GILOCITE LAW SUMMARIES

EVIDENCE

John Kaplan, Jon R. Waltz & Roger C. Park

law \ 'lo' \ n, often attrib [ME, fr. OE lagu, of Scand origin; akin to ON law; akin to OE liegan to lie — more at LIE] 1 a (1): a binding custom practice of a community: a rule of conduct or action prescribed or formal recognized as binding or enforced by a controlling authority (2): the who

body of such customs, prac brought about by the exist laws considered as a mean agency of or an agent of en or obligatory to observe by established law e: CON will of God set forth in the scriptures : PENTATEUCH construction or procedure a: the legal profession b c: legal knowledge 6 obs relation of phenomena ditions b: a relation p ical expressions c: the n LAW, RULE, REGULATION nciple governing act ereign authority an the

t authority; RULE applie



WRITTEN BY THE NATION'S

MOST ACCLAIMED

Adaptable to All Major Casebooks

> burbri GROUP

MON LAW **b** (1): the contraction also: LITIGATION (3): to or order that it is advisable people with or enforceable cap: the revelation of the first part of the Jewis A, PROPHETS 3: a rule ws relating to one subject mowledge: JURISPRUDENCE a: a statement of an order invariable under the given determined between mathematical ature

ORDINANCE, CANON mean implies imposition by on the part of all subject ttrib [ME, fr. OE lagu.

BOARD OF EDITORS

RICHARD J. CONVISER

Professor of Law, IIT/Kent

MICHAEL R. ASIMOW

Professor of Law. U.C.L.A.

JOHN A. BAUMAN

Professor of Law, U.C.L.A.

PAUL D. CARRINGTON

Dean and Professor of Law, Duke University

JESSE H. CHOPER

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

GEORGE E. DIX

Professor of Law, University of Texas

JESSE DUKEMINIER

Professor of Law, U.C.L.A.

MELVIN A. EISENBERG

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

WILLIAM A. FLETCHER

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

MARC A. FRANKLIN

Professor of Law, Stanford University

EDWARD C. HALBACH, JR.

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

GEOFFREY C. HAZARD, JR.

Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania

STANLEY M. JOHANSON

Professor of Law, University of Texas

THOMAS M. JORDE

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

HERMA HILL KAY

Dean and Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

JOHN H. McCORD

Professor of Law, University of Illinois

PAUL MARCUS

Professor of Law, College of William and Mary

RICHARD L. MARCUS

Professor of Law, U.C. Hastings

ROBERT H. MNOOKIN

Professor of Law, Harvard University

THOMAS D. MORGAN

Professor of Law, George Washington University

JARRET C. OELTJEN

Professor of Law, Florida State University

JAMES C. OLDHAM

Professor of Law, Georgetown University

WILLIAM A. REPPY, JR.

Professor of Law, Duke University

THOMAS D. ROWE, JR.

Professor of Law, Duke University

JON R. WALTZ

Professor of Law, Northwestern University

DOUGLAS J. WHALEY

Professor of Law, Ohio State University

CHARLES H. WHITEBREAD

Professor of Law, U.S.C.

KENNETH H. YORK

Professor of Law, Pepperdine University



EVIDENCE

Sixteenth Edition

John Kaplan

Late Professor of Law Stanford University

Jon R. Waltz

Professor of Law Northwestern University

Røger C. Park

Professor of Law University of Minnesota



A COMPLETE PUBLICATIONS CATALOG IS FEATURED AT THE BACK OF THIS BOOK.

HARCOURT BRACE LEGAL AND PROFESSIONAL PUBLICATIONS, INC.

EDITORIAL OFFICES: 176 W. Adams, Suite 2100, Chicago, IL 60603



REGIONAL OFFICES: New York, Chicago, Los Angeles, Washington, D.C. Distributed by: Harcourt Brace & Company 6277 Sea Harbor Drive, Orlando, FL 32887 (800)787-8717

PROJECT EDITOR Steven J. Levin, B.A., J.D.

QUALITY CONTROL EDITOR Dawn M. Barker, B.S.

Copyright © 1992 by Harcourt Brace Legal and Professional Publications, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Printed in the United States of America.



