

The Social History of Crime in Western Europe since 1500

Edited by V.A.C. Gatrell, Bruce Lenman and Geoffrey Parker

THE EUROPA SOCIAL HISTORY OF HUMAN EXPERIENCE

Crime and the Law

The Social History of Crime in Western Europe since 1500

Edited by V. A. C. Gatrell, Bruce Lenman and Geoffrey Parker

Martins Publishing Group Publishing Group Martins Martins Publishing Group

Martins Publishing Group A Publishing Group Martins F

p Martins Publishing Group s Publishing Group Martins p Martins Publishing Group

up Martins Publishing Group ns Publishing Group Martin up Martins Publishing Group ns Publishing Group Martin

EUROPA PUBLICATIONS LIMITE

Publishing Group Martin Martins Publishing Group Publishing Group Martin Martins Publishing Group Publishing Group Martin Publishing Group Martins Martins Publishing Group Publishing Group Martins Martins Publishing Group Publishing Group Martins

p Martins Publishing Group 15 Publishing Group Martins 16 Martins Publishing Group 15 Publishing Group Martins 17 Martins Publishing Group 15 Publishing Group Martins

Martins Publishing Group Publishing Group Martin Martins Publishing Group Publishing Group Martin Martins Publishing Group Publishing Group Martin

Europa Publications Limited 18 Bedford Square, London WC1B 3JN

©the Authors 1980

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Crime and law

1. Crime and criminals - Europe

I. Gatrell, V. A. C. II. Lenman, Bruce

III. Parker, Geoffrey.

364' . 94 HV 6937

ISBN: 0 905118-54-5

Printed and bound in England by STAPLES PRINTERS ROCHESTER LIMITED at The Stanhope Press

Crime and the Law: The Social History of Crime in Western Europe since 1500

Edited by V. A. C. Gatrell, Bruce Lenman and Geoffrey Parker

The essays in this book examine some aspects of the history of crime and law in selected areas and periods in western Europe since 1500. They have in common a critical interest in three fundamental questions which face any student of this rapidly growing subject. How reliable and comprehensive are the records of crime upon which the historian must depend? What was the variable social meaning of the acts labelled as crimes by law-makers and enforcers? And how have changes in crime and the legal penalties attached to it reflected long-term economic and social change? Each essay is self-contained, but also illustrates the diverse methods by which, in the cases with which they are concerned, these questions may begin to be answered. The book begins and concludes with seminal studies in which the editors seek to focus the diverse themes elsewhere illuminated within it. Bruce Lenman and Geoffrey Parker identify a 'iudicial revolution' in western Europe between 1500 and 1800, and V. A. C. Gatrell examines the reality and possible explanations of the decline of nineteenth-century English theft and violence. These synoptic essays are amplified by J. A. Sharpe's, Michael Weisser's, and Stephen J. Davies's essays on crime and law in early modern England, Spain and Scotland respectively.

Christina Larner applies the insights of 'labelling theory' to the history of witchcraft, and Jennifer Davis examines the 'moral panic' over the garotting epidemic in mid-Victorian London. David Philips discusses the evolution of the 'police idea' in England between 1780 and 1830, and Robert Tombs the meaning of criminality in the 1871 Paris Commune. The book will be indispensable to all who seek a critical awareness of the methodological and evidential pitfalls associated with a subject which has to be studied through the records left by its enemies.

The Europa Social History of Human Experience General Editor: Neil McKendrick

Crime and the Law: The Social History of Crime in Western Europe since 1500

Foreword

Neil McKendrick

HISTORY IS A HUMILIATING SUBJECT to try to know and it is both understandable and very forgivable that historians should have limited their tasks to the areas which have yielded the easiest returns—the well-documented constitutional areas, the dramatic and well-recorded zones of military history, the fundamental importance of economic history, the rich arena of political history, the major stepping stones of political thought, or the acceptable boundaries of biographical history. These and many others have yielded handsome returns and have been quarried and requarried over a satisfyingly long period. But social history—the basic history of human experience—has been less well served. There are no satisfactory social histories of birth or childhood, of examinations or literacy, of crime and its punishment, of sex or marriage, of food or drink, of the home, of clothes, of leisure or sport, of wages and work, or of parenthood or health. Until very recently there was nothing adequate even on death.¹

