# COMPUTABILITY, COMPLEXITY, LOGIC

E. BÖRGER

# COMPUTABILITY, COMPLEXITY, LOGIC

## E. BÖRGER

Department of Informatics University of Pisa Pisa, Italy

# ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS B.V. Sara Burgerhartstraat 25 P.O. Box 211, 1000 AE Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Distributors for the U.S.A. and Canada:

#### ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHING COMPANY, INC. 655 Avenue of the Americas New York, N.Y. 10010, U.S.A.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Börger, E. (Egon), 1946[Berechenbarkeit, Komplexität, Logik. English]
Computability, complexity, logic / E. Börger.
p. cm. -- (Studies in logic and the foundations of mathematics; v. 128)
Translation of: Berechenbarkeit, Komplexität, Logik.
Bibliography: p.
Includes index.
ISBN 0-444-87406-2
1. Computable functions. 2. Computational complexity. 3. Logic,
Symbolic and mathematical. I. Title. II. Series.
0A9.59.86713 1989
511.3--dc20
89-33636
CIP

#### ISBN: 0 444 87406 2

#### © ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS B.V., 1989

This volume is a translation of 'Berechenbarkeit, Komplexität, Logik' which was published by F. Vieweg & Sohn Verlagsgesellschaft GmbH. It has been, translated into the English language and prepared for offset printing by J. C. Harvey.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V./Physical Science and Engineering Division, P.O. Box 103, 1000 AC Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Special regulations for readers in the U.S.A. - This publication has been registered with the Copyright Clearance Center Inc. (CCC), Salem, Massachusetts. Information can be obtained from the CCC about conditions under which photocopies of parts of this publication may be made in the U.S.A. All other copyright questions, including photocopying outside of the U.S.A., should be referred to the publisher.

No responsibility is assumed by the publisher for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions or ideas contained in the material herein.

## PRINTED IN THE NETHERLANDS

# This book is dedicated to Donatella Barnocchi and Dieter Rödding (\*24.8.1937, †4.6.1984)

To both of them I owe more than this book - its beginning, its being completed and the best of its contents. I owe them, in particular, their example: it consists in confronting persons and situations in life and science selflessly and with an open mind, and never abandoning the purpose of recognising what is essential and true and to think and act accordingly.

#### PREFACE

The theme of this book is a pair of concepts, belonging together by Leibniz, recognised 85 mathematical development from Frege to Turing has laid the theoretical foundation of computer science: the concept of language as carrier of the precise expression of meaning, facts , problems, and the concept of algorithm or calculus, that is, formally operating procedure for the solution of precisely described questions and problems. The book gives a unified introduction to the modern theory of these concepts, to the way in which they developed first in mathematical logic and computability theory and later in automata theory, the theory of formal languages and complexity Apart from considering the fundamental themes, and nowadays classical aspects of these areas, the subject matter has been selected to give priority throughout to the new aspects of traditional questions, results and methods which have developed from the needs or knowledge of computer science and particularly of complexity theory.

The aim of this book is twofold: to be a textbook for introductory courses in the above-mentioned disciplines as they occur in almost all current curricula of computer science, logic and mathematics, but apart from this, to be a monograph in which further results of new research (to a large extent in textbook form for the first time) are systematically presented and where the attempt is made to make explicit the connections and analogies between a variety of concepts and constructions. A price must be paid by the reader for the knowledge I expect him to acquire when and if the experiment is successful: for the beginner the first lectures of the text difficult due to the profusion of concepts, remarks will be forward and backward references to currently posed clusters of problems - particularly if he approaches the material by self-study unaccompanied by lectures. My advice is to initially skip over those parts which, despite study, are not understood; the connections will spring to mind on second reading.

The following remarks on the use of the book might be helpful; I have employed all parts of this book as the basis of introductory or advanced lectures on the foundations of theoretical computer science, automata theory and formal language, logic, computability— and complexity—theory. To enable the reader to recognise the use and interdependence of the various parts I have devised a detailed table of contents and a graph of interdependence. The sections marked with \$\frac{1}{2}\$

contain material which is not treated in the basic courses but is suitable to follow them.