Titles Available

Administrative Law

Agency & Partnership

Antitrust

Bankruptcy

Basic Accounting for Lawyers

Business Law

California Bar Performance

Test Skills

Civil Procedure

Commercial Paper &

Payment Law

Community Property

Conflict of Laws

Constitutional Law

Contracts

Corporations

Criminal Law

Criminal Procedure

Dictionary of Legal Terms

Estate & Gift Tax

Evidence

Family Law

Federal Courts

First Year Questions & Answers

Future Interests

Income Tax I (Individual)

Income Tax II (Corporate)

Labor Law

Legal Ethics (Prof. Responsibility)

Legal Research, Writing,

& Analysis

Multistate Bar Exam

Personal Property

Property

Remedies

Sales & Lease of Goods

Securities Regulation

Secured Transactions

Torts

Trusts

Wills

Also Available:

First Year Program
Pocket Size Law Dictionary
The Eight Secrets Of Top Exam Performance In Law School

All Titles Available at Your Law School Bookstore, or Call to Order: 1-800-787-8717

Harcourt Brace Legal and Professional Publications, Inc. 176 West Adams, Suite 2100 Chicago, IL 60603

First We Get You Through Law School...



Gilbert Law Summaries — America's Best Selling Legal **Outlines**

Legalines

Legalines Case Briefs — Detailed Briefs of Every Major Case

Law School Legends Audio Tape Series.

Law School Legends America's Greatest Law Professors On Audio Cassette

Over 4 Million Copies Sold

Call or write for free product catalog:

Gilbert Law Summaries

176 West Adams • Suite 2100 • Chicago, IL 60603 • 1-800-787-8717

...Then We Get You Through The Bar Exam!



Over 500,000 Students Have Used BAR/BRI To Prepare For The Bar Exam During The Past 25 + Years

Call or write for free product catalog:

BAR/BRI Bar Review

176 West Adams • Suite 2100 • Chicago, IL 60603 • 1-800-621-0498



Our Only Mission Is Test Preparation

Refer to the catalog in the back of this book for a complete title list.

TEXT CORRELATION CHART

Gilbert Law Summary Evidence	Cleary, Strong, Broun, Mosteller Evidence—Cases and Materials 1988 (4th ed.)	Kaplan, Waltz, Park Evidence—Cases and Materials 1992 (7th ed.)	Lempert, Saltzburg A Modern Approach to Evidence 1983 (2nd ed.)	McCormick, Sutton, Wellborn Evidence—Cases and Materials 1992 (7th ed.)	Saltzburg, Martin Federal Rules of Evidence Manual 1990 (5th ed.) Supp. 1992	Weinstein, Mansfield, Abrams, Berger Cases and Materials on Evidence 1988 (8th ed.) Supp. 1991
I. INTRODUCTION TO EVIDENCE A. Background-Development of Evidence Codes B. Fvidence and the Litination		77 1	1–102			
	1-4/	1-04	1–102	ā.		
II. THE TYPES AND FORMS OF EVIDENCE A. The Two Basic Types of	95–115		150-151			30–37
B. The Three Basic Forms of Evidence	333–336, 348, 351–380, 434–627	12–38	166-886	902–925		
III. PROCEDURE FOR ADMITTING OR EXCLUDING EVIDENCE A. Introduction						
B. Rulings on Admissibility	31-40		1056-1109	393–399	Vol. 1: 57–68; Vol. 1	76–82
C. Appellate Review of Trial	31	38–61	852–857	366-402	Supp. 9 Vol. 1: 15–38; Vol. 1	76–82
D. Preliminary Determinations Concerning Admissibility	13–20, 272, 703, 714, 753		1056–1068	58–65, 216–224	Supp. 1-6 Vol. 1: 39-49, Vol. 2: 559-561, Vol. 1 Supp. 6-8	76–82
IV. RELEVANCY	200–322	65–82, 352–447	148–254	1–152	Vol. 1: 123-401; Vol. 1	1–82
A. Relevancy and Materiality	200–224	82–89	148–150	1–10	Supp. 15–62 Vol. 1:123–159; Vol. 1	1–5
B. Limits on Admissibility of Relevant Evidence	40-45, 201		152–155	368–369, 373–375,	Supp. 13–18 Vol. 1: 50–56, 155–159;	1–2
C. Discretionary Exclusion of Evidence	369–374	78–82	152–153, 156–157,	1-24	Vol. 1: 160–212; Vol. 1 Sum 10: 35	2–24
D. Examples of Relevancy Problems	225–240	110–111, 413–420	208–215	24–31, 37–43	Vol. 1: 146–151, 202–206;	1056–1062
E. Character Evidence	240–284	367-413, 439-447	236–254	43–118	Vol. 1: 213–325, 391–401; Vol. 1: 213–325, 391–401; Vol. 1: 8	953–1056,
F. Evidence Affected by Extrinsic Policies	209, 218–223, 310–322	420-439	186–236	118–152	Vol. 1: 326–390; Vol. 1: 326–390; Vol. 1 Supp. 54–61	1070–1070
V. THE HEARSAY RULE AND ITS EXCEPTIONS A. Introduction	S 630–894	83–351	347–549	153–365	Vol. 2: 135-474; Vol. 2 Supp. 35-81	596–952; Supp. 468–507
B. General Nature of Hearsay	630–665	83–130	347–381	153–169	Vol. 2: 135–146, 156–167, 259–262; Vol. 2 Supp. 35–41, 54	596–638

