THE SHORTER BERGEY'S MANUAL OF

DETERMINATIVE BACTERIOLOGY

Eighth Edition

JOHN G. HOLT EDITOR 58,6051073 B496 1-1758

THE SHORTER BERGEY'S MANUAL OF DETERMINATIVE BACTERIOLOGY

Eighth Edition

JOHN G. HOLT EDITOR

The Williams & Wilkins Company / Baltimore



Board of Trustees of BERGEY'S MANUAL TRUST

Editor: J. G. Holt

M. P. Bryant

N. R. Krieg

S. P. Lapage

H. Lautrop

J. Liston

R. G. E. Murray, Chairman

A. W. Ravin

J. T. Staley

COPYRIGHT ©, 1977 The Williams & Wilkins Company

Made in United States of America

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Main entry under title:

The Shorter Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology, eighth edition.

Bibliography:

Includes index.

1. Bacteriology - Classification. 2. Bacteriology - Terminology. I. Bergey, David Hendricks, 1860-1937. II. Holt, John G. III. Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology. [DNLM: 1. Bacteria - Classification. 2. Bacteria - Terminology. QW4 S559]

QR81.A5 1977 589.9'001'2 76-30898

ISBN 0-683-41051-1

The illustration on the cover is one of the Myxobacteria, Stigmatella aurantiaca (Stigmatella media) (× 55), kindly supplied by Dr. Howard McCurdy, and reproduced by permission from the Canadian Journal of Microbiology 15: 1453-1461, Figure 20, 1969.

COMPOSED AND PRINTED AT THE WAVERLY PRESS, INC.
Mt. Royal and Guilford Aves.
Baltimore, Md., U. S. A. 21202

Preface to The Shorter Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, Eighth Edition

This is not the first time that Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology has been produced in an abridged form. In 1948 the editors compiled and published an abridged edition of the Sixth Edition of the Manual, especially "... for the use of students in identifying the cultures of bacteria used in beginning courses...." The Board of Trustees of Bergey's Manual Trust has decided once again to publish an abridged version of the Manual for students and laboratory workers who desire a more portable, less monetarily valuable compendium of information useful for the identification of bacteria.

Briefly, The Shorter Bergey's Manual contains the outline classification of the bacteria; the descriptions of all genera and higher taxa; all of the keys and tables for the diagnosis of species; the glossary; all of the illustrations; and two of the introductory chapters. The Shorter version does not contain the descriptions of species; most of the taxonomic comments; the etymology of names; and references to authors. This latter omission brings up a special point about reference to the Manual in published works. All the material in the complete Manual is the work of many contributors who are identified by obvious bylines at the beginning of each section. The Shorter version is derivative of the larger book and often has material added and much original material deleted in the abridgment process. We believe that it is not appropriate to refer to the Shorter version in a scientific publication; rather, reference should always be to the complete Manual. Additionally, reference to the Manual should be to the author of the section being noted and not to the editors (Buchanan and Gibbons in the case of the 8th edition). A reminder and example of the proper form of citation is given throughout the text of the Shorter version.

The reader is well advised to read the introductory chapter on "The Mechanism of Identification" before starting out to use either form of the book as a guide for identification. Use of the criteria and suggestions for methodology outlined in that chapter will often lead the reader to the proper Part. There is also a short key to the 19 Parts which follows the chapter to provide an alternative access to the proper Part.

Once the proper placement in a Part has been made, the reader should study the keys and tables in that Part to determine which additional tests will need to be performed in order to use the identification devices. Key characteristics are listed for the reader's convenience for the longer, more involved keys. Most keys are short and the characteristics used are quite obvious. A word about methods is needed here. There are few standardized methods in use in bacteriology, and each taxon or major group may be classified on characteristics which are based on very specific methods. Usually, in the Shorter version, reference is made to methodology if it differs from the usual laboratory practice. The reader should consult the text before planning further tests.

Sometimes synopses are used instead of dichotomous keys. A synopsis is not a key; i.e., it does not offer the user alternative statements that logically lead to a determina-

tion of identity of an unknown organism. Rather, a synopsis is a device which gives abbreviated descriptions for a group of related taxa. Some, fortunately not many, of the original keys in the *Manual* are synopses; this is a reflection of a paucity of taxonomic data, which does not allow a logical classification. One must remember that an identification device is only as good as the classification upon which it is constructed and that in many groups of bacteria there still is not a good, logical classification possible. The goal of the *Manual*, of course, is to continue to present the latest thinking on the classification of all of the bacteria and the best keys and tables which can be constructed from these classifications.

