SUIBRIN MINO II BRODIN MAJAN ILA BUAN

O.V.L. 2500050050 Doction, Practice, and Content

> Fourth Edition



#### ASPEN CASEBOOK SERIES





30809388

## **CIVIL PROCEDURE**

### **Doctrine, Practice, and Context**

## Fourth Edition

#### STEPHEN N. SUBRIN

Professor of Law Northeastern University School of Law

#### MARTHA L. MINOW

Dean and Jeremiah Smith, Jr. Professor of Law Harvard University Law School

#### MARK S. BRODIN

Professor of Law and Michael and Helen Lee Distinguished Scholar Boston College Law School

THOMAS O. MAIN
William S. Boyd Professor of Law
William S. Boyd School of Law
University of Nevada, Las Vegas

ALEXANDRA D. LAHAV Professor of Law University of Connecticut School of Law





Copyright  $\odot$  2012 Stephen N. Subrin, Martha L. Minow, Mark S. Brodin, Thomas O. Main, and Alexandra D. Lahav.

Published by Wolters Kluwer Law & Business in New York.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business serves customers worldwide with CCH, Aspen Publishers, and Kluwer Law International products. (www.wolterskluwerlb.com)

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or utilized by any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publisher. For information about permissions or to request permissions online, visit us at www.wolterskluwerlb.com, or a written request may be faxed to our permissions department at 212-771-0803.

To contact Customer Service, e-mail customer.service@wolterskluwer.com, call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business Attn: Order Department PO Box 990 Frederick, MD 21705

Printed in the United States of America.

1234567890

ISBN 978-1-4548-0708-7

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Civil procedure : doctrine, practice, and context/Stephen N. Subrin . . . [et al.].—4th ed. p. cm.—(Aspen casebook series)
Includes index.

ISBN 978-1-4548-0708-7

1. Civil procedure—United States. I. Subrin, Stephen, 1936-KF8839.C452 2012 347.73'5—dc23

referred backing miles and materials have become



**Certified Sourcing** 

www.sfiprogram.org SFI-01042

SFI label applies to the text stock

#### EDITORIAL ADVISORS

#### Vicki Been

Elihu Root Professor of Law New York University School of Law

#### **Erwin Chemerinsky**

Dean and Distinguished Professor of Law University of California, Irvine, School of Law

#### Richard A. Epstein

Laurence A. Tisch Professor of Law New York University School of Law Peter and Kirsten Bedford Senior Fellow The Hoover Institution Senior Lecturer in Law The University of Chicago

#### Ronald J. Gilson

Charles J. Meyers Professor of Law and Business Stanford University Marc and Eva Stern Professor of Law and Business Columbia Law School

#### James E. Krier

Earl Warren DeLano Professor of Law The University of Michigan Law School

#### Richard K. Neumann, Jr.

Professor of Law Hofstra University School of Law

#### Robert H. Sitkoff

John L. Gray Professor of Law Harvard Law School

#### David Alan Sklansky

Yosef Osheawich Professor of Law University of California at Berkeley School of Law

#### Kent D. Syverud

Dean and Ethan A. H. Shepley University Professor Washington University School of Law

#### Elizabeth Warren

Leo Gottlieb Professor of Law Harvard Law School



### **About Wolters Kluwer Law & Business**

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business is a leading global provider of intelligent information and digital solutions for legal and business professionals in key specialty areas, and respected educational resources for professors and law students. Wolters Kluwer Law & Business connects legal and business professionals as well as those in the education market with timely, specialized authoritative content and information-enabled solutions to support success through productivity, accuracy and mobility.

Serving customers worldwide, Wolters Kluwer Law & Business products include those under the Aspen Publishers, CCH, Kluwer Law International, Loislaw, Best Case, ftwilliam.com and MediRegs family of products.

**CCH** products have been a trusted resource since 1913, and are highly regarded resources for legal, securities, antitrust and trade regulation, government contracting, banking, pension, payroll, employment and labor, and healthcare reimbursement and compliance professionals.