TEXT CORRELATION CHART (Continued)

Gilbert Law Summary Evidence	mary	Cleary, Strong, Broun, Mosteller Evidence—Cases and Materials 1988 (4th ed.)	Kaplan, Waltz, Park Evidence—Cases and Materials 1992 (7th ed.)	Lempert, Saltzburg A Modern Approach to Evidence 1983 (2nd ed.)	McCormick, Sutton, Wellborn Evidence—Cases and Materials 1992 (7th ed.)	Saltzburg, Martin Federal Rules of Evidence Manual 1990 (5th ed.) Supp. 1992	Weinstein, Mansfield, Abrams, Berger Cases and Materials on Evidence 1988 (8th ed.) Supp. 1991
C. Evidence Removed from the Hearsay Rule by the Federal Bules of Evidence	from the Federal	665–716	236–241	383–407, 507–519	169–190, 199–229	Vol. 2: 167–241; Vol. 2 Supp. 41–54	498, 553, 571, 574– 579, 643–680, 698;
D. Admissible Hearsay—the So-Called "Exceptions"	—the	743–894	130–301	381-497	169–365	Vol. 2: 263–465; Vol. 2 Supp. 55–80	Supp. 468-482 643-952; Supp. 468-507
1. Introduction				381–383		Vol 2: 460-474; Vol. 2	638–643
2. Reported Testimony	ony	845–860	196–202	473-482	190–199	Supp. 80–81 Vol. 2: 398, 400, 403–	840–848
3. Admissions		682–716	162–195	383-407	199–229	418; Vol. 2 Supp. 69–72 Vol. 2: 135–136, 146–156,	680–724
4. Confessions		15, 45		761, 1063, 1102–		184-241; vol. z Supp. 43-34 Vol. 1: 39-42, 46	1143–1149
5. Declarations Against Interest	ainst Interest	865–875	202–210	486-495	229–244	Vol. 2: 401–402, 418–435;	725–764
6. Dying Declarations	suc	861–865	130–139	482-486	244–248	vol. 2: Supp. 73–77 Vol. 2: 401, 418; Vol. 2	206–668
7. Excited Utterances	ses	743–754	139–146	417–419	249–257	Supp. 73 Vol. 2: 267–268, 286–	765–776
8. Declarations of Present Sense	Present Sense	743–754	146–162	415-417	257–260	289; Vol. 2 Supp. 53–56 Vol. 2: 267, 284–286;	765–776
9. Declarations of Physical Condition ("State of Body	Physical e of Body	754–784	233–236	419-422	260–301	Vol. 2: 268–269, 289–301; Vol. 2: Supp. 56–58	776–785
10. Declarations of Mental Condition ("State of Mind	Mental e of Mind	754–775	210-233	422–436	268–301	Vol. 2: 268, 289–298; Vol. 2 Supp. 56	785–813
11. Past Recollection Recorded	n Recorded	784–794	242–252	436-439	301–306	Vol. 2: 269–270, 301–305	337–344, 352–
12. Business Records	s	794–819	252–275, 281–289	439-446	306–321	Vol. 2: 270–271, 305–325	813–869
13. Official Records		820–835	275–291	446-461, 463	321–338	Vol. 2: 271–277, 325–352;	813–869; Supp.
14. Judgments		691, 893	291–292	467–468	342–346	vol. 2 supp. 60–65 Vol. 2: 281–283, 356–360;	482–493 916–918
15. Declarations Concerning Family History ("Dedignee")	ncerning "Pedigree")		294–295	466, 495–496		vol. 2: 402, 436	907–911
16. Records to Prove "Pedigree" 17. Reputation Evidence 18. Ancient Documents Affecting Property	"Pedigree" ence	893	295–296	464 466-467 465		Vol. 2: 277, 351 Vol. 2: 280–281, 356 Vol. 2: 278, 352–353; Vol. 2 Supp. 65	910

TEXT CORRELATION CHART (Continued)