The arrangement of propositions as theorem, lemma, remark and exercise mirrors the methodical significance of the various states of affairs from a contemporary point of view. It says nothing about historical or individual achievements to have proved these propositions for the first time. Many a significant proposition becomes a simple example as a result of later progress.

I strongly recommend beginners to work out with pencil and paper, at first reading, all matters of routine or intermediate steps which are not explained in detail and to solve the exercises, or at least, try to solve them. By doing this one not only learns whether one has really understood the preceding subject matter and how to apply it, but one also acquires a feeling for what is essential in the techniques used. In this endeavour it might help that I have tried to express complicated ideas occurring in proofs without the use of formulas. The reader is advised to use this method of intuitive, but precise substantive thinking which opens the way to a deeper understanding.

The references to literature at the end of each section are considered as completions of those references given in the text.

I would like to express my heart-felt thanks to the many persons who have helped with the work on this book in the past years, by no means all of whom I am able to mention. in particular the following colleagues and collaborators who read the manuscript in whole or in part and who have given me H. Brähmik, valuable criticisms: K. Ambos-Spies. A. Brüggemann, H. Fleischhack, J. Flum, G. Hensel, H. Kleine-U. Löwen, L. Mancini, K. May, W. Rödding, H. Schwichtenberg, D. Spreen, J. Stoltefuß, R. Verbeek, S. Wainer.

Separately I would like to thank: K. Ambos-Spies, whose elaboration of one of my Dortmund logic lectures I have partially used in chapters D/E, and who has given valuable help, particularly to SBII3; U. Löwen for a critical reading of the entire manuscript and the preparation of the symbol- and subject-indices; K. May for careful corrections and numerous drawings; H. W. Rödding for the intricate control of the bibliography.

I would especially like to thank U. Minning, R. Kühn, J. Kossmann, P. Schoppe and K. Gruhlich for the precise transposition of parts of several versions of my manuscript into the type-script for the printer. U. Minning has borne the main burden in this - her engaging and friendly manner has often allowed me to forget this arduous labour.

Finally, but not less heartily, I thank Walburga Rödding and many other colleagues who, in the past difficult six

weeks, have given me their spontaneous moral support, thereby decisively helping me to complete this book.

Dortmund, 3.7.1985

EGON BÖRGER.

Note on the second edition. At this point I would like to express heartfelt thanks to M.Kummer, P.Päppinghaus, V.Sperschneider: mainly because of their list of errors corrections have been made in the second edition. At this point also I thank in advance all those readers who show me further errors.

Pisa, Spring 1986

EGON BÖRGER.

#### INTRODUCTION

To the towering achievement of the mathematics of the last one hundred years belongs the formulation of a precise, embracing concept of formal language and a general concept of algorithm.

Already Leibniz had recognised that the creation of a mathematically precise universal language for the expression of arbitrary statements (characteristica universalis) was related to the development of a sufficiently general (concept of) calculus (calculus ratiocinator) with regard to purely computable, formal - we would nowadays say algorithmic decisions in scientific problems. To this corresponds the distinction, frequently made in linguistics, descriptive and imperative elements or use of a language. Language or elements of a language can, on the one hand, be used for the description of states of affairs or facts, and on the other hand for the formulation and communication of directions (instructions) for the transformation (computation) of states of affairs and the construction (generation) of new states; such transformations include the solution of problems by the testing of objects for given properties (the so-called decision procedures).