**Aspen Publishers** products provide essential information to attorneys, business professionals and law students. Written by preeminent authorities, the product line offers analytical and practical information in a range of specialty practice areas from securities law and intellectual property to mergers and acquisitions and pension/benefits. Aspen's trusted legal education resources provide professors and students with high-quality, upto-date and effective resources for successful instruction and study in all areas of the law.

**Kluwer Law International** products provide the global business community with reliable international legal information in English. Legal practitioners, corporate counsel and business executives around the world rely on Kluwer Law journals, looseleafs, books, and electronic products for comprehensive information in many areas of international legal practice.

**Loislaw** is a comprehensive online legal research product providing legal content to law firm practitioners of various specializations. Loislaw provides attorneys with the ability to quickly and efficiently find the necessary legal information they need, when and where they need it, by facilitating access to primary law as well as state-specific law, records, forms and treatises.

**Best Case Solutions** is the leading bankruptcy software product to the bankruptcy industry. It provides software and workflow tools to flawlessly streamline petition preparation and the electronic filing process, while timely incorporating ever-changing court requirements.

**ftwilliam.com** offers employee benefits professionals the highest quality plan documents (retirement, welfare and non-qualified) and government forms (5500/PBGC, 1099 and IRS) software at highly competitive prices.

**MediRegs** products provide integrated health care compliance content and software solutions for professionals in healthcare, higher education and life sciences, including professionals in accounting, law and consulting.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, a division of Wolters Kluwer, is headquartered in New York. Wolters Kluwer is a market-leading global information services company focused on professionals.

## **Dedication**

I dedicate this book to over three decades of Northeastern Law students who have insisted that intelligence, compassion, and justice must go hand in hand, and to Joan, who has done the same—and then some.

-Stephen N. Subrin

I dedicate this book to law students past and future in hopes that you will work to make procedure more civil, and to Joe Singer, who inspires accessible casebooks and other improvements of daily life.

-Martha L. Minow

I dedicate this edition to all those who devote their talents and energies to the pursuit of justice in the courts across the land.

-Mark S. Brodin

I dedicate this book to my parents, who always seem to know the way, and to Paula, with whom I read maps.

-Thomas O. Main

I dedicate this book to Martha Minow for her thoughtful guidance; Nick, Oona, and Moshe for every minute; Pnina Lahav, my inspiration and imma; and to all the students who thought they wouldn't like civil procedure, but found out that they do.

-Alexandra D. Lahav

# Preface to the Fourth Edition

Twelve years have passed since our first edition. We remain grateful to the dozens of professors who have adopted our book, many of whom have given us suggestions through the years that we continue to incorporate. Please keep advising us. And please tell us your questions about the book that arise in the course of your teaching.

Working on the book this time around has prompted us to reminisce about its origins and to consider whether we have remained true to our initial insights and goals. It's been two decades since Steve Subrin talked at Brooklyn Law School about *Teaching Civil Procedure While You Watch It Disintegrate*, 59 Brooklyn L. Rev. 1155 (1993). Thom Main, his student and research assistant at the time, attended the conference. We recall the backlash from those who claimed that our assertion of disintegration was overblown, and that we were overly pessimistic.

Fast forward to the last several months as we have been revising the book, and found that we had to revise our historical and contextual materials to take account of the multiple constrictions on civil litigation and the right to trial by jury that have continued to evolve. Maybe we weren't pessimistic enough two decades ago. In any event, with regard to the changes in pleading requirements, we decided to summarize Twombly and print the majority and dissenting opinions in Iqbal. We assure students in the materials that it is not their fault that the language of rules can remain the same, while their "interpretation" can dramatically change.