All species in a genus are mentioned in some way (with a few exceptions): by key, table, or editorial note. If there is no mention of constituent species, then the genus is monotypic and only the type species will be given. Once a determination has been made, say to the level of species, it may be necessary to consult the *Manual* for further information. This *Shorter* version does not contain detailed descriptions of species, except in the case of some tables, and the reader will have to return to the *Manual*, which is, it is hoped, close by in a safe place, to check the more complete description for confirmation. Or, one may need to consult the *Manual* for more information on where one went wrong or for a lengthy discussion of the taxonomic problems of the group. The *Manual* is liberally salted with "further comments" by the authors on classification or identification problems.

There has been an addition of some new material to this Shorter version of the Manual. Occasionally there were no keys to the constituent sections or families in a Part or there was no way to gain access to the genera of uncertain affiliation. To correct these situations new keys or synopses have been added that should help to provide better determinations of some of the groups. Also, there have been a number of new genera described since the completion of the Manual, and many of these have been noted in the proper place.

JOHN G. HOLT

Acknowledgments

The Bergey's Manual Trustees wish to acknowledge the following individuals who contributed to Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, 8th edition, and whose material was synopsized, providing much of the basis for this book.

O. N. Allen† T. V. Aristovskava H.-D. Babenzien A. C. Baird-Parker Elio Baldacci J. H. Becking E. L. Biberstein C. E. Bland W. J. Brinley-Morgan Thomas D. Brock Marion A. Brooks M. P. Bryant R. E. Buchanan† Jeffrey C. Burnham L. Leon Campbell Ercole Canale-Parola Patricia Carpenter† J. Geoffrey Carr Sylvia G. Cary J. N. Couch S. T. Cowant Koby T. Crabtree Tom Cross C. S. Cummins R. H. Deibel J. De Ley Robert B. Dienst M. Doudoroff† Douglas W. Dye James B. Evans Oscar Felsenfeld Joseph Frateur† E. A. Freundt Ellen I. Garvie Lucille K. Georg N. E. Gibbons Thomas Gibson† Marion Gilmour Morris A. Gordon Ruth E. Gordon

Wilma Kane Hanton Margaret S. Hendrie Aino Henssen Peter Hirsch Geoffrey Hobbs Lillian V. Holdeman A. J. Holding John G. Holt D. B. Johnstone D. C. Jordan R. M. Keddie K. Kitahara Miloslav Kocur Julius P. Kreier Noel R. Krieg George P. Kubica Daisy A. Kuhn E. Küster S. P. Lapage J. W. M. la Rivière H. Lautrop Edward R. Leadbetter R. A. Lelliott L. Le Minor Ralph A. Lewin John Liston R. Locci George M. Luedemann S. Maier Karl Maramorosch Norvel M. McClung Elizabeth McCov N. B. McCullough H. D. McCurdy H. H. Mollaret W. E. C. Moore J. W. Moulder E. G. Mulder R. G. E. Murray C. F. Niven Frits On Lkov Ida Ørskov

David Gottlieb

Cora R. Owen

[†] Deceased.

Leslie A. Page N. J. Palleroni John E. Peterson Norbert Pfennig C. B. Philip J. E. Phillips Leo S. Pine Margaret Pittman Jeanne S. Poindexter J. R. Postgate John R. Preer, Jr. T. G. Pridham Alice Reyn Miodrag Ristic John Robinson Morrison Rogosa R. Rohde Ernest H. Runyon Riichi Sakazaki R. H. W. Schubert. Jiri Sedlák† H. W. Seeley, Jr. H. P. R. Seeliger James Shewan V. B. D. Skerman

J. M. Slack R. M. Smibert J. E. Smith L. DS. Smith P. H. A. Sneath James T. Staley R. Y. Stanier Pamela D. Steed-Glaister E. Thal Josef E. Thiemann H. D. Tresner Hans G. Trüper L. H. Turner W. L. van Veen M. Véron W. V. Vishniac† S. W. Watson Lawrence G. Wavne Owen B. Weeks David Weinman **Emilio Weiss** H. J. Welshimer Ruth G. Wittler S. A. Zahler G. A. Zavarzin

K. S. Zinnemann

H. L. Skuja†

† Deceased.

Proper Form of Citation

Published reference to any or all material from *Bergey's Manual* must always be made to the complete eighth edition, *Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology*, with credit being given to the author(s) of the section being cited. For example:

Krieg, N. R. 1974. Genus Spirillum Ehrenberg. In Buchanan and Gibbons (Editors), Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, 8th Ed., The Williams & Wilkins Co., Baltimore, pp. 196-207.