Gilbert Law Summary Evidence	Cleary, Strong, Broun, Mosteller Evidence—Cases and Materials 1988 (4th ed.)	Kaplan, Waltz, Park Evidence—Cases and Materials 1992 (7th ed.)	Lempert, Saltzburg A Modern Approach to Evidence 1983 (2nd ed.)	McCormick, Sutton, Wellborn Evidence—Cases and Materials 1992 (7th ed.)	Saltzburg, Martin Federal Rules of Evidence Manual 1990 (5th ed.) Supp. 1992	Weinstein, Mansfield, Abrams, Berger Cases and Materials on Evidence 1988 (8th ed.) Supp. 1991
Learned Treatises	561, 893	292–294	465–466	339–342	Vol. 2: 278–280, 354–356;	915–916
20. Other Exceptions	698, 844, 876–892	301–351	461–469	346–365	Vol. 2: 278, 283, 353–354, 360–374, 403, 436–448; Vol. 2 Supp. 66–68, 77–79	918–952; Supp. 493–507
VI. PRIVILEGE	896–1050	508–636	645–791	695–848	Vol. 1; 403–515;	1348–1596; Supp.
A. Introduction			645–652		Vol. 1: 403–407	1348–1349, 1580–1506
B. General Principles Applicable to All Privileges	868–968		646, 659, 696–697, 712, 759–760			0.01-0001
1. Attorney-Client Privilege	912–955	508–533	652–708	703–758	Vol. 1: 420–423, 429–	1435–1526; Supp.
2. Physician-Patient Privilege	974, 976	533–546	708–713	759–766	Vol. 1: 423–424, 469– 723: Vol. 1: 423–424, 469–	1551-1565
Psychotherapist-Patient Privilege Sexual Assault Victim- Counselor Privilege	963–976, 978	533–546	713–718	759–766	4/3, Vol. 1 Supp. 70–71 Vol. 1: 423–424, 470–473; Vol. 1 Supp. 71	1551–1571
5. Privilege (and Competency) Based on the Marital Relationship	898–912	546–553	718–729	695–703	Vol. 1: 424–425, 484–492; Vol. 1 Supp. 74–75	1526–1551
6. Clergy-Penitent Privilege	968, 979	553–554	729–731	804	Vol. 1: 426, 469;	1571–1572
7. Official Information	992–1016	969–809	731–752	766–785	Vol. 1: 3upp. 70 Vol. 1: 424, 473–484; Vol. 1 S.m. 71–74	1575–1581,
8. Identity of Police Informers	982–992	623–633	743–752	777-785	Vol. 1: 477–479; Vol. 1	1584–1587
9. News Reporter's Privilege	955–963	557–567	766–788	786–803	Vol. 1: 426–427, 492–500;	1573–1575;
10. Other Privileges	086-626	555–557	752–754, 788–791	803–804	Vol. 1: 427–429, 497–510;	Supp. 349 1572–1573, 1582, 1583
11. Privilege Against Self- Incrimination		568–607	754–766	804-848	v or. 1 Supp. 75-70	1349–1434; 1349–1434; Supp. 509–537
VII. COMPETENCY TO TESTIFY A. General Rules of Competency	434-455	654–688	4, 12	403-444		258–318
B. Specific Rules Affecting Competency	434-455	654–688	- ·	403-444	Vol. 1: 517–534, 538–565, 681–683; Vol. 1 Supp. 77–82	25
VIII. OPINION EVIDENCE AND EXPERT WITNESSES	486–538	752–802	42–44, 165–167, 859– 987	569–653		359-469

TEXT CORRELATION CHART (Continued)