The emotional peaks of most of our lives—and of the lives of our ancestors—are concentrated on our childhood, our sexual initiation, our marriages, the birth of our children, and the death of our parents, but the study of such critical events has been left at best to the psychologists, the anthropologists and the sociologists. In the same way the human compulsions which drive us all—for food and drink, for sex or mutual comfort, for success or at least security, for shelter and diversion—have largely lacked their historians. The daily human preoccupations—with our work and its reward, with our appetites and their satisfaction, with our clothes and their choice and purchase, with our health and its protection, with our children and their education, our houses, our shopping, with our gardens, with our pets and our sport and our leisure—have not preoccupied the minds of professional historians.

That so many historians have been clerics, and bachelors, and also privileged—that Cambridge dons before 1878, for instance, were of necessity all three—might seem to offer a possible explanation for some of these lacunae: for lacking wives, children, often homes of their own, living often celibate lives, with most of their daily needs provided by institutions or

¹ This is not the place for a comprehensive bibliographical survey of the important pioneer works already published on some of these topics. What follows is merely a sample of some of the more influential publications. Philippe Ariès, Western Attitudes towards death: from the Middle Ages to the present, (London, 1976) and L'Homme devant la mort (Paris, 1977) is a leading exemplar of the recent interest in the social history of death.

servants, they were insulated from many of the most basic experiences. But it would be at best a partial explanation. Of more significance than say Gibbon's or Macaulay's lack of marital or parental experience was their social class and the traditional historical preoccupations of that class. Since most of the recorded human history has also been the history of élites, the emotional and material dramas which have been chronicled have mainly been those of the rich and the powerful. The houses that we know most about are palaces and country houses; the sex lives which have been most minutely pried into are those of kings and presidents; the possessions that are best recorded are those of the immensely rich; the deathbed scenes which we know of are mainly those of the famous, and the best-known childbirth scenes are characteristically of those who were born to the famous. The menus of the great have been recorded ever since an artist recorded his patron's diet in mosaic at Pompeii, the pets of the great have been recorded for centuries by their chosen artists either as lap dogs, as part of the surrounding scenery, or, as in the apotheosis of the horse in the eighteenth century, as separate objects of beauty. Their clothes, their furniture, and their children are equally well recorded.

But at last historians are changing their angle of vision from the few to the many, switching their preoccupations from the tyranny of the individual to the clamouring demands of the mass. Popular culture is suddenly fashionable, and historians are reacting to the taunts of those like Günter Grass who have proclaimed that the history of food has been ignored by historians (and happily ignoring, in their turn, his view that it is too important a topic to be left to them). They are increasingly heeding the Freudian view that the action (and the emotional vibrations that resound from that action) centres on the bedroom, the bathroom and the kitchen. They are focusing their attention on the home and the rich variety of experience it encapsulates. Young historians are swarming to examine subjects rarely touched upon by earlier generations of historians. As Professor Plumb wrote recently, 'They delve into such arcane matters as homosexuality in Switzerland, breast-feeding in New England, coitus interruptus in Old England, swaddling in France, death not only in Venice but anywhere and everywhere.'2

There is a long way yet to go, but the research has already started. The pioneers have already published. Some brilliant monographs have already appeared. That there is an eager market for such history is borne out by the enthusiastic—and sometimes uncritical—response to them. A series like the Europa History of Human Experience is, to a certain extent, a direct consequence of the academic controversies, the reading public's reaction, and the student response to the excitement, generated by books by Ariès³ and de

J. H. Plumb, 'The Rise of Love', New York Review of Books, 24 November 1977, p. 30.
 Philippe Ariès, L'Enfant et la vie familiale sous l'ancien régime (Paris, 1960), translated as Centuries of Childhood (1962).

Mause⁴ on childhood, by Shorter⁵ and Stone⁶ on sex and marriage, by Laslett⁷ on the family and illicit love, by Hufton⁸ and Cobb⁹ on the poor and the criminal, by Keith Thomas¹⁰ on witchcraft and magic, by E. P. Thompson¹¹ on the working class, or by J. H. Plumb¹² on leisure, or Foucault¹³ on madness.

By mentioning a brief and inevitably somewhat arbitrary sample of the famous, and to some infamous, authors on recent social history one risks confirming and compounding an already over-popular view. For there are still those who, to judge from their reviews, think that the new social history consists only of the wilder slopes of Hill, the seamier undersides of Stone, or the more exotic fruits of Plumb. For all that the eponymous heroes of the description have done for the subject, there is far more to it than what a colleague of mine calls 'the Plumb-Stones of History'. It owes its original inspiration to the *Annales* school, it has won the allegiance of an international academic following, it is discovering a constantly expanding scope, and it has enormous appeal to a growing number of students of history.

Now that for many there seems little new to say in constitutional history; now that for many economic history is becoming increasingly inaccessible as many of its practitioners succumb to jargon or the aphasia of the econometrician; now that ecclesiastical history no longer excites the controversies which once rocked our society; there are, as a result, inviting opportunities in the history syllabus of many universities, and social history seems the most likely contender to fill the gap. The days of mere evocation and description have long since passed, and it seems a safe prediction that social history will continue to expand and flourish as a university subject. It is all the more likely to succeed if, in attempting to create a history of experience, it does not eschew the role of political institutions, the role of the Church, and the impact of the economy.

Self-interest alone suggests that this would be prudent. For many special problems face the historian of human experience. Acute evidential problems abound. To generalize about the sexual attitudes of several centuries when

⁵ Edward Shorter, The Making of the Modern Family (London, 1976).

* Olwen Huston, The poor of eighteenth-century France, 1750-1789 (Oxford, 1974).

¹¹ E. P. Thompson, The Making of the English Working Class (London, 1963).

Lloyd de Mause (ed.), The History of Childhood (New York, 1974).

⁶ Lawrence Stone, The family, sex and marriage in Éngland, 1500-1800 (London, 1977).

⁷ Peter Laslett, Family Life and Illicit Love in earlier generations: essays in historical sociology (Cambridge, 1977).

Richard Cobb, The police and the people: French popular protest, 1789-1820 (Oxford, 1970)

¹⁰ Keith Thomas, Religion and the Decline of Magic: Studies in popular belief in sixteenthand seventeenth-century England (London, 1971).

¹² J. H. Plumb, The Commercialisation of Leisure in eighteenth-century England (Reading, 1973).

¹³ Michel Foucault, Folie et déraison: histoire de la folie à l'âge classique (Paris, 1961), translated as Madness and Civilization: a history of insanity in the age of reason (London, 1967).

the evidence which has triumphed over the rigours of time, the silence of the masses and the reticence of all but a handful of well-known diarists, is apparently so small and so subjective, invites and justifies the initial suspicions of the more traditional scholar.

The novelty of the enterprise offers further pitfalls. Sir Walter Raleigh's famous warning on the dangers of writing contemporary history is just as apt for those attempting to open up new frontiers of knowledge in long-concealed areas of history: 'whomsoever in writing modern history shall follow truth near its heels, it may haply strike out his teeth'. The successful attentions of some hostile reviewers suggest that some of the famous have been less than careful about the future of their smiles. The lived experience of man may not yet have been satisfactorily recorded and explained (and will not be so for a very long time to come), but unless it makes use of all the traditional skills and disciplines available to historians it is not likely to gain the respect it deserves.

If in extending its scholarship, refining its definitions, and qualifying some of its grander claims and less likely hypotheses, it loses some of its present heady excitement, that loss will, perhaps, be balanced by the greater authority and satisfactions offered by a greater evidential rigour and a more exacting conceptual approach.

There are, of course, many ways of trying to recapture the experience of our forefathers. The excitement caused by Le Roy Ladurie's14 recent reconstruction of the day-to-day life and affairs of the two hundred and fifty inhabitants of the village of Montaillou in the fourteenth century, shows that, when the evidence allows it, a great historian can produce a brilliantly topographical approach; the response to the publication of the diaries of Ralph Josselin¹⁵, a seventeenth-century clergyman, shows that in skilful hands the approach can be simply biographical. But such successes require the luck (or at least the archival serendipity) to come across quite exceptional records. Such discoveries are not made to order, and, as a result, the more common approach is the thematic one. Since the study of many aspects of everyday life is still in its infancy, with many different scholars chipping away at new problems from different angles, the prudent approach is also the communal rather than the individual. So although the editors of this series will attempt an individual tour d'horizon of the subject, they will call on many other scholars to reveal the results of their separate research. The individual volumes cannot hope to be encyclopaedic. They cannot hope to cover all centuries, all countries, or all classes. But they can illuminate the most fruitful approaches, release the most recently unearthed evidence, and present the most convincing current explanations and conclusions.

¹⁴ Emmanuel Le Roy Ladurie, Montaillou, village occitan de 1294 à 1324 (Paris, 1976).

¹⁵ Alan Macfarlane, The Family Life of Ralph Josselin, a seventeenth century clergyman: an essay in historical anthropology (Cambridge, 1970).

Notes on Contributors

Stephen Davies graduated from St Andrews University and is completing his doctorate on law and order in seventeenth-century Stirlingshire. He lectures in history at Manchester Polytechnic.

Jennifer Davis is a teaching fellow of Boston College, Massachusetts, completing her doctorate on working-class lawbreaking and strategies of law-enforcement in Victorian London.

V. A. C. Gatrell graduated from Rhodes University, South Africa, and St John's College, Cambridge, where he completed his doctorate. He is a Fellow and Tutor of Gonville and Caius College, and a University Lecturer in History, Cambridge. He has published on Robert Owen, crime, and on nineteenth-century urban society and the cotton industry, and has co-edited the *Historical Journal* since 1976.

Christina Larner graduated from and completed her doctorate at the University of Edinburgh, and is Senior Lecturer in Sociology at the University of Glasgow. She has published on the British foreign service and on aspects of witchcraft; her analysis of the Scottish witch-hunt, *Enemies of God*, is to be published this year.

Bruce Lenman read history at St John's College, Cambridge, lectured at the University of Dundee, and is now Senior Lecturer in Modern History at St Andrews. His books include *Dundee and its Textile Industry* (1969), An Economic History of Modern Scotland (1977), and The Jacobite Risings in Britain (1980).

Geoffrey Parker is a Reader in Modern History at the University of St Andrews. He read history at Christ's College, Cambridge, and held a research fellowship there. His publications include *The Army of Flanders and the Spanish Road*, 1567-1659 (1972), The Dutch Revolt (1977), Philip II: a Biography (1978), Spain and the Netherlands, 1559-1659: Ten Studies

CRIME AND THE LAW

(1979), and Europe in Crisis, 1598-1648 (1979). With Bruce Lenman he is currently studying crime in early modern Scotland.

David Philips graduated from the Universities of Witwatersrand and Oxford, where he completed his doctorate, and now lectures in history at the University of Melbourne. He has published *Crime and Authority in Victorian England* (1977) and articles on related subjects.

J. A. Sharpe is a Lecturer in History at the University of York. A graduate and D.Phil. of Oxford, he is the author of several articles on aspects of crime in early modern England.

Robert Tombs is a Fellow of St John's College, Cambridge, and is about to publish a study of the French army during the 1871 civil war.

Michael Weisser is an Associate Professor in History at the University of South Carolina, with a doctorate from Northwestern University. He has published *The Peasants of Montes* (1977) and *Crime and Punishment in Early Modern Europe* (1979).

Contents

	Foreword Neil McKendrick	vii
	Notes on Contributors	xi
	Introduction V. A. C. Gatrell, Bruce Lenman and Geoffrey Parker	1
I	The State, the Community and the Criminal Law in Early Modern Europe Bruce Lenman and Geoffrey Parker	11
II	Crimen Exceptum? The Crime of Witchcraft in Europe Christina Larner	49
III	Crime and Punishment in Early Modern Spain Michael Weisser	76
IV	Enforcing the Law in the Seventeenth-Century English Village J. A. Sharpe	97
V	The Courts and the Scottish Legal System 1600-1747: The Case of Stirlingshire Stephen J. Davies	120
VI	'A New Engine of Power and Authority': The Institutionalization of Law-Enforcement in England 1780-1830	155
VII	David Philips The London Garotting Panic of 1862: A Moral Panic and the Creation of a Criminal Class in mid-Victorian England	155
'III	Jennifer Davis Crime and the Security of the State: The 'Dangerous	190
***	Classes' and Insurrection in Nineteenth-Century Paris Robert Tombs	214
IX	The Decline of Theft and Violence in Victorian and Edwardian England	214
	V. A. C. Gatrell	238
	Appendix A	339
	Appendix B	367
	Index	371

Introduction

If the study of social history in Britain is now a more rigorous discipline than it was thirty years ago, it is in good measure because some of its leading practitioners have sought firmly to relate past social behaviour and experience to the prevailing distribution of economic and social power. Through attention to class and power relationships, many of them have in effect pursued something approaching a totalist view of the past: an enterprise which has been almost wholly productive and invigorating. But it is perhaps inevitable that until recently their scholarly focus has proved narrower than their ambition. The pioneers in this tradition were less concerned with the ways in which power was wielded by those who possessed it, than with the ways in which power was challenged by those denied it. They were mainly interested in the sources of conflict in society: with those social upheavals, protest movements, and newly emerging classes which are tacitly assumed to have been the primary engines of change.

Today, a preoccupation with the relationships between behaviour and the distribution of power remains central to this tradition. But many working within it are beginning to approach the past with different questions in mind. More explicitly than hitherto they are examining the controls and forces which have made not for conflict and change, but for stability and continuity; they are seeking to explain the relative security of western capitalism over the long period of its evolution. The impulse behind this shift has been varied. Some simply realize that political and social systems more often evolve than disintegrate, and that most societies have coped adequately with the forms of disorder which have threatened them. Some feel the need to explain why many of Marx's predictions have failed to come to pass in the West. Others desire to challenge on their own ground those historians who believe that order is the natural and proper condition to which societies tend: they seek to expose the disciplinary powers which even outwardly benevolent states evolve to check those who would otherwise challenge their authority. Whatever the reasons for this shift in interest, it has greatly stimulated the historical study of crime and law with which this book is concerned. It explains why in the past decade or so social historians have captured the subject from legal historians on the one hand and from popularizers on the other. It is one reason why the study of the social meaning of crime and the social context of law has begun to supersede the study of institutions, cases, and precedents, or alternatively the study of the 'great crimes' which used to hold the field.

CRIME AND THE LAW

It is not difficult to understand why the subject should have benefited from recent developments in social history. By its very nature, the history of crime and law offers a focus both for those concerned with conflict and for those concerned with control; it is a subject upon which the divergent directions which social history has taken may again converge. To be sure, some may be inclined still to take their cue from Marx on this matter, and argue that since the criminal is a passive parasite battening on and dependent on the economic system, he is the least considerable of the agents of historical conflict and change. But even they would recognize that in many societies the forms which crime has assumed at critical junctures, or the new ways in which it has been perceived, have often thrown the ruling order on the defensive and exposed the nakedness of its power. At such times, too, social banditry and even ordinary crime may be regarded by historians as indications of tension in the social fabric, and by contemporaries as portents of break-down. On the other hand, it is clear that law and its enforcement systems are explicit instances of the controls which every society employs to protect the established order—controls which have usually sufficed to cope with the threat of disorder. The relationship between those who make and those who break the law, therefore, is justly beginning to claim a central place in social history. It is a subject which directly exposes the morbid pathology of social systems (as rulers perceive it, anyway), as well as the not always kindly medicaments applied as cure.

The essays which follow are each in effect concerned with the interrelated problems of conflict and control considered from the perspective of criminal history, as they examine the meaning of some of the larger changes in the history of crime and legal systems in some European countries in the past four hundred years. Fifteen years ago few books on the history of crime could attempt as much. Most reflected merely the unhealthy and at times obsessive fascination which crimes in general and macabre crimes in particular have always exercised over the minds of the respectable. But the days of the uncritical and anecdotal history of crime are past. The subject is fast generating its own momentum, its own techniques and methods, even (for better or for worse) its own journals and conferences. It is necessary today for the historian to measure the sensationalism of contemporary newspapers and the melodrama of contemporary novels and pamphlets against the actual practice of the courts and the police; and to probe behind the theories of the lawbooks and the records of the courts to see what tensions and relationships underlay the decision to bring a particular action to the trial of law. For all their diversity of scope and subject-matter, the essays in this book have in common an acute consciousness of the difficulties of doing this: for the evidence is peculiarly treacherous. Most of the essays are implicitly engaged in a running debate about the extent to which the surviving legal records reflect or distort the social realities they purport to measure. In this, they reveal another reason why the history of crime has gathered such momentum in recent years. Historians are realizing that few other forms of historical evidence have been so filtered through the prejudices, assumptions, and administrative capacities of those who hold power in society: of those, in short, who define crime and who bring those whom they identify as criminal to justice. They are coming to terms with the methodological problems ineradicably associated with a subject which has to be studied mainly through the records left by its enemies.

Paradoxically, it is often the very bulk of the surviving evidence which can blind us to the difficulties in the way of interpreting it. When a scholar discovers 80,000 surviving criminal cases for the Parlement of Paris, the supreme appeal court for half of France, covering the years 1564 to 1639, he may understandably be tempted to claim that 'it is now feasible to undertake systematic study of most categories of crime during the period.' But the Parlement was the appeal court for over 500 inferior jurisdictions and perhaps ten million people: what can 1,000 cases a year, and those cases almost all appeals, tell us about how much crime was really committed and in what forms and proportions?¹

This identifies a problem now familiar to all students of criminal records, and one which has confounded the hopes of those who first proposed that they be systematically compiled. The father of English 'political arithmetic', Sir William Petty, advocated as early as 1670 that the 'annual totals of corporal sufferings and persons imprisoned for crime' should be collected in order 'to know the measure of vice and sin in the nation'. A century later, Jeremy Bentham desired the courts to report on all the cases they tried, to furnish 'data for the legislator to work on':

They will form altogether a kind of *political barometer*, by which the effect of every legislative operation relative to the subject may be indicated and made palpable... They may be compared with the bills of mortality published annually in London; indicating the *moral* health of the country (but a little more accurately, it is hoped) as these latter do the physical.

Alas, this hope proved vain: it was much easier to conceal a crime than to conceal a death. No sooner did governments make generally available the crime rates so desired by Bentham (Britain from 1805, France from 1825-27, Sweden from 1830-33, and so on) than other scholars showed how misleading they could be. According to the Belgian statistician Adolphe Quetelet,

Our observations can only refer to a certain number of crimes known and adjudicated, out of a total number of unknown crimes committed. Since this total

¹ A. Soman, 'Press, pulpit and censorship in France before Richelieu', *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society*, CXX (1976), p. 440 n. 3. So far, only data on witchcraft cases (which made up perhaps 1,100 of the 80,000 cases heard by the *Parlement* during this period) have been published, but they have attracted much adverse comment from those who are familiar with the records of the inferior courts. See the remarks of R. Muchembled in M. S. Dupont-Bouchat, W. Frijhoff and R. Muchembled, *Prophètes et sorciers dans les Pays-Bas*, XVIe—XVIIIe siècles (Paris, 1978), p. 38.

CRIME AND THE LAW

sum will probably always remain unknown, all conclusions based upon it will be more or less erroneous.²

Rather foolishly, some have tried to specify the dimensions of this problem numerically. In 1941, the German criminologist Kurt Meyer suggested that for every reported homicide there were between three and five which went unreported, for every reported theft between 16 and 20 unreported ones, and for every reported abortion or buggery around 100 unreported. Recent estimates by Sir Leon Radzinowicz and his associates suggest that the gap between known and unknown crimes today may be much wider even than that.³ All we can be sure about is that in the past the short-fall would have been no less great, for reasons which several essays below make clear. Dr. Sharpe, for example, draws attention to the fact that formal judicial procedures in early modern England were the means of last resort in local communities which had to deal with violations of their value-systems. Lenman and Parker argue similarly as one of the themes of their essay that societies of reluctant litigants were normal in medieval and early modern Europe: there were cheaper and less damaging ways of repairing rifts in the social fabric which left no records of criminality at all.

