

SENSORY EVALUATION TECHNIQUES

Volume I

Morten Meilgaard Gail Vance Civille B. Thomas Carr



Sensory Evaluation Techniques

Volume I

Authors

Morten Meilgaard, D. Tech.

Vice President, Research The Stroh Brewery Company Detroit, Michigan

Gail Vance Civille, B.S.

Consultant Sensory Spectrum East Hanover, New Jersey

B. Thomas Carr, M.S.

Senior Statistician
The NutraSweet Co., R & D
Mt. Prospect, Illinois



Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Meilgaard, Morten.
Sensory evaluation techniques.

Bibliography: p.
Includes indexes.
1. Sensory evaluation. I. Civille, Gail Vance.
II. Carr, B. Thomas. III. Title.
TA418.5.M45 1987 681.2 87-6613
ISBN 0-8493-5430-7 (set)
ISBN 0-8493-5431-5 (v. 1)
ISBN 0-8493-5432-3 (v. 2)

This book represents information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reprinted material is quoted with permission, and sources are indicated. A wide variety of references are listed. Every reasonable effort has been made to give reliable data and information, but the author and the publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or for the consequences of their use.

All rights reserved. This book, or any parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form without written consent from the publisher.

Direct all inquiries to CRC Press, Inc., 2000 Corporate Blvd., N.W., Boca Raton, Florida, 33431.

© 1987 by CRC Press, Inc.

International Standard Book Number 0-8493 5430-7 (set) International Standard Book Number 0-8493 5431-5 (v. 1) International Standard Book Number 0-8493 5432-3 (v. 2)

> Library of Congress Card Number 87-6613 Printed in the United States



PREFACE

How does one plan, execute, complete, analyze, interpret, and report sensory tests? Hopefully, the practices and recommendations in these two volumes, cover all of those phases of sensory evaluation. The test is meant to provide enough information for a food technologist, a research and development scientist, a cereal chemist, a perfumer, or a similar professional working in industry, academics, or government to conduct good sensory evaluation. The books should also supply useful background to market research, advertising, and legal professionals who need to understand the results of sensory evaluation. They could also give a sophisticated general reader the same understanding.

As a "how to" for professionals, the text aims at a clear and concise presentation of practical solutions, accepted methods, and standard practices. The authors at first intended not to devote text and readers' time to resolving controversial issues. Unfortunately, we encountered quite a few which had to be tackled. This is the first book to give an adequate solution to the subject of similarity testing, see Chapter 6, Section II.G and Statistical Tables T11, T12, and T13 at the end of Volume II. Fully half of all sensory tests are done for purposes of similarity testing, for example when an ingredient must be substituted for another which has become unavailable or too expensive, or when a change in processing is caused by replacement of an old or inefficient piece of equipment. Another first is the unified statistical treatment of all ranking tests with the Friedman statistic, in preference to Kramer's tables. We have taken a fresh look at all statistical methods used for sensory tests and hope that you like our straightforward approach.

Also new is a system called Spectrum[®], developed by one of us (GVC) for designing procedures of descriptive analysis (Chapter 8). The philosophy behind Spectrum is twofold; (1) to tailor the test to suit the objective of the study (and not to suit a prescribed format) and (2) that the choice of terminology and reference standards are factors too important to be left to the panelists, however well trained. These items should be chosen by the sensory analyst who needs all the accumulated experience of his or her profession for the task.

The authors wish the book to be cohesive and readable; we have tried to substantiate our directions and organize each section so as to be meaningful. We do not want the book to be a turgid set of tables, lists, and figures. We hope to have provided structure to the methods, reason to the procedures, and coherence to the outcomes. We want this to be a reference text that can be read for understanding as well as a handbook that can serve to summarize sensory evaluation practices.

