THE BLACKWELL ENCYCLOPAEDIA OF

POLITICAL INSTITUTIONS



Edited by Vernon Bogdanor

Copyright © Basil Blackwell 1987 © Editorial organization Vernon Bogdanor 1987

First published 1987

Basil Blackwell Ltd 108 Cowley road, Oxford, OX4 1JF, UK

Basil Blackwell Inc. 432 Park Avenue South, Suite 1503 New York, NY 10016, USA

All rights reserved. Except for the quotation of short passages for the purposes of criticism and review, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Except in the United States of America, this book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form of binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

The Blackwell encyclopaedia of political institutions.

1. Political science—Dictionaries

I. Bogdanor, Vernon

320'.03'21 IA61

ISBN 0-631-13841-2

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

The Blackwell encyclopaedia of political institutions.
Bibliography: p.

Includes index.

1. Political science—Dictionaries. I. Bogdanor,

Vernon, 1943– JA61.B56 1987 320'.03'21 87–6571

ISBN 0-631-13841-2

Typeset in 9½ on 11 pt Linotron Ehrhardt by Columns of Reading Printed in Great Britain by Page Brothers (Norwich) Ltd and bound by Butler & Tanner Ltd, Frome

Editor's Introduction

The purpose of this encyclopaedia is to provide a succinct guide to the central concepts used in the study of the political institutions of advanced industrial societies, the principal political organizations and movements in these societies and the main types of political community. It includes entries on leading political scientists of the past, but excludes political scientists still living (Woodrow Wilson, for instance, is included as a political scientist, not as the twenty-eighth President of the United States) and items relating to particular events or places on which information is easily available elsewhere. Also excluded are entries relating either to international relations or to purely local matters. There are, however, entries for some culture–specific terms that have either passed into general use, or whose use is confined to Britain, the United States and Western Europe. The *Encyclopaedia* is designed to be a source of reference for students and teachers of politics, history and allied subjects, and, more generally, for the large number of general readers looking for elucidation of the concepts and ideas used in the discussion of government and politics. It is hoped that this volume will prove complementary to *The Blackwell Encyclopaedia of Political Thought*.

Each entry is intended to be complete in itself, but where it might be helpful to consult other entries, cross-references are printed in capitals in the text. There is a general index at the end of the volume through which the reader can trace all references to a specific individual or subject. Almost every entry is followed by suggestions for further reading, and all works referred to are listed with full publication details.

I am deeply indebted to Dr David Butler and Professor S.E. Finer who offered advice, encouragement and stimulation during every stage of the preparation of this *Encyclopaedia*. Their influence extends considerably beyond the entries which they have themselves contributed. I should also like to thank Michael Steed for the care with which he read an earlier draft of the *Encyclopaedia* and for his critical comments.

The following have also given valuable advice on various aspects of the *Encyclopaedia*: Dr Marco Brusati; Professor Leon Epstein; Dr R.J.W. Evans; Professor Barry Nicholas; Professor Philip Norton; Miss Gillian Peele; Professor Gerald Pomper; Professor Austin Ranney; Dr John Rowett; Dr Vincent Wright.

I should also like to thank the 247 contributors from thirteen countries for putting their skill and expertise at the disposal of the *Encyclopaedia*. I am, however, entirely responsible for the selection of entries, which I have in some cases cut substantially, and the choice of contributors.

EDITOR'S INTRODUCTION

Jo Hadley, Carol Le Duc and Ann McCall of Blackwells worked heroically to transform pages of untidy copy into readable prose; while Janet Godden has supervised the *Encyclopaedia* from inception to completion with a rare mixture of imperturbable cheerfulness and unfailing efficiency.

It was with great sadness that the Editor and Publishers learnt as the *Encyclopaedia* was going to press of the deaths of three valued contributors: Sir Norman Chester, Dr J.D. Lees and Professor W.H. Walsh.

Vernon Bogdanor Brasenose College, Oxford 1 February 1987

Contributors

Frank Aarebrot FA University of Bergen

Charles R. Adrian CRA University of California, Riverside

Martin Albrow MCA University College, Cardiff

Erik Allardt EA
University of Helsinki

H.J.B. Allen HJBA
Institute of Local Government Studies,
Birmingham

Graham T. Allison GTA Harvard University

Gabriel A. Almond GAA Stanford University

Christopher Andrew CMA
Corpus Christi College, Cambridge

Douglas E. Ashford DEA University of Pittsburgh

Shlomo Avineri SA
Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Michael Banton MPB University of Bristol

