

**CASEBOOK ON  
SHIPPING LAW**

**E. R. Hardy Ivamy**

**FOURTH EDITION**

**LLOYD'S OF LONDON PRESS LTD**

# CASEBOOK ON SHIPPING LAW

E. R. Hardy Ivamy, LL.B., Ph.D., LL.D.

*Of the Middle Temple, Barrister  
Emeritus Professor of Law in the University of London*

FOURTH EDITION

**|LLP|**

LONDON NEW YORK HAMBURG HONG KONG

LLOYD'S OF LONDON PRESS LTD

1987

Lloyd's of London Press Ltd  
Legal Publishing and Conference Division  
26-30 Artillery Lane, London E1 7LX

USA AND CANADA  
Lloyd's of London Press Inc  
Suite 523, 611 Broadway  
New York, NY 10012, USA

GERMANY  
Lloyd's of London Press  
PO Box 11 23 47  
Deichstrasse 41, 2000 Hamburg 11  
West Germany

SOUTH EAST ASIA  
Lloyd's of London Press (Far East) Ltd  
903 Chung Nam Building  
1 Lockhart Road, Wanchai  
Hong Kong

© E.R. Hardy Ivamy, 1987

First published 1970  
Second edition 1978    Third edition 1982  
Fourth edition 1987

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording and/or otherwise, without the prior written permission of Lloyd's of London Press Ltd.

*British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data*

Ivamy, E.R. Hardy  
Casebook on shipping law—4th ed.  
1. Maritime law—Great Britain—Cases  
I. Title  
344.103'968    KD 1816.3

ISBN 1-85044-130-8

Text set in 9/11 pt Linotron 202 Times by  
Wessex Typesetters, Frome, Somerset  
Printed in Great Britain by  
LR Printing Services Ltd  
Crawley, West Sussex

## PREFACE

The purpose of this new edition remains the same as that of earlier ones, i.e. to set out in a convenient form the leading and important cases relating to shipping law. At the head of each case there is a short statement of the principle involved. This is followed by a summary of the facts, the decision given, and extracts from one or more of the judgments delivered.

In Part II ("Carriage of Goods by Sea") *Kodros Shipping Corporation v. Empresa Cubana de Fletes: The "Evia" (No. 2)* [1982] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 307, H.L., decides that where a time charter-party requires the vessel to use safe ports only, the port, at the moment when the order to go there is given, must be prospectively safe. *Afovos Shipping Co. S.A. v. R. Pagnan and F. Lli: The "Afovos"* [1983] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 335, H.L. decides that the latest point of time which constitutes punctual payment of an instalment of hire is midnight of the day in question. It was emphasised in *Scandinavian Trading Tanker Co. AB v. Flota Petrolera Ecuatoriana: The "Scaptrade"* [1983] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 253, H.L., that the Court has no jurisdiction to grant relief to the charterer against the exercise by a shipowner of his contractual right under the withdrawal clause to withdraw the vessel where an instalment of hire is not paid by the due date. In *Mitsui O.S.K. Lines Ltd. v. Garnac Grian Co. Inc.: The "Myrtos"* [1984] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 449 it was held that a clause stating the estimated time of arrival of a vessel was a condition.

Also in Part II are some new cases on the Hague Rules. *Mayhew Foods Ltd. v. Overseas Containers Ltd.* [1984] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 317 indicates that the Rules do not apply to carriage or storage of goods before they are shipped. A clause enabling the carrier to limit his liability below the level permitted by the Rules where they apply is void: *The "Morviken"* [1983] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 1, H.L. *Empresa Cubana Importada de Alimentos "Alimport" v. Iasmos Shipping Co. S.A.: The "Good Friend"* [1984] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 566 is the latest authority on the burden of proof being on the carrier claiming exemption under Article IV, r. 1, to show that he had exercised due diligence to make the ship seaworthy. Under the Rules the ship is entitled to make any reasonable deviation: *Danae Shipping Corporation v. T.P.A.O. and Guven Turkish Insurance Co. Ltd.* [1983] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 498.

In Part III ("Collisions") all the decisions on limitation of liability hitherto included have been deleted in view of the changes in the law made by the

Merchant Shipping Act 1979, which has repealed the relevant sections of the Merchant Shipping Act 1894.

In Part V (“Marine Insurance”) in the case of *Container Transport International Inc. v. Oceanus Mutual Underwriting Association (Bermuda) Ltd.* [1984] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 476, C.A., the word “judgment” in the Marine Insurance Act 1906, s. 18(2) (which states: “Every circumstance is material which would influence the judgment of a prudent insurer in fixing the premium or determining whether he will take the risk”) is used in the sense of “the formation of an opinion”. *Rhesa Shipping Co. S.A. v. Edmunds: The “Popi M”* [1985] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 1, H.L., is an illustration of the principle that the burden of proving a loss by a peril of the sea lies on the assured. *Athens Maritime Enterprises Corporation v. Hellenic Mutual War Risks Association (Bermuda) Ltd.: The “Andros Lemos”* [1983] 1 All E.R. 590 holds that “piracy” means “theft at sea involving force or threat of force”, and that it may be committed inside territorial waters.

