



UNCLE SAM AND **US**

GLOBALIZATION,
NEOCONSERVATISM, AND
THE CANADIAN STATE

**STEPHEN
CLARKSON**

Uncle Sam and Us

512

F781

218

Globalization, Neoconservatism,
and the Canadian State

Stephen Clarkson

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO PRESS

Toronto Buffalo London

and

WOODROW WILSON CENTER PRESS

Washington, D.C.



Woodrow Wilson
Center Press
Washington, D.C.

© Stephen Clarkson 2002
University of Toronto Press Incorporated
Toronto Buffalo London
Woodrow Wilson Center Press
Washington, DC
www.wilsoncenter.org
Printed in Canada

ISBN 0-8020-3758-5 (cloth)
ISBN 0-8020-8539-3 (paper)



Printed on acid-free paper

National Library of Canada Cataloguing in Publication

Clarkson, Stephen, 1937–

Uncle Sam and us : globalization, neoconservatism, and the
Canadian state / Stephen Clarkson.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-8020-3758-5 (bound). ISBN 0-8020-8539-3 (pbk.)

1. Canada – Economic conditions – 1991– 2. Canada – Social
conditions – 1991– 3. Canada – Politics and government – 1993–
4. Conservatism – Canada. 5. Canada – Foreign relations – United
States. 6. United States – Foreign relations – Canada. 7. Globalization.
8. International economic integration. I. Title.

FC635 C59 2002 971.064'8 C2002-902596-6
F1034.2.C59 2002

University of Toronto Press acknowledges the financial assistance to its
publishing program of the Canada Council for the Arts and the Ontario
Arts Council.

University of Toronto Press acknowledges the financial support for its
publishing activities of the Government of Canada through the Book
Publishing Industry Development Program (BPIDP).

Other Books by Stephen Clarkson

Trudeau: L'illusion héroïque
(with Christina McCall). Montreal: Boréal, 1995.

Trudeau and Our Times. Volume 2: The Heroic Delusion
(with Christina McCall). Toronto: McClelland and Stewart, 1994.

Trudeau: L'homme, l'utopie, l'histoire
(with Christina McCall). Montreal: Boréal, 1990.

Trudeau and Our Times. Volume 1: The Magnificent Obsession
(with Christina McCall). Toronto: McClelland and Stewart, 1990.

Canada and the Reagan Challenge: Crisis and Adjustment, 1981–85. 2nd ed.
Toronto: James Lorimer, 1985.

The Soviet Theory of Development: India and the Third World in Marxist-Leninist Scholarship.
Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1978; London: Macmillan, 1979.

City Lib: Parties and Reform.
Toronto: Hakkert, 1972.

L'analyse soviétique des problèmes indiens du sous-développement (1955–64).
Paris and The Hague: Mouton, 1971.

Edited Volumes

Visions 2020: Fifty Canadians in Search of a Future.
Edmonton: Hurtig, 1970.

An Independent Foreign Policy for Canada?
University League for Social Reform
Toronto: McClelland and Stewart, 1968.

UNCLE SAM AND US

Globalization, Neoconservatism, and the Canadian State

Can Canada survive?

In response to widespread fear about the impact of globalization on Canada, Stephen Clarkson has written an erudite but highly readable book about Canadian government in a new era.

Taking as his yardstick the relatively generous and active state constructed under John Diefenbaker, Lester Pearson, and Pierre Trudeau, he proceeds to identify the changes – for better or for worse – that occurred under Brian Mulroney and Jean Chrétien, who signed transformative treaties and adopted right-wing policies.

Uncle Sam and Us shows how the prime institutions of the international economic order established in the 1990s – the North American Free Trade Agreement and the World Trade Organization – have reconstituted national governance in Canada. Taken together, NAFTA and the WTO impose on the federal government, the provinces, and their cities a ‘supraconstitution’ that has constrained authority that was once the exclusive preserve of democratically elected legislatures.

Clarkson looks at how the Canadian state’s principal economic functions have been altered. He tackles the issues that have the most powerful effect on Canadian society – those related to social, labour, environmental, and cultural policies. He also appraises the foreign-policy limits set by Canada’s vulnerability to ‘Uncle Sam,’ which was dramatized on September 11, 2001, when Washington temporarily blockaded all cross-border trade.

