**INSTITUTE OF MARITIME LAW** 

The University of Southampton



# LIMITATION OF SHIPOWARS THE NEW LAW LAW LAW



# The Limitation of Shipowners' Liability: The New Law

London Sweet & Maxwell Institute of Maritime Law 1986 Published in 1986 by
Sweet & Maxwell Limited of
11 New Fetter Lane, London.
Computerset by Burgess & Son (Abingdon) Ltd.
Printed in Great Britain by
Hazell, Watson & Viney Ltd. (Member of the B.P.C.C.), Aylesbury, Bucks.

### British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Limitation of shipowners' liability: the new law.

1. Liability for marine accidents 341.7'5668 JX4411

ISBN 0-421-34890-9

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature, without the written permission of the copyright holder and the publisher, application for which shall be made to the publisher.

### AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND The Law Book Company Ltd. Sydney: Melbourne: Perth

ŧ

CANADA AND U.S.A. The Carswell Company Ltd. Agincourt, Ontario

INDIA
N. M. Tripathi Private Ltd.
Bombay
and
Eastern Law House Private Ltd.
Calcutta and Delhi
M.P.P. House
Bangalore

Steimatzky's Agency Ltd. Jerusalem: Tel Aviv : Haifa

MALAYSIA: SINGAPORE: BRUNEI Malayan Law Journal (Pte.) Ltd. Singapore and Kuala Lumpur

> PAKISTAN Pakistan Law House Karachi

### **Foreword**

The Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims will shortly have the force of law in the United Kingdom. The effect of that will be to introduce new internationally-agreed rules in place of those previously contained in The Convention Relating to the Liability of Owners of Seagoing Ships 1957. In this situation, the need for an entirely new work, dealing with the legal and commercial consequences of those new rules, is immediately apparent. That need is met, in a remarkably diverse and comprehensive way, by this present book, which the Institute of Maritime Law of the University of Southampton has so carefully and studiously compiled and which Sweet and Maxwell Limited have so timeously published.

The work consists of twenty-one chapters, written by twenty different authors from twelve different countries. Its diversity and comprehensiveness are apparent in four main ways. First, the antecedent history leading up to the 1976 Convention is fully examined. Secondly, the effect of the 1976 Convention is described from four significantly different points of view in the United Kingdom: that of academic lawyers; that of practising lawyers, both barristers and solicitors; and that of insurers of marine risks as represented by Protection and Indemnity Associations. Thirdly, the relationship between the 1976 Convention and other International Conventions, such as the Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution 1969, is carefully explained. Fourthly, there are chapters written by distinguished lawyers, both academic and practising, from a large number of countries other than the United Kingdom, explaining the impact, actual or potential, which the 1976 Convention will have to each of them. Those countries are Argentina, France, German Democratic Republic, West Germany, Greece, Japan, the Nordic countries, Poland, Spain, the United States of America and Yugoslavia.

A number of significant points emerge from a reading of this book. The first point is the long interval, in this case ten years, which is bound to elapse between the making of a Convention and its coming into force after a sufficient number of states have adhered to it. The most important aspect of this is the progressive erosion of the limits of liability agreed as appropriate in 1976 by the extensive world-wide inflation which has taken place between that year and 1986. The second point is that by no means all maritime countries have yet adhered, or can be expected in the

V V

future to adhere, to the 1976 Convention. The most important aspect of this is that, however desirable it may be in theory for the 1976 Convention to be truly and completely international, there is no real prospect of this being achieved in practice, with some countries still applying earlier Conventions or their own special systems in their domestic laws. The third point is that, while the 1976 Convention was intended to deal with, and may well effectively have dealt with, a number of difficulties and inadequacies in the 1957 Convention, it seems likely that the 1976 Convention will also present its own problems of interpretation and application. That prospect is, perhaps, more appealing to lawyers than it is to ship operators and their insurers, for whom certainty of the law is of such vital importance.

As one who practised at the English Admiralty Bar for nearly twenty years, and was privileged to be the Judge of the English Admiralty Court for the twelve years which followed, I have no hesitation in commending this book to all those whose work or occupation requires that they should be as well informed as possible about the 1976 Convention when it comes into force in the United Kingdom later this year.