Mathematics yields a classical example of this distinction with two basic types of mathematical problem formulation. One type of problem is to formulate statements about objects inside a mathematical language and prove them in a mathematical system (later formalised). The other specify instructions for computation or generation (enumeration) of objects within an algorithmic language. Thus, it can be proved that any two natural numbers have a greatest common divisor, or a procedure for generating the greatest common divisor can be developed. This example shows close the connection between the descriptive and imperative elements of a language can be: to prove a mathematical sentence  $\alpha$  from an axiom system Axby means of the given rules R of a formal system, means to determine the truth-value of the sentence " $\alpha$  follows from Ax by means of R " by specifying how  $\alpha$  is finally obtained from Ax by the formal transformations allowed in R. The proof of the sentence "To each  $\mathbf x$  there exists a y with the property E " can consist of a specification of a procedure which, for arbitrary x, produces a y with the property E (that is, of the description of such a process including proof of its efficacy.)

Another representative example - a typical phenomenon for the development of algorithmic problem-solving methods - is

XVI Introduction

the following: frequently, the main difficulty in the solution of a problem by computer program consists of circumscribing, bounding this problem exactly, excluding ewhat is not intended to be part of the problem; tyhis is what is commonly called "specifying" a problem. The efficiency of a programming language and the degree of correctness of the programs (describing algorithmic processes) formulated in it then depends essentially on the quality of the specification language as a vehicle of description and on the reliability of the methods by which programs are constructed from the specifications. The development of programming languages in the past thirty years shows very clearly that the descriptive and imperative use of language elements have an intrinsic connection; the kernel of the demands of the ever advancing programming language PROLOG rests on a single, flexible language - first order logic - being simultaneously the specification- and the programming-language, on one and the same object able to be a statement (description of a problem in the domain of a logic-language) and a program (algorithm for the solution of this problem in the domain of a programming language.)

The present, already extensive mathematical theory of the concepts of algorithm and formal (logic-) language has decisively influenced, conceptually and methodically, the development of the way in which one deals with programmable computing equipment, and this influence promises to increase rather than weaken in the future. From the conviction that a mathematical theory loses nothing in intellectual interest by helping one understand a part of reality, I have undertaken in this book to give an introduction to algorithm theory and logic, oriented to the requirements of computer science without abandoning valuable traditions of the history of thought or sacrificing mathematical merit.

The structure of the book is therefore as follows: the first book is devoted to the theory of algorithms, the second to logic. The theory of algorithms (also known as computability theory) in its modern form is, above all, the theory of the extent and complexity of classes of algorithms and the automata and machines that realise them. It answers such questions as: What is the meaning of "algorithm", "universal programming language", "programmable computing equipment"? (Ch. A) What are the principal, general limitations of algorithmic problem-solving methods and what role is played in this area logical or algorithmic means of description? (Ch. B). How can the efficiency and variety of algorithms be ordered hierarchically according to criteria which characterise algorithms by the available resources or purely syntactically by their structure? (Ch. C)

The basic questions of the book on logic are correspondingly: Can mathematical precision be given to the idea of an assertion being true independently of its eventual meaning and only on the basis of its logical structure, and

Introduction XVII

can such a logical concept of truth be characterised algorithmically? (Ch. D) Is there a general algorithmic form of mathematical deduction from given premises? (Ch. E) How are the universality of a logical language and the universality of a programming language related? What is the relation between the expressibility of a logical language and the range of algorithms represented in it? What is the connection between the syntactical logical complexity of expressions and the computation complexity of algorithms represented by them? (Ch. F.)

## TERMINOLOGY AND PREREQUISITES

we presuppose that the reader has such mathematical maturity as could be acquired by a one- or two-semester introductory course, although we seldom assume any specific knowledge apart from the principle of inductive definition and proof, and elementary facts of set theory. Where such special items occur, the mathematical facts used are explicitly mentioned and the reader can find them in standard introductory mathematical texts.

We therefore use the usual  $\operatorname{set-theoretical}$  notation and  $\operatorname{symbolism}:$ 

 $\supseteq$ ,  $\supseteq$  are inclusion and strict or proper inclusion relations between sets. Thus  $X \supseteq Y$  and  $Y \subseteq X$  both mean that the set X includes Y,  $X \supseteq Y$  and  $Y \subseteq X$  both mean that X properly includes Y, ie  $X \supseteq Y$  and  $X \ne Y$ .

C(X) is the complement of the set X.

