

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN COMPUTATIONAL ENGINEERING



73127
A791

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN COMPUTATIONAL ENGINEERING

Editor

M. KLEIBER

Professor of Applied and Computational Mechanics
Institute of Fundamental Technological Research
Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw



ELLIS HORWOOD

NEW YORK LONDON TORONTO SYDNEY TOKYO SINGAPORE

9350037

9350037



First published in 1990 by
ELLIS HORWOOD LIMITED
Market Cross House, Cooper Street,
Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 1EB, England

A division of
Simon & Schuster International Group

© Ellis Horwood Limited, 1990

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission in writing, from the publisher

Typeset in Times by Ellis Horwood Limited
Printed and bound in Great Britain
by Hartnolls, Bodmin

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Artificial intelligence in computational engineering.
1. Engineering. Applications of artificial intelligence
I. Kleiber, M.
620'.0028'563
ISBN 0-13-048273-0

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Artificial intelligence in computational engineering /
editor, M. Kleiber.
p. cm.
ISBN 0-13-048273-0
1. Engineering mathematics — Data processing.
2. Artificial intelligence. I. Kleiber, Michael
TA331.A77 1990
620'.001'51-dc20

89-71701
CIP

Y800288

ELLIS HORWOOD SERIES IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Series Editor: J. M. ALEXANDER, formerly Stocker Visiting Professor of Engineering and Technology, Ohio University, Athens, USA, and Professor of Applied Mechanics, Imperial College of Science and Technology, University of London

The series has two objectives: of satisfying the requirements of postgraduate and mid-career engineers, and of providing clear and modern texts for more basic undergraduate topics. It is also the intention to include English translations of outstanding texts from other languages, introducing works of international merit. Ideas for enlarging the series are always welcomed.

- Alexander, J.M.
Alexander, J.M., Brewer, R.C. & Rowe, G.
Alexander, J.M., Brewer, R.C. & Rowe, G.
Atkins A.G. & Mai, Y.W.
Beards, C.F.
Beards, C.F.
Beards, C.F.
Beards, C.F.
Besant, C.B. & Lui, C.W.K.
Borkowski, J. and Szymanski, A.
Borkowski, J. and Szymanski, A.
Brook, R. and Howard, I.C.
Cameron, A.
Collar, A.R. & Simpson, A.
Cookson, R.A. & El-Zafrawy, A.
Cookson, R.A. & El-Zafrawy, A.
Ding, O.L. & Davies, B.J.
Edmunds, H.G.
Fenner, D.N.
Fenner, R.T.
Ford, Sir Hugh, FRS, & Alexander, J.M.
Gallagher, C.C. & Knight, W.A.
Gohar, R.
Gosman, B.E., Launder, A.D. & Reece, G.
Gunasekera, J.S.
Haddad, S.D. & Watson, N.
Haddad, S.D. & Watson, N.
Haddad, S.D.
Hunt, S.E.
Irons, B.M. & Ahmad, S.
Irons, B.M. & Shrive, N.G.
Johnson, W. & Mellor, P.B.
Kleiber, M.
Kleiber, M. & Breitkopf, P.
- Leech, D.J. & Turner, B.T.
Lewins, J.D.
Malkin, S.
Malthack, J.C.
McCloy, D. & Martin, H.R.
Oszczyka, A.
Oxley, P.L.B.
Piszcak, K. and Nizioł, J.
Polanski, S.
Prentis, J.M.
Renton, J.D.
Richards, T.H.
Ross, C.T.F.
Ross, C.T.F.
Ross, C.T.F.
Ross, C.T.F.
Roy, D. N.
Roznowski, T.
Sawczuk, A.
Sherwin, K.
Stupnicki, J.
Szczepinski, W. & Szlagowski, J.
Thring, M.W.
Walshaw, A.C.
Williams, J.G.
Williams, J.G.
- Strength of Materials: Vol. 1: Fundamentals; Vol. 2: Applications**
Manufacturing Technology Volume 1: Engineering Materials
Manufacturing Technology Volume 2: Engineering Processes
Elastic and Plastic Fracture
Vibration Analysis and Control System Dynamics
Structural Vibration Analysis
Noise Control
Vibrations and Control Systems
Computer-aided Design and Manufacture, 3rd Edition
Technology of Abrasives and Abrasive Tools
Uses of Abrasives and Abrasive Tools
Introductory Fracture Mechanics
Basic Lubrication Theory, 3rd Edition
Matrices and Engineering Dynamics
Finite Element Techniques for Engineering Analysis
Techniques of the Boundary Element Method
Surface Engineering Geometry for Computer-aided Design and Manufacture
Mechanical Foundations of Engineering Science
Engineering Stress Analysis
Engineering Elasticity
Advanced Mechanics of Materials, 2nd Edition
Group Technology Production Methods in Manufacture
Elastohydrodynamics
Computer-aided Engineering: Heat Transfer and Fluid Flow
CAD/CAM of Dies
Principles and Performance in Diesel Engineering
Design and Applications in Diesel Engineering
Advanced Diesel Engineering and Operation
Nuclear Physics for Engineers and Scientists
Techniques of Finite Elements
Finite Element Primer
Engineering Plasticity
Incremental Finite Element Modelling in Non-linear Solid Mechanics
Finite Element Methods in Structural Engineering:
Turbo Pascal Programs for Microcomputers
Engineering Design for Profit
Engineering Thermodynamics
Materials Grinding: Theory and Applications of Machining with Abrasives
Dynamics in Engineering
Control of Fluid Power: Analysis and Design, 2nd (Revised) Edition
Multicriterion Optimisation in Engineering
The Mechanics of Machining
Random Vibration of Mechanical Systems
Bulk Containers: Design and Engineering of Surfaces and Shapes
Dynamics of Mechanical Systems, 2nd Edition
Applied Elasticity
Energy Methods in Vibration Analysis
Computational Methods in Structural and Continuum Mechanics
Finite Element Programs for Axisymmetric Problems in Engineering
Finite Element Methods in Structural Mechanics
Applied Stress Analysis
Advanced Applied Stress Analysis
Applied Fluid Mechanics
Moving Heat Sources in Thermoelasticity
Mechanics and Plasticity of Structures
Engineering Design for Performance
Stress Measurement by Photoelastic Coating
Plastic Design of Complex Shape Structured Elements
Robots and Telechairs
Mechanical Vibrations with Applications
Fracture Mechanics of Polymers
Stress Analysis of Polymers 2nd (Revised) Edition

Contents

List of contributors	7
Preface	9
PART I — ASSESSMENT OF STRUCTURAL BEHAVIOUR	13
1 OSEIS: A system for deriving qualitative seismic behaviour of structural systems from structural descriptions	15
J. Ganguly, E. Kausel, D. Sriram (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)	
2 Knowledge-based systems in engineering risk control	37
D. I. Blockley (University of Bristol)	
3 Structural assessment in a combined symbolic-numeric environment	59
T. Krauthammer (University of Minnesota)	
4 A blackboard consultation system for constitutive modelling in solid mechanics	75
J. R. Ambroziak, M. Kleiber (Polish Academy of Sciences)	
5 Interactive control of non-linear finite element calculations by an expert system	97
P. Wriggers (Universität Hannover), N. Tarnow (University of California at Berkeley)	
6 Concepts for the application of AI techniques in computational mechanics	121
D. Hartmann (Ruhr Universität Bochum)	
PART II — DESIGN OPTIMIZATION	133
7 On a knowledge-based user interface for the structural optimization system LAGRANGE	135
K. Schittkowski (Universität Bayreuth), R. Zotemantel (Messerschmit-Bölkow-Blohm GmbH)	
8 Statistical machine learning for the cognitive selection of non-linear programming algorithms in engineering design optimization	147
D. A. Hoeltzel, W., H. Chieng (Columbia University)	

6 TABLE OF CONTENTS

9	A knowledge-based expert system for selection of slab type for multistory buildings	159
	M. L. Das (University of Lowell), S. K. Ghosh (Portland Cement Association)	
10	Consultative expert systems for finite element based analysis and design of structure systems	181
	P. Hajela (University of Florida), J. L. Chen (National Cheng Kung University)	
11	A knowledge-based framework for constraint activity identification in optimal design of aircraft structures	209
	P. Y. Papalambros (The University of Michigan)	
12	Using artificial intelligence in an open software architecture for modelling in engineering	227
	O. Aunay, S. Aunay, D. Chorlay, G. Touzot, M. Vaysade (Université de Technologie de Compiègne)	
	Index	253

List of contributors

Jacek R. Ambroziak

Institute of Fundamental Technological Research, Polish Academy of Sciences, Świątokrzyska 21, PL-00049 Warsaw, Poland

Olivier Aunay

Université de Technologie de Compiègne, Modèles Numériques en Mécanique, BP 649, 60206 Compiègne Cédex, France

Sylvain Aunay

Université de Technologie de Compiègne, Modèles Numériques en Mécanique, BP 649, 60206 Compiègne Cédex, France

D. I. Blockley

Department of Civil Engineering, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TR, UK

Jahau Lewis Chen

Department of Mechanical Engineering, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

W. H. Chieng

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

Dominique Chorlay

Université de Technologie de Compiègne, Modèles Numériques en Mécanique, BP 649, 60206 Compiègne Cédex, France

Mukti L. Das

Department of Civil Engineering, University of Lowell, Lowell, MA 01854, USA

Jaideep Ganguly

Department of Civil Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Satyen K. Ghosh

Engineered Structures, Portland Cement Association, Skokie, IL, USA

8 LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Prabhat Hajela

Department of Aerospace Engineering, Mechanics and Engineering Science, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 3261, USA

D. Hartmann

Fakultät für Bauingenieurwesen, Ruhr Universität Bochum, 4630 Bochum 1, FRG

D. A. Hoeltzel

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

Eduardo Kausel

Department of Civil Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Michał Kleiber

Institute of Fundamental Technological Research, Polish Academy of Sciences, Świątokrzyska 21, PL-00049 Warsaw, Poland

Theodor Krauthammer

Department of Civil and Mineral Engineering, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

Panos Y. Papalambros

Department of Mechanical Engineering and Applied Mechanics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2125, USA

K. Schittkowski

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bayreuth, 8580 Bayreuth, FRG

Duvvuru Sriram

Department of Civil Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

N. Tarnow

Department of Civil Engineering, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Gilbert Touzot

Université de Technologie de Compiègne, Modèles Numériques en Mécanique, BP 649, 60206 Compiègne Cédex, France

Michel Vayssade

Université de Technologie de Compiègne, Modèles Numériques en Mécanique, BP 649, 60206 Compiègne Cédex, France

P. Wriggers

Institut für Baumechanik und Numerische Mechanik, Universität Hannover, 3000 Hannover, FRG

R. Zotemantel

Messerschmidt-Bölkow-Blohm GmbH, Aircraft Division, 8012 Otto-brunn, FRG

Preface

Despite all the reservations about what is loosely called artificial intelligence (AI) which are still being expressed in the community of researchers and engineers working in the field of computational engineering, there can be little doubt that AI will have in the future a major impact on the engineering profession. Although the computer-based technologies of the 1970s have provided effective tools for the development of useful large-scale programs in almost every field of engineering, these technologies are clearly not adequate to deal with all such problems and there is enough evidence that at least some of them may be treated more effectively by completely new computer methods. From robotics to risk control and process diagnosis, from natural language processing to structural design optimization, few engineering disciplines seem to be able ultimately to escape the possibilities offered by the AI-based computing systems of the future.

Methods of computational engineering have been traditionally based on formalisms and laws of quantitative knowledge, which make it possible to formulate problem-governing equations and to work out analytical or numerical solution techniques for them. However, apart from the science of quantitative knowledge, it has been widely recognized that the art of qualitative knowledge is indispensable for solving large compound problems in modern engineering. Qualitative knowledge, as a rule employed only in the 'background' and thus virtually inaccessible to the casual observer, is decisive in splitting the overall problem into subtasks and in handling problems that are too difficult to describe in the precise language of formal theories. Typical problems we have in mind are those without purely algorithmic solutions such as preliminary and detailed design, large optimization problems, controlling parameters in large-scale non-linear computations and risk assessment.

So far, no monograph on the use of AI concepts in engineering has been published and the available publications are casually scattered over journals, conference proceedings and internal reports. By providing a collection of very representative articles this volume has been prepared to accelerate the closing of the communication gap between scientists working in different engineering areas and those using AI concepts. Particular emphasis is placed on subjects which traditionally rely on novel computer techniques such as

computational mechanics, computer-aided design and optimization methods. The chapters included address successful applications of AI techniques to solve realistic engineering problems.

Because of the novelty of the subject, its enormous scope and the lack of broadly accepted methodologies, it was difficult to come up with a division of the volume into parts grouping contributions dealing with separate, clear-cut topics. The most logical structure of the book was finally believed to be achieved by splitting it into Part I, which groups contributions dealing with problems of engineering analysis, and Part II consisting of chapters devoted to design optimization.

The book opens with Chapter 1, written by J. Ganguly, E. Kausel and D. Sriram. They describe a knowledge-based system that can provide expert advice on the seismic behaviour of structural systems. The nature of the knowledge structures and the reasoning mechanisms that are involved in the domain of seismic engineering are discussed. The system has been implemented in PROLOG while procedural tasks are implemented in C. The system is capable of understanding restricted natural language input.

Work towards the development of a knowledge-based system which might be an aid in the management of the safety of a project is described in Chapter 2 by D. I. Blockley. Case histories of projects are collected in the form of event sequence diagrams into a hierarchically structured set of concepts and relations. An open-world mathematics of interval probability as a theory of evidential support is introduced.

T. Krauthammer in Chapter 3 puts emphasis on the need to combine symbolic and numeric approaches in order to assess realistically structural damage following a major disaster, such as a flood or an explosive event. A risk analysis of a concrete gravity dam illustrates the general discussion of engineering evaluation for hazard prevention.

A consultation system for constitutive modelling of materials in solid mechanics is discussed in Chapter 4 by J. Ambroziak and M. Kleiber. Selection of a material model (i.e. of equations describing the material's thermo-mechanical properties) is an important task in correctly posing and solving boundary value problems typical of the non-linear mechanics of deformable bodies. The authors critically discuss features routinely present in existing expert system shells and explain reasons for employing a version of the blackboard framework in this work.

P. Wriggers and N. Tarnow indicate in Chapter 5 that controlling finite element algorithms by expert systems may turn out to be highly beneficial in cases where calculations do not depend entirely on algorithms but also on heuristic data. In the implementation discussed in this work an interface relates the numerical results of finite element computations to the rules of an expert system. Complex non-linear calculations illustrate the effectiveness of the coupled system.

In the closing chapter of Part I of this volume D. Hartmann identifies areas within the computational mechanics field in which expert system applications seem to be promising. A pilot program in the form of a 'solution assistant' for the numerical analysis of plane stress problems is described.

Part II of the book starts with Chapter 7 by K. Schittkowski and R. Zotemantel in which they introduce an interactive programming system that supports the whole life-cycle of the solution process of a mechanical structural optimization problem. Given the geometry of the structure by means of a finite element description, the system guides the user to define an appropriate optimization problem. The system is self-learning and uses rules for proposing a suitable optimization algorithm or remedies in an error situation.

The concept of statistical machine learning has been applied by D. A. Hoeltzel and W. H. Chieng in Chapter 8 to a sample database of non-linear programming problems. Conclusions have been drawn about a type of optimization problem and a corresponding sequence of non-linear programming solution algorithms. A program with the capability of learning from statistical pattern recognition is discussed.

M. L. Das and S. K. Ghosh emphasize in Chapter 9 that heuristics have always been used in multistory building design. In this contribution the authors attempt to formalize the use of some of these heuristics by presenting a workable knowledge-based expert system for selection of the cast-in-place slab type of multistory buildings.

In Chapter 10 P. Hajela and J. L. Chen describe the implementation of an expert system that provides interactive assistance in tasks related to the optimum synthesis of structural systems. These tasks include finite element modelling of the problem domain, building an optimum design model and selection of optimization strategies and parameters for the problem.

P. Y. Papalambros takes as a starting point in Chapter 11 the fact that the overall computational cost in optimal design is dominated by function and gradient evaluations of the constraint functions. This necessitates the use of active set strategies where only a subset of the original constraint set is used for computation in any particular iteration. A framework for developing knowledge-based active set strategies is described, motivated in part by application to aircraft structures.

In the closing chapter, D. Aunay, S. Aunay, D. Chorlay, G. Touzot and M. Vayssade emphasize that the coupling of existing AI and numerical programs implies several difficulties and conflicts. A new software architecture is proposed, which is designed to simplify the implementation of coupled methods. The architecture is based on an object-oriented data manager and on a stretchable set of independent commands. Two specific examples are considered to illustrate the chapter: the first deals with an intelligent user interface and the second with shape optimization under technological constraints.

The book should attract the interest of researchers, graduate students and innovative practitioners who employ computer techniques in the fields of mechanical, aeronautical and civil engineering and design. Computer scientists will find in the book a useful overview of several up-to-date applications of AI.

Michał Kleiber



PART I
Assessment of
Structural Behaviour

1

QSEIS: a system for deriving qualitative seismic behaviour of structural systems from structural descriptions

J. Ganguly, E. Kausel and D. Sriram
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

1. INTRODUCTION

The analysis and design of complex or critical facilities, such as tall buildings, nuclear power plants, offshore structures, or cable-stayed bridges, requires that these facilities be made safe against the effect of earthquake-induced loads in seismic areas. The various stages involved in the design of these facilities are as follows:

- (1) *Problem identification.* The problem, necessary resources, target technology, etc., are identified.
- (2) *Specification generation.* Design requirements and performance specifications are listed.
- (3) *Concept generation.* The selection or synthesis of potential design solutions, such as a structural system, is performed. Several alternative designs may be proposed.
- (4) *Analysis.* The response of the system to external effects is determined by means of appropriate models.
- (5) *Evaluation.* Solutions generated during the concept generation stage are evaluated for consistency with respect to the specifications. If several designs are feasible, then an appropriate evaluation function is used to determine the best.
- (6) *Detailed design.* The components of the chosen system are such that all applicable constraints (or specifications) are satisfied.
- (7) *Design review.* The detailed design is checked for global consistency.

There may be significant deviations between the properties of components assumed or generated at the concept generation stage and those determined at the detailed design stage, which would necessitate a reanalysis. The process continues until a satisfactory or optimal design is obtained.

9350037

Currently, several sophisticated computer programs exist that allow us to perform analysis and design of complex structures. However, none of these programs has any reasoning capability. Users of numerical packages must anticipate the behaviour prior to analysis. The purpose of the analysis is usually to confirm the anticipated behaviour. Furthermore, the responsibility of validating the computer outputs lies entirely with the user.

A number of human mental activities, such as developing computer programs, performing symbolic mathematical manipulations, engaging in commonsense reasoning, processing natural language, making engineering decisions, are all said to require 'intelligence'. Computer scientists have been fairly successful in developing prototypical computer programs for performing the above-mentioned tasks. One may say that such systems possess artificial intelligence (AI) to some extent. Ultimately the goal of AI is to develop an information processing theory of intelligence that can be used to design intelligent machines as well as explicate intelligent behaviour of human beings.

In the recent past, AI techniques in the form of knowledge-based expert systems (KBES) have begun to emerge from computer science laboratories into industrial settings. KBES are computer programs that use knowledge in a given field (formalized in a knowledge base) as well as inference procedures to address problems whose solutions require significant human expertise (an overview of KBES is provided in [20]). The KBES technology has been applied successfully to a wide variety of problems ranging from diagnosing diseases, evaluating potential mineral deposits, identifying structures of complex organic materials to designing buildings, VLSI chips, etc. (an extensive bibliography in engineering is provided in [20]). A major difficulty in developing KBES is in representing and using knowledge that human experts use in problem solving. This problem is compounded by the fact that human expertise is often empirical and even imprecise. Most KBES such as SACON [2,3], which was developed as an intelligent front end to a finite element analysis program, rely on a technique known as rule-based deduction. In such systems, the expert knowledge is represented as a large set of rules, and these rules are used to guide a conversation between the system and the user and finally to deduce a conclusion. Hence, current KBES are limited because they have little or no knowledge of the underlying physics of a problem. In addition, these KBES cannot reason about the behaviour of physical systems in a qualitative manner, as experienced engineers normally do.

In this paper, we describe QSEIS, a KBES, that can provide expert advice on the seismic behaviour of structural systems, based on heuristic expert knowledge and qualitative reasoning capabilities. We discuss the nature of knowledge structures and reasoning mechanisms that are involved in the domain of seismic engineering (section 2). QSEIS consists of a layered knowledge base and a friendly interface (section 3). The knowledge base consists of heuristic rules as well as more fundamental knowledge or laws based on principles of mechanics. The heuristic knowledge has been implemented as rules while an attempt has been made to implement the

7800288