Contents

Preface to Shorter Bergey's Manual	vii	Family IV. Polyangiaceae	35
Acknowledgments	ix	Genus I. Polyangium	37
Proper Form of Citation	XV	Genus II. Nannocystis	37
Introduction		Genus III. Chondromyces	38
A Place for Bacteria in the Living		Order II. Cytophagales	38
World	1	Family I. Cytophagaceae	38
The Mechanism of Identification	7	Genus I. Cytophaga	38
Key to the 19 Parts	11	Genus II. Flexibacter	40
KINGDOM PROCARYOTAE	13	Genus III. Herpetosiphon	41
Division I. The Cyanobacteria	14	Genus IV. Flexithrix	41
Division II. The Bacteria	15	Genus V. Saprospira	41
Division (i. The pacteria	13		42
PART 1.		Genus VI. Sporocytophaga	42
PHOTOTROPHIC BACTERIA	16	Family II. Beggiatoaceae	42
Order I. Rhodospirillales	16	Genus I. Beggiatoa	43
Family I. Rhodospirillaceae	18	Genus II. Vitreoscilla	
Genus I. Rhodospirillum	19	Genus III. Thioploca	43
	19	Family III. Simonsiellaceae	43
Genus II. Rhodopseudomonas	20	Genus I. Simonsiella	44
Genus III. Rhodomicrobium	21	Genus II. Alysiella	44
Family II. Chromatiaceae	22	Family IV. Leucotrichaceae	44
Genus I. Chromatium	²²	Genus I. Leucothrix	44
Genus II. Thiocystis		Genus II. Thiothrix	44
Genus III. Thiosarcina	24	Families and Genera of Uncertain Affili-	
Genus IV. Thiospirillum	24	ation	45
Genus V. Thiocapsa	25	Génus Toxothrix	45
Genus VI. Lamprocystis	25	Family Achromatiaceae	45
Genus VII. Thiodictyon	25	Genus Achromatium	45
Genus VIII Thiopedia	26	Family Pelonemataceae	46
Genus IX. Amoebobacter	26	Genus Pelonema	46
Genus X. Ectothiorhodospira	27	Genus Achroonema	46
Family III. Chlorobiaceae	27	Genus Peloploca	47
Genus I. Chlorobium	28	Genus Desmanthos	48
Genus II. Prosthecochloris	29	Genus Desmantitos	40
Genus III. Chloropseudomonas	29		
Genus IV. Pelodictyon	30	PART 3.	
Genus V. Clathrochloris	30	THE SHEATHED BACTERIA	49
Genus V. Cum como do		Genus Sphaerotilus	49
		Genus Leptothrix	50
PART 2.		Genus Streptothrix	51
THE GLIDING BACTERIA	31	Genus Lieskeella	51
Order I. Myxobacterales	31	Genus Phragmidiothrix	51
Family I. Myxococcaceae	33	Genus Crenothrix	52
Genus I. Myxococcus	33	Genus Clonothrix	52
Family II. Archangiaceae	34	College College	J.
Genus I. Archangium	34		
Family III. Cystobacteraceae	34	PART 4.	
Genus I. Cystobacter	34	BUDDING and/or APPENDAGED	
Genus II. Melittangium	35	BACTERIA	53
Genus III Stigmatella	35	Genus Hyphomicrobium	54