The logical operations will be written as follows:

for negation: not
for disjunction: or
for implication: implies
for conjunction: &, and
for equivalence: iff, exactly when

For the definitions we write x := y to mean that x is defined to be equal to y.

N denotes the set of natural numbers. The usual notation for arithmetical operations on N is assumed except that for more complicated expressions x or y a more readable expression is obtained by writing exponentiation as  $x \neq y$  rather than as the usual  $x \neq (x \text{ to power } y)$ . The symbol \* is occasionally used for different purposes, but its meaning should be clear from the context.

When not otherwise stated, by a function we shall always mean a partial function  $f \subseteq A \times B$  which does not necessarily have a defined value f(x), that is a  $y \in B$  such that  $(x,y) \in f$ , for each  $x \in A$ . This set-theoretical conception of functions means the frequently useful identification of f with its graph,  $G(f) = \{(x,y); y = f(x)\}$ . We write:

 $f(x)\downarrow iff (\exists y\in B): (x,y)\in f$  (read : f(x) is defined)  $f(x)\uparrow iff not f(x)\downarrow$  (read : f(x) is undefined) A function  $f \in A \times B$  is called total iff  $(\forall x \in A): f(x) \downarrow$ . We use the identity-symbol for partial functions f, g based on the equality-sign for defined values in the sense:

$$f(x) = g(x) \quad \text{iff}$$

$$(f(x) + \text{iff } g(x) +) \quad & (f(x) + \text{implies } f(x) = g(x))$$

$$f = g \quad \text{iff} \quad (\forall x): \quad f(x) = g(x)$$

By the notation  $f:A\to B$  we mean that f is a function with domain of definition included in A and whose range of values is included in B.

Frequently we shall use the so-called  $\lambda$ -notation: for an arbitrary term t in which, besides x, other variables may occur in the role of parameters,  $\lambda x. t(x)$  denotes that function which assigns the value t(x) to x. Correspondingly, we write  $\lambda x. P(x)$  for predicates (relations or properties). For the parametrisation of a function by "parameters" (parts of its sequence of arguments) x we write  $f_{\infty} := \lambda y. f(x, y)$ .

The place-number n of functions or predicates we shall give in the forms  $f^{(n)}$ ,  $P^{(n)}$  respectively, where appropriate. Where such a notation is lacking it is assumed that a proper determination of the number of argument places has been defined. Also, for a class F of functions we write  $F^{(n)}$  for  $\{f^{(n)}\}$ ;  $f \in F$ .

For the characteristic function of a predicate P we write  $\xi_P$ , where  $\xi_P(x) \in \{0,1\}$  and  $\xi_P(x) = 1$  in case P(x) (read: P holds on x),  $\xi_P(x) = 0$  otherwise.

We shall frequently use the following two operations of iteration of functions f and g:

```
f^o := id (identity function), f^{n+1} := fof^n

Iter(f)(x, n) := f^n(x)
```

By the iteration of f by g, written  $(f)_{g}$ , we mean "iteration of f until g takes the value 0 on the computed value", that is

- 1.  $(f)_{\sigma}(x)\downarrow$  iff  $(\exists n\in \mathbb{N}): f^{n}(x)\downarrow \& g(f^{n}(x))=0.$
- 2. If  $(f)_{\sigma}(x)\downarrow$ , then  $(f)_{\sigma}(x)=f^{n}(x)$  holds for the smallest n with  $g(f^{n}(x))=0$ .

By an alphabet A we understand a finite, non-empty set  $\{a_1,\ldots,a_m\}$  whose elements are called symbols or letters. A finite sequence of letters (from A) we call a word (on A) and write  $A^* := \{w; \ w \ \text{word on } A\}$ . The empty word (that is, the sequence of length O of symbols) we denote by A. We put  $A^+ := A^* - \{A\}$ . The length of the word w is denoted by  $I^*$ 

## CONTENTS

Introduction Terminology and prerequisites	XV
Book One	
ELEMENTARY THEORY OF COMPUTATION	1
Chapter A THE MATHEMATICAL CONCEPT OF ALGORITHM	2
PART I. CHURCH'S THESIS	2
81. Explication of Concepts. Transition systems, Computation systems, Machines (Syntax and Sema Programs), Turing machines, structured (Turi register-machine) programs (TO, RO).	
§2. Equivalence theorem. F <sub>μ</sub> ⊆ F(TO) ⊆ F(TM), LOOP-Program Synthesis for primitive r functions, F(TM) ⊆ F(RO) ⊆ F <sub>μ</sub> .	26 ecursive
83. Excursus into the semantics of programs. Equivalence of operational and denotational s for RM-while programs, fixed-point meaning of p proof of the fixed-point theorem.	
84*. Extended equivalence theorem. Simulation of other explication concepts: modular machines, 2-machines, Thue systems, Markov algorithms, vector addition systems (Petri nets), Post (canonical and regular), Wang's non-erasing hamachines, word register machines.	ordered calculi
95. Church's Thesis	48
PART II. UNIVERSAL PROGRAMS AND THE RECURSION THEOREM	51
81. Universal programs. Kleene normal form. Acceptable universal programming system and exprogram transformations.	51
82. Diagonalisation method. Recursion theorem: fixed-point meaning (theorem of Rice), recursion (implicit definitions: recursive enumeration of injective translation functions in Gödel numberings, reproducing programs), parame ric effective version infinitely many fixed points.	F <sub>prim</sub> , mbering, self-

**9**3#.

universal automata.

Chap	oter B COMPLEXITY OF ALGORITHMIC UNSOLVABILITY	68
PART	I. RECURSIVELY UNSOLVABLE PROBLEMS (Reduction method)  Halting problem K. Special cases of Rice's	68 69
	theorem.	
<b>\$</b> 2.	Simple reductions of K. Decision problems of universal computing systems, Post's corresponder problem, Domino problem, Rödding's path problem.	71 1C6
<b>\$3</b> *.	Exponential diophantine equations. Simulation of RO.	82
\$4 <b>+</b> .	$\lambda x, y, z. x = y^{\pm}$ is diophantine. Pell equations.	89
PART		<b>OF</b> 03
<b>§</b> 1.	Recursively enumerable predicates. 19 Representation theorem. Universality.	03
<b>8</b> 2.	Arithmetical hierarchy. Enumeration—and in hierarchy—theorems, representation theorem determination of complexity (infinity and cardinalistatements, arithmetical truth—concept)	08 m, ty
§3*.	Reduction concepts and degrees of unsolvability. 1 Reduction concepts (theorem of Post), index see (theorem of Rice and Shapiro, $\Sigma_n$ -complete prograproperties), creativity and $\Sigma_1$ -completeness (theorem Myhill), simple sets ( $\Xi_1$ versus $\Xi_m$ versus $\Xi_{++}$ theorem of Dekker and Yates), priority method (theorem of Friedberg and Mučnik), complexity of the arithmetical truth concept.	em of
PART	III. ABSTRACT COMPLEXITY OF COMPUTATION 14	4
81.	Speed-up phenomena. Abstract measures of 14 complexity, Blum's speed-up theorem, impossibility of effective speed-up.	.5 >f
<b>\$</b> 2.	Functions of arbitrary complexity. Theorem of 15 Rabin-Blum-Meyer on functions of arbitrarily larg program— or computing-time complexity, Blum program-shortening theorem, gap theorem, union theorem.	e

Decomposition theory for universal automata. 162 Characterisation of the run-time-, input-, output-transition-, and stop-functions of universal automata; impossiblity of uniform recursive simulation bounds on

Chapter C RECURSIVENESS AND COMPLEXITY	172
PART I. COMPLEXITY CLASSES OF RECURSIVE FUNC	TIONS 173
80. The k-tape Turing-machine model. Tape reduction, tape- and time-compress complexity of a universal program.	173 ion, simulation
81. Time- and place- hierarchy theorems. To of Fürer.	heorem 182
82. Complexity of non-deterministic program Theorem of Savitch.	<b>s.</b> 191
PART II. COMPLEXITY CLASSES OF PRIMITIVE RECU FUNCTIONS.	JRSIVE 196
81. Grzegorczyk hierarchy theorem Equivaler the characterisation by growth-rate (1: excursus on Ackermann branches), recu depth, computing-time complexity from KI with polynomially bounded or Ro-coding f	imited recursion, rsion- and loop- leene normal form
82*. En-Basis- and En-computing time hierarch	y theorem 211
83*. Ackermann function and Goodstein sequence Theorem of Goodstein, Kirby and Paris.	c <b>es.</b> 220
PART III POLYNOMIALLY— AND EXPONENTIALLY— BO COMPLEXITY CLASSES.	UNDED 224
81. NP-complete problems. Halting-, domino-,	
<pre>partition-, knapsack-, clique-, Hami travelling salesman-, integer-programmin</pre>	
92. Complete problems for PTAPE and exponent	ial classes 240

#### PART IV FINITE AUTOMATA

242

- Characterisation by (non-)deterministic 242
   acceptors and regular expressions. Theorems of Rabin and Scott, Kleene.
- 82. Characterisation by indistinguishability 250 congruence relation Theorem of Myhill and Nerode with corollaries (state minimisation, examples of non-regular languages, loop lemma, 2-way automata).
- \$3\*. Decomposition theorems. Product decomposition, 256 modular decomposition (Rödding normal form in sequential and parallel signal processing).

Contents

\$4\*. Small universal programs. 2-dimensional Turing 276 machine with 2 states and 4 letters, 2-dimensional Thue-system with 2 rules and 3 letters, PTAPE-complete Loop problem.

PART	v	CONTEXT	-FREE	I.A	NGUA	GES

297

- Normal forms of Chomsky and Greibach, 297 derivation trees.
- 82. Periodicity properties. Loop lemma , Parikh's 305 theorem, inductive characterisation through substitution iteration.
- 83. Characterisation by machines. Push-down automata, 311 closure properties.
- \$4. Decision problems. Decidability theorem for 316 context-free and regular grammars, complexity of the equivalence problem for regular expressions, undecidability theorem for context-free grammars, impossibility of effective minimisation.
- 85\*. Comparison with the Chomsky hierarchy classes. 327
  Intersection of regular with bracket languages, L-R
  derivation restrictions of type-0 grammars, contextdependent languages (space-requirement theorem and the
  LBA problem).

Book Two ELEMENTARY PREDICATE LOGIC	335
Chapter D LOGICAL ANALYSIS OF THE TRUTH CONCEPT	337
PART I. SYNTAX AND SEMANTICS	337
\$1. Formal languages of the first order.	337
§2. Interpretation of formal languages.	342
93. Hilbert calculus.	350
PART II. COMPLETENESS THEOREM	357
91. Derivations and deduction theorem for sentence logic	357
82. Completeness of propositional logic. (Lindenbaum maximisation process; analytical resolution)	361 tables,
83. Derivations and deduction theorem of the predicate logic	367
84. Completeness of predicate logic.	372
PART III. CONSEQUENCES OF THE COMPLETENESS THEOREM	378
81. Weakness of expressibility of PL 1. Theorem of Skelem, compactness theorem, non-characterisabil the concept of infiniteness, non-standard mode number theory.	378 ity of els of
\$2*. Second order predicate logic and type theory. characterisation of finiteness and countability (N; 0, +1) in second order; languages of n-th orde	382 and of r.
\$3. Canonical satisfiability: Skølem normal form, (minimal) Herbrand models, predicate logic resolution of Horn formulas, completed of SLD-resolution.	387 Lution, teness
Chapter E. LOGICAL ANALYSIS OF THE CONCEPT OF PROOF.	400
PART I. GENTZEN'S CALCULUS LK.	401
1. The calculus LK.	401
2. Equivalence to the Hilbert calculus.	404