The organization of the chapters and sections is also straightforward. Chapter 1 lists the steps involved in a sensory evaluation project and Chapter 2 briefly reviews the workings of our senses. In Chapter 3, we list what's required of the equipment, the tasters, and the samples, while in Chapter 4, we have collected a list of those psychological pitfalls which invalidate many otherwise good studies. Chapter 5 discusses how sensory responses can be measured in quantitative terms. Chapter 6 lists all the common sensory tests for difference, the triangle, duo-trio, etc., as well as the various attribute tests in use, such as ranking and numerical intensity scaling. Thresholds and just-noticeable differences are briefly discussed in Chapter 7, followed by what we consider the main chapters, Chapter 8 on descriptive testing, Chapter 9 on affective tests (consumer tests), and Chapter 10 on selection and training of tasters.

The body of text on statistical procedures is found in Chapters 11 and 12 but in addition, each method (triangle, duo-trio, etc.) is followed by a number of examples showing how statistics are used in the interpretation of each. Basic statistical concepts such as null and alternative hypotheses, Type I and Type II errors and their relation to alpha, beta, and the sample size "n", one-sided vs. two-sided tests, etc. are presented in Chapter 11. We refrain from detailed discussion of statistical theory, preferring instead to give examples. Included

in Chapter 12 are discussions of some commonly used experimental designs, such as the randomized block, split plot, and balanced incomplete block. Chapter 12 also includes a discussion of multiway treatment structures, such as factorial experiments and the ever more frequently used statistical technique of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) in which predictive equations are developed that relate a set of sensory responses to the levels of a set of processing parameters or, alternatively to the proportions of a set of ingredients. Also in Chapter 12 the use of multivariate techniques is briefly discussed. This is a subject still in its infancy and future editions of this book probably will contain expanded treatment of this topic.

At the end of Volume II, the reader will find guidelines for the choice of techniques and for reporting results, plus the usual glossaries, indexes, and statistical tables.

With regard to terminology, the terms "subject", "panelist", "judge", "respondent", and "assesor" are used interchangeably, as are "he", "she", and "(s)he" for the sensory analyst (the sensory professional, the panel leader), and for individual panel members.

Morton Meilgaard Gail Vance Civille B. Thomas Carr

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks are due to our associates at work and our families at home for thoughts and ideas, and for material assistance with typing and editing. Numerous individuals and companies have contributed to the ideas of this book and their application in practice. In particular we wish to acknowledge the emotional contributions of Manon, Frank and Cathy, and the technical ones of our colleagues in ASTM's Subcommittee E-18 on Sensory Evaluation, of whom we would like to single out Louise Aust, Donna Carlton, Andrew Dravnieks, Jean Eggert, Patricia Prell, John J. Powers, and Elaine Skinner. Thanks are due to Rose Marie Pangborn and Ann Noble at Davis, Calif., to Elizabeth Larmond at Agriculture Canada, and to Roland Harper and Derek Land in the U.K. for suggestions and discussions over the years, and to Erik Knudson, Stephen Goodfellow, Dan Grabowski, Cathy Foley, and Clare Dus for help with illustrations, layout, and ideas, also to the Stroh Brewery Co. and Searle Inc. for permission to publish and for the use of facilities and equipment.

THE AUTHORS

Morten C. Meilgaard, M.Sc., D. Tech., F. I. Brew. is currently Vice President, Research at the Stroh Brewery Company in Detroit, Mich. He graduated in 1951 in biochemistry and engineering from the Technical University of Denmark, then returned in 1982 to receive a doctorate for a dissertation on beer flavor compounds and their interactions. After 6 years as a chemist at the Carlsberg Breweries, he worked from 1957—1967 as a worldwide consultant on beer and brewing. He then served for 6 years as Director of Research for Cerveceria Cuauhtemoc in Monterrey, Mexico before taking up his present employment in 1973.

Dr. Meilgaard's professional interest is the biochemical and physiological basis of flavor, and more specifically the flavor compounds of hops and beer and the methods by which they can be identified, namely chemical analysis coupled with sensory evaluation techniques. He has published over 50 papers and received the Schwarz Award for studies of compounds that affect beer flavor. He is founder and past president of the Hop Research Council of the U.S.A., also chairman of the Scientific Advisory Committee of the U.S. Brewers Association, and for 14 years he has been the chairman of the Subcommittee on Sensory Analysis of the American Society of Brewing Chemists.