To Part VII (“Admiralty Jurisdiction and Practice”) *The “Goring”* [1986] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 129 has been added. It was there laid down that the Court has jurisdiction under the Supreme Court Act 1981, s. 20(2)(j), even though a salvage operation takes place in non-tidal waters.

I am grateful to *Lloyd’s Law Reports* and to Butterworth & Co. (Publishers) Ltd. (the publishers of *The All England Law Reports*) for giving me permission to use extracts from the judgments in the reports concerned.

I should like to thank Mr E. Martin and Miss Catherine Anderson, both of the Legal Publishing and Conference Division of Lloyd’s of London Press Ltd., for seeing the book through the press.

May 1987

E. R. HARDY IVAMY

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
<i>Preface</i>	iii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Statutes</i>	xxvii

### PART I—SALE, MORTGAGE AND LIEN

#### (A) SALE OF A SHIP

McDougall <i>v.</i> Aeromarine of Emsworth Ltd.	1
Sir James Laing & Sons Ltd. <i>v.</i> Barclay, Curle & Co. Ltd.	2
Re Blyth Shipbuilding and Dry Dock Co. Ltd.: Forster <i>v.</i> Blyth Shipbuilding and Dry Dock Co. Ltd.	3
The “Bineta”	4
Behnke <i>v.</i> Bede Shipping Co. Ltd.	5
Kelman <i>v.</i> Livanos	6
Piccinini <i>v.</i> Partrederiet Trigon II: The “Alfred Trigon”	7
Diamante Sociedad de Transportes S.A. <i>v.</i> Todd Oil Burners Ltd.: The “Diamantis Pateras”	9

#### (B) MORTGAGE OF A SHIP

Fletcher and Campbell <i>v.</i> City Marine Finance Ltd.	10
The “Basildon”	11

#### (C) LIEN

The “Ripon City”	12
The “Stream Fisher”	14
The “Veritas”	15
The “Inna”	16
The “Russland”	17
The “Two Ellens”	18

## PART II—CARRIAGE OF GOODS BY SEA

(1) <i>Implied Undertakings in Voyage Charter-Parties</i>	
Steel v. State Line Steamship Co.	20
The "Europa"	20
M'Andrew v. Adams	21
Kish v. Taylor Sons & Co.	22
Scaramanga v. Stamp	23
James Morrison & Co. Ltd. v. Shaw, Savill and Albion Co. Ltd.	24
Mitchell, Cotts & Co. v. Steel Brothers & Co. Ltd.	25
(2) <i>Time Charter-Parties</i>	
Palace Shipping Co. Ltd. v. Gans Steamship Line	26
Kodros Shipping Corporation v. Empresa Cubana de Fletes: The "Evia" (No. 2)	27
A/S Tank Express v. Compagnie Financière Belge des Petroles S.A.	29
A/S Awilco v. Fulvia S.p.A. di Navigazione: The "Chikuma"	30
Mardorf Peach & Co. Ltd. v. Attica Sea Carriers Corporation of Liberia: The "Laconia"	31
Afovos Shipping Co. S.A. v. R. Pagnan & F. Lli: The "Afovos"	33
Scandinavian Trading Tanker Co. AB v. Flota Petrolera Ecuadoriana: The "Scaptrade"	35
Federal Commerce and Navigation Ltd. v. Molena Alpha Inc.: The "Nanfri"	37
Greek Government v. Minister of Transport: The "Ilissos"	39
Hogarth v. Miller, Brother & Co.	40
Actis Co. Ltd. v. Sanko Steamship Co. Ltd.: The "Aquacharm"	41
Milburn & Co. v. Jamaica Fruit Importing and Trading Co. of London Ltd.	42
Larrinaga Steamship Co. Ltd. v. R.	43
(3) <i>Conditions and Warranties</i>	
Bentsen v. Taylor, Sons & Co. (2)	44
Behn v. Burness	45
Mitsui O.S.K. Lines Ltd. v. Garnac Grain Co. Inc.: The "Myrtos"	46
Hongkong Fir Shipping Co. Ltd. v. Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd.	48
(4) <i>Frustration</i>	
Bank Line Ltd. v. Arthur Capel & Co.	50
Admiral Shipping Co. Ltd. v. Weidner, Hopkins & Co.	51
(5) <i>Bills of Lading</i>	
The "Ardennes" (Owner of Cargo) v. The "Ardennes" (Owners)	53
Smith & Co. v. Bedouin Steam Navigation Co. Ltd.	54

	PAGE
Silver <i>v.</i> Ocean Steamship Co. Ltd.	54
Parsons <i>v.</i> New Zealand Shipping Co.	55
E. Clemens Horst Co. <i>v.</i> Biddell Bros.	57
Sanders Brothers <i>v.</i> Maclean & Co.	57
Scruttons Ltd. <i>v.</i> Midland Silicones Ltd.	58
New Zealand Shipping Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> A. M. Satterthwaite & Co. Ltd.: The "Eurymedon"	60
 (6) <i>The Hague Rules</i>	
Mayhew Foods Ltd. <i>v.</i> Overseas Containers Ltd.	61
Riverstone Meat Co. Pty. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Lancashire Shipping Co. Ltd.	63
Maxine Footwear Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Canadian Government Merchant Marine Ltd.	64
Gosse Millerd Ltd. <i>v.</i> Canadian Government Merchant Marine Ltd.	65
The "Morviken"	66
Empresa Cubana Importada de Alimentos "Alimport" <i>v.</i> Iasmos Shipping Co. S.A.: The "Good Friend"	67
Stag Line Ltd. <i>v.</i> Foscolo, Mango & Co. Ltd.	69
Danae Shipping Corporation <i>v.</i> T.P.A.O. and Guven Turkish Insurance Co. Ltd.: The "Daffodil B"	70
 (7) <i>Loading, Discharge and Delivery</i>	
Hunter <i>v.</i> Fry	72
Grant & Co. <i>v.</i> Coverdale, Todd & Co.	73
H. A. Brightman & Co. <i>v.</i> Bunge y Born Limitada Sociedad	74
Reardon Smith Line Ltd. <i>v.</i> Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food	75
Leeds Shipping Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> Société Française Bunge	76
The "Athamas" (Owners) <i>v.</i> Dig Vijay Cement Co. Ltd.	77
Reardon Smith Line Ltd. <i>v.</i> Australian Wheat Board	78
Sunbeam Shipping Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> President of India: The "Atlantic Sunbeam"	79
Petersen <i>v.</i> Freebody & Co.	80
The "Stettin"	81
Glyn Mills Currie & Co. <i>v.</i> East and West India Dock Co.	81
Monarch Steamship Co. Ltd. <i>v.</i> A/B Karlshamns Oljefabriker	82
Rodocanachi, Sons & Co. <i>v.</i> Milburn Brothers	83
C. Czarnikow Ltd. <i>v.</i> Koufos	85
 (8) <i>Exceptions</i>	
Hamilton <i>v.</i> Pandorf	86
Nobel's Explosives Co. <i>v.</i> Jenkins	87
Blackburn <i>v.</i> Liverpool, Brazil and River Plate Steam Navigation Co.	88

	PAGE
(9) <i>General Average</i>	
Australian Coastal Shipping Commission v. Green	89
(10) <i>Demurrage</i>	
Hick v. Raymond and Reid	90
William Alexander & Sons v. Aktieselskabet Dampskibet Hansa	92
E. L. Oldendorff & Co. G.m.b.H. v. Tradax Export S.A.: The “Johanna Oldendorff”	93
Compania Naviera Aeolus S.A. v. Union of India	94
(11) <i>Freight</i>	
Hunter v. Prinsep	94
Asfar & Co. v. Blundell	95
Dakin v. Oxley	96
De Silvale v. Kendall	97

### PART III—COLLISIONS

(1) <i>Collision Regulations</i>	
The “Billings Victory”	98
The “Otranto”	99
The “Jaladhir”	100
Thomas Stone (Shipping) Ltd. v. Admiralty: The “Albion”	101
(2) <i>Use of Radar</i>	
The “Anna Salen”	101
(3) <i>Nautical Assessors</i>	
The “Australia”	102
(4) <i>Apportionment of Blame</i>	
The “Peter Benoit”	103
(5) <i>Remoteness of Damage</i>	
The “Edison”	104
The “Fritz Thyssen”	106
(6) <i>Measure of Damages</i>	
The “Harmonides”	107
The “Philadelphia”	108
The “Mediana”	110
The “Hebridean Coast”	110
The “Chekiang”	111
The “Hassel”	113

	PAGE
<b>PART IV—SALVAGE, TOWAGE AND PILOTAGE</b>	
<b>(A) SALVAGE</b>	
The "San Demetrio"	115
The "Sandefjord"	116
The "Helmsman"	117
The "Rene"	117
The "American Farmer"	119
The "Elkhound"	120
The "Queen Elizabeth"	121
The "City of Chester"	122
The "Kedah"	123
The "Tojo Maru": Owners of motor tanker "Tojo Maru" v. N. V. Bureau Wijsmuller	124
The "Glengyle"	125
Island Tug & Barge Ltd. v. Owners of the S.S. "Makedonia"	126
China Pacific S.A. v. Food Corporation of India: The "Winson"	127
<b>(B) TOWAGE</b>	
Elliott Steam Tug Co. Ltd. v. New Medway Steam Packet Co. Ltd.	129
The "Niobe"	130
The "Impetus": Owners of the m.v. "Blenheim" v. Owners of the tug "Impetus"	131
The "Uranienborg"	132
<b>(C) PILOTAGE</b>	
W. H. Muller and Co.'s Algemeene Scheepvaart-Maatschappij v. Trinity House Corporation	133
Babbs v. Press	135
Clayton v. Albertsen	136
<b>PART V—MARINE INSURANCE</b>	
<b>(1) <i>Insurable Interest</i></b>	
Piper v. Royal Exchange Assurance	138
Thomas Cheshire & Co. v. Vaughan Bros. & Co.	139
<b>(2) <i>Non-Disclosure</i></b>	
Container Transport International Inc. v. Oceanus Mutual Under- writing Association (Bermuda) Ltd.	139
Thames and Mersey Marine Insurance Co. v. Gunford Ship Co. Ltd.	141

	PAGE
George Cohen, Sons & Co. v. Standard Marine Insurance Co. Ltd.	142
St. Margaret's Trust Ltd. v. Navigators and General Marine Insurance Co. Ltd.	143
Mann, McNeal and Steeves Ltd. v. General Marine Underwriters Ltd.	144
 (3) <i>Misrepresentation</i>	
Demetriades & Co. v. Northern Assurance Co.: The "Spathari"	145
 (4) <i>Perils Insured Against</i>	
E.D. Sassoon & Co. v. Western Assurance Co.	146
Mentz, Decker & Co. v. Maritime Insurance Co. Ltd.	146
Athens Maritime Enterprises Corpn. v. Hellenic Mutual War Risks Association (Bermuda) Ltd.: The "Andreos Lemos"	147
British and Foreign Marine Insurance Co. v. Gaunt	150
Compania Naviera Santi S.A. v. Indemnity Marine Assurance Co. Ltd.: The "Tropaioforos"	150
Rhesa Shipping Co. S.A. v. Edmunds: The "Popi M"	151
British and Foreign Marine Insurance Co. v. Gaunt	153
 (5) <i>Excepted Perils</i>	
Canada Rice Mills Ltd. v. Union Marine and General Insurance Co. Ltd.	154
Pateras v. Royal Exchange Assurance	156
Wadsworth Lighterage and Coaling Co. Ltd. v. Sea Insurance Co. Ltd.	157
F. W. Berk & Co. Ltd. v. Style	157
 (6) <i>Warranties</i>	
Overseas Commodities Ltd. v. Style	158
P. Samuel & Co. Ltd. v. Dumas	159
Hoffman & Co. v. British General Insurance Co.	160
Thomas v. Tyne and Wear Steamship Freight Insurance Association	161
 (7) <i>Assignment of Policy</i>	
William Pickersgill & Sons Ltd. v. London and Provincial Marine and General Insurance Co. Ltd.	162
 (8) <i>Actual Total Loss</i>	
George Cohen, Sons & Co. v. Standard Marine Insurance Co. Ltd.	163
 (9) <i>Constructive Total Loss</i>	
Irvin v. Hine	165
Polurrian Steamship Co. v. Young	166

	PAGE
(10) <i>Notice of Abandonment</i>	
Associated Oil Carriers Ltd. v. Union Insurance Society of Canton Ltd.	167
Captain J.A. Cates Tug and Wharfage Co. Ltd. v. Franklin Insurance Co.	168
(11) <i>General Average</i>	
Watson (Joseph) & Son Ltd. v. Firemen's Fund Insurance Co. of San Francisco	169
(12) <i>Measure of Indemnity</i>	
Helmville Ltd. v. Yorkshire Insurance Co. Ltd.: The "Medina Princess"	171
Helmville Ltd. v. Yorkshire Insurance Co. Ltd.: The "Medina Princess"	171
Wilson Bros. Bobbin Co. Ltd. v. Green	172
British and Foreign Marine Insurance Co. v. Gaunt	173
(13) <i>Subrogation</i>	
Yorkshire Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Nisbet Shipping Co. Ltd.	173
(14) <i>Institute Clauses</i>	
Scindia Steamships (London) Ltd. v. London Assurance	174
Prudent Tankers Ltd. S.A. v. Dominion Insurance Co. Ltd.: The "Caribbean Sea"	175
Furness Withy & Co. Ltd. v. Duder	176
(15) <i>Procedure</i>	
Palamisto General Enterprises S.A. v. Ocean Marine Insurance Co. Ltd.: The "Dias"	177
Probatina Shipping Co. Ltd. v. Sun Insurance Office Ltd.	179

#### PART VI—POLLUTION BY OIL

Federal Steam Navigation Co. Ltd. v. Department of Trade and Industry: The "Huntingdon"	182
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

#### PART VII—ADMIRALTY JURISDICTION AND PRACTICE

The "Jupiter"	185
The "Standard Austria S.H. 1964"	186

	PAGE
The "Acrux"	187
United Africa Co. Ltd. v. Owners of m.v. "Tolten"	188
Corps and Corps v. The "Queen of the South"	189
The "Conoco Britannia": J. H. Pigott & Son Ltd. v. Owners of the ships "Conoco Britannia", "Conoco Espana" and "Conoco Libya"	190
The "Goring"	192
The "Fairport" (No. 5)	193
The "D'Vora"	194
The "St. Merriel"	195
The "St. Elefterio": Schwarz & Co. (Grain) Ltd. v. "St. Elefterio" ex "Arion" (Owners)	196
The "Banco": Owners of the motor vessel "Monte Ulia" v. Owners of the ships "Banco" and others	198
The "Prins Bernhard"	200
The "Beaverford"	201
The "Merdeka"	202
The "Silia"	203
 INDEX	 207

## TABLE OF CASES

*Cases which are set out in this book are indicated by page numbers in bold type*

	PAGE
"Acrux", The [1965] P. 391; [1965] 2 All E.R. 323; [1965] 3 W.L.R. 80; 109 Sol. Jo. 231; [1965] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 565; Digest Cont. Vol. B, p. 4.....	187
Actis Co. Ltd. v. Sanko Steamship Co. Ltd.: The "Aquacharm" [1982] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 7, C.A. ....	41
Admiralty Comrs. v. Owners of "Susquehanna", The "Susquehanna" [1926] A.C. 655; [1926] All E.R. 124; 95 L.J.P. 128; 135 L.T. 456; 42 T.L.R. 639; 17 Asp. M.L.C. 81; 33 Com. Cas. 1, H.L.; 42 Digest (Repl.) 936.....	111
Admiralty Comrs. v. Steamship "Chekiang" [1926] A.C. 637; [1926] All E.R. Rep. 114; 95 L.J.P. 119; <i>sub nom.</i> The "Chekiang", 135 L.T. 450; 42 T.L.R. 634; 17 Asp. M.L.C. 74; 32 Com. Cas. 91, H.L.; 42 Digest (Repl.) 942.....	111
Admiral Shipping Co. Ltd. v. Weidner, Hopkins & Co. [1917] 1 K.B. 222; 86 L.J.K.B. 336; 115 L.T. 812; 33 T.L.R. 70; 61 Sol. Jo. 85; 13 Asp. M.L.C. 539; 22 Com. Cas. 154, C.A.; 12 Digest (Repl.) 437.....	51
Afovos Shipping Co. S.A. v. R. Pagnan and F. Lli: The "Afovos" [1983] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 335, H.L. ....	33, 36, 37
"Alexander", The (1811) 1 Dods. 211.....	204
Alexander (William) & Sons v. Aktieselskabet Dampskibet Hansa [1920] A.C. 88; [1918-19] All E.R. Rep. 986; 88 L.J.P.C. 182; 122 L.T. 1; 35 T.L.R. 709; 63 Sol. Jo. 788; 14 Asp. M.L.C. 493; 25 Com. Cas. 13, H.L.; 41 Digest (Repl.) 443 .....	92
"Aline", The (1840) 1 Wm. Rob. 111; 42 Digest (Repl.) 1084.....	18
"American", The, and The "Syria". See Union Steamship Co. v. Owners of "Aracan", The "American" and The "Syria".	
"American Farmer", The (1947) 80 Ll.L.Rep. 672.....	119
Anghelatos v. Northern Assurance Co., The "Olympia" (1923) 16 Ll.L.Rep. 252, C.A.; on appeal (1924) 40 T.L.R. 813; 68 Sol. Jo. 812; 30 Com. Cas. 31; 19 Ll.L.Rep. 255, H.L.; 29 Digest (Rep.) 240.....	151
"Anna Salen", The [1954] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 475; 42 Digest (Repl.) 838.....	101
"Ardennes" (Owners of Cargo) v. Owners of "Ardennes" [1951] 1 K.B. 55; [1950] 2 All E.R. 517; 66 (pt. 2) T.L.R. 312; 94 Sol. Jo. 458; 41 Digest (Repl.) 241.	53
Aries Tanker Corporation v. Total Transport Ltd.: The "Aries" [1977] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 334; [1977] 1 W.L.R. 185, H.L. ....	38
A/S Awiler Fulvia S.p.A. di Navigazione: The "Chikuma" [1981] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 371, H.L. ....	30, 35
A/S Tankexpress v. Compagnie Financière Belge des Petroles S.A.; The "Petrofina" [1949] A.C. 76; [1948] 2 All E.R. 939; [1949] L.J.R. 170; 93 Sol. Jo. 26, H.L.; 41 Digest (Repl.) 222 .....	29, 33, 35, 37
Asfar & Co. v. Blundell [1896] 1 Q.B. 123; 65 L.J.Q.B. 138; 73 L.T. 648; 44 W.R. 130; 12 T.L.R. 29; 40 Sol. Jo. 66; 8 Asp. M.L.C. 106; 1 Com. Cas. 185, C.A.; 41 Digest (Repl.) 572 .....	95, 144
Associated Oil Carriers Ltd. v. Union Insurance Society of Canton Ltd. [1917] 2 K.B. 184; 86 L.J.K.B. 1068; 116 L.T. 503; 33 T.L.R. 327; 17 Asp. M.L.C. 48; 22 Com. Cas. 346; 29 Digest (Repl.) 302.....	167

"Athamas", The (Owners) v. Dig Vijay Cement Co. Ltd. (1963) 107 Sol. Jo. 315; (1963) 1 Lloyd's Rep. 287, C.A.; 41 Digest (Repl.) 421.....	77
Athens Maritime Enterprises Corpn. v. Hellenic Mutual War Risks Association (Bermuda) Ltd.: The "Andreos Lemos" [1983] 1 All E.R. 590.....	147
"Australia" (Owners) v. Owners of Cargo of "Nautilus", The "Australia" [1927] A.C. 145; [1926] All E.R. Rep. 461; 95 L.J.P. 145; 135 L.T. 576; 42 T.L.R. 614; 17 Asp. M.L.C. 86; 32 Com. Cas. 82, H.L.; 42 Digest (Repl.) 620.....	102
Australian Coastal Shipping Commission v. Green [1971] 1 Q.B. 456; [1971] 2 W.L.R. 243; [1971] 1 All E.R. 353; [1971] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 16, C.A.....	89
Babbs v. Press [1971] 1 W.L.R. 1739; 115 Sol. Jo. 723; [1971] 3 All E.R. 654; [1971] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 383, D.C. ....	135
"Banco", The: Owners of the motor vessel "Monte Ulia" v. Owners of the ships "Banco" and others [1971] P. 137; [1971] 2 W.L.R. 335; [1971] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 49; [1971] 1 All E.R. 524, C.A.....	198
Banco de Barcelona v. Union Marine Ins. Co., The "Cruz" (1925) 134 L.T. 350; 69 Sol. Jo. 747; 16 Asp. M.L.C. 604; 30 Com. Cas. 316; 22 Ll.Rep. 209, 317; 29 Digest (Repl.) 260 .....	151
Bank Line Ltd. v. Arthur Capel & Co. [1919] A.C. 435; [1918-19] All E.R. Rep. 504; 88 L.J.K.B. 211; 120 L.T. 129; 35 T.L.R. 150; 63 Sol. Jo. 177; 14 Asp. M.L.C. 370, H.L.; 41 Digest (Repl.) 233 .....	50
Bank of Athens v. Royal Exchange Assurance Co., The "Eftychia" (1937) 57 Ll.L.Rep. 37; affirmed (1937) 59 Ll.L.Rep. 67, C.A.; 29 Digest (Rep.) 228...	151
"Basildon", The [1967] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 134 .....	11
"Beaverford", The, Owners of Dumb Barge "Sunweld" v. Canadian Pacific Rail Co. [1960] 3 All E.R. 612; [1961] 1 W.L.R. 793; 105 Sol. Jo. 427; [1960] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 216; 1 Digest (Repl.) 211 .....	201
Behn v. Burness (1863), 3 B. & S. 751; 2 New Rep. 184; 32 L.J.Q.B. 204; 8 L.T. 207; 9 Jur. N.S. 620; 11 W.R. 496; 1 Mar. L.C. 329; 41 Digest (Repl.) 182...	45
Behnke v. Bede Shipping Co. Ltd. [1927] 1 K.B. 649; [1927] All E.R. Rep. 689; 96 L.J.K.B. 325; 136 L.T. 667; 43 T.L.R. 170; 71 Sol. Jo. 105; 17 Asp. M.L.C. 222; 32 Com. Cas. 134; 42 Digest (Repl.) 633 .....	5
Bentsen v. Taylor, Sons & Co. (2) [1893] 2 Q.B. 274; 63 L.J.Q.B. 15; 69 L.T. 487; 42 W.R. 8; 9 T.L.R. 552; 7 Asp. M.L.C. 385; 4 R. 510, C.A.; 41 Digest (Repl.) 183 .....	44, 49
Berk (F.W.) & Co. Ltd. v. Style [1956] 1 Q.B. 180; [1955] 3 All E.R. 625; [1955] 3 W.L.R. 935; 99 Sol. Jo. 889; [1955] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 382; 29 Digest (Repl.) 264 .....	157
"Billings Victory", The (1949) 82 Ll.L.Rep. 877 .....	98
"Bineta", The, Dalby v. Owners of Motor Yacht "Bineta" [1966] 3 All E.R. 1007; [1967] 1 W.L.R. 121; 110 Sol. Jo. 788; [1966] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 419; Digest Cont. Vol. B, p. 3 .....	4
Blackburn v. Liverpool, Brazil and River Plate Steam Navigation Co. [1902] 1 K.B. 290; [1900-3] All E.R. Rep. 67; 71 L.J.K.B. 177; 85 L.T. 783; 50 W.R. 272; 18 T.L.R. 121; 9 Asp. M.L.C. 263; 7 Com. Cas. 10; 41 Digest (Repl.) 311 .....	88
"Blenheim" (Owners) v. Owners of "Impetus", The "Impetus" [1959] P. 111; 103 Sol. Jo. 453; <i>sub nom.</i> The "Impetus", Owners of "Blenheim" v. Owners of "Impetus" [1959] 2 All E.R. 354; [1959] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 269; 42 Digest (Repl.) 785 .....	131
Blyth Shipbuilding and Dry Dock Co. Ltd., <i>Re</i> , Forster v. Blyth Shipbuilding and Dry Dock Co. Ltd. [1926] Ch. 494; [1926] All E.R. Rep. 373; 95 L.J.Ch. 350; 134 L.T. 643, C.A.; 39 Digest (Repl.) 614.....	3
"Bold Buccleugh", The. <i>See</i> Harmer v. Bell, The "Bold Buccleugh".	
Bolivia Republic v. Indemnity Mutual Marine Assurance Co. Ltd. [1909] 1 K.B. 785 .....	148

	PAGE
Brandt v. Liverpool, Brazil and River Plate Steam Navigation Co. Ltd. [1924] 1 K.B. 575; [1923] All E.R. 656; 93 L.J.K.B. 646; 130 L.T. 392; 16 Asp. M.L.C. 262; 29 Com. Cas. 57, C.A.; 41 Digest (Repl.) 251.....	55
Brightman & Co. v. Bunge y Born Limitada Sociedad [1924] 2 K.B. 619; 93 L.J.K.B. 1070; 132 L.T. 188; 40 T.L.R. 876; 69 Sol. Jo. 162; 16 Asp. M.L.C. 423, C.A.; affirmed <i>sub nom.</i> Bunge y Born Limitada Sociedad Anonima Commercial Financiera y Industrial of Buenos Aires v. H.A. Brightman & Co. [1925] A.C. 799; [1925] All E.R. Rep. 607; 94 L.J.K.B. 840; 133 L.T. 738; 41 T.L.R. 652; 60 Sol. Jo. 795; 16 Asp. M.L.C. 545; 31 Com. Cas. 27, H.L.; 41 Digest (Repl.) 324 .....	74, 75
“Brimnes”, The [1974] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 241; [1975] Q.B. 929.....	31
British and Foreign Marine Insurance Co. v. Gaunt [1921] A.C. 41; [1921] All E.R. Rep. 447; 90 L.J.K.B. 801; 125 L.T. 491; 37 T.L.R. 632; 65 Sol. Jo. 551; 15 Asp. M.L.C. 305; 26 Com. Cas. 247; 7 Ll.L.Rep. 62, H.L.; 29 Digest (Repl.) 118 .....	150, 153, 173
British South Africa Co. v. Companhia de Mocambique [1893] A.C. 602; 63 L.J.Q.B. 70; 69 L.T. 604; 10 T.L.R. 7; 37 Sol. Jo. 756; 6 R. 1, H.L.; 16 Digest (Repl.) 198 .....	188
British Transport Commission v. Gourley [1956] A.C. 185; [1955] 3 All E.R. 796; [1956] 2 W.L.R. 41; 220 L.T. 354; 100 Sol. Jo. 12; [1955] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 475; [1955] T.R. 303; 34 A.T.C. 305; 49 R. & L.T. 11, H.L.; Digest Cont. Vol. A, p. 463 .....	127
Brown & Co. v. Harrison (1927) 96 L.J.K.B. 1025; 43 T.L.R. 633; <i>sub nom.</i> R.E. Brown & Co. Ltd. v. Harrison, Hourani v. Harrison; 137 L.T. 549; 17 Asp. M.L.C. 294; 32 Com. Cas. 305, C.A.; 41 Digest (Repl.) 313.....	65
Brown v. Nitrate Producers S.S.Co. (1937) 58 Ll.L.Rep. 188.....	176
Bunge y Born Limitada Sociedad Anonima Commercial Financiera y Industrial of Buenos Aires v. H. A. Brightman & Co. <i>See</i> Brightman & Co. v. Bunge y Born Limitada Sociedad.	
Canada Rice Mills Ltd. v. Union Marine and General Insurance Co. Ltd. [1941] A.C. 55; [1940] 4 All E.R. 169; 110 L.J.P.C. 1; 164 L.T. 367; 57 T.L.R. 41; 85 Sol. Jo. 92; 46 Com. Cas. 74; 19 Asp. M.L.C. 391, P.C.; 29 Digest (Repl.) 229 .....	154
Captain v. Far Eastern Steamship Co. [1979] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 595.....	62
Captain J. A. Cates Tug and Wharfage Co. Ltd. v. Franklin Insurance Co. [1927] A.C. 698; 96 L.J.P.C. 132; 137 L.T. 709; 17 Asp. M.L.C. 319; 28 Ll.L.Rep. 161, P.C.; 29 Digest (Repl.) 291 .....	168
“Chekiang”, The. <i>See</i> Admiralty Comrs. v. Steamship “Chekiang”.	
Cheshire (Thomas) & Co. v. Vaughan Bros. & Co. [1920] 3 K.B. 240; 89 L.J.K.B. 1168; 123 L.T. 487; 84 J.P. 233; 15 Asp. M.L.C. 69; 25 Com. Cas. 242; 3 Ll.L.Rep. 213, C.A.; 29 Digest (Repl.) 101.....	139
China Pacific S.A. v. Food Corporation of India: The “Winson” [1982] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 117, H.L. ....	127
“City of Chester”, The (1884), 9 P.D. 182; 53 L.J.P. 90; 51 L.T. 485; 33 W.R. 104; 5 Asp. M.L.C. 311, C.A.; 42 Digest (Repl.) 971.....	122
Clarke v. Price (1819) 2 Wils. Ch. 157.....	35
Clay v. A. J. Crump & Sons Ltd. [1964] 1 Q.B. 533; [1963] 3 All E.R. 687; [1963] 3 W.L.R. 866; 107 Sol. Jo. 664, C.A.; Digest Cont. Vol. A, p. 76.....	10
Clayton v. Albertsen [1972] 1 W.L.R. 1443; 116 Sol. Jo. 617; [1972] 3 All E.R. 364; [1972] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 457, D.C.....	136
Clemens (E.) Horst Co. v. Biddell Bros. [1912] A.C. 18; 81 L.J.K.B. 42; 105 L.T. 563; 28 T.L.R. 42; 56 Sol. Jo. 50; 12 Asp. M.L.C. 80; 17 Com. Cas. 55, H.L.; 39 Digest (Repl.) 704 .....	57

Cohen (George), Sons & Co. v. Standard Marine Insurance Co. Ltd. (1925), 41 T.L.R. 232; 69 Sol. Jo. 310; 30 Com. Cas. 139; 21 Ll.L.Rep. 30; 29 Digest (Repl.) 217 .....	142, 163
Compania de Navegacion Pohing S.A. v. Sea Tanker Shipping (Pte.) Ltd.: The "Buena Trader" [1978] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 325, C.A. ....	7, 8, 9
Compania Naviera Aeolus S.A. v. Union of India [1964] A.C. 868; [1962] 3 All E.R. 670; [1962] 3 W.L.R. 1123; 106 Sol. Jo. 854; [1962] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 175, H.L.; 41 Digest (Repl.) 468.....	94
Compania Naviera Maropan S.A. v. Bowaters Lloyd Pulp and Paper Mills Ltd. [1955] 2 Q.B. 68; [1954] 3 All E.R. 563; [1954] 3 W.L.R. 894; 98 Sol. Jo. 821; [1954] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 397; affirmed [1955] 2 Q.B. at p. 81; [1955] 2 All E.R. 241; [1955] 2 W.L.R. 998; 99 Sol. Jo. 336, C.A.; 41 Digest (Repl.) 180.....	78
Compania Naviera Santi S.A. v. Indemnity Marine Assurance Co. Ltd., The "Tropaioforos" [1960] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 469; 29 Digest (Repl.) 228.....	150
Compania Naviera Vascongada v. British and Foreign Marine Insurance Co. Ltd., The "Gloria" (1936) 80 Sol. Jo. 110; 54 Ll.L.Rep. 35; 41 Digest (Repl.) 156. ....	151
Compania Naviera Vascongada v. Churchill and Sim [1906] 1 K.B. 237; 75 L.J.K.B. 94; 94 L.T. 59; 54 W.R. 406; 22 T.L.R. 85; 50 Sol. Jo. 76; 10 Asp. M.L.C. 177; 11 Com. Cas. 49; 41 Digest (Repl.) 250.....	55
"Conoco Britannia", The: Pigott (J. H.) & Son v. Owners of the ships "Conoco Britannia", "Conoco Espana" and "Conoco Libya" [1972] 2 Q.B. 543; [1972] 2 W.L.R. 1352; [1972] 2 All E.R. 238; 116 Sol. Jo. 221; [1972] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 342 .....	190
Container Transport International Inc. v. Oceanus Mutual Underwriting Association (Bermuda) Ltd. [1984] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 476, C.A.....	139
Corps and Corps v. Owners of "Queen of the South", The "Queen of the South" [1968] P. 449; [1968] 2 W.L.R. 973; 112 Sol. Jo. 270; [1968] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 182; <i>sub nom.</i> The "Queen of the South", Corps v. Owners of "Queen of the South" [1968] 1 All E.R. 1163; Digest Supp.....	189
Court Line Ltd. v. Dant & Russell Inc. (1939) 64 Ll.L.Rep. 212; 44 Com. Cas. 345 .....	42
Crooks v. Allan (1879) 5 Q.B.D. 38; 49 L.J.Q.B. 201; 41 L.T. 800; 28 W.R. 304; 4 Asp. M.L.C. 216; 41 Digest (Repl.) 517.....	53
Currie v. M'Knight [1897] A.C. 97; 66 L.J.P.C. 19; 75 L.T. 457; 13 T.L.R. 53; 8 Asp. M.L.C. 193, H.L.; 42 Digest (Repl.) 1084.....	14
Czarnikow (C.) Ltd. v. Koufos. <i>See</i> Koufos v. C. Czarnikow Ltd.	
Dakin v. Oxley (1864) 15 C.B.N.S. 646; 33 L.J.C.P. 115; 10 L.T. 268; 10 Jur. N.S. 655; 12 W.R. 557; 2 Mar. L.C. 6; 41 Digest (Repl.) 572.....	96
Danae Shipping Corporation v. T.P.A.O. and Guven Turkish Insurance Co. Ltd.: The "Daffodil B" [1983] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 498.....	70
"Dart", The (1899) 80 L.T. 23; 8 Asp. M.L.C. 481; 42 Digest (Repl.) 972.....	118
Demetriades & Co. v. Northern Assurance Co., The "Spathari", (1925), 21 Ll.L.Rep. 265 .....	145
De Silvale v. Kendall, (1815), 4 M. & S. 37; 41 Digest (Repl.) 584.....	97
Diamante Sociedad de Transportes S.A. v. Todd Oil Burners Ltd., The "Diamantis Pateras" [1966] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 179.....	9
"Dictator", The [1892] P. 304; 61 L.J.P. 73; 67 L.T. 563; 7 Asp. M.L.C. 251; 1 Digest (Repl.) 121 .....	13
Diplock, Wintle, Re v. Diplock [1941] 1 All E.R. 193.....	199
Donoghue v. Stevenson [1932] A.C. 562; [1932] All E.R. 1; 101 L.J.P.C. 119; 147 L.T. 281; 48 T.L.R. 494; 76 Sol. Jo. 396; 37 Com. Cas. 350, H.L.; 36 Digest (Repl.) 85 .....	10