 1 Ch 616 .....	116
Lankow v Rose [1995] 1 NZLR 277 .....	198–99, 213, 216
Laskin v Bache [1972] 1 OR 465 .....	160
Laurin v Iron Ore of Canada (1977) 82 DLR (3d) 634..	59
Legione v Hately (1983) 152 CLR 406 .....	77