Gail Vance Civille is the president of Sensory Spectrum, Inc., a consulting firm in the field of sensory evaluation of foods, beverages, pharmaceuticals, paper, fabrics, personal care and other consumer products. She is involved in the selection, implementation and analysis of test methods for solving problems in quality control, research, development, production and marketing. She has trained several flavor and texture descriptive profile panels in her work with industry, universities and government.

As a Course Director for the Center for Professional Advancement, she has conducted several workshops and courses in basic sensory evaluation methods as well as advanced methods and theory. In addition, she has been invited to speak to several professional organizations on different facets of sensory evaluation.

Ms. Civille has published several articles on general sensory methods, as well as sophisiticated descriptive flavor and texture techniques. After graduating from the College of Mount Saint Vincent, New York with a B.S. degree in Chemistry, Ms. Civille began her career as a product evaluation analyst with the General Foods Corporation.

B. Thomas Carr is Senior Statistician in the NutraSweet Company, Research & Development Division, where he works closely with NutraSweet's Sensory Evaluation Group on the design and analysis of a wide variety of sensory studies in support of Product/Process Development, QA/QC, and Research Guidance Consumer Tests. Mr. Carr is also actively involved in implementing NutraSweet's automated sensory data-acquisition and data-handling system. Previously Mr. Carr was Supervisor of Statistical Services for CPC International/Best Foods.

Mr. Carr is actively involved in statistical training of scientists, both within NutraSweet and, internationally, in collaboration with the second author, Ms. Civille. In addition, he has been an invited speaker to several professional organizations on the topics of statistical methods and statistical consulting in industry.

Since 1979 Mr. Carr has supported the development of new food ingredients, consumer food products, and OTC drugs by integrating the statistical and sensory evaluation functions into the mainstream of the product development effort. This has been accomplished through the application of a wide variety of statistical techniques including design of experiments, response surface methodology, mixture designs, sensory/instrumental correlation, and multivariate analysis.

Mr. Carr received his B.A. degree in Mathematics from the University of Dayton, and his Master's degree in Statistics from Colorado State University.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume I

Chapte			
Introd	luction		. 1
I.	Develo	opment of Sensory Training	. 1
II.	Humai	Subjects as Instruments	. 2
II.	Condu	cting a Sensory Study	. 2
Refere	nces		. 4
Chapte	er 2		
		ibutes and the Way We Perceive Them	. 5
I.		action	
II.		y Attributes	
	A.	Appearance	. 5
	B.	Odor/Aroma/Fragrance	
	C.	Consistency and Texture	
	D.	Flavor	
	E.	Noise	
III.	Percen	tion	
	A.	Vision	
	В.	Touch	
	C.	Olfaction	
	D.	Chemical/Trigeminal Factors	
	E.	Gustation	
	F.	Hearing	
IV.	-	tion at Threshold and Above	
			• -
Chapte	er 3		
		Test Room, Product, and Panel	21
I.		action	
II.		Controls	
	A.	Development of Test Room Design	
	В.	Location	
	C.	Test Room Design.	
	C.	1. The Booth	
		Descriptive Evaluation and Training Area	
		3. Preparation Area	
		4. Office Facilities	
		5. Entrance and Exit Areas	
	D.	General Design Factors.	
	D.	1. Color and Lighting.	
		Air Circulation, Temperature, Humidity	
ш	Decal		
III.		ct Controls	
	A.	General Equipment	
	В.	Sample Preparation	
		1. Supplies and Equipment	
		2. Materials	29

		3. Preparation Procedures
	C.	Sample Presentation
		1. Container, Sample Size, Other Particulars
		2. Order, Coding, Number of Samples
	D.	Product Sampling
IV.	Panelis	st Controls
	Α.	Panel Training or Orientation
	В.	Product/Time of Day
	C.	Panelists/Environment
Refere		32
Refere	nees	J4
Chapte	er 4	
		encing Sensory Verdicts
I.		uction
II.		ological Factors
11.	A.	Adaptation
	B.	
III.		Enhancement or Suppression
111.		nological Factors
	A.	Expectation Error
	B.	Error of Habituation
	C.	Stimulus Error
	D.	Logical Error
	E.	Halo Effect
	F.	Order of Presentation of Samples
	G.	Mutual Suggestion
	Н.	Lack of Motivation
	I.	Capriciousness vs. Timidity
IV.		Physical Condition
Refere	nces	
Chapte		
Measu	_	esponses
I.	Introdu	uction
II.	Classit	fication
III.	Gradir	ng
IV.	Rankir	ng42
V.	Scalin	g42
	A.	Category Scaling
	В.	Line Scales
	C.	Magnitude Estimation Scaling
Refere	nces	46
Chapte	er 6	
Differ	ence To	ests
I.		uction
II.	Overal	Il Difference Tests (Does A Sensory Difference Exist Between
	Sample	es?)47
	A.	Triangle Test
		1. Scope of Application
		2. Principle of the Test
		3 Test Subjects 48

	4.	Test Procedure	. 48
	5.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results	. 48
	6.	Example 1: Triangle Difference Test. New Malt	
	7.	Example 2: Detailed Example of Triangle Difference Test.	
		Foil vs. Paper Wraps for Candy Bar	. 49
	8.	Example 3: Use of Triangle Test in Selection of Subjects	
В.	Two-0	Out-of-Five Test	
	1.	Scope of Application	
	2.	Principle of the Test	
	3.	Test Subjects	
	4.	Test Procedure	
	5.	Example 4: Comparing Textiles for Roughness	
	6.	Example 5: Emollient in Face Cream	
C.	Duo-T	Trio Test	
	1.	Scope and Application	
	2.	Principle of the Test	
	3.	Test Subjects.	
	4.	Test Procedure	
	5.	Example 6: Balanced Reference. Fragrance for Facial Tissue	
	٥.	Boxes	59
	6.	Example 7: Constant Reference. New Can Liner	
D.	200 50	e Difference Test	
υ.	1.	Scope and Application	
	2.	Principle of the Test	
	3.	Test Subjects.	
	4.	Test Procedure	
	5.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results	
	6.	Example 8: Replacing a Processing Cooker for Barbecue	. 02
	0.	Sauce	62
E.	"A"-	"not A" Test	
L.	1.	Scope and Application	
	2.	Principle of the Test	
	3.	Test Subjects.	
	4	Test Procedure	
	5.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results	
	6.	Example 9: New Sweetener Compared with Sucrose	
F.		rence From Control Test	
	1.	Scope and Application	
	2.	Principle of the Test	
	3.	Test Subjects.	
	4.	Test Procedure	
	5.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results	
	6.	Example 10: Analgesic Cream. Increase of Viscosity	
	7.	Example 11: Flavored Peanut Snacks	
G.	E - C :	ential Tests	
О.	1.	Scope and Application	
	2.	Principle of the Test	
	3.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results. Parameters of the Test	
	3. 4.	Example 12: Acceptance vs. Rejection of Two Trainees on a	. 70
	7.	Panel	76
	5.	Example 13: Sequential Duo-Trio Tests. Warmed-Over Flavor in	
	٥.	Beef Patties	77

	H.	Simila	rity Testing	. 79
		1.	Scope and Application	. 79
		2.	Principle of the Test	
		3.	Example 14: Triangle Test for Similarity. Blended Table	
			Syrup	. 80
		4.	Example 15: Duo-Trio Similarity Test. Replacing Coffee	
			Blend	. 81
		5.	Additional Discussion	. 84
III.	Attribu	ite Dffe	erence Tests: How Does Attribute X Differ Between Samples?	. 84
Paired			Test of Two Samples	
	A.	Directi	ional Difference Test	. 85
		1.	Scope and Application	. 85
		2.	Principle	. 85
		3.	Test Subjects	. 85
		4.	Test Procedure	. 85
		5.	Example 16: Directional Difference (Two-Sided). Crystal Mix	
			Lemonade	. 86
		6.	Example 17: Directional Difference (One-Sided). Beer	
			Bitterness	. 87
Paired	Compa	risons o	of More than Two Samples	. 87
	В.	Pairwi	se Ranking Test — Friedman Analysis	. 88
		1.	Scope and Application	. 88
		2.	Principle of the Test	. 88
		3.	Test Subjects	. 88
		4.	Test Procedure	. 89
		5.	Example 18: Mouthfeel of Corn Syrup	. 89
	C.	Scheff	é/Paired Comparisons Test	. 91
		1.	Scope and Application	. 91
		2.	Principle of the Test	. 91
		3.	Test Subjects	. 91
		4.	Test Procedure	. 92
		5.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results	. 92
		6.	Example 19: Coconut Oil Level in Breakfast Cereal	
	D.	Other	Approaches to Paired Comparisons of More than Two Samples:	
			one-Mosteller, Bradley-Terry, and Morissey-Gullickson	. 95
Multis	ample I		nce Tests — Randomized (Complete) Block Design	
	E.		ng Test — Friedman Analysis	
		1.	Scope and Application	
		2.	Principle of the Test	
		3.	Test Subjects	
		4.	Test Procedure	
		5.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results	
		6.	Example 20: Comparisons of Four Sweeteners for Persistence	
		7.	Example 21: Bitterness in Beer Not Agreeing with Analysis	
	F.	Multis	sample Difference Test — Rating Approach — Evaluation by	
			sis of Variance (ANOVA)	101
		1.	Scope and Application	
		2.	Principle of the Test	
		3.	Test Subjects.	
		4.	Test Procedure	
		5.	Analysis and Interpretation of Results	

	Example 22: Popularity of Course in Sensory Analysis	101
	7. Example 23: Hop Character in Five Beers	
Multi	sample Difference Tests — Balanced Incomplete Block (BIB) Designs	
	G. BIB Ranking Test — Friedman Analysis	106
	Scope and Application	
	2. Principle of the Test	
	3. Test Subjects	106
	4. Test Procedure	106
	5. Example 24: Species of Fish	
	H. Multisample Difference Test — BIB Design — Rating Approach —	
	Analysis of Variance	107
	1. Scope and Application	
	2. Principle of the Test	109
	3. Test Subjects	109
	4. Test Procedure	109
	5. Analysis and Interpretation of Results	109
	6. Example 25: Reference Samples of Ice Cream	109
Refer	ences	111
Chapt	ter 7	
Deter	mining Thresholds	113
I.	Introduction	113
II.	Definitions	
III.	Applications of Threshold Determinations	
	A. Example 1: Threshold of Isovaleric Acid in Air	116
Refer	ences	118
Index		119
	Volume II	
Chapt		
	riptive Analysis Techniques	
I.	Definition	
II.	Field of Application	
III.	Components of Descriptive Analysis	
	A. Characteristics — The Qualitative Aspect	
	B. Intensity — The Quantitative Aspect	
	C. Order of Appearance — The Time Aspect	
	D. Overall Impression — The Integrated Aspect	
IV.	Commonly Used Descriptive Test Methods	
	A. The Flavor Profile Method	
	B. The Texture Profile Method	
	C. The Quantitative Descriptive (QDA) Analysis Method	
	D. Time-Intensity Descriptive Analysis	
V.	Designing a Descriptive Procedure. The Spectrum Method	
	A. Terminology	
	B. Intensity	
	C. Other Options	9
VI.	Modified Short-Version Descriptive Spectrum Procedures for Quality	
	Assurance, Shelf-Life Studies, Etc	0