We have remained true throughout the book to our belief that historical context is necessary to truly understand doctrine; context allows students to

see that procedure is neither dry nor devoid of important political and social consequences. We also continue to believe that practice – engaging in how lawyers actually use procedure – is essential to understanding the doctrine and becoming initiated in the challenges and joys of becoming a professional. We have added a couple of practice exercises and several new review problems. We continue to think that law students, like most people, learn best by doing. In short, we still embrace our title: Civil Procedure: Doctrine, Practice, and Context.

Those who have used the book before will notice a few other improvements. In addition to the normal exchange of a few cases for better ones and updating the commentary, we shifted the focus of Chapter Six, Questioning and Taming the Current System, to the strengths and limitations of courts, explored through the lens of structural injunctions and alternative dispute resolution. We have moved material on the adversary system to our chapter on discovery. Chapter Eleven, Class Actions, has been completely overhauled and streamlined. The chapter focuses on the due process requirements for class actions and the policies motivating approval for and opposition to the class action device. We give due attention to the requirements of Rule 23, and other issues in complex litigation, such as aggregate settlement and federal jurisdiction over class actions and mass court cases.

As we were finalizing this edition, The Federal Courts Jurisdiction and Venue Clarification Act of 2011 became law. Fortunately, we were able to include the changes and clarifications of this act. There is an improved Teacher's Manual which takes account of the changes in the book and what we have learned from our students and other professors about teaching the material

The most important change has been the addition of Alexandra Lahav as a co-author. Alexandra joined Martha in revising the materials in Chapters One, Two, and Six, and she also revised Chapter Eleven. Having been relieved of that chapter, Thom and Steve revised Chapter Eight on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Removal, and continued their work on Chapters Three, Four, and Five. Mark revised Chapters Seven, Nine, and Ten. Being obsessive law professors, we talked together (and even occasionally argued) about the final product. You now know whom best to call or write with your questions and suggestions with respect to particular chapters. Thom handles digital issues and technical problems. Despite our and the editors' proofreading, there are inevitably errors. Please tell Thom about them.

Once again we thank our families for putting up with us as we grappled with the decisions and minutia that come with revising casebooks. We thank Sonia McNeil and Kate Epstein of Harvard Law School for their research assistance. And we thank Gretchen Otto and all of our friends at Aspen Publishers for their editorial guidance and support. Finally, a word of appreciation to ourselves. Steve, Martha, Mark, and Thom have truly enjoyed collaborating with each other through these many years. The truth is that we have never exchanged a harsh word throughout this experiment in trying to

improve the teaching of civil procedure. We are overjoyed to have Alexandra join us. She not only brings us her rare blend of intelligence, knowledge, and creativity, but also a wry sense of humor and a much appreciated infusion of energy and relative youth.

Stephen N. Subrin Martha L. Minow Mark S. Brodin Thomas O. Main Alexandra D. Lahav

March 2012

# Preface to the First Edition

The impetus for this book grew out of our own experience as law students and professors. We find that students learn most effectively when legal doctrine, its context, and how doctrine actually works in practice are integrated. Empirical and theoretical research support the notion that we learn and remember at our best as a result of intense, sustained experiences in which we must perform concrete tasks that call upon a number of our faculties. Many of our deepest learning experiences have come from teaching a new course, helping a client solve a problem, or writing an article or a book—experiences that call upon a combination of knowledge, insight, values, clarity, advocacy, judgment, and endurance. These are the lessons that stick.

We wanted a civil procedure course that created a more unified learning experience. Civil procedure doctrine can seem remote from the reality of torts, crimes, contracts, and land. And yet perhaps no other legal subject so calls into question the major issues of law and practicing law in the United States: separation of powers, federalism, the adversarial relationship, efficiency, fairness, power and powerlessness, justice, and fees. In a civil procedure course one finds, for example, the conceptual challenges of *Erie*, the ethical dimensions of discovery, and the practical necessities of hard and fast rules. Moreover, this is a field in flux, and studying the underlying values and historical context of procedure helps one make some sense of the inherent uncertainty and change.