This comprehensive study concludes that the Canadian state has been weakened more by ideologues than by global forces. So the hope for restoring the quality of their society remains in the hands of Canadian voters, should they elect politicians who reaffirm values of social justice, ecological sustainability, and civic democracy. The clock can’t be turned back, but globalization can be humanized if citizens push their governments to rebalance the international rules that have unleashed transnational corporations while hobbling democracy.

STEPHEN CLARKSON is Professor of Political Economy at the University of Toronto. He is the author of *Canada and the Reagan Challenge* (1982), which won the John Porter prize, and co-author, with Christina McCall, of *Trudeau and Our Times, Vol. 1: The Magnificent Obsession* (1990), which won a Governor General’s Award, and *Trudeau and Our Times, Vol. 2: The Heroic Delusion* (1994), which won the John Dáfoe prize.

The Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars

Lee H. Hamilton, Director

Board of Trustees

Joseph A. Cari, Jr., Chair; Steven Alan Bennett, Vice Chair. Public Members: James H. Billington, Librarian of Congress; John W. Carlin, Archivist of the United States; Bruce Cole, Chair, National Endowment for the Humanities; Roderick R. Paige, Secretary, U.S. Department of Education; Colin L. Powell, Secretary, U.S. Department of State; Lawrence M. Small, Secretary, Smithsonian Institution; Tommy G. Thompson, Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Private Citizen Members: Carol Cartwright, John H. Foster, Jean L. Hennessey, Daniel L. Lamaute, Doris O. Matsui, Thomas R. Reedy, Nancy M. Zirkin

Wilson Council

Steven Kotler, President. Diane Aboulafia-D'Jaen, Charles S. Ackerman, B.B. Andersen, Cyrus A. Ansary, Charles F. Barber, Lawrence E. Bathgate II, John Beinecke, Joseph C. Bell, Richard E. Berkowitz, Charles W. Burson, Conrad Cafritz, Nicola L. Caiola, Raoul L. Carroll, Scott Carter, Albert V. Casey, Peter B. Clark, William T. Coleman, Jr., Michael D. DiGiacomo, Sheldon Drobny, F. Samuel Eberts III, J. David Eller, Sim Farar, Susan R. Farber, Charles Fox, Barbara Hackman Franklin, Morton Funger, Chris G. Gardiner, Eric Garfinkel, Bruce S. Gelb, Gordon D. Giffin, Steven J. Gilbert, Alma Gildenhorn, Joseph B. Gildenhorn, David F. Girard-diCarlo, Michael B. Goldberg, William E. Grayson, Raymond A. Guenter, Gerard T. Halpin, Edward L. Hardin, Jr., Carla A. Hills, Eric Hotung, Frances Humphrey Howard, John L. Howard, Darrell E. Issa, Jerry Jasinowski, Brenda LaGrange Johnson, Shelly Kamins, Edward W. Kelley, Jr., Anastasia D. Kelly, Christopher J. Kennan, Michael V. Kostiw, Paul Kranhold, William H. Kremer, Dennis LeVett, Harold O. Levy, David Link, David S. Mandel, John P. Manning, Edwin S. Marks, Jay Mazur, Robert McCarthy, Stephen G. McConahey, Donald F. McLellan, J. Kenneth Menges, Jr., Philip Merrill, Jeremiah L. Murphy, Martha T. Muse, Della M. Newman, John E. Osborne, Paul Hae Park, Gerald L. Parsky, Michael J. Polenske, Donald Robert Quartel, Jr., John L. Richardson, Margaret Milner Richardson, Larry D. Richman, Edwin Robbins, Robert G. Rogers, Otto Ruesch, B. Francis Saul III, Alan M. Schwartz, Timothy R. Scully, J. Michael Shepherd, George P. Shultz, Raja W. Sidawi, Deborah Siebert, Thomas L. Siebert, Kenneth Siegel, Ron Silver, William A. Slaughter, Thomas F. Stephenson, Wilmer Thomas, Norma Kline Tiefel, Mark C. Treanor, Christine M. Warnke, Ruth Westheimer, Pete Wilson, Deborah Wince-Smith, Herbert S. Winokur, Jr., Paul Martin Wolff, Joseph Zappala, Richard S. Ziman

About the Center

The Center is the living memorial of the United States of America to the nation's twenty-eighth president, Woodrow Wilson. Congress established the Woodrow Wilson Center in 1968 as an international institute for advanced study, "symbolizing and strengthening the fruitful relationship between the world of learning and the world of public affairs." The Center opened in 1970 under its own board of trustees.