Brandon of Oakbrook

## Preface

In September 1984 the Institute of Maritime Law, Southampton University held a one day conference on the 1976 Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims. At that stage there was every likelihood that the Convention would enter into force very soon. In the event the necessary number of ratifications was achieved over a year later, in November 1985, enabling the Convention to come into force internationally on December 1, 1986. In view of the importance of the Convention for the maritime world and of the interest shown in the papers delivered we decided to publish them to a wider audience. At the same time it seemed a good opportunity to expand the areas covered in the original papers and to invite extra contributions from maritime lawyers, both in the United Kingdom and from abroad. It has been a failing, and a criticism, of lawyers in this country that we are too insular. Therefore, we are grateful to Sweet and Maxwell for continuing their support of the Institute by agreeing to publish the set of collected papers in this volume.

The scheme of the book is, first, to have a detailed analysis of the provisions of the Convention in PART A. This is followed, in PART B, by national perspectives on limitation of liability, generally, and on the 1976 Convention. In addition to the chapters in PART A by speakers at the original Conference there are now Chapters by Institute members David Jackson and Ralph Beddard. We were also fortunate in being able to persuade Andrew Dykes to deal with oil pollution and Erling Selvig to provide an introduction to the Convention. The PART A discussion of the detailed provisions of the 1976 Limitation Convention is not isolated from the practical realities of British law: nor would one expect it to be, with contributions from Geoffrey Brice Q.C., Richard Shaw and Robert Seward. PART B was designed to provide a non-British perspective on limitation. Of course, it is always difficult to comment on a Convention that is about to come into force, as national policies may still be in the process of formulation. But we are extremely grateful to our overseas lawyers for meeting the difficult deadline and contributing their views on what is a truly international subject. We hope that the Institute of Maritime Law can continue to encourage such international exchanges of information. Because PART A is not directed solely at domestic law we are grateful to Steven Hazelwood for providing, in PART B, an overall view of the United Kingdom position.

This book is a collection of individual views about particular aspects of the Convention. It does not pretend to be a type of basic A to Z guide, although we are confident that in structure and content it will be of use and interest to shipowners, operators, their legal advisers and insurers as well as academics and students. To assist the book's practical value copious extracts from conventions and statutes have been included in the Appendices. As a result of the tolerance of Sweet and Maxwell, we have also been able to include reference to the latest 1986 statutory instruments giving effect to the 1976 Convention in the United Kingdom.

It is appropriate to record here our thanks to the Department of Transport for help and information given, especially by John Perrett and Frank Wall. We are particularly indebted to the former for his participation in the original 1984 conference at Southampton. Similarly, we have been very fortunate to have the continued support of Lord Brandon of Oakbrook who not only chaired the 1984 conference, but also agreed to write a foreword to this book. But it would not have been produced without the hard work of all at the Institute, particularly Richard Swatton, Richard Holt and Marian von Benko. The burden of proof reading and preparing the Index, list of cases and statutes fell on the shoulders of Robert Grime and Richard Holt.

August 1986

Nicholas Gaskell Institute of Maritime Law University of Southampton

## Table of Abbreviations

CLC Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage

(1969)

CMI Comité Maritime International

CMR Convention on the Contracts for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (1956)

ECE (CLN) European Commission for Europe (Convention relating to the Limitation of the Liability of Owners of Inland Naviga-

tion Vessels) 1973

g.f. Gold franc

HNS Convention on Liability and Compensation in Connection

with the Carriage of Noxious and Hazardous Substances by

Sea (Draft)

IMCO International Maritime Consultative Organisation

IMF International Monetary Fund

IMO International Maritime Organisation

MAP Decree on Civil Proceedings in Maritime Affairs

MC Maritime Codes

MLA United States Maritime Law Association

MSA Marine Safety Agency

MSC Merchant Shipping Code

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

OMS Old measuring systems
PPA Propelling power allowance
SDR Special Drawing Rights
UMS Universal measurement system