Rodney Barker RB
London School of Economics & Political Science

Frank Bealey FWB University of Aberdeen David Beetham DB University of Leeds

John Bell JSB
Wadham College, Oxford

Lord Beloff B
All Souls College, Oxford

Robert Benewick RJB University of Sussex

Elias Berg EB
University of Stockholm

R.N. Berki RNB University of Hull

Hugh Berrington HBB
University of Newcastle upon Tyne

Jean Blondel JFPB
European University Institute, Florence

Jay G. Blumler JGB University of Leeds

Noel T. Boaden NTB University of Liverpool

Vernon Bogdanor VBB Brasenose College, Oxford

Tom Bottomore TBB University of Sussex

Karl Dietrich Bracher KDB University of Bonn

A.W. Bradley AWB University of Edinburgh

Sir Kenneth Bradshaw KB House of Commons, Westminster

Steven J. Brams SJB New York University

Jack Brand JAB
University of Strathclyde

Michael Brock MGB Nuffield College, Oxford

Hugh Brogan HB University of Essex

Eric C. Browne ECB
University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee

P.A. Brunt PAB
Brasenose College, Oxford

Ian Budge IB University of Essex

J. Bulpitt JB
University of Warwick

John H. Bunzel JHB
Hoover Institution, Stanford University

David Butler **DEB**Nuffield College, Oxford

Mario Caciagli MC University of Padua

Naomi Caiden NJC California State University, San Bernardino

Bruce E. Cain BEC
California Institute of Technology

Peter Calvert PARC University of Southampton

Sir Raymond Carr RC St Antony's College, Oxford

Alan Cawson AC University of Sussex

Richard A. Chapman RAC University of Durham

Jean Charlot JC

Fondation Nationale des Sciences Politiques, Paris

Monica Charlot MCh Maison Française, Oxford

Sir Norman Chester DNC Late of Nuffield College, Oxford

K. Alec Chrystal KAC University of Sheffield

Irene Collins IC
University of Liverpool

Paul Compton PAC
The Queen's University of Belfast

Rt. Hon. Sir Zelman Cowen ZC Oriel College, Oxford

Paul Craig PPC Worcester College, Oxford

Maurice Cranston MWC London School of Economics & Political Science

Bernard Crick BRC Birkbeck College, London

John Curtice JKC University of Liverpool

Lloyd N. Cutler LNC Washington DC

Hans Daalder HD University of Leiden, Netherlands

Ivo H. Daalder IHD

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Robert A. Dahl RAD Yale University

John Darwin JGD
Nuffield College, Oxford

Alan Doig ADo
University of Liverpool

Robert E. Dowse RED University of Western Australia

Ivo D. Duchacek IDD City University of New York

P.J. Dunleavy PJD

London School of Economics & Political Science

Andrew Dunsire AD University of York

Kenneth Dyson KD University of Bradford

Jeremy Eades JSE
University of Kent at Canterbury

David Easton DE University of California, Irvine

D.A.O. Edward **DAOE** University of Edinburgh

Sir George Engle QC GE Formerly First Parliamentary Counsel, Whitehall

Leon D. Epstein LDE University of Madison-Wisconsin

R.J.W. Evans **RJWE** Brasenose College, Oxford

Sir James Fawcett QC JESF Former Member and President of European Commission of Human Rights

James W. Fesler JWF Yale University

S.E. Finer **SEF**All Souls College, Oxford

Peter C. Fishburn PCF AT & T Bell Laboratories

Peter Frank PF University of Essex

Mark N. Franklin MNF University of Strathclyde

Michael Freeden MSF Mansfield College, Oxford Mark Freedland MRF St John's College, Oxford

Michael Freeman MDAF University College, London

Andrew Gamble AMG University of Sheffield

Ernest Gellner EG University of Cambridge

Jean Gottmann JG Hertford College, Oxford

S.J. Gould **SJG** University of Nottingham

W.P. Grant WPG University of Warwick

Christine Gray CDG St Hilda's College, Oxford

Ted Robert Gurr TRG University of Colorado, Boulder

Emanuel Gutmann EGu Hebrew University of Jerusalem

A.H. Halsey AHH
Nuffield College, Oxford

J.E.S. Hayward JESH University of Hull

A. Heath **AFH**Nuffield College, Oxford

Guy Hermet **GH**Fondation Nationale des Sciences Politiques,
Paris

R.H. Hilton RHH
University of Birmingham

David Hine **DJH** Christ Church, Oxford

Christopher Hood CCH University of Sydney

Christopher Hughes CJH University of Leicester

Christopher T. Husbands CTH
London School of Economics & Political Science

Richard Hyman RH University of Warwick

Ronald Inglehart RI University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Ghiţa Ionescu GI University of Manchester