Appen	dix l –	 Spectrum[™] Reference Lists of Terminology for Descriptive Analysis 	
	A.	Terms Used to Describe Appearance	
	В.	General Flavor Terms	11
	C.	Terms Used to Describe Oral Texture (With Procedures and	
		Definitions)	14
	D.	Example of Texture Terminology: Oral Texture of Cookies	15
Appen	dix 2 -	 Reference Samples Useful for the Establishment of Spectrum[™] 	
		es for Descriptive Analysis	16
	A.	Intensity Scale Values (0 to 15) for some Common Aromatics	
	В.	Intensity Scale Values (1 to 15) for the Four Basic Tastes in Various	
		Products	18
	C.	Intensity Scale Values (0 to 15) for Some Common Texture	
	0.	Attributes	19
		Standard Roughness Scale	
		Standard Wetness Scale	
		Standard Wetness Scale Standard Stickiness to Lips Scale	
		4. Standard Springiness Scale	
		5. Standard Hardness Scale	
		6. Standard Cohesiveness Scale	
		7. Standard Fracturability Scale	
		*	
		9. Standard Denseness Scale	
		10. Standard Moisture Absorption Scale	
		11. Standard Cohesiveness of Mass Scale	
		12. Standard Tooth Packing Scale	
Refere	nces		23
Chapte			~-
		sts: Consumer Tests and In-House Panel Acceptance Tests	
I.	•	se and Applications	
	Α.	Product Maintenance	
	В.	Product Improvement/Optimization	
	C.	Development of New Products	
	D.	Assessment of Market Potential	
II.	The S	ubjects/Consumers in Affective Tests	
	Α.	Sampling and Demographics	. 27
	В.	Source of Test Subjects: Employees, Local Residents, the General	
		Population	
III.	Choice	e of Test Location	
	A.	Laboratory Tests	
	B.	Central Location Tests	
	C.	Home-Use Tests	30
IV.	Metho	ds Used in Affective Tests/Consumer Tests	31
	A.	Primary Response: Preference or Acceptance?	31
		1. Preference Tests	. 32
		1. Preference Tests	
		Preference Tests	. 32
		 Preference Tests a. Example 1: Paired Preference. Improved Peanut Butter Acceptance Tests 	. 32
		 Preference Tests a. Example 1: Paired Preference. Improved Peanut Butter Acceptance Tests a. Example 2: Acceptance of Two Prototypes Relative to 	. 32
	В.	 Preference Tests a. Example 1: Paired Preference. Improved Peanut Butter Acceptance Tests 	. 32 . 32 . 34

VI.	Using	g Other Sensory Methods to Supplement Affective Testing	40
	A.	Relating Affective and Descriptive Data	40
	В.	Using Affective Data to Define Shelf-Life or Quality Limits	
		Example 5: Shelf-Life of Sesame Cracker	
Refer	ence		
Chap	ter 10		
		nd Training of Panel Members	15
I.		duction	
II.		l Development	
III.		etion and Training for Difference Tests.	
111.	A.	Selection	
	Α.		
		1. Matching Tests	
		2. Detection/Discrimination Tests	
		3. Ranking/Rating Tests for Intensity	
		4. Interpretation of Results of Screening Tests	
	В.	Training	
IV.	Selec	ction and Training of Panelists for Descriptive Testing	
	Α.	Selection for Descriptive Testing	
		1. Prescreening Questionnaires	52
		2. Acuity Tests	52
		3. Ranking/Rating Screening Tests for Descriptive Analysis	55
		4. Personal Interview	55
	В.	Training for Descriptive Testing	55
		1. Terminology Development and Introduction to Scaling	
		2. Initial Practice	
		3. Small Product Differences	
		4. Final Practice	
V.	Pane	l Performance and Motivation.	
* *	A.	Performance	
	В.	Feedback and Motivation.	
	С.	Rewards and Motivation.	
D . f			
Refei	rences.		61
	ter 11		
		ability and Statistical Methods	
I.		duction	
II.	Prob	ability	
	A.	The Normal Distribution	
		Example 1: Normal Probabilities on an Interval	
		2. Example 2: Normal Tail Probabilities	66
	В.	The Binomial Distrubition	66
		1. Example 3: Calculating Exact Binomial Probabilities	67
		2. Example 4: The Normal Approximation to the Binomial	
III.	Estin	nation Techniques	
	Α.	Estimating the Parameters of a Normal Distribution	
		Example 5: Estimating the Average Perceived Sweetness	
		Intensity in a Cola and Its Standard Deviation	60
	В.	Estimating the Population Proportion p of a Binomial Distribution	
	В.		
	C	1. Example 6: A Preference Test	
	C.	Confidence Intervals on μ and p	/0