THE SHORTER BERGEY'S

Genus Hyphomonas	54	Genus II. Agrobacterium	90
Genus Pedomicrobium	55	Family IV. Methylomonadaceae	91
Genus Caulobacter	56	Genus I. Methylomonas	92
Genus Asticcacaulis	57	Genus II. Methylococcus	92
Genus Ancalomicrobium	58	Family V. Halobacteriaceae	92
Genus Prosthecomicrobium	58	Genus I. Halobacterium	92
Genus Thiodendron	59	Genus II. Halococcus	93
Genus Pasteuria	59	Genera of Uncertain Affiliation	94
Genus Blastobacter	59	Genus Alcaligenes	94
Genus Seliberia	60	Genus Acetobacter	94
Genus Gallionella	60	Genus Brucella	95
Genus Nevskia	60	Genus Bordetella	95
Genus Planctomyces	61	Genus Francisella	97
Genus Metallogenium	61	Genus Thermus	97
Genus Caulococcus	62		-
Genus Kusnezovia	62		
PART 5. THE SPIROCHETES Order I. Spirochaetales	63 63	PART 8. GRAM-NEGATIVE FACULTA- TIVELY ANEROBIC RODS	99
Family I. Spirochaetaceae	63	Family I. Enterobacteriaceae	99
Genus I. Spirochaeta	64	Tribe I. Escherichieae	100
	65	Genus I. Escherichia	100
	65	Genus II. Edwardsiella	100
	67	Genus III. Citrobacter	100
	68	Genus IV. Salmonella	102
Genus V. Leptospira	vo		119
		Genus V. Shigella Tribe II. Klebsielleae	119
N /			
PART 6.		Genus VI. Klebsiella	119
SPIRAL AND CURVED BACTE-		Genus VII. Enterobacter	120
RIA	70	Genus VIII. Hafnia	120
Family I. Spirillaceae	70	Genus IX. Serratia	121
Genus I. Spirillum	70	Tribe III. Proteeae	121
Genus II. Campylobacter	74	Genus X. Proteus	121
Genera of Uncertain Affiliation	75	Tribe IV. Yersinieae	122
Genus Bdellovibrio	75	Genus XI. Yersinia	122
Genus Microcyclus	75	Tribe V. Erwinieae	123
Genus Pelosigma	76	Genus XII. Erwinia	123
Genus Brachyarcus	76	Family II. Vibrionaceae	126
,		Genus I. Vibrio	127
		Genus II. Aeromonas	129
PART 7.		Genus III. Plesiomonas	130
		Genus IV. Photobacterium	132
GRAM-NEGATIVE AEROBIC		Genus V. Lucibacterium	132
RODS AND COCCI	77	Genera of Uncertain Affiliation	133
Family I. Pseudomonadaceae	77	Genus Zymomonas	133
Genus I. Pseudomonas	77	Genus Chromobacterium	133
Genus II. Xanthomonas	82	Genus Flavobacterium	134
Genus III. Zoogloea	83	Genus Haemophilus	135
Genus IV. Gluconobacter	84	(H. vaginalis)	136
Family II. Azotobacteraceae	84	Genus Pasteurella	137
Genus I. Azotobacter	85	Genus Actinobacillus	138
Genus II. Azomonas	86	Genus Cardiobacterium	138
Genus III. Beijerinckia	86	Genus Streptobacillus	139
Genus IV. Derxia	88	Genus Calymmatobacterium .	140
Family III. Rhizobiaceae	88	Genus Noguchia	140
Genus I. Rhizobium	89	Parasites of Paramecium	141

PART 9.		manganese oxides	179
GRAM-NEGATIVE ANAEROBIC		Family I. Siderocapsaceae	179
BACTERIA	142	Genus I. Siderocapsa	180
Family I. Bacteroidaceae	142	Genus II. Naumanniella	181
Genus I. Bacteroides	143	Genus III. Ochrobium	181
Genus II. Fusobacterium	155	Genus IV. Siderococcus	181
Genus II. Leptotrichia	162		
Genera of Uncertain Affiliation	163	·	
Genus Desulfovibrio	163	PART 13.	
Genus Butyrivibrio	164	METHANE-PRODUCING BAC-	
Genus Succinivibrio	164	TERIA	182
Genus Succinimonas	164	Family I. Methanobacteriaceae	
Genus Lachnospira	165	Genus I. Methanobacterium	182
Genus Selenomonas	165	Genus II. Methanosarcina	183
Genus Setenomonus	100	Genus III. Methanococcus	183
PART 10.		D A D/R 14	
GRAM-NEGATIVE COCCI AND		PART 14.	104
COCCOBACILLI	165	GRAM-POSITIVE COCCI	184
Family I. Neisseriaceae	166	a Aerobic and/or facultatively ana-	184
Genus I. Neisseria	166	erobic	184
Genus II. Branhamella	168	Family I. Micrococcaceae	185
Genus III. Moraxella	168	Genus I. Micrococcus	185
Genus IV. Acinetobacter	169	Genus II. Staphylococcus	186
Genera of Uncertain Affiliation	169	Genus III. Planococcus	187
Genus Paracoccus	169	Family II. Streptococcaceae	187
Genus Lampropedia	169	Genus I. Streptococcus	194
		Genus II. Leuconostoc	194
PART 11.		Genus III. Pediococcus	195
GRAM-NEGATIVE ANAEROBIC		Genus IV. Aerococcus	196
COCCI	170	Genus V. Gemella	196
	170	b. Anaerobic	
Family I. Veillonellceae	170	Family III. Peptococcaceae	196
Genus I. Veillonella	170	Genus I. Peptococcus	197
Genus II. Acidaminococcus	171	Genus II. Peptostreptococcus	198
Genus III. Megasphaera	172	Genus III. Ruminococcus	199
•		Genus IV. Sarcina	200
PART 12.			
GRAM-NEGATIVE CHEMO-		PART 15.	
LITHOTROPHIC BACTERIA ,	173	ENDOSPORE-FORMING RODS	
a. Organisms oxidizing ammonia or	173	AND COECI	201
nitrite	173	Family I. Bacillaceae	201
Family I. Nitrobacteraceae	174	Genus I. Bacillus	201
Genus I. Nitrobacter	174 .	Genus II. Sporolactobacillus	208
Genus II. Nitrospina	175	Genus III. Clostridium	208
Genus III. Nitrococcus	175	Genus IV. Desulfotomaculum	216
Genus IV. Nitrosomonas	175	Genus V. Sporosarcina	217
Genus V. Nitrosospira	175	Genus of Uncertain Affiliation	217
Genus VI. Nitrosococcus	176	Genus Oscillospira	217
Genus VII. Nitrosolobus	176	Genus Oscillospira	411
b. Organisms metabolizing sulfur	177	•	
Genus Thiobacillus	178	PART 16.	
Genus Sulfolobus	179	GRAM-POSITIVE, ASPOROGE-	•
Genus Thiobacterium	179	NOUS ROD-SHAPED BACTERIA	218
Genus Macromonas	179	Family I. Lactobacillaceae	218
Genus Thiovulum	179	Genus I. Lactobacillus.	218
Genus Thiospira	179	Genera of Uncertain Affiliation	222
c. Organisms depositing iron or	0	Genus Listeria	222
		- DINGE IN	222