UNCTAD United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

# Contents

Preface	vii
Table of Cases	xi
Table of Statutes	xvii
Table of International Conventions	xxi
Table of Abbreviations	XXV
PART A THE 1976 LIMITATION CONVENTION	
I An Introduction to the 1976 Convention Professor Erling Selvig	3
II The Scope of the Limitation Action Geoffrey Brice, Q.C.	18
III The Amount of Limitation Nicholas Gaskell	33
IV The Loss of the Right to Limit Professor Robert Grime	102
V Practice and Procedure Richard Shaw	113
VI The 1976 Convention and International Uniformity Professor David Jackson	of Rules 126
VII Limitation and Oil Pollution Andrew Dykes	144
VIII The Implementation of the Convention Dr. Ralph Beddard	152
IX The Insurance Viewpoint R. C. Seward	161

### PART B NATIONAL PERSPECTIVES ON LIMITATION AND THE 1976 LIMITATION CONVENTION

X Argentina and the 1976 Convention Dr. Marcial J. Mendizábal	187
XI France and the 1976 Convention Dr. Gerard Auchter	191
XII The German Democratic Republic and the 1976 Conven Dr. Norbert Trotz	tion 207
XIII The German Federal Republic and the 1976 Convention Professor Dr. Rolf Herber	on 221
XIV Greece and the 1976 Convention Gerasimos M. Vlachos	231
XV Japan and the 1976 Convention Mitsuhiro Toda	235
XVI The Nordic Countries and the 1976 Convention Professor Erling Selvig	240
XVII Poland and the 1976 Convention Wejeiech Adamezak	243
XVIII Spain and the 1976 Convention Alejandro G. Sedano	249
XIX The United Kingdom and the 1976 Convention Steven J. Hazelwood	253
XX The United States and the 1976 Convention Alex L. Parks	260
XXI Yugoslavia and the 1976 Convention Hrvoje Kačić	279
Appendix A	287
Appendix B	331
Appendix C	359
Index	375

# Table of Cases

Abadesa, The (No. 2) [1968] P. 656; [1968] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 493
Adler v. Dickson [1955] 1 Q.B. 158; [1954] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 267
Admiral Towing Co. v. Woolen, 290 F. 2d 641 [1961]; A.M.C. 2333 (9 Cir.,
1961)
Agrico v. Atlantic Forest, 459 F.Supp. 638; [1979] A.M.C. 801 (E.D., La.)
Alastor, The [1981] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 581
Allied Towing Lim. Prosc. [1978] A.M.C. 2484 (E.D., Va)
Amoco Cadiz, The [1984] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 304
Arabert, The [1963] P. 102; [1961] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 363
Arctic Explorer, The [1984] A.M.C. 2413
Asiatic Petroleum Co. v. Lennard Carrying Co. Ltd. [1915] A.C. 705; affirming
(1914) 1 K.B. 419
Atlas No. 7, The, 42 F. 2d 480 [1930]; A.M.C. 1029 (S.D.N.Y.)
Barracuda Tanker Corp., Re 409 F. 2d 1013 [1969]; A.M.C. 1442 (2 Cir.
1969)
Benarty, The [1984] 3 W.L.R. 1082; [1984] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 244
Berwyn, The [1977] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 99
Bethlehem Steel Corp., Re, 631 F. 2d 441 [1980]; A.M.C. 2122 (6 Cir., 1980) 267
Bethelehem Steel, The [1978] 1 F.C. 476
Biche, The [1984] 1 W.L.R. 243; [1984] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 26
Black Diamond S.S. Corp. v. Robert Stewart & Sons Ltd., 336 U.S. 386 [1949];
A.M.C. 393 (1949)
Black Eagle, The, 87 F. 2d 891 [1937]
Bramley Moore, The [1964] P. 200; [1963] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 429 35,62,161,257
Bremen, The, 407 U.S. (1971)
Brinton, The, 48 F. 2d 559 [1931] 270
British Transport Commission v. United States, 419 F. 2d 230 [1969]; A.M.C.
1571, 397 U.S. 989 (1970)
Brown and Root Marine Operators, Inc. v. Zapata Off-Shore Company, 377 F.
2d 724 [1967]
Brown, Lim. Procs., 536 F. Supp. 750 [1983]
Buchanan v. Babco [1978] A.C. 141; [1978] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 119
Buffalo Bridge Cases, The, 338 F. 2d 708 [1964]
Burrell v. Simpson (1876) IV S.C. 177
Butler v. Boston and Savannah S.S. Co., 130 U.S. 527; 9 S.Ct. 612 (1889)
270
Calkins v. Graham, 667 F. 2d 1292 [1982]
Calliope, The [1970] P. 172; [1970] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 84
Cargill v. Taylor Tow, 483 F.Supp. 1094 [1980]; A.M.C. 2796 (E.D., Mo.)
Cerro Sales v. Atlantic Marine, 403 F.Supp. 562 [1976]
Chadade S.S. Co., Re (The Yarmouth Castle), 266 F.Supp. 517 [1967]
Chaparral, The [1968] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 158
Charlotte, The (1921) 9 Lloyd's Rep. 341
Chinese Maritime Trust Ltd. (The Sian Yung), Re, 478 F. 2d 1357 [1973]
Clayton v. Albertson [1972] 1 W.L.R. 1443; [1972] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 457
Coldwell-Horsfall v. West Country Yacht Charters Ltd., The Annie Hay [1968]
P. 341; [1968] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 141