Often students say that they know the doctrine, yet (frustratingly) they cannot meaningfully apply it. We wanted to develop a course in which students applied the doctrine they were learning. Seven years ago, Steve Subrin and several of his students at Northeastern University School of Law

confronted the challenge of creating a more unified civil procedure course. They put two real cases, with practice-oriented exercises, at the center of a contextual, philosophic, and multidisciplinary study of civil procedure. They reprinted fewer opinions, but retained more of the procedure and factual context by not severely editing them. They also reprinted longer excerpts of pertinent articles to demonstrate the flow of an extended argument. The students were given challenging questions to contemplate or answer, but they were not given questions that required additional reading or expert knowledge. Finally, they employed *orientation essays* to illuminate certain doctrines and to elucidate the contextual and practical environments that influenced, and were influenced by, those doctrines.

We have remained true to the vision of Steve Subrin and his students—the vision of creating a civil procedure course that not only taught the doctrine but also applied it and illuminated the integral role of civil procedure in every substantive area of the law. Many features of this book promote that vision:

- Orientation essays that focus on doctrine, practice, or context, or a combination of these.
- · Pleadings and files of two real cases are threaded throughout the book.
- To maintain a manageable size yet cover all of the essentials, the authors have carefully edited the book's cases.
- There is complete integration of contextual materials and practice exercises.
- Thomas Main helped design the founding materials as a law student, and became a co-author of this text as he entered practice and added a law-practice perspective to this course.
- Martha Minow and Mark Brodin brought original materials and different perspectives that contributed to this effort to reinvent a course in civil procedure.

We are grateful to those who aided and abetted this project. In particular, Jeff Stern, a distinguished trial lawyer at Sugarman, Rogers, Barshak & Cohen in Boston, Massachusetts, helped us develop the materials for the wrongful death action involving the roll-over of a Jeep. Jane Picker, Ken Kowalski, and the Fair Employment Law Clinic at Cleveland-Marshall College of Law, Cleveland State University, helped us develop the materials for the Title VII class action against the Cleveland Ohio Fire Department. In both cases, we have changed the names and, in a few instances for pedagogical purposes, certain facts.

Many Northeastern University School of Law students and graduates inspired Steve's vision for this book, and participated in its initial preparation and later iterations. He gives special thanks to Mary Azzarito, John Becker, David Brenner, Kent Brintnall, Kevin Brown, Shawn Bush, Genna Carver, Stephanie Cucurullo, Rachel Dimitruk, Liz Goldstein, Amy Hubert, Vik Kanwar, Dovie King, Amber Klinge, Aileen Lachs, Laura Matlow,

Mark McGrath, David Plotkin, Judy Prosper, Sasha Rosebush, Joel Rosen, Nicole Voigt, Jason Walta, Angela Wessels, and Debra Williams.

Martha thanks Laurie Corzett, Katie Cook, Naomi Ronen, and many generations of civil procedure students.

Mark thanks the hundreds of civil procedure students over the years who, he insists, have taught him far more than he has taught them.

Thomas thanks Grace Petrola, Sandy Heffley, and countless others who contributed time and expertise to this project. He also thanks Hill & Barlow, Boston, Massachusetts, and Platinum Equity Holdings, Los Angeles, California, who wittingly shared (and in important respects shouldered) his commitment to this text.

All of the authors sincerely thank Greg Pingree for helping to clarify the collective voice of this book. The authors also thank Bernard Johnston, Melody Davies, and Jay Boggis of Aspen Publishers for their assistance and patience. And finally, the authors thank Carol McGeehan, our Acquisitions Editor, for her support, guidance, and belief in this project. The final product is truly a collaborative effort.