		1. Example 7: Confidence Intervals on the Mean μ
		2. Example 8: Confidence Interval on the Proportion p
IV.	Statist	ical Inference
	A.	Statistical Hypotheses
	B.	One-Sided and Two-Sided Hypotheses
	C.	Type I and Type II Errors
	D.	Examples: Tests on Means, Standard Deviations, and Proportions 74
		1. Example 9: Testing that the Mean of a Normal Distribution is
		Equal to a Specified Value74
		2. Example 10: Comparing the Means of Two Normal
		Populations — Paired-Sample Case
		3. Example 11: Comparing the Means of Two Normal
		Populations — Independent (or Two-Sample) Case
		4. Example 12: Comparing Standard Deviations from Two Normal
		Populations
		a Specified Value
* 7		6. Example 14: Comparing Two Population Proportions
V.		ading Remarks
Refere	nces	
Chapte		
	ced Sta	atistical Techniques83
I.		action83
II.		ation vs. Multiple Observations
III.	The B	locking Structure of an Experimental Design
	A.	Randomized (Complete) Block Designs
		1. Randomized Block Analysis of Ratings
		2. Randomized Block Analysis of Rank Data
	В.	Balanced Incomplete-Block Designs
		1. BIB Analysis of Ratings
		2. BIB Analysis of Rank Data
	C.	Split-Plot Designs
		1. Split-Plot Analysis of Ratings
	D.	Multiple Comparison Procedures
	ъ.	1. One-at-a-Time Multiple Comparison Procedures
		2. Simultaneous Multiple Comparison Procedures
IV.	The T	reatment Structure of an Experimental Design
Ι ۷ .	A.	Factorial Treatment Structures 93
	(a) (a)	
V	B.	Response Surface Methodology
V.		ariate Statistical Methods
Refere	nces	100
~ 1		
Chapte		
Guidel		r Choice of Techniques101
I.	Introdu	ection101
	A.	Define the Project Objective
	В.	Define the Test Objective
	C.	Reissue Project Objective and Test Objectives. Revise Test Design101
Table	1: Type	s of Problems in Sensory Analysis
		erence Tests

Table	3; Attribute Difference Tests	. 104
Table	4: Affective Tests	. 105
Table,	5: Descriptive Tests	. 106
Refere	ences	. 106
¥ ,	Franks *	
Chapte	er 14	
Guide	lines for Reporting Results	. 107
I.	Introduction	. 107
II.	Summary	
III.	Objective	
IV.	Experimental	
V.	Results and Discussion	.110
Refere	ences	. 111
Static	tical Tables	113
	T1: Random Orders of the Digits 1 to 9. Arranged in Groups of Three	
	ins	113
	T2: Binomial Probabilities	
	T3: The Standard Normal Distribution	
Table	T4: Upper α Probability Points of Student's <i>t</i> Distribution	. 121
	T5: Upper α Probability Points of χ^2 Distribution	
	T6: Upper α Probability Points of F Distribution	
	T7: Triangle Test for Difference — Critical Number (Minimum) of Correct	
	ers	. 133
	T8: Duo-Trio Test for Difference or One-Sided Paired Comparison Test for	
	rence — Critical Number (Minimum) of Correct Answers	. 134
	T9: Two-Sided Paired Comparison Test for Difference — Critical Number	
	mun) of Correct Answers	. 136
	T10: Two-Out-of-Five Test for Difference — Critical Number (Minimum) of	
	ct Answers	. 138
	T11: Triangle Test for Similarity — Critical Number (Maximum) of Correct	
	ers	. 140
	T12: Duo-Trio Test for Similarity or Two-Sided Paired-Comparison Test for	
	arity — Critical Number (Maximum) of Correct Answers	. 142
	T13: Two-Out-of-Five Test for Similarity — Critical Number of Correct	
	onses	. 145
	T14: Percentage Points of the Studentized Range — Upper α Critical Values	
	ukey's HSD Multiple Comparison Procedure	. 148
Index	***************************************	. 153