Compania Maritima San Basilia S.A. v. The Oceanus Mutual Underwriting	
Association (Bermuda) Ltd., The Eurysthenes [1976] 3 W.L.R. 265;	
[1976] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 171	257
	271
	268
	268
Coryell v. Phipps, 317 U.S. 406 [1943]	
	272
Crown Zellerback Corporation v. London S.S. Owners Mutual Insurance	
	178
	270
Cushing v. Maryland Casualty Co. See Maryland Casualty Co. v. Cushing.	
D : T1 (1000:011 P D 004	1.00
	169
Dunbar, Admx. v. H. Dubois Sons Co. and Bronx Towing Line, Inc., 275 F. 2d	070
304 [1960]	270
Earle & Stoddart v. Ellerman's Wilson Lines, 287 U.S. 420 [1933]	070
	270
Eurysthenes, The Compania Maritima San Basilia S.A. v. The Oceanus	138
Mutual Underwriting Association 257 (Bermuda) Ltd.	
Mutual Chief withing Association 257 (Definition) 13rd.	
Fairmont Shipping Corp. v. Chevron International, 551 F. 2d 1252 (2 Cir.);	
	270
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	266
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	269
	267
Franconia, The (1878) 3 P.D. 165	46
Franklin Mint v. T.W.A. [1984] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 220	36
	271
Fritz Thyssen, The [1968] P. 255; [1967] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 104	65
11tta 1 hyssell, 1 he [1550] 1 . 255, [1507] 1 250, d 5 1tep. 151	•••
Garden City, The [1982] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 382	171
Garden City, The (No. 2) [1984] 3 W.L.R. 300; [1984] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 37 35,	
37, 38,	
Giacinto Motta, The [1977] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 221	
	267
	102
Goldman v. Thai Airways [1983] 1 W.L.R. 1186	259
Greater New Orleans Expressway v. The Tug Claribell, 222 F.Supp. 521	
	268
Green, ex p., 286 U.S. 437 [1932]; A.M.C. 802 (1932)	272
Grimes v. Raymond Concrete Pile Company, 356 U.S. 252 (1958)	267
Hain v. Tate & Lyle [1936] 2 All E.R. 597; 55 Lloyd's Rep. 159	65
	267
	270
Hornlinie v. SNPA [1972] N.J. 269	36
Huntingdon, The [1974] 2 All E.R.97	51
Irish Shipping Lim. Procs. [1975] A.M.C. 2559 (S.D.N.Y.)	271
V.) AND 1 COMP.C. 10751004]	005
Johnson v. Wurthman, 227 F.Supp. 135 [1964]	265
Kellog (Spencer) & Sons Inc. v. Hicks (The Linseed King), 285 U.S. 502	
[1932]	268
Khedive, The (1880) 5 App.Cas. 876	66
Kirknes, The [1957] P. 51; [1956] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 651	28