In all its activities the Woodrow Wilson Center is a nonprofit, nonpartisan organization, supported financially by annual appropriations from the Congress, and by the contributions of foundations, corporations, and individuals. Conclusions or opinions expressed in Center publications and programs are those of the authors and speakers and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Center staff, fellows, trustees, advisory groups, or any individuals or organizations that provide financial support to the Center.

*This book on the state of the Canadian state
is dedicated to
Clare Margaret McCall Monahan
and to
Talía Chloë Clarkson Lewis
in the hope that it will be there for their generation
as it has been for their grandparents'*

Contents

- 1 Not Whether, but Which Canada Will Survive 3
- 2 The Peripheral State: Globalization and Continentalism 14

I The Polity: Reconstituting the Canadian State

CHANGE FROM WITHOUT

- 3 Continental and Global Governance 37
- 4 NAFTA and the WTO as Supraconstitution 49

CHANGE FROM WITHIN

- 5 The Federal State: Internal Trade and the Charter 75
- 6 The Municipal State: Megacity and the Greater Toronto Area 99

II The Economy: Reframing the State's Functions

THE MACRO ECONOMY AND THE MANAGERIAL STATE

- 7 The Taxing State: From Lord Keynes to Paul Martin 127
- 8 The Banking State and Global Financial Governance 138

THE OLIGOPOLISTIC ECONOMY AND THE REGULATORY STATE

- 9 Financial Services: National Champions at Risk 151
- 10 Telecoms: From Regional Monopolies to Global Oligopolies 169

THE EXTERNAL ECONOMY AND THE INTERNATIONALIZING STATE

- 11 The Trading State 187
- 12 The Investing State 203

THE MICROECONOMY AND THE INTERVENTIONIST STATE

- 13 The Residual State: Accommodation at the Federal Level 233
- 14 The Industrial State Goes Provincial 259

III The Society: The Contradictions of Neoconservatism

- 15 The Civil State: Social Policies under Strain 281
- 16 The Working State: Labour Relations under Stress 305
- 17 The (Un)sustainable State: Deregulating the Environment 329
- 18 The Cultured State: Broadcasting and Magazines 354
- 19 The Diplomatic State: Lockstep under Hegemonic Dominance 381
- 20 The Post-Globalist State and the Democratic Deficit 407

Notes 429

Acronyms 491

Acknowledgments 497

Author Index 503

Subject Index 513

UNCLE SAM AND US

Globalization, Neoconservatism, and the Canadian State

1 Not Whether, but Which Canada Will Survive

'Stephen, will Canada survive?' a physicist friend of mine asked out of the blue as we drove back to Toronto after a summer concert at the Sharon Temple, that Quaker oasis of colonial beauty where music and nature can still be experienced in serene harmony. Although the bluntness of his question took me by surprise, such apocalyptic fears have been widespread ever since neoconservatism and trade liberalization sparked anxiety in the 1980s about globalization's perverse impact on Canada's political, economic, and social systems.

A Simple Question ...

Canadians like my friend are not alone in harbouring concerns about their state system's sustainability. Everywhere in the world people are experiencing high levels of anxiety about the social cohesion, economic performance, and political viability of their state structures in the wake of the dual strengthening of local neoconservatism and global trade governance. Even in the United States, which emerged from the Cold War as the world's undisputed 'hyper-power,' anger is rife about the country's loss of sovereignty to transnational corporations (even though most of them are American) and to global institutions' behaviour (although the United States has been instrumental in defining their structures and hosts many of them).

Throughout newly industrializing Asia, the devastating combination in 1997 of an exchange rate crisis and government austerity measures imposed by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) shook these countries' capacity to promote their own interests. In Latin America, market contagion provoked a run on Brazil's currency, which in turn

pushed neighbouring Argentina into a severe economic crisis that led to the government's calamitous default of 2002. In their far more stable context, the European Union's fifteen member states focused on a related debate about their governments' ability to sustain their domestic social policies, having entrusted to the European Central Bank much of their economic management.

Situated somewhere between these extremes of externally determined dysfunctionality and self-imposed truncation, Canadians at the beginning of the new millennium doubt that their political system can perform its expected functions in the wake of three radical changes associated with globalization: the landmark continental treaties the government of Canada implemented with the United States – the Canada-United States Free Trade Agreement (CUFTA) in 1989 and the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) in 1994 – and its subsequent entry the next year into the World Trade Organization (WTO) to which, by 2002, 143 other states belonged.

Several factors make it difficult for both citizens and experts to ascertain how much the new global governance constrains the Canadian state. A shift in the managerial paradigm of both elected politicians and their bureaucrats away from government activism makes it hard for scholars to determine whether a reduction in policy activity results from their fear of falling afoul of the new global rules or their belief that less government is better government. Such 'non-decision-making' is also notoriously resistant to empirical observation: outsiders can rarely tell to what extent NAFTA has inhibited state actions that might have been taken in its absence. Finally, Canadian governments may be renouncing such popular practices as supporting national enterprises not because of externally dictated constraints but because of a self-imposed determination to eliminate their budget deficits.

Canadians are aware that their federal and provincial governments and municipal administrations have made numerous efforts to rein in their activities. These include:

- *withdrawal* by Ottawa from social assistance, forestry management, manpower training, and other fields formally under provincial jurisdiction in which it had been active
- *downloading* of federal authority both to the provinces in fields of joint jurisdiction such as immigration and in overlapping areas such as environmental regulation and to Native band councils on land management

- *privatization* of federal and provincial crown corporations, which shrinks the public sector and diminishes governments' capacity to shape economic development
- *deregulating* economic sectors such as transportation and diminishing the rigour of such existing regulatory regimes as food inspection
- *cutting* government expenditures by reducing the coverage of programs such as unemployment insurance, education, and health care
- *downsizing* the federal, provincial, and municipal civil services, which then have trouble enforcing the regulations and administering the programs that remain nominally in force
- *offloading* the taxation burden from corporations to citizens in the form of consumption taxes and user fees

The starkest social effects of these political changes are obvious to the most casual observer who stumbles across a homeless person lying on a city sidewalk or has to pay a new airport tax when boarding a flight. Vital public institutions, such as the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation and the National Film Board, are now shadows of their former, robust selves. Telephone, electricity, and natural gas are no longer price-controlled services provided through a state-regulated monopoly. Crown corporations that helped constitute the state and its identity, such as Air Canada and Canadian National Railways, have been sold off and are run as private monopolies, nominally answerable to shareholders who are as likely as not American.

The country on which my friend, as a civic activist, environmentalist, and university professor, had projected his hopes and ideals indeed seemed finished. The Canada he loved was toast. So in asking whether the country would survive, he was implicitly begging a couple of other questions. Did these indicators of social and institutional degeneration result from *external* forces or from decisions made by *domestic* political actors? And were they reversible in the sense that the Canada of his dreams could re-emerge in reality?

Valid though these questions were, answers could not easily be found through reading the huge and contentious literature that has sprung up about the current state of the nation-state. To start with, there is confusion about the nature of the external forces to which states are now subjected. Since no one agrees even on the meaning of crucial terms, I would like to clarify how the three concepts of globalization, global governance, and globalism will be used in this book.

Globalization is, of course, the dominant buzzword of our times and bears a heavily determinist load. Voters are told that governments must cut budgets because the irresistible force of globalization requires fiscal austerity. But if we consider the basic claims – political, ideological, economic, social, environmental, cultural, and technological – made for the phenomenon, we can see that the novelty of globalization is quite relative.

- *Politically*, globalization is thought to be destabilizing existing centres of authority and security, with new power centres emerging at every level, from the local to the international.¹ This is not a new phenomenon. Since long before the term was coined, imperial powers have destabilized their colonies' political systems. But much of what is today called globalization is the product of decisions made by nation states, particularly the most powerful.² In the wake of the catastrophe of September 11, 2001, the United States has proven that the state system, if it wants to, can regain control by clamping down on terrorist organizations' use of global capital markets for money laundering.
- *Economically*, markets trade round the clock. Transnational corporations (TNCs) locate components of their production process wherever they can minimize their costs. Distribution systems are now organized on a global basis in order to recuperate the huge investments made to develop new high-tech products. It is nevertheless true that some TNCs have operated globally for decades, some for centuries.
- In *social relations*, globalization is restructuring the way in which people live and how they relate to each other. But societal transformation across vast distances has been proceeding since long before Europeans sailed to the New World in the fifteenth century.
- *Environmentally*, the fragile envelope that sustains plant and animal life on earth is under increasing strain, but threats to human survival from industrialization antedate the recent discovery of globalization and would continue even with lower levels of technological, cultural, and economic interconnectedness.
- In *cultural expression*, the production and diffusion of information and entertainment have become worldwide through the use of satellite transmission. Again, this reality is undeniable but needs to be put in the perspective of world religions, which were crossing the seven seas hundreds of years ago.