Gilbert Law Summary Evidence A. Introduction						
A. Introduction	Cleary, Strong. Broun, Mosteller Evidence—Cases and Materials 1988 (4th ed.)	Kaplan, Waltz, Park Evidence—Cases and Materials 1992 (7th ed.)	Lempert, Saltzburg A Modern Approach to Evidence 1983 (2nd ed.)	McCormick, Sutton, Wellborn Evidence—Cases and Materials 1992 (7th ed.)	Saltzburg, Martin Federal Rules of Evidence Manual 1990 (5th ed.) Supp. 1992	Weinstein, Mansfield, Abrams, Berger Cases and Materials on Evidence 1988 (8th ed.) Supp. 1991
B. Opinion Testimony by	495–501	756–758 756–758	859–862 42–44, 165–166	985–695	Vol. 2: 1–12; Vol. 2 Supp. 1–5	359–369
	501–538, 558–561. 826–827, 893	758–802	42–44, 166–167, 859–987	586–653	Vol. 2: 13–134, 278–280, 354–356; Vol. 2 Supp. 5–33	369–469
IX. EXAMINATION, CROSS- EXAMINATION, AND IMPEACHMENT	456-472, 539-627	12–28, 448–507		444–568		330–359, 469–581
ination	10–12, 185, 194, 460–472, 540–556	12–28, 448–460	11–12, 17–19, 52–53, 255–284, 508–513, 520–522,	498–568	Vol. 1: 566–601, 684–748; Vol. 1 Supp. 82–87, 103–110	330–359, 469–486
B. Impeachment and Rehabilitation	539–627	460–507	281–346	444-498	Vol. 1: 566–680, 715–726; Vol. 1 Supp. 82–103, 107–108	486–581; Supp. 467
REAL, DEMONSTRATIVE, AND SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE	284–310, 351–433			403-415, 610-639,		83–240, 581–595
A. Real Evidence	351–433	30–33, 637–653	988-1055	654–694	Vol. 2: 475–556; Vol. 2 Supp. 83–94	83–240
B. Demonstrative Evidence C. Scientific Evidence	351–380 284–310	33–34, 802–848 802–848	988–1007 60, 327–328, 861–862, 944– 978, 1019	654–658, 667–671 403–415, 610–639	Vol. 2: 13–16, 32–34, 48–51, 61–71, 77–78, 85–86; Vol. 2 Supp. 14–22	133–210, 581–595
XI. JUDICIAL NOTICE	324–350	37–38, 689–703,	838–851	902–925	Vol. 1: 69–97;	1266–1347
A. Nature of Judicial Notice	348–350	/11-/14 689-703, 711-714	838-844		Vol. 1 Supp. 11–12	1266–1272
B. Scope of Matters Noticed C. Procedure D. Effect of Judicial Notice	333–341 332–337 332–337	689–703, 711–714 37–38	847–848, 850–851 844–847, 849–851 844–847, 849–851	902–925 902–925	Vol. 1: 72–73	1272–1335 1336–1343 1336–1341
XII. BURDENS AND EFFECT OF	82–197	715–751	792–858	849-901		1099–1265;
A. Introduction B. Proof Defined	82–90		792			onb. 200
C. Burden of Proof	91–178	715–751	792–837	849–901	Vol. 1: 99–122; Vol. 1 Supp. 13–14	1101–1265; Supp. 508
XIII. THE PAROL EVIDENCE RULE A. Introduction B. Nature of Buile			1015–1017			
C. Scope and Analysis of Rule						

approach to exams

Although evidence questions can cover many different issues, most questions generally come down to whether the evidence is admissible. Some exam questions ask you just that—is this evidence admissible? Others bring out specific issues of admissibility, asking (although not in so many words) is this relevant, is this hearsay, has a proper foundation been laid, etc.? In constructing your answer to evidence questions, use some or all of the following framework (depending on your question). And be sure to review the detailed chapter approach sections at the beginning of each chapter.

- 1. **Is There a Timely and Specific OBJECTION?** Unless there is, the trial court can admit almost any kind of evidence. (*See* §29)
- 2. **Is There a Proper FOUNDATION?** Is there a showing that the evidence comes from a **source** that is legally competent?
 - a. **Oral testimony:** Is the witness *competent* to testify? (§§798 *et seq.*) (Remember that the witness almost always is competent.)
 - b. **Documentary evidence:** Is the document **authenticated** (proper foundation laid)? (§§1134-1164)
 - c. Real evidence: Is the item properly identified and its authenticity established? (§§1103-1114)
 - d. Scientific evidence: Is the experiment or test *reliable*? (§§1199-1230)
 - e. **Procedure:** Has the judge made a determination as to proper foundation (or other preliminary fact) prior to admitting the evidence? (§§56 et seq.)

3. Is the Evidence Presented in Proper FORM?

- a. Form of questions: Is the question misleading, argumentative, conclusionary, etc.? (§§927 et seq.) Consider the limits on use of leading questions with "one's own" witness.
- b. Form of answers: Does the answer state an *opinion or conclusion* of the witness? (§933)
 - (1) Are the requirements for admissibility of *expert opinion* evidence met? Consider the expert's qualifications, basis of opinion, and helpfulness to jury. (§§879-926)
 - (2) Are the requirements for admissibility of *lay opinion* evidence met? Consider whether the evidence is based on personal observation and helpful to jury. (§§861-878)
- c. Contents of documents: Where the *contents* of a document are in issue, will the "best evidence rule" require that the "original writing" be produced? Consider whether the