La Bourgogne, 210 U.S. 95 (1908)	272
Lady Gwendolen, The [1965] P. 294; [1965] I Lloyd's Rep. 335 106, 107,	
111, 114, 169	, 170
Lanasse v. Travelers, Inc. [1972] A.M.C. 818 (5 Cir.)	266
Langnes v. Green, 282 U.S. 531; [1931] A.M.C. 511 (1931)	272
Lennard's Carrying Co. Ltd. v. Asiatic Petroleum. See Asiatic Petroleum Co. v. Lennard Carrying Co. Ltd.	170
Liverpool Brazil and River Plate Steam Navigation Co. v. Brooklyn Eastern	170
District Terminal, 251 U.S. 48 (1919)	
Lord v. Goodall, 15 F.Cas. 884	173
Lucillite, The v. R. Mackay, The [1929] S.C. 401 Luckenbach v. McCahan Sugar Ref. Co., 248 U.S. 353 (1918)	65 269
Luckenbach v. McCanan Sugar Ref. Co., 240 C.S. 355 (1510)	203
M/S Bremen v. Zapata Offshore Co., 407 U.S. 1 [1972]	273 138
McDermid v. Nash Dredging and Reclamation Co. Ltd The Times, July 31, 1984	42
Marine Floridian Lim. Procs., A.M.C. 983 (E.D., Va.) [1980]	268
Marine Sulphur Queen, The, 460 F. 2d 89 [1972]	269
Marion, The [1984] A.C. 563; [1984] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 1: affirming [1983] 2	
Lloyd's Rep. 156	
Maryland Casualty v. Cushing, 347 U.S. 409 [1954]	7,274
Mason v. Uxbridge Boat Centre and Wright [1980] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 592 31, 3	27.20
Mecca, The [1968] P. 665; [1968] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 17	110
Miller v. American S.S. Owners Mutual Protection and Indemnity Co. 509	110
F.Supp. 1047; S.D.N.Y. (1984)	178
Millie, The [1940] P. 1	254
Morro Castle, The, A.M.C. 895 S.D.N.Y. (1939)	4, 175
Morviken, The [1983] A.C. 565; [1983] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 1	0, 131
Mostyn, The [1928] A.C. 57	23
Motomar, The 108 F. 2d 755; [1940]	272
Nat Sutton, The, 62 F. 2d 787 [1933]	269
Neapolis II, The [1980] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 369	58
New Jersey Steam Navigation Co. v. The Merchants' Bank of Boston, The	061
Lexington, 47 U.S. (6 How.) 344 (1848)	261
530	138
No. 34, The, 25 F. 2d 602 [1928]	269
Norman, The (1960) 1 Lloyd's Rep. 1	169
Northern Trading and Fishing Co. v. Grabowki, 477 F. 2d 1267 [1973]	269
Norwich Co. v. Wright, 80 U.S. (B. Wall.) 104, 121 (1871)	0,272
O'Brien v. Miller, 168 U.S. 287 (1897)	271
Oceanic Steam Navigation Co. v. Mellor, The Titanic, 209 F. 501 (2 Cir. 1913),	-/.
affd. 233 U.S. 718 (1914)	4, 267
Offshore Company v. Robinson, 266 F. 2d 769 [1959]	267
Olympia Towing Corp. v. Nebel Towing Co., 419 F. 2d 230 [1969] 178, 179	€, 260,
Orient Insurance Co. v. Adams 123 U.S. 67 (1887)	7, 274 181
	101
Pacific Bulk Carriers, Lim. Procs., A.M.C. 1145 (S.D.N.Y.) [1975]	271
Pacific Far East Line Inc., Re, 314 F.Supp. 1339 [1970]	271
Panther, The [1957] P. 143; [1957] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 57	62
Paraiso, The, 226 F. 966 (W.D., Wash., 1915)	271 46
Patrick Lames I # 1 Bioli & & Co. Di New Acadanu (1330) 00 G.L.A. 030	10