Stephen N. Subrin Martha L. Minow Mark S. Brodin Thomas O. Main

February 2000

# **Summary of Contents**

Exe Pre	Contents Exercises Preface to the Fourth Edition Preface to the First Edition		
1	An Introduction to Civil Procedure	1	
2	Remedies and Stakes	115	
3	Thinking Like a Trial Lawyer, Pleadings, and Joinder	205	
4	Discovery	377	
5	The Right to Jury Trial and Judicial Control of Results	479	
6	Questioning and Taming the Current System	623	
7	The Choice of an Appropriate Court: Personal Jurisdiction,		
	Notice, and Venue	681	
8	The Choice of an Appropriate Court: Subject Matter Jurisdiction		
	and Removal	869	
9	Choice of Federal or State Law—The Erie Doctrine	915	
10	Finality and Preclusion	985	
11	Class Actions: It All Comes Together	1063	
Cas	se Files	1135	
C	Carpenter v. Dee	1137	
C	City of Cleveland Firefighters	1231	
Ta	ble of Cases	1301	
	Table of Statutes and Rules		
Index		1309 $1313$	

## **Contents**

Exercises Preface to the Fourth Edition Preface to the First Edition			
1	An	Introduction to Civil Procedure	1
66 75 77 77 82 82 88 88 88 100 100	A.	<ol> <li>What Students Should Know From the Start</li> <li>Why Procedure Matters</li> <li>What Is "Civil Procedure"?</li> <li>Meeting the Players and the Institutions         <ul> <li>a. Parties</li> <li>b. Jurisdiction</li> <li>c. Remedies</li> </ul> </li> <li>Sources for the Rules and Doctrines</li> <li>How to Approach Studying</li> <li>About This Book</li> <li>Law as Theater         <ul> <li>Milner S. Ball, The Promise of American Law:</li></ul></li></ol>	2 3 4 5 5 6 6 8 9 10
	В.	An Opening Case: The Power and Limits of Courts  1. Reading the Case  2. Reading a Federal Rule of Civil Procedure	12 12 13

	3.	Background of <i>United States v. Hall</i>	15
	4.	The Opinion	18
		United States v. Hall	18
		Comments and Questions	23
C.	Th	e Right to Be Heard: Elements and History of Due	
	I	Process	24
	1.	Background of Goldberg v. Kelly	25
	2.	The Complaint	26
	3.	The Supreme Court's Response	32
		Goldberg v. Kelly	32
		Comments and Questions	38
		Frank L. Michelman, The Supreme Court and	
		Litigation Access Fees: The Right to Protect	
		One's Rights	41
		Tom R. Tyler and E. Allan Lind, A Relational Model	
		of Authority in Groups	42
	4.	Costs of Process	44
		Mathews, Secretary of Health, Education,	
		and Welfare v. Eldridge	45
		Comments and Questions	51
		Jerry L. Mashaw, The Supreme Court's Due Process	
		Calculus for Administrative Adjudication: Three	
		Factors in Search of a Theory of Value	52
		Louis Kaplow, <i>The Value of Accuracy in Adjudication</i> :	2 7 1
		An Economic Analysis	60
		Comments and Questions	65
	5.		66
	0.	Ham di u Dum ofold	66
		Comments and Questions	75
		Practice Exercise No. 1: Designing a Sound Dispute	
		Deceletion Decease	76
		Connecticut v. Doehr	77
D.	Ac	cess to Lawyers and to the Legal System	82
	1.	Access to Court	82
		Roddie v Connecticut	82
		Comments and Questions  Access to a Lawyer	87
	2.	Access to a Lawyer	88
	٠.	Lassiter v. Department of Social Services of Durham	
		County, North Carolina Comments and Questions	88
		Comments and Questions  Civil Local Assistance	100
	3.		102
	٥.		102
		Turner v. Rogers Comments and Questions	110
		2 loading a Follersh Unit of Civil I roredure	