- document is admissible under an exception to the rule, or whether nonproduction of the original is justified. (§§1165-1188)
- d. Qualification: Keep in mind that evidence in any form may be used to "refresh" a witness's memory, even though the evidence itself is not admissible. (§§952-961)
- 4. Is the Evidence RELEVANT? Does it have probative value?
 - a. **Purpose of evidence:** Determine the purpose for which the evidence is offered. (§§71-73)
 - (1) As affirmative proof: Does it *tend to prove* any fact in issue under the pleadings, or explain or clarify such facts? (§74)
 - (2) **To impeach:** Does it reflect on the *credibility* of a witness? (§§999 *et seq.*) Consider:
 - (a) Grounds for impeachment (conviction of crime, bias, prior inconsistent statement, etc.) (§§1003-1071);
 - (b) Permissible *methods* of impeachment (cross-examination vs. extrinsic evidence) (§§994-1000);
 - (c) Evidentiary *effect* of impeachment evidence (whether also admissible as substantive proof) (§§1090-1091); and
 - (d) *Limitations* on impeachment ("collateral" matters; "one's own" witness) (§§940-949, 1072-1073).
 - (3) **To rehabilitate:** If a witness is impeached, does the evidence **restore** credibility? (§§1074-1091)
 - b. **Doctrine of limited admissibility:** Is the evidence admissible for one purpose but not another? (§§81-84) If so, the jury must be *instructed* (upon request) to consider it only for the relevant purpose.
- 5. **Even if Relevant, Is the Evidence Subject to Some EXCLUSIONARY RULE?** Are there countervailing factors (some mandatory, others discretionary) that *outweigh* the probative value of the evidence, and require its exclusion?
 - a. Mandatory rules of exclusion
 - (1) **Rules of PRIVILEGE:** Is the probative value of the evidence outweighed by policies favoring protection of particular relationships (husband-wife, attorney-client, etc.) or interests (against self-incrimination)? (§§546 et seq.) Consider:
 - (a) Who is the **holder** of the privilege (i.e., who may assert)? (§\$555-558)

- (b) What is the **scope** of the privilege (assertable in what types of proceedings, covers what kinds of evidence)?
- (c) Are there any indications of *waiver* (by consent, failure to object)? (§§563-569)
- (2) **HEARSAY rule:** Does the evidence consist of statements or conduct *outside* of court, or documentary evidence, so that the opportunity for cross-examination was precluded? (§§211 *et seq.*) If so, consider:
 - (a) Is the evidence being offered to prove the **truth of the assertion** (or the declarant's belief in its truth)? (§§222-238)
 - (b) If the evidence is hearsay, are there recognized factors establishing its "trust-worthiness," and sufficient "necessity" for its use so as to justify an exception to the hearsay rule? (§§257 et seq.) Consider also whether other requirements of the exception are met (availability or unavailability of declarant, personal knowledge, etc.).
- (3) **PAROL EVIDENCE rule:** Does the strong policy of the law to uphold written instruments over conflicting oral testimony render otherwise relevant oral testimony *inadmissible?* (§§1320-1321) Consider:
 - (a) Is there an *integrated* written agreement? (§§1329-1335)
 - (b) Is the parol testimony in *conflict* with that agreement—or is the testimony merely collateral thereto, or explanatory thereof? (§§1337-1351)
 - (c) Is the parol admissible under an *exception* to the rule (to show fraud, condition precedent, etc.)? (§§1352-1361)
- (4) **EXTRINSIC POLICIES:** Is there some other policy of the law that precludes admission of the evidence (policy of encouraging settlements, insurance coverage, repairs, etc.)? (§§189-209)
- (5) **ILLEGALLY OBTAINED evidence:** Is the evidence the product of an unconstitutional search and seizure? (§1116 *and see* Criminal Procedure Summary)
- b. **Discretionary grounds:** Is there a risk of undue prejudice, delay, confusion of issues, or lack of trustworthiness that *outweighs* the probative value of the evidence? This is an important means of excluding evidence. (§§85-89)
- 6. What is the EFFECT of the Evidence? Once particular evidence is held admissible, its evidentiary effect (weight) is usually up to the trier of fact, with the following qualifications:
 - a. **Evidence meeting burden of proof:** Is the evidence admitted legally sufficient to prove each element of the party's case, thus shifting the "burden of going forward" to the adversary? (§§1264-1285)