Pendleton v. Bonner Line, 246 U.S., 353 (1918)	269
Penelope II, The [1980] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 17	20
Petition of East River Towing Co., 266 U.S. 355 (1925)	270
Petition of Red Star Barge Line Inc., 160 F. 2d 436 [1947]	272
Petition of U.S. and Mathiasen's Tanker Industries, Inc., 259 F. 2d 608; [1959]	
A.M.C. 982 (3 Cir.)	266
Phoenix Sand & Gravel Co., Re (1940) A.M.C. 508	173
Post Office v. Norwich Union Fire Insurance Society Ltd. [1967] 2 Q.B. 363;	
[1967] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 216	177
Puthus, The [1969] P. 136; [1969] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 253	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-,
R, v, Stephenson [1979] Q.B. 695	110
Rajah, The (1872) L.R. 3 A. & E. 539	63
Rederij Erven H. Groen and Groen v. England, The (Owners) [1973] 1 Lloyd's	
Rep. 373	169
Richardson Re Harmon, 222 U.S. 96; 32 S.Ct. 27 (1911)	266
Rowley, Complaint of, 425 F.Supp. 116 [1977]	267
Ruapehu, The [1927] A.C. 523; 27 Ll.L.Rep. 385	59
— (No. 2] [1929] P. 305; 34 Ll.L.Rep. 402	59
(:10.2)[1323]1.303,31 BitE.itcp. 102	00
Sacramento v. Salz 273 U.S. 326 (1929)	63
San Pedro, The, 233 U.S. 365 (1912)	270
Schwan, The [1982] P. 419	64
Scotland, The 105 U.S. 24 (1882)	267
Singer v. Dorr Towing Co., 272 F.Supp. 931; [1968] A.M.C. 146 (E.D., La.)	270
Sir Joseph Rawlinson, The [1973] 1 Q.B. 285; [1972] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 437	62
Smejli, The [1982] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 74	63
Snug Harbor, The, 53 F. 2d 407, affirmed 59 F. 2d 984 [1932]	269
Socony Mobil Oil Co. Inc. v. West of England Shipowners Mutual Insurance	
Association (1984) 2 Lloyd's Rep. 408	177
Soerstad, 257 F. 130 (S.D.N.Y.) (1919)	269
Standard Dredging Co. v. Kristensen, 67 F. 2d 548 [1933]	268
Stella, The [1900] P. 161	53
Stevens v. The White City, 285 U.S. 195 [1932]	270
Stonedale No. 1, The [1956] A.C. 1; [1955] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 9	25
Summit Venture, The, 566 F.Supp. 962 [1985]	175
Sunkist Growers, Inc. v. Adelaide Shipping Lines Ltd. (The Gladiola), 603 F.	175
2d 1327 {1979}	269
2d 1327 [1979]	203
Ta Chi Navigation (Panama) Corp. (The Euryplus), Matter of, 677 F. 2d 225	
[1982]	269
Temple Bar, The, 45 F.Supp. 608 [1942]	269
Tesco Supermarkets v. Nattrass [1972] A.C. 153	
Texas Tower No. 4, Petition of U.S., 203 F.Supp. 215 [1962]	267
Titanic. The. See Oceanic Steam Navigation Co. v. Mellor.	
Tojo Maru, The [1972] A.C. 242; [1971] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 341 8, 22, 31, 32,	49 50
116, 117, 18	33 209
Torrey Canyon. See Barracuda Tanker Corp. (S/T Torrey Canyon).	,, 200
Truculent, The [1952] P. 1; [1951] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 308	174
Tug Ocean Queen Inc. v. The Tanker Four Lakes 598 F. Supp. 1062 (1974)	174
Tug Ocean Queen Inc. v. The Tanact Tour Dakes 3001.0upp. 1002 (1371)	.,,
U.S. v. Federal Barge Lines, 573 F. 2d 993 [1978]	270
v. Ohio Valley Co., 510 F. 2d 1184 [1974]	270
e. Onto varicy Co., 5101. 20 (107 [13/4]	2,0
Van Eijk (C. A.) and Zoon v. Somerville [1906] A.C. 489	137
Vigilant, The [1921] P. 312; 7 Ll.L.Rep. 232	22
vignant, 1110 [1321] 1.314, / Dr.D.Rep. 232	
Wahlberg v. Young (1876) 4 Asp.M.L.C. 27n.	22
Transcig v. 10thg (1070) 11ssp. m	