Edmund Ions ESAI University of Oxford

Ronald Irving REMI University of Edinburgh

William I. Jenkins WIJ
University of Kent at Canterbury

R.J. Johnston RJJ University of Sheffield

Charles O. Jones COJ University of Virginia, Charlottesville

A. Grant Jordan AGJ University of Aberdeen

Jeffrey Jowell JLJ University College, London

Tony R. Judt TRJ New York University

Max Kaase MK University of Mannheim

Dennis Kavanagh DK University of Nottingham

B. Keith-Lucas BK-L University of Kent at Canterbury

Ellen Kennedy ELK University of York

Benedict Kingsbury BK Balliol College, Oxford

K. Kirkwood KK St Antony's College, Oxford Eva Kolinsky EK Aston University

Stein Kuhnle SK University of Bergen

Jan-Erik Lane J-EL University of Umeå

J.A. Laponce JAL University of British Columbia

Philip Laundy PACL House of Commons, Ottawa

Michael Laver MJL University College, Galway

Sir Frank Layfield QC FL Temple, London

John D. Lees JDL Late of *University of Keele*

David Levene DSL Brasenose College, Oxford

Karl Leyser KL
All Souls College, Oxford

Arend Lijphart AL University of California, San Diego

J. Linz JLi
Yale University

Gerhard Loewenberg GL University of Iowa

Colin Lucas CL Balliol College, Oxford

John Lukacs JL Chestnut Hill College, Philadelphia

A. Maass AM Harvard University

N. MacCormick NMacC University of Edinburgh

H. Machin HM London School of Economics & Political Science Tom Mackie TTM University of Strathclyde

Donald Gunn MacRae DGM
London School of Economics & Political Science

A.F. Madden AFM Nuffield College, Oxford

J.T.S. Madeley JTSM

London School of Economics & Political Science

Peter Mair PMM University of Manchester

B.S. Markesinis **BSM** *Trinity College, Cambridge*

Geoffrey Marshall GM The Queen's College, Oxford

David Marsland DM Brunel University

Richard Mayne **RJM** Encounter, *London*

Alan McBriar AMMcB Monash University

Martin McCauley MMcC School of Slavonic & East European Studies, London

F.E. McDermott FEMcD University of Sheffield

Iain McLean IMcL University College, Oxford

T.P. McNeill TPMcN University of Hull

Kenneth D. McRae KDMcR Carleton University, Ottawa

Edward McWhinney EMcW Simon Fraser University, British Columbia

Yves Mény YM University of Paris II

James Michael JRM
Polytechnic of Central London

Ralph Miliband RM London

David Millar DMcWM
European Parliament, Luxemburg

Fergus Millar FGBM Brasenose College, Oxford

David Miller **DLM**Nuffield College, Oxford

William Miller WLM University of Glasgow

Kenneth Minogue KRM
London School of Economics & Political Science

Kenneth O. Morgan KOM The Queen's College, Oxford

Richard Mulgan RGM University of Otago

William D. Muller WDM
State University of New York, Fredonia

Colin Munro CRM University of Manchester

R.E. Neustadt REN Harvard University

Kenneth Newton KN University of Dundee

Barry Nicholas JKBN Brasenose College, Oxford

Jeremy Noakes JDN University of Exeter

Philip Norton PN University of Hull

Geoffrey Ostergaard GNO University of Birmingham

Øyvind Østerud ØØ University of Oslo

E.C. Page ECP University of Hull

Khayyam Z. Paltiel KZP Carleton University, Ottawa

G. Parker GP University of Birmingham

Geraint Parry GBP University of Manchester

W.E. Paterson WEP University of Warwick

Gillian Peele GRP
Lady Margaret Hall, Oxford

Anton Pelinka AP Innsbruck University

B. Guy Peters BGP University of Pittsburgh

John Pinder JP London

William Plowden WP Royal Institute of Public Administration, London

J.R. Pole JRP St Catherine's College, Oxford

Gerald M. Pomper GMP Rutgers University

Peter Pulzer PGJP
All Souls College, Oxford

R.M. Punnett RMP University of Strathclyde

L. Pye LWP

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

T.H. Qualter THQ University of Waterloo, Ontario

Nicol C. Rae NCR Nuffield College, Oxford

Austin Ranney AR University of California, Berkeley

D.E. Regan **DER**University of Nottingham

Peter G. Richards PGR University of Southampton

Marvin Rintala MR Boston College, Massachusetts

Bert A. Rockman BAR University of Pittsburgh

David Rohde DWR
Michigan State University, East Lansing

Richard Rose RR University of Strathclyde

F. Rosen FR
University College, London

Jeffrey Ian Ross JIR
University of Colorado, Boulder

John Rowett JSR Brasenose College, Oxford

Michael Ryle MