



Muscle Receptors and Movement

EDITED BY

**A. Taylor
and
A. Prochazka**

MUSCLE RECEPTORS AND MOVEMENT

Proceedings of a Symposium held at the Sherrington School of
Physiology, St Thomas's Hospital Medical School, London, on
July 8th and 9th, 1980

Edited by

A. Taylor
and
A. Prochazka

M

© The contributors 1981

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without permission

First published 1981 by
Scientific and Medical Division
MACMILLAN PUBLISHERS LTD
London and Basingstoke
Companies and representatives throughout the world

Printed in Great Britain

ISBN 0 333 31584 7

The contributors

- Dr J. H. J. ALLUM*, Institut für Hirnforschung, Universität Zürich,
August-Forel-Strasse 1, CH-8029 Zürich, Switzerland
- Dr O. ANDERSSON, Department of Physiology III, Karolinska Institutet,
Lindingövägen 1, S-114 33 Stockholm, Sweden
- Dr S. ANDREASSEN*, present address: Institute for Electronic Systems,
Aalborg University Centre, DK-9100 Aalborg, Denmark
- Dr B. APPELBERG*, Department of Physiology, University of Umeå,
S-901 87 Umeå, Sweden
- Dr K. APPENTENG*, Sherrington School of Physiology, St Thomas's
Hospital Medical School, London, SE1 7EH, UK
- Dr R. W. BANKS*, Department of Anatomy and Embryology, University
College, Gower St, London, WC1E 6BT, UK
- Prof D. BARKER*, Department of Zoology, University of Durham, Science
Laboratories, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK
- Dr H.-B. BEHREND*, Physiologisches Institut II, Der Universität,
Humboldtallee 7, D-3400 Göttingen, W. Germany
- Prof P. BESSOU, Laboratoire de Physiologie—CNRS ERA 846—Faculté de
Médecine, 133 Route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse Cedex, France
- Prof I. A. BOYD*, Institute of Physiology, University of Glasgow, Glasgow,
G12 8QQ, UK
- Dr F. J. CLARK, present address: Department of Physiology and Bio-
physics, Medical School, University of Nebraska, 42nd and Dewey St,
Omaha, Nebraska, NE 68105, USA
- Dr P. E. CRAGO, Sears Tower, RMEB-65, Case Western Reserve Univer-
sity, Cleveland, Ohio, OH 44106, USA
- Dr V. DIETZ*, Neurologische Klinik, Der Universität, Hansastrasse 9,
D-7800 Freiburg i. Br., W. Germany
- Dr J. DUYSSENS*, Laboratorium voor Neuro- en Psychofysiologie, Campus
Gasthuisberg, Herestraat, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium
- Dr F. R. EDWARDS, Department of Neurosurgery, State University of
New York, Syracuse, New York, NY 13210, USA

* Symposium attendee

- Dr P. H. ELLAWAY*, Department of Physiology, University College, Gower St, London, WC1E 6BT, UK
- Dr F. EMONET-DÉNAND*, Laboratoire de Neurophysiologie, Collège de France, 11 Place Marcelin Berthelot, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France
- Dr H. FORSSBERG*, Department of Physiology III, Karolinska Institutet, Lidingövägen 1, S-114 33 Stockholm, Sweden
- Dr L. GAUTHIER, Centre de Recherche en Sciences Neurologiques, Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Montreal, Case Postale 6128, Succursale A, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H3C 3J7
- Dr M. H. GLADDEN*, Institute of Physiology, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, UK
- Prof S. GRILLNER*, Department of Physiology III, Karolinska Institutet, Lidingövägen 1, S-114 33 Stockholm, Sweden
- Prof K.-E. HAGBARTH*, Kliniskt Neurofysiologiska, Central Laboratoriet, 750 14 Uppsala 14, Sweden
- Dr K. HAYASHI, Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, Chiba University, Chiba 280, Japan
- Dr J. A. HOFFER*, present address: Laboratory of Neural Control, NINCDS, Building 36, RM 5A 29, NIH, Bethesda, Maryland, MD 20205, USA
- Dr S. HOMMA*, Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, Chiba University, Chiba 280, Japan
- Prof J. C. HOUK*, Department of Physiology, The Medical School, Northwestern University Medical and Dental Schools, Ward Building 5-319, 303 East Chicago Avenue, Chicago, Illinois, IL 60611, USA
- Dr M. HULLIGER*, Institut für Hirnforschung, Universität Zürich, August-Forel-Strasse 1, CH-8029 Zürich, Switzerland
- Dr L. JAMI*, Laboratoire de Neurophysiologie, Collège de France, 11 Place Marcelin Berthelot, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, Paris, France
- Dr M. JOFFROY*, Laboratoire de Physiologie—CNRS ERA 846—Faculté de Médecine, 133 Route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse Cedex, France
- Dr H. JOHANSSON, Department of Physiology, University of Umeå, S-901 87 Umeå, Sweden
- Dr S. W. JOHNSON, Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TD, UK
- Dr C. JULIEN, Centre de Recherche en Sciences Neurologiques, Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Montreal, Case Postale 6128, Succursale A, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H3C 3J7
- Prof Y. LAPORTE*, Laboratoire de Neurophysiologie, Collège de France, 11 Place Marcelin Berthelot, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, Paris, France
- Dr G. E. LOEB*, Laboratory of Neural Control, IRP, National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Strokes, Building 36, RM 5A 29, NIH, Bethesda, Maryland, MD 20205, USA

- Dr J. P. LUND, Centre de Recherche en Sciences Neurologiques, Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Montreal, Case Postale 6128, Succursale A, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H3C 3J7
- Dr P. A. LYNN, Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TD, UK
- Dr P. B. C. MATTHEWS*, University Laboratory of Physiology, Parks Rd, Oxford, OX1 3PT, UK
- Prof S. MILLER*, Department of Anatomy, The Medical School, The University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK
- Dr R. B. MUIR, present address: Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Erasmus University, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- Dr P. R. MURPHY*, Department of Physiology, University College, Gower St, London, WC1E 6BT, UK
- Dr K. S. K. MURTHY*, Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, University of Texas Medical School at Houston, 6400 West Cullen St, Houston, Texas 77030, USA
- Dr Y. NAKAJIMA, Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, Chiba University, Chiba 280, Japan
- Dr J. NOTH*, Neurologische Klinik, Der Universität, Hansastrasse 9, D-7800 Freiburg i. Br., W. Germany
- Dr B. PAGÈS, Laboratoire de Physiologie—CNRS ERA 846—Faculté de Médecine, 133 Route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse Cedex, France
- Dr J. PETIT, Laboratoire de Neurophysiologie, Collège de France, 11 Place Marcelin Berthelot, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, Paris, France
- Dr E. M. POST, Department of Neurology, Northwestern University Medical School, 303 East Chicago Avenue, Chicago, Illinois, IL 60611, USA
- Dr. A. PROCHAZKA*, Sherrington School of Physiology, St Thomas's Hospital Medical School, London SE1 7EH, UK
- Dr U. PROSKE*, Department of Physiology, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria 3168, Australia
- Dr P. M. H. RACK*, Department of Physiology, The Medical School, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2JT, UK
- Dr G. A. L. REED, Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, University of Bristol, BS8 1TD, UK
- Dr S. ROSSIGNOL*, Centre de Recherche en Sciences Neurologiques, Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Montreal, Case Postale 6128, Succursale A, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H3C 3J7
- Dr W. Z. RYMER*, Department of Physiology, Northwestern University Medical School, 303 East Chicago Avenue, Chicago, Illinois, IL 60611, USA
- Dr K. SATO, Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, Chiba University, Chiba 280, Japan

- Prof E. D. SCHOMBURG*, Physiologisches Institut II, Der Universität, Humboldtallee 7, D-3400 Göttingen, W. Germany
- Prof T. A. SEARS*, Sobell Department of Neurophysiology, Institute of Neurology, The National Hospital, Queen Sq., London, WC1N 3BG, UK
- Dr M. SHITO, Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, Chiba University, Chiba 280, Japan
- Dr P. SOJKA, Department of Physiology, University of Umeå, S-901 87 Umeå, Sweden
- Dr M. J. STACEY*, Department of Zoology, University of Durham, Science Laboratories, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK
- Dr H. STEFFENS*, Physiologisches Institut II, Der Universität, Humboldtallee 7, D-3400 Göttingen, W. Germany
- Dr J. A. STEPHENS*, Sherrington School of Physiology, St Thomas's Hospital Medical School, London SE1 7EH, UK
- Prof A. STRUPPLER*, Neurologische Klinik der Technischen Universität, Möhlstrasse 28, 8 München 80, W. Germany
- Prof D. G. STUART*, Department of Physiology, University of Arizona, Health Sciences Center, Tucson, Arizona, AZ 85724, USA
- Prof A. TAYLOR*, Sherrington School of Physiology, St Thomas's Hospital Medical School, London, SE1 7EH, UK
- Dr J. TROTT*, present address: Department of Physiology, The Medical School, University Walk, Bristol, BS8 1TD, UK
- Prof Å. B. VALLBO*, Department of Physiology, University of Umeå, S-901 87 Umeå, Sweden
- Dr B. WALMSLEY, Department of Physiology, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria 3168, Australia
- Dr P. WAND*, Max Planck Institut für Experimentelle Medizin, 3400 Göttingen, Hermann-Rein Strasse 3, W. Germany
- Dr D. R. WESTBURY*, Department of Physiology, The Medical School, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2JT, UK

Foreword

For many decades of scientific endeavour the physiology of sensory receptors has been a major field of enquiry and one in which complexity of receptor structure is matched by a corresponding diversity in the experimental approaches used in its investigation. At one time it might have been thought that such complexity was the reserve of the special senses. However, that could hardly be accepted now, in the face of the continuous and increasing challenge to experimental skill, which questions concerning the structure and properties of muscle receptors and the role of these receptors in the control of muscular contraction pose for the investigator.

Accurately positioned within the three-dimensional space of the body image, the precise voluntary movements of the extremities occur on a postural stage, itself continuously and largely 'automatically' set through appropriately fine adjustment of the activities of the proximal and axial musculature. It is usually taken as axiomatic that the smooth precision of such movements is learnt and executed through mechanisms of muscular control which are highly dependent on the information supplied by the muscle receptors; only within the last decade however, has direct evidence become available as to the nature of the information transferred during voluntary movement, albeit, thus far, for a limited number of muscles and movements of the extremities. In 1965, on the occasion of the first symposium supported by the Nobel Foundation, which happened also to be on the topic of 'muscle afferents and motor control', the inaugural address was given by Adrian who thought that the subject was '. . . ripe for discussion . . . but still with some details to be filled in of the main outlines of the peripheral and spinal apparatus for controlling movement'. Although many symposia on the subject of motor control have been held since then, few have dealt so specifically with muscle receptors and their role in such control. Why then, it may be asked, is the time once again ripe for assembling a symposium of experts to discuss this subject; which key issues need to be debated and what extra details are still missing from the overall picture?

Each of us, according to age, training and experience, carries a very personal perspective of a given scientific topic, and with it, a highly individual view of what currently is important or at least interesting. With this in mind

and by way of introduction, I outline my own perspective as I contemplated attending the symposium whose subject matter comprises this book. This converges to that First Nobel Symposium, a memorable and exciting occasion which I was fortunate enough to attend. A year beforehand, I had begun my research on the human intercostal muscle stretch reflexes. The experiments took into account the coactivation of alpha and gamma intercostal motoneurons which occurs in response to the natural, spontaneous and centrally initiated command for respiratory movements as had been recently discovered independently by von Euler and myself. With these human experiments, done in collaboration with J. Newsom Davis and one of the organisers of this symposium—A. Taylor—we were already confronted with the fundamental problem of distinguishing between reflex and voluntary behaviour in the conscious human subject. Not surprisingly, therefore, the topic which perhaps interested me most was Oscarsson's account of his work with Rosen (1963), which had convincingly demonstrated the projection of Group I muscle afferents to the cerebral cortex, a projection whose existence had previously been denied save for a preliminary, brief report of one by Amassian and Berlin (1959), that had been overlooked by many investigators. The belief that such a projection did not exist, reinforced the idea, based on behavioural and psychophysical experiments, that the information signalled by Group I muscle afferents (muscle spindles and Golgi tendon organs) does not project into consciousness. This idea occupied a central place in Merton's servo theory of muscular control for which he proposed that the spinal servo loop, subserved by the stretch reflex, has an insentient mode of operation, both when driven through fusimotor activity as conceived for the 'length follow-up' servo-mechanism, or, when simply responding to muscle stretch.

The absence of behavioural responses to stimulation of Group I afferents in animal experiments (by other authors) had led Oscarsson to conclude 'that the Group I projection represents a cortical mechanism as unrelated to conscious perception as the motor regulating mechanisms in the cerebellum.' Since the cerebral cortex, like the cerebellum, is concerned with the execution and co-ordination of movement, and was now also similar with regard to the afferent information it received from muscular and cutaneous afferents through the fastest paths available, Oscarsson suggested that 'these supra-spinal pathways to the sensorimotor cortex constitute feedback channels used in the integration of motor activity'. Interestingly, Hammond had previously suggested that the long latency of the stretch reflex of the human biceps muscle might be due to a cerebellar loop. Thus by 1965 the anatomical and physiological basis for such a loop through the cerebral cortex was known to exist and knowledge of this pervaded our own thoughts as, like Hammond had done, we wrestled with the problem of the long latency of the intercostal muscle stretch reflex.

A few years later, Phillips (1969) in The Ferrier Lecture, linked the results from studying the strength and distribution of the monosynaptic connections

of Group Ia muscle afferents to motoneurons of the primate hand to those revealing their projections to the cerebral cortex, to form his idea of a 'transcortical loop' in the conscious human subject, with a loop time for the stretch reflex shorter than the earliest voluntary response to a brief mechanical stimulation of a moving limb, i.e. shorter than a kinaesthetic reaction time. It will be seen that this proposal retains the idea of the insentient automatic compensation for unexpected variations in mechanical load inherent in the servo theory, but now the 'error' signal in the jargon of servo-mechanisms ultimately exerts its effects on the cells of origin of the cortico-motoneuronal tract. In effect, Sherrington's stretch reflex had been 'encephalised'. I emphasise this here because many authors use the term 'stretch reflex' with scant regard for its true meaning as originally embodied in Merton's theory, translated to Phillips's hypothesis, and having firm epistemological roots in Sherrington's stretch reflex, which is the sustained contraction of muscle (or motoneuron firing) in response to muscle stretch and dependent on the excitation of muscle receptors. During the last decade these key papers, particularly that of Phillips, have stimulated a great deal of human-based research on the 'long loop' reflexes. Furthermore, this effort has been paralleled by combined behavioural and electrophysiological studies aimed at deciphering the kind of information received by the primate cerebral cortex from muscle receptors during the course of normal and impeded 'voluntary' movements of the primate limb.

Equally importantly, the last decade has seen the full exploitation of microelectroneuronography, first introduced by Hagbarth and Vallbo in 1968. This allows direct recording from human muscle afferents during voluntary movement and so has allowed important inferences also to be drawn about alpha-gamma coactivation. Paralleling this remarkable achievement the convenors of our symposium—A. Taylor and A. Prochazka—have independently pioneered the recording of receptor nerve discharge for jaw and limb movements, respectively, in the awake animal.

Through the work of Smith, The First Nobel Symposium also saw the beginning of the exploration of living muscle spindle structure and function through direct visualisation, a method which Boyd and his collaborators have subsequently developed to a high degree of refinement.

These are only some of the topics critically discussed in this symposium. I have singled them out only for the purpose of illustrating the perspective we need to have on the continuously evolving nature of the concepts which we manipulate and the difficulties which thus arise in deciding, as Matthews asked in discussion, 'How much is new?', 'How much is true?' and 'How much is general?' While one might expect disagreement over the answers to such questions, which I encourage others to provide by reading this book, I am sure we can all agree how appropriate it is that this symposium on muscle receptors and movement should be held at the school where almost a century ago Sherrington not only received his medical training, but also as a lecturer

embarked on a scientific career that was to lay the foundations of the subject debated in the following pages.

August, 1980

T. A. Sears
Sobell Department of Neurophysiology
Institute of Neurology
The National Hospital
Queen Square
London, WC1N 3BG

Preface and Acknowledgements

This book contains the Proceedings of the Symposium entitled *Changing Views of the Function of Muscle Receptors in Movement Control* held at the Sherrington School of Physiology, St Thomas's Hospital Medical School, London, UK on July 8th and 9th, 1980. The symposium was attended by 105 leading international scientists engaged in research into the control of movement in mammals.

An innovative feature of the symposium was the inclusion of short, formal critiques of presentations by recognised experts in the topics concerned. Each 'critic' had been sent copies of the relevant presentations two to three weeks prior to the meeting. Critiques varied from the very mild to the downright scurrilous, but were taken in good part by all concerned. Judging by the favourable (indeed almost gleeful) audience reaction, this formula might well reappear in symposia in the future.

We should like to make mention of the efforts of our publishers—Dr S. Sharrock and Mr R. M. Powell of Macmillan Publishers Ltd—in ensuring the availability of this book within nine months of the meeting. Finally, we wish to thank all of the contributors to the book for their enthusiasm and their willingness to act as subjects in this peer-review experiment.

The illustration on the front of the dust jacket is taken from the paper by R. W. Banks, D. Barker and M. J. Stacey, and we thank Professor Barker and his co-authors for permission to use it.

September, 1980

A. Taylor and A. Prochazka
Sherrington School of Physiology
St Thomas's Hospital Medical School
London, SE1 7EH

Contents

The Contributors xi

Foreword. T. A. SEARS xv

Preface and Acknowledgements xviii

SECTION 1 MUSCLE SPINDLE STRUCTURE AND SENSITIVITY 1

Overview 3

Structural aspects of fusimotor effects on spindle sensitivity. R. W. BANKS, D. BARKER and M. J. STACEY (Durham, UK) 5

The action of the three types of intrafusal fibre in isolated cat muscle spindles of the dynamic and length sensitivities of primary and secondary sensory endings. I. A. BOYD (Glasgow, UK) 17

Nature of the dynamic response and its relation to the high sensitivity of muscle spindles to small changes in length. J. C. HOUK, W. Z. RYMER and P. E. CRAGO (Chicago, USA) 33

Critique P. B. C. MATTHEWS (Oxford, UK) 45

Fusimotor actions on the sensitivity of spindle secondary endings. L. JAMI and J. PETIT (Paris, France) 51

Muscle stretch as a way of detecting brief activation of bag₁ fibres by dynamic axons. F. EMONET-DÉNAND and Y. LAPORTE (Paris, France) 67

Security of driving of Ia afferents by vibration that simultaneously elicits a tonic vibration reflex. F. J. CLARK, P. B. C. MATTHEWS and R. B. MUIR (Oxford, UK) 77

SECTION 2 CNS CONTROL OF FUSIMOTOR NEURONS 83

Overview 85

Electrophysiological characteristics of spinal gamma motoneurons in the cat.
D. R. WESTBURY (Birmingham, UK) 87

Selective central control of dynamic gamma motoneurons utilised for the functional classification of gamma cells. B. APPELBERG (Umeå, Sweden) 97

The activity of intrafusal fibres during central stimulation in the cat.
M. H. GLADDEN (Glasgow, UK) 109

Critiques K. S. K. MURTHY (Houston, USA) 123
P. H. ELLAWAY (London, UK) 129

Autogenetic effects from spindle primary endings and tendon organs on the discharge of gamma motoneurons in the cat. P. H. ELLAWAY,
P. R. MURPHY and J. R. TROTT (London, UK) 137

Reflex activation of dynamic fusimotor neurons by natural stimulation of muscle and joint receptor afferent units. B. APPELBERG, M. HULLIGER,
H. JOHANSSON and P. SOJKA (Umeå, Sweden) 149

Functional roles of fusimotor and skeletofusimotor neurons studied in the decerebrate cat. W. Z. RYMER*, E. M. POST* and F. R. EDWARDS†
(*Chicago and †Syracuse, USA) 163

Distinctive modes of static and dynamic fusimotor drive in jaw muscles.
A. TAYLOR and K. APPENTENG (London, UK) 179

Critique S. GRILLNER (Stockholm, Sweden) 193

Cutaneous and proprioceptive reflex effects on intact muscle efferents and afferents. P. BESSOU, M. JOFFROY and B. PAGÈS
(Toulouse, France) 199

Autogenetic and antagonistic group II effects on extensor gamma motoneurons of the decerebrate cat. J. NOTH (Freiburg, W. Germany) 207

SECTION 3 MUSCLE AFFERENT DISCHARGE IN VOLUNTARY MOVEMENT 215

Overview 217

Muscle spindle function during normal and perturbed locomotion in cats.
G. E. LOEB and J. A. HOFFER (Bethesda, USA) 219

Independence of fusimotor and skeletomotor systems during voluntary movement. A. PROCHAZKA and P. WAND (London, UK) 229

Critiques K.-E. HAGBARTH (Uppsala, Sweden) 245
Å. B. VALLBO (Umeå, Sweden) 249

Muscle spindle responses to rapid stretching in normal cats.
A. PROCHAZKA and P. WAND (London, UK) 257

Basic patterns of muscle spindle discharge in man. Å. B. VALLBO (Umeå, Sweden) 263

Fusimotor and stretch reflex functions studied in recordings from muscle spindle afferents in man. K.-E. HAGBARTH (Uppsala, Sweden) 277

Critiques A. TAYLOR (London, UK) 287
A. STRUPPLER (Munich, W. Germany) 291

SECTION 4 REFLEXES MEDIATED BY MUSCLE AFFERENTS 295

Overview 297

Function of the spindle dynamic response in stiffness regulation—a predictive mechanism provided by non-linear feedback. J. C. HOUK, P. E. CRAGO and W. Z. RYMER (Chicago, USA) 299

Limitations in the servo-regulation of soleus muscle stiffness in premammillary cats. J. A. HOFFER and S. ANDREASSEN (Bethesda, USA) 311

Observations on the control of human ankle position by stretch reflexes.
J. H. J. ALLUM (Zürich, Switzerland) 325

Contribution of spinal stretch reflexes to the activity of leg muscles in running.
V. DIETZ (Freiburg, W. Germany) 339

| | | |
|------------------|--------------------------------|-----|
| <i>Critiques</i> | P. M. H. RACK (Birmingham, UK) | 347 |
| | J. A. STEPHENS (London, UK) | 355 |

| | | |
|----------------------------------|--|-----|
| Muscle stiffness and locomotion. | U. PROSKE and B. WALMSLEY (Melbourne, Australia) | 365 |
|----------------------------------|--|-----|

| | | |
|---|--|-----|
| Examination of stretch reflexes in biceps and triceps muscles of the human arm using pseudo-random stimulation. | S. W. JOHNSON*, P. A. LYNN*, S. MILLER† and G. A. L. REED* (*Bristol and †Newcastle, UK) | 373 |
|---|--|-----|

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----|
| Area display of H, M and T waves. | S. HOMMA, Y. NAKAJIMA, K. HAYASHI, M. SHITO and K. SATO (Chiba, Japan) | 381 |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----|

| | | |
|--|--|-----|
| State-dependent responses during locomotion. | S. ROSSIGNOL, C. JULIEN, L. GAUTHIER and J. P. LUND (Montreal, Canada) | 389 |
|--|--|-----|

| | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----|
| Phasic gating of cutaneous reflexes during locomotion. | H. FORSSBERG (Stockholm, Sweden) | 403 |
|--|----------------------------------|-----|

| | | |
|--|--|-----|
| Changes in segmental and propriospinal reflex pathways during spinal locomotion. | E. D. SCHOMBURG, H.-B. BEHRENDTS and H. STEFFENS (Göttingen, W. Germany) | 413 |
|--|--|-----|

| | | |
|--|--|-----|
| On the feedback control of the cat's hindlimb during locomotion. | O. ANDERSSON and S. GRILLNER (Stockholm, Sweden) | 427 |
|--|--|-----|

| | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| <i>Critiques</i> | J. DUYSSENS (Leuven, Belgium) | 433 |
| | D. G. STUART (Tucson, USA) | 437 |

SECTION 1
MUSCLE SPINDLE STRUCTURE
AND SENSITIVITY