- *Technologically*, the computer and the internet have obviously accelerated information exchanges, but such fundamental breakthroughs as the telegraph and telephone had already created a universal society by the early twentieth century.

Any debate over globalization's novelty is bound to be sterile. Because it has become so, well, globally accepted, I want to use the word to stand for the current, post-Cold War phase of these political, economic, social, environmental, cultural, and technological trends.

Global governance. Long before the millennium, most European states had already experienced *transnational* governance through supranational continental institutions, which evolved fitfully in the years after 1945 into today's European Union. Canada had resisted formalized transnational governance until it implemented CUFTA in 1989. Continental governance including Mexico came a few years later with NAFTA, although this agreement's institutions were of doubtful effectiveness.

Globalization did bring one innovation in the 1990s whose importance no one should dismiss. The WTO is an institution of *global governance* that is both new and powerful. While it had deep roots in the half-century-old General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), the World Trade Organization constituted a major development in *global governance* as an institution providing a mode of regulation for the current phase of globalized capital accumulation. The Canadian state's almost-simultaneous entry into both continental and global liberalizing regimes in 1994 and 1995 allows me to posit global governance after globalization as a second exogenous – or external – variable in my inquiry

Globalism. I use globalism to label the ideological corollary of globalization and global governance. Inspired and theorized by neoclassical economists, this paradigm maintains that a state's ability to protect its markets *ought to be* constrained. As the world economy then becomes more integrated, corporations can achieve the greatest economies of scale by operating on a worldwide basis, producing their goods and services at the lowest prices for the greatest benefit of consumers.³

As with the discourse on globalization, sceptics about globalism can validly object that this is not the first time that a doctrine has been universalized. A century ago, conservative *laissez-faire* was orthodoxy in

the industrial world's governing circles. Half a century later, a more progressive Keynesianism was the global ideology endorsed by elites throughout the capitalist world. For this reason, I term *neoconservative* globalism the policy paradigm that currently justifies and promotes globalization as necessary, beneficial, and desirable.

To identify neoconservative globalism as a universal ideology raises the danger of obscuring the role of *domestic* political actors. Neoconservative ideas are not imposed mechanically by the American Enterprise Institute, Harvard University's Department of Economics, or the *Wall Street Journal*, although U.S. think tanks and research faculties have articulated the attack on liberal Keynesianism, and corporate-controlled mass media have popularized these ideas to the general public. However, when Premiers Ralph Klein, Mike Harris, or Gordon Campbell took up this paradigm in Alberta, Ontario, and British Columbia, they were agents both of globalization and of domestic pressures. Depending on its political base, neoconservatism can be considered either an external or a domestic expression.

The adjective 'neoconservative' will also serve to distinguish the ideological system favouring globalization and its corresponding global governance from the ideas expressed by those individuals and groups who have awakened to realize that their jobs, their health, the quality of their environment, and certain other values they hold dear are affected, even threatened. Anti-globalization ideologies have mobilized citizens in waves to protest the policies, processes, and practices of globalization's institutional embodiments. In anti-globalist organizations, 'Seattle,' 'Washington,' 'Windsor,' 'Calgary,' 'Prague,' 'Quebec,' and 'Genoa' have become code names for voluble, visible, and globally televised demonstrations organized by various transnationally connected opposition networks against (respectively) the WTO, the World Bank, the Organization of American States, the World Petroleum Association, the IMF, the Free Trade Area of the Americas, and the Economic Summit. As with neoconservative globalism, populist globalism generates transnational solidarities while remaining rooted in domestic politics.

So far, this preliminary discussion has treated globalization, global governance, and neoconservative globalism as if they were independent variables and exogenous factors in the analysis. This is because this book's prime question is the extent to which these recent manifestations of ever-greater transnational integration have affected the