It is not merely the adequacy of the records of crime which the historian has to consider, but also the meaning of the acts which are recorded. Crime is after all a label attached to an act by those who make and enforce law. To examine crime is in effect to examine the way in which certain acts were perceived and labelled by those who sought to control and curtail them. Three of the studies in this volume are centred upon this crucial problem. Dr. Christina Larner deals with the classic early modern example—witchcraft—and sets it firmly within the context of the labelling processes at work in small face-to-face communities. Jennifer Davis's study of the London garotting panic of 1862 shows that the same process was at work long after industrialization broke down that face-to-face society. A panic over a few violent robberies in that year led to a loose use of the emotive term 'garotting' for assaults not normally so described: in effect a new criminal problem was invented by the press, police and courts, which justified a much more severe punishment of criminals than before. Similarly, Dr. Tombs illustrates how a political crisis could lead authorities to prosecute minor infractions which had previously been tolerated: after the defeat of the Paris Commune in 1871 even those who claimed they had done nothing during the insurrection were sentenced to transportation on the grounds that anyone not at work must have been a Communard, and men and women were condemned merely because they looked like criminals.

Quotations taken from the useful discussion in T. Sellin and M. E. Wolfgang, The Measurement of Delinquency (New York, 1964), pp. 7-38.
 K. Meyer, Die unbestraften Verbrechen. Eine Untersuchung über die sogenannt Dunkelziffer

³ K. Meyer, Die unbestraften Verbrechen. Eine Untersuchung über die sogenannt Dunkelziffer in der deutschen Kriminalstatistik (Leipzig, 1941); L. Radzinowicz and J. King, The Growth of Crime: the International Experience (London, 1977), ch. 2.

In view of the grave distortions of the court records which result from considerations of these kinds, it is no wonder that many historians today follow the 'new' criminologists into wholesale scepticism about the legitimacy of using recorded crime rates as indices of 'real' patterns of criminal behaviour in society. The tendency nowadays is to see even large aggregations of data as reflecting mainly what ruling groups thought was happening in society, and how they reacted to what they thought was happening. Court records may be used to build up a picture of how crimes were dealt with, of which social groups were most vulnerable to the attentions of the law, and of the changing preoccupations of the courts. But beyond that, agreement among scholars ceases. It is not surprising that most of the essays which follow are reluctant to base their arguments on statistical data.

But is scepticism about the relationship between recorded crime rates and actual crime universally valid? In his study of early modern Spain, Dr. Weisser feels justified in inferring real trends in crime from court records; but he may be unwise in this. It is perhaps appropriate that this volume should close with Dr. Gatrell's appeal for chronological and analytical discrimination in this matter. Recognizing that the definition of crime often results from the process whereby dominant groups label as criminal whatever they find unacceptable, and thus tackling frontally the implications of the labelling problem, he concludes nonetheless that the recorded statistics on some serious crimes in Victorian and Edwardian England are comprehensive enough to allow the scholar meaningfully to assess real trends in serious crime, and this, pace Dr. Weisser, perhaps for the first time in European history. He is at pains to emphasize the many limiting conditions which have to be considered before this is possible. Even so, the Victorian and Edwardian figures when critically used are shown to point unambiguously to an extraordinary phenomenon—a steady decline in the real incidence of theft and violence in England and Wales from mid-century until the First World War. This has been barely recognized by historians of the period, but it raises issues of fundamental importance. First, it challenges many present-day assumptions about the relationship between crime and the processes of economic, urban, and demographic growth (the criminogenic variables usually associated with 'progress', that is, need not always result in increasing crime rates); secondly, it illustrates the ways in which nineteenth-century states could successfully harness new agencies of control, including the police, to socialize the discordant and discontented groups over which they ruled. Caution with regard even to Victorian statistics is wholly necessary; but this study shows that caution should not be converted into a dogmatic rejection of all statistical evidence at all times, if modern historians (at least) are not unthinkingly to discard what may prove to be one of the most eloquent forms of evidence available to them.

The question how far changes in criminal law and behaviour tend to keep pace with long-term changes in economic and social life, which is raised by