Genus	Erysipelothrix	223	Family VIII. Micromonosporaceae	303
Genus	Caryophanon	223	Genus I. Micromonospora	303
			Genus II. Thermoactinomyces	305
			Genus III. Actinobifida	305
ART 17.			Genus IV. Thermomonospora	306
CTINON	IYCETES AND		Genus V. Microbispora	306
ELATE	D ORGANISMS	224	Genus VI. Micropolyspora	306
Corynefor	rm Group of Bacteria	224	Genus VI. Interopolysport	000
Genus	I. Corynebacterium	227		
a. Hu	man and Animal Parasites		PART 18.	
	Pathogens	227	THE RICKETTSIAS	308
	nt Pathogenic Corynebac-	22.	Order I. Rickettsiales	308
	a	228		309
c Nor	n-pathogenic Corynebacteria	229	Family I. Rickettsiaceae	309
_		229	Tribe I. Rickettsieae	
Genus	II. Arthrobacter		Genus I. Rickettsia	309
Genera	incertae sedis	231	Genus II. Rochalimaea	311
	Brevibacterium	231	Genus III. Coxiella	311
_	Microbacterium	231	Tribe II. Ehrlichieae	311
Genus	III. Cellulomonas	232	Genus IV. Ehrlichia	312
Genus	IV. Kurthia	_233	Genus V. Cowdria	312
Family :	I. Propionibacteriaceae	233	Genus VI. Neorickettsia	312
Genus	I. Propionibacterium	233	Tribe III. Wolbachieae	312
Genus	II. Eubacterium	238	Genus VII. Wolbachia	313
Order I.	Actinomycetales	248	Genus VIII. Symbiotes	313
Family !	I. Actinomycetaceae	249	Genus IX. Blattabacterium	313
Genus	I. Actinomyces	250	Genus X. Rickettsiella	314
Genus	II. Arachnia	253	Family II. Bartonellaceae	314
Genus	III. Bifidobacterium	253	Genus I. Bartonella	314
Genus	IV. Bacterionema	254	Genus II. Grahamella	314
Genus	V. Rothia	255	Family IIII. Anaplasmataceae	315
	II. Mycobacteriaceae	255	Genus I. Anaplasma	315
Genus	I. Mycobacterium	255	Genus II. Paranaplasma	316
	III. Frankiaceae	261	Genus III. Aegyptianella	316
	I. Frankia	262		317
Genus		263		317
	IV. Actinoplanaceae		Genus V. Eperythrozoon	
Genus	I. Actinoplanes	265	Order II. Chlamydiales	318
Genus	II. Spirillospora	265	Family I. Chlamydiaceae	318
Genus	III. Streptosporangium	266	Genus I. Chlamydia	318
Genus	IV. Amorphosporangium .	267		
Genus	V. Ampullariella	267		
Genus	VI. Pilimelia	268	PART 19.	
Genus	VII. Planomonospora	268	THE MYCOPLASMAS	320
Genus	VIII. Planobispora	268	Class I. Mollicutes	320
Genus	IX. Dactylosporangium	268	Order I. Mycoplasmatales	320
Genus	X. Kitasatoa	269	Family I. Mycoplasmataceae	321
Family	V. Dermatophilaceae	270	Genus I. Mycoplasma	321
Genus	I. Dermatophilus	270	Family II. Acholeplasmataceae	323
Genus	II. Geodermatophilus	270	Genus I. Acholeplasma	323
	VI. Nocardiaceae	271	Genera of Uncertain Affiliation	324
Genus	I. Nocardia	271	Genus Thermoplasma	324
Genus	II. Pseudonocardia	274	Genus Spiroplasma	324
	VII. Streptomycetaceae	275	Genup Spiropusiiu	- W1
Genus	I. Streptomyces	270		
Genus	II. Streptoniyees	297	Annondiose	
Genus	III. Sporichthya	302	Appendices	226
Genus		302	Glossary	326
Genus	IV. Microellobosporia	902	Index of Scientific Names	333

INTRODUCTION

A Place for Bacteria in the Living World

R. G. E. MURRAY

"What's in a name? that which we call a rose By any other name would smell as sweet" Romeo and Juliet, Act II. W. Shakespeare

One of the most difficult tasks for the Editor-Trustees of all previous editions of Bergey's Manual was the provision of an intelligible and recognizable description of the bacteria as a taxon and a scientifically acceptable placing among the realms of living things. Without doubt most thoughtful bacteriologist-taxonomists since the time of Ferdinand Cohn have been convinced on an intuitive base and slender morphological evidence, that there was something unique about bacteria and that a degree of morphological similarity existed between the bacteria (Schizomycetes) and the blue-green algae (Cyanophyceae or Schizophyceae) whatever the arrangements made at the highest hierarchical levels. This was recognized in the many and various versions of the familiar classifications of the living world by placing these two taxa together as equivalent classes in the Division Protophyta of the Plant Kingdom, as was done in the 7th edition. A consequence of the morphological alliance, together with the evident physiological similarity of photosynthesis by the blue-green algae to that of plants, was a continuing adherence to descriptions in a botanical context. The definition of the Schizomycetes in the 7th edition was framed in terms of this long established prejudice despite misgivings (see 7th edition, p. 9). The essential operative statement is that the bacteria are "typically unicellular plants," which was not then and certainly is not now defensible in terms of the description of a typical or idealized plant cell. In fact, it is surely true that the intuitive feeling of the bacteriologist rejected this view, but without solid evidence to buttress his faltering steps, as shown by the acceptance and continual refinement of the Code of Racteriological Nomenclature independent of the Botanical Code. Resolution of the dilemma became possible as soon as the microbes could be described in terms of cellular organization.

The change in our view of the nature of bacteria was slow in coming to full expression. It derives from the application of three sets of experimental-observational approaches to the microbial world and bacteria in particular: (1) comparative cytology using the light microscope and classical staining methods to describe the form and behavior of the DNA-containing portions of nuclei; (2) the development of appropriate techniques of electron microscopy for the extension of comparative cytology to the ultrastructural level; and (3) the extension of biochemical and biophysical observations to the definition of unique features of cellular organization.

Cytologists who studied the protists became aware that the chromatin of bacteria, which they assumed correctly to be the equivalents of nuclei, was markedly different in appearance and behavior during segregation in comparison to the nuclei and component chromosomes of other protists, plant and animal cells. This was sufficient for some to make fresh attempts to circumscribe a taxon at the highest level to include the bacteria. Among these were the proposals of Copeland (1938), Stanier and van Niel (1941) and Whittaker (1959). In essence, these proposals (derived in part from attempts to rationalize Haeckel's concept of the Protists) placed the bacteria in a kingdom of anucleate organisms in contrast to those with true nuclei. Stanier and van Niel (1941) invoked two other negative features—the seeming absence of sexual reproduction and of plastids; however, it was soon to be established that photosynthetic bacteria possessed chromatophores derived from the plasma membrane and that the bacterial genome was capable of recombinative processes. Most significant of all, early electron microscopic observations of sections of cells showed that the genophore of the bacteria and the blue-green algae consisted of a nucleoplasm that was not separated from the cytoplasm by a nuclear membrane as found in fungi, protozoa, plants and animal cells. Further structural and biochemical studies established new constellations of features unique to bacterial cells in the form of heteropolymers and constituent molecules of the intimate make-up of cell walls (see Salton, 1964). In the face of this level of understanding, the kingdom designations of Mychota (Enderlein, 1925) or Monera (Copeland. 1938) were not appropriate in their definitions. However, Chatton (1937) had proposed a most appropriate conceptual basis for taxa at the highest level by recognizing two general patterns of cellular organization—the procaryotes and the eucaryotes. The truth of this prescient generalization was recognized by Stanier (1961) and is now amply supported by a wealth of data derived from comparative cytology involving microscopical, biochemical and physiological approaches. Stanier and van Niel (1962) put the matter in clear perspective, with an accompanying statement of the evidence: "The distinctive property of bacteria and blue-green algae is the procaryotic nature of their cells." Furthermore, it was possible to justify the use of the inclusive term, the cell, for the unit of structure of both procaryotic and eucaryotic organisms as an expression of equivalence of function; the differences between the broad groupings concern the detailed organization of the cellular machinery. The broad canvas was now sketched in and the bacteria could be confidently placed in perspective with other cellular organisms. The viruses, alone among organisms, remain without a clear position in the scheme of things.

There is no real need to repeat here all the arguments that have been presented to embroider and support the cytological recognition of procaryotic organization as the cornerstone for a coherent view of the nature of bacteria. The case has been made several times (Stanier, 1961; Stanier and van Niel, 1962; Murray, 1962; Allsopp, 1969; Stanier, 1970) and the student should consult these essays for details and references.

The essential features are:

I. The nature of the genophore (a term used by Ris (1961) to avoid the connotations of "chromosome" and "nucleus") constituting the morphologically distinct nucleoplasm of the procaryotic cell. This consists of a skein of double-stranded DNA fibrils that is not separated from cytoplasm by any membranous boundary. Structural and genetic evidence, based on bacterial examples, indicate that the genophore is in the form of a closed loop (often described as a "circular chromosome") and the constituent genes form a single linkage group. The fibrils are not associated in any regular way with a protein in contrast to the histones of the eucaryotic chromosome. For the moment it must be assumed, because of fragmentary genetic or cytogenetic evidence, that the morphologically similar nucleoplasm of blue-green algae has parallel properties.

II. The lack of unit membrane-bounded cytoplasmic organelles is the second most important distinction of the procaryotes. This belies their metabolic diversity and the simplest form is provided by some bacteria whose "membrane system" consists of the plasma membrane alone, smoothly enclosing the protoplasm. Simple intrusions of membrane are common and complex systems of unit membranes do exist (e.g. for photosynthesis, nitrification, etc.), but in all cases there is good support for the view that they are derived by invagination of the plasma membrane into the cytoplasm and in most cases the connection is maintained. There is a possible exception in the blue-green algae whose photosynthetic apparatus is located in an extensive system of thylakoids, which do not appear to be in direct continuity with the plasma membrane.

III. A further distinction attributable to all procaryotes is that the ribosomes are of the small 70 S type as opposed to the consistently larger 80 S ribosomes of the eucaryotes (Taylor and Storck, 1964). They are distributed in the cytoplasm and are not arrayed on membranes as in the endoplasmic reticulum of eucaryotes.

There are a number of positive characters that can be considered as features of many but not all procaryotes, which further strengthen the distinction. For example (1) cell walls are not confined to procaryotes but the components are unusual to a degree that is taxonomically significant. Without doubt the now well known peptidoglycan (murein or mucopeptide) component of the cell walls,

shared by the majority of bacteria and the blue-green algae, is a uniquely constituted heteropolymer with distinctive subunits in both the amino sugar backbone (muramic acid) and the peptide (p-amino acids and unusual diamino acids) portions. It cannot be used to characterize the procarvotes because the wall-less Mycoplasma and exceptional bacteria (e.g. Halobacterium) do not possess it. Other constituents may yet prove to have taxonomic value but the peptidoglycan is particularly important as a bridging character with the blue-green algae. (2) Flagella are distinctive organs of swimming motility confined to certain genera of bacteria. This complex tubular assembly of protein subunits with an elaborate anchorage in both wall and plasma membrane cannot be confused with the cilia of eucaryotes. (3) Gas vacuoles are common in blue-green algae and are found in a few widely separated genera of bacteria. Chlorobium vesicles are unique containers for the chlorophylls and carotenoids of the green bacteria. What they have in common is that they are organellar inclusions that are not bounded by unit membranes but rather by special single layers. They are without counterpart among eucaryotes.

It is no longer possible to support two negative features that have been proposed as characterizing procaryotes: lack of cytoplasmic microtubules and an inability to synthesize sterols. It is now apparent that some *Treponema* may possess microtubules and sterols have been isolated from some *Mycoplasma* and blue-green algae.

Bacteria are remarkable for an extraordinary variety of metabolic mechanisms and, particularly, for a wide range of anaerobic energy-yielding reactions; these are in marked contrast overall to the glycolysis utilized by the eucaryotic cell. The result is that many bacteria are obligate anaerobes and share this condition of existence with only a few protozoa among the eucaryotes. Apart from this generalization and the synthesis of unique cell wall polymers, already mentioned, it, should be noted that the ability to fix mitrogen and to accumulate poly- β -hydroxybutyrate as a reserve material are metabolic attributes widely distributed among procaryotes but completely absent from eucaryotes.

The fossil record, although indicative of microbial life long ages before recognizable complex forms of life appeared, is not able to tell us anything of the order of appearance and thus contribute to phylogeny. Those groupings that we can observe today seem likely to represent a coherent segment of the terminal branches of an evolutionary tree. Photosynthesis probably originated when the procaryotic stem was already well developed. The precise forms of photosynthesis represent the derivatives of, most likely, a single evolutionary event (Stanier, 1970) since many elements of the machinery are common to all existing phototrophs.

It has been pointed out by Stanier (1970) that the plasma membrane of procaryotic organisms is not adapted to the transfer of particulates or large molecules either in or out of the living cell; indeed, transforming DNA fragments are about the only example. Furthermore, there is no evidence for endocytosis (viz. phagocytosis and pinocytosis) and its directional counterpart, exocytosis, as mechanisms of import or export of soluble material or particulates. Yet this is a very generalized characteristic of eucaryotic cells. Many protozoa ingest pro-

caryotic organisms as food into food vacuoles. It is not surprising, then, that a good number of stable associations have been set up so that distinctly recognizable endosymbionts are characteristic of the cytoplasm of certain cells. These are also enclosed in a sac of host cell membrane. The most notable studies are on the endosymbionts of Paramecium aurelia. Although they are generally not cultivable, many of them are structurally recognizable as procaryotes and some even exhibit bacterial flagella and bacteriophages of the tailed type (Beale et al., 1969; Preer et al., 1972). Some endosymbionts have the characteristics of a eucaryotic green alga (as in Paramecium bursaria) and other protozoa have bluegreen inclusions (cyanelles) that have been interpreted, with insufficient rigor, as blue-green algae. It is clear that endosymbiotic associations are widespread in a great variety of metazoa (Buchner, 1965), as well as in the vascular plants, such as the well known Rhizobium association in the root nodules of legumes. Identification, description and classification rests, in the absence of verifiable cultivation, on morphological and biochemical features. It appears that the capacity to take up external cells brings with it the possibility of endosymbiosis. No stable endosymbiosis within procaryotes has yet been identified. (Bacterial parasitism by Bdellovibrio is best described as a nonsymbiotic multiplication between cell wall and protoplast causing death of the host.)

One of the excitements of recent years is the realization that the mitochondria and the plastids of cucaryotes could represent the most extreme form of endosymbiotic parasitism by procaryotes. These unit membrane-bounded structures have physical, genetic and biochemical features that suggest the possibility of their procaryotic nature; furthermore, the DNA from the very small genophore can be isolated in circular form and has a very different base composition in comparison with that of the host cell. At this stage the observations provide the base for fascinating and possibly unverifiable speculation concerning an event (or set of events) of the greatest significance in eucaryotic evolution (see Stanier, 1970; Allsopp, 1969).

There is little doubt, at this time and in the face of the arguments that have been presented, that biologists can accept the division of cellular life (as opposed to virus "life") into two groupings at the highest level expressing the encompassing characters of procaryotic and eucaryotic cellular organization. Those who have written concerning the topic have generally avoided committing themselves to a formal nomenclature. So far as we know there are only two formal proposals, both based on recognizable descriptions. Murray (1968) proposed Procaryotae as a taxon "at the highest level" and described it as "a kingdom of microbes . . . characterized by the possession of nucleoplasm devoid of basic protein and not bounded from cytoplasm by a nuclear membrane." Eucarvotae was suggested as a possible taxon at the same level to include other protists. plants and animals. Allsopp (1969) declared that these groups merit the status of "kingdom or even superkingdom" and he proposed with an extended assessment of characters that the Kingdoms should be Procaryota and Eucaryota (Allsopp, 1969, 607). The Bergey's Manual Trust has discussed these names and the various alternatives on many occasions and agreed that Procaryotae was the most appropriate, as a plural feminine noun, for such a taxon.