Walter A. Luckenbach, 14 F. 2d 100 [1925]	271
Waterman S.S. Corp. v. Gay Cottons (The Chickasaw), 414 F. 2d 724 [1969]	269
Wladyslaw Lokietek, The [1978] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 520 120, 121, 122, 123, 124,	134,
136,	137
Wood v. Associated National Insurance Co. Ltd., The Isothel, L.M.L.N. 1985	181
Wyandotte Transportation Co. v. U.S., 389 U.S. 191 [1967]	269

# Table of Statutes

(Figures in **bold** indicate the page where a section is set out.)

1734	Responsibility of Ship-	1894	Merchant Shipping Act—
	owners Act (7 Geo. 2,		cont.
	c. 15) 18, 162, 261		s. 503—cont.
1786	Merchant Shipping Act		(1)24
	(26 Geo. 3, c. 86)		(c) 117
1813	Responsibility of Ship-		(d) 24, 117
	owners Act (53 Geo.		(2) 46, 47, 48
	3, c. 159)19		(a) 47
1846	Fatal Accidents Act (9 &		(b) 47
10.0	10 Vict. c. 93) 19, 34		(3)63
1847	Harbour Docks & Pier		s. 504 20, 137, <b>340</b> , 343
	Clauses Act (10 & 11		s. 738 359
	Vict. c. 27)—		s. 742 29,58
	s. 74		Pt. III 54, 256, 367
1854	Merchant Shipping Act		Pt. VII
1004	(17 & 18 Viet. c.		Pt. VIII 58, 256, 355, 370
		1900	Merchant Shipping (Lia-
	104) 19, 20, 21, 33,	1300	bility of Shipowners
	36, 45, 162, 257		and Others) Act (63
1000	s. 504		& 64 Vict. c. 32) 30, 60,
1862	Merchant Shipping		256, 345, 371
	(Amendment) Act		s. 1 58
	(25 & 26 Vict. c.		s. 2 58, 61, <b>344</b>
	63) 20, 33, 34, 36, 47		
	s. 54		(1) 59, 369
1873	Judicature Act (36 & 37		(2) 46, 256, 370
	Vict. c. 66)		(3) 58, 256, 370
1874	Supreme Court of Judica-		(4) 58
	ture Act (Com-		s. 3 63
	mencement) (37 & 38		s. 5 58
	Vict. c. 83)—	1906	Marine Insurance Act (6
	Sched 21		Edw. 7, c. 41) 179
1875	Judicature Act (38 & 39		s. 39 (B) 115
	Vict. c. 77)—		(4) 179
	s. 11 21		(5) 109, 112, 179, <b>180</b>
1894	Merchant Shipping Act		s. 55 115
	(57 & 58 Vict. c.		(2) <b>180,</b> 181
	60) 4, 21, 22, 32, 36,		Merchant Shipping Act (6
	42, 53, 60, 167		Edw. 7, c. 48) 47
	s. 6		s. 69 46, 336, 370
	s. 11 45	1911	Merchant Shipping Act (1
	s. 274 54, 256, 367		& 2 Geo. 5, c. 42)—
	s. 502 31, 253, 256, 258, <b>333</b> ,		s. 1 (2)
	358, 360		Maritime Conventions Act
	s. 503 . 20, 22, 28, 31, 42, 45, 47,		(1 & 2 Geo. 5, c. 57) 59
	52, 58, 68, 84, 94, 111, 256,	1912	
	258, 332, <b>333</b> , <b>334</b> , <b>337</b> ,		Pt. VIII
	345, 348, 358, 360, 371		Sched 42
	343, 340, 330, 300, 371		50cu.

xvii