- b. **Substitutes for evidence:** If evidence of some fact is lacking, are there any **substitutes** for formal proof—**presumptions** or **judicial notice**?
 - (1) **If the evidence creates a presumption:** Is the presumption "conclusive" or "rebuttable"? (§§1296-1319)
 - (a) If "rebuttable," is there **any** counterevidence? If so, consider whether the presumption is entitled to any further evidentiary effect.
 - (2) **Judicial notice:** Is judicial notice on the matter mandatory or permissive? (§§1231-1253)
- 7. **Policy Factors:** Close cases may often be resolved by considering the major purposes of the rules of evidence: *i.e.*, "[t]o secure *fairness* in the administration (of justice), *elimination of unjustifiable expense and delay*, and promotion of growth and development of the law of evidence to the end that the *truth* may be ascertained and proceedings justly determined." [Fed. R. Evid. 102]

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

		Page
EVIDE	ENCE CAPSULE SUMMARY	Ĭ
TEXT	CORRELATION CHART	i
APPRO	DACH TO EXAMS	(i)
I.	INTRODUCTION TO EVIDENCE Chapter Approach A. Background—Development of Evidence Codes B. Evidence and the Litigation Process	1 1 1
II.	THE TYPES AND FORMS OF EVIDENCE Chapter Approach A. The Two Basic Types of Evidence B. The Three Basic Forms of Evidence	4 4 4 4
III.	PROCEDURE FOR ADMITTING OR EXCLUDING EVIDENCE Chapter Approach A. Introduction B. Rulings on Admissibility of Evidence at the Trial Level C. Appellate Review of Trial Court Rulings on Admissibility D. Preliminary Determinations Concerning Admissibility	6 6 6 7 8 11
IV.	RELEVANCY Chapter Approach A. Relevancy and Materiality Defined B. Limits on Admissibility of Relevant Evidence C. Discretionary Exclusion of Evidence D. Examples of Relevancy Problems E. Character Evidence F. Evidence Affected by Extrinsic Policies	17 17 19 20 22 26 40
V.	THE HEARSAY RULE AND ITS EXCEPTIONS Chapter Approach A. Introduction B. General Nature of Hearsay C. Evidence Removed from the Hearsay Rule by the Federal Rules of Evidence D. Admissible Hearsay—The So-Called "Exceptions" to the Hearsay Rule	46 46 46 47 56 56
VI.	PRIVILEGE Chapter Approach A. Introduction B. General Principles Applicable to All Privileges C. Specific Privileges	110 110 110 111 115
VII.	COMPETENCY TO TESTIFY Chapter Approach A. General Rules of Competency B. Specific Rules Affecting Competency	149 149 149 149
VIII.	OPINION EVIDENCE AND EXPERT WITNESSES Chapter Approach A. Introduction	158 158 158

	B. Opinion Testimony by Nonexpert Witnesses C. Opinion Testimony by Expert Witnesses	159 162
IX.	EXAMINATION, CROSS-EXAMINATION, AND IMPEACHMENT Chapter Approach A. Form of Examination B. Impeachment and Rehabilitation	171 171 171 183
х.	REAL, DEMONSTRATIVE, AND SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE Chapter Approach A. Real Evidence B. Demonstrative Evidence C. Scientific Evidence	205 205 205 219 220
XI.	JUDICIAL NOTICE Chapter Approach A. Nature of Judicial Notice B. Scope of Matters Noticed C. Procedure D. Effect of Judicial Notice	225 226
XII.	BURDENS AND EFFECT OF EVIDENCE Chapter Approach A. Introduction B. Proof Defined C. Burden of Proof	230 230 230 230 230
XIII.	THE PAROL EVIDENCE RULE Chapter Approach A. Introduction B. Nature of Rule C. Scope and Analysis of Rule	242 242 242 242 243
	DIX—COMPARISON OF SELECTED PROVISIONS OF COMMON LAW AND FEDERAL	250
REVIE	W QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS	255
SAMPI	LE EXAM QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS	283
TABLE	OF CITATIONS TO FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE	303
TABLE	OF CASES	305
INDEV		212