Contents			xiii	
2	Re	med	dies and Stakes	115
			2. Tours Party I the drow Purencies	110
	A.	Int	troduction Palish and American Palish	115
	В.	Pr	ovisional Relief	116
		1.		
			and Sequestration Preserving the Status One	117
		2.	reserving the Status Quo	118
			U.S. v. New York Times Co., et al.	118
			Comments and Questions	122
			Comments and Questions Practice Exercise No. 2: Motion for a Preliminary	
			Injunction	125
	C.	Fi	nai Renei	127
		1.	Equitable Relief	127
			Walgreen Co. v. Sara Creek Property Co.	127
			Comments and Questions	131
			Practice Exercise No. 3: Equitable Relief in Carpenter	
			and City of Cleveland	134
205		2.	Enforcement of Equitable Relief	135
		3.	트 게임 보겠다더니 요요 '^^ (1) [1] [2] [2] [2] [3] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4	136
		4.	Damages eliment of bios process account of mounts	136
			Carey v. Piphus	137
			Comments and Questions	143
		5.		144
			Comments and Questions	147
			Practice Exercise No. 4: Damages in Carpenter and	
		_	City of Cleveland	148
	2	6.	Enforcement of Damages	148
	D.	Se	ttlement	149
			Steven Shavell, Foundations of Economic Analysis	
			of Law	150
		(0	Comments and Questions	153
	E.	Fir	nancing Litigation	154
		1.	The "American Rule"	155
		2.	Fee-Shifting Statutes	156
		3.	The Effect of Rule 68 Settlement Offers on	
			Attorneys' Fees	157
			Marek v. Chesny	157
			Comments and Questions	165
		,	Practice Exercise No. 5: Revising Fed. R. Civ. P. 68	168
		4.	Conditional Offer of Settlement	169
		۲	Comments and Questions	170
		5.	Frivolous Claims	170
		6.	Assessing the Value of Legal Services	171
			City of Riverside v. Rivera	171
			Comments and Questions	177

GM		7. The Contingency Fee	178
		8. Third-Party Litigation Financing	179
		Jonathan T. Molot, Litigation Finance: A Market	400
		Solution to a Procedural Problem	180
		Comments and Questions	183
		Practice Exercise No. 6: Fee Arrangements in	
	12	Carpenter and City of Cleveland	183
	F.	Contempt  Devid Luber Legal Medeunian	183
		David Luban, Legal Modernism	184
		watker v. City of Birmingham	186
		Comments and Questions	193
		Martin Luther King, Jr., Letter From Birmingham	105
		City Jail	195
3	Th	inking Like a Trial Lawyer, Pleadings,	
	an	d Joinder	205
	A.	Introduction leiles etaletupes is incomposed as	205
	В.	The Stages and Essential Concepts of a Civil Litigation	205
	C.	Claims, Causes of Action, and Elements	215
		Practice Exercise No. 7: Initial Strategy Session in	
		Carpenter another basis in entire 3	218
	D.	Pleadings and Amendments	219
		1. The Purpose and Doctrine of Complaints	220
		Conley v. Gibson and all a love as to take a solder t	221
		Comments and Questions handless (3) (4) (4)	224
		Ashcroft v. Iqbal	226
		Comments and Questions	240
		Bower v. Weisman Walley Monday Mayork Hayork	242
		Comments and Questions	248
		Practice Exercise No. 8: Analyzing 12(b)(6) and 12(e)	
		Motions in Carpenter moitsputal garansoid.	249
		2. Strategy Considerations "alast applications" and the strategy considerations	249
		Practice Exercise No. 9: Considering the City of	
		Cleveland Complaint	250
		3. Technical Pleading Requirements	251
		Comments and Questions	252
		4. Anonymous Plaintiffs (1900) but a menume )	252
		Doe v. United Services Life Insurance Company	253
		Comments and Questions	255
		5. Answers, Motions, and Affirmative Defenses	257
		a. Preliminary Motions	257
		b. Motions to Dismiss and to sails and governor.	258
		c. Answers	261
		d. Admissions and Denials 18000 bas already and	262
		e Affirmative Defenses	264