gilbert capsule summary

evidence

INTRODUCTION TO EVIDENCE **BACKGROUND—DEVELOPMENT OF EVIDENCE CODES** Early Codifications: Nearly all evidentiary rules were derived from common law until the Uniform Rules of Evidence was published in 1954. Although influential, [1] Present Codes: Some states, such as California, have adopted their own evidence codes, and the U.S. Supreme Court has developed rules of evidence (adopted by Congress) for use in the federal courts (Federal Rules of Evidence). Using the federal code as a model, many states have now adopted evidence [2] Comment: Evidentiary rules are basically attempts to codify common law. For this reason and because jurisdictions without codes are still governed by case law, a knowledge of evidence case law is essential to an understanding of evidentiary principles..... [3] **EVIDENCE AND THE LITIGATION PROCESS** B. Fact Questions and Law Propositions: The outcome of trials is determined [4] Fact question: Did D deliberately and with premeditation stab V in the chest with a knife in an effort to kill him? **Law proposition:** Stabbing a person to death intentionally and with premeditation constitutes the crime of first degree murder. Role of Evidence in Resolving Fact Questions: Fact questions are often more influential than propositions of law on the outcome of litigation. Evidence is the material offered to persuade the trier of fact about fact questions, and rules of evidence govern which of this material the trier of fact may consider [5] "Evidence" defined: Evidence is the material from which inferences may be drawn as the basis for proof of the truth or falsity of a disputed fact... [6] Rules governing admissibility and use of evidence: Not all evidence is admissible. Analysis focuses on: [9] (1) What material should be admitted at trial? (2) What **use** may then be made of the admitted material? **TYPES AND FORMS OF EVIDENCE** TWO BASIC TYPES OF EVIDENCE **Direct Evidence:** Evidence that proves a proposition without relying on any 1. inference is direct evidence [15] 2. Indirect or Circumstantial Evidence: This is evidence of a subsidiary fact from which the existence of an ultimate fact may be inferred [16] Rules of Admissibility: Direct evidence is almost always admissible. Circum-3. stantial evidence is more often subject to the exclusionary rules of evidence and rules on relevancy [17] THREE BASIC FORMS OF EVIDENCE Testimonial Evidence: This is oral testimony given by a witness in court or in a pretrial deposition..... [18] Tangible Evidence: This is evidence in the form of exhibits and is either real or 2.

demonstrative evidence

[19]

	3. 4.	 a. Real evidence: This is the real thing at issue in the case—e.g., the murder weapon, contract in dispute, etc. b. Demonstrative evidence: This is not the real item involved in the case. Rather, it is a visual or audiovisual aid for the fact finder. Tangible-Testimonial Evidence: This is a hybrid of the above two forms of evidence—e.g., a transcribed deposition Compare—Judicial Notice: This is matter that, because it is common knowledge or may be readily verified, need not be proven a. Note: There is disagreement as to whether judicially noticed material is "evidence," but most courts recognize it as a substitute for evidence 	[20] [21] [22] [23]
III. PI	ROCE	DURE FOR ADMITTING OR EXCLUDING EVIDENCE	
A.		TRODUCTION	
	tio fror	estions about admissibility arise when a party's offer of evidence draws an objec - n from another party. If the judge sustains the objection, the evidence is excluded in consideration of the fact finder; if the objection is overruled , the evidence is	[05]
	rec	ceived and considered by the fact finder	[25]
B.	RU 1.	LINGS ON ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE AT TRIAL LEVEL Role of Court and Jury	
	2.	 a. Court: The admissibility of evidence is determined only by the trial judge. b. Jury: The jury determines the weight and credibility of evidence Where No Objection Made: Unless an objection is made, almost any evidence may be received. Failure to object is considered to be a waiver of any existing 	[26] [27]
	_	ground for objection, and the evidence is usually admitted	[29]
	3.	Loss of Right to Object by Reason of Own Evidence ("Opening the Door"): A party may be held to have waived the right to object as a consequence of her own tactics in presenting evidence. a. Example—introducing part of transaction: When P introduces evidence as to part of a conversation or event, she waives the right to object to	[30]
		D's cross-examination or introduction of rebuttal evidence as to any other part of the same transaction necessary to make it fully understandable b. Effect of introducing inadmissible evidence: P is precluded from objecting to the admissibility of evidence offered by D to rebut evidence offered by P and wrongly admitted	[31]
C	ΛĐ	PELLATE REVIEW OF TRIAL COURT RULINGS ON ADMISSIBILITY	[00]
0.	1.	Grounds for Reversal for Evidence Erroneously Admitted: There must have been: a. A specific objection; b. Timely made;	[34]
	2.	 c. On a <i>valid ground</i> for objecting; and d. Error in admitting the evidence that was <i>prejudicial</i>. Grounds for Reversal for Evidence Erroneously Excluded: It must be 	[40]
		established that: a. There is no valid ground for objection; b. An offer of proof was made; and c. The error in excluding the evidence was prejudicial.	[46]
D.	PRI	ELIMINARY DETERMINATIONS CONCERNING ADMISSIBILITY	
	1. 2.	Burden of Proof of Preliminary Fact: The proponent of the evidence or the claimant of a privilege has the burden of proof as to preliminary facts (e.g., whether the witness is qualified to testify; whether the witness is privileged not to testify; or whether the confession was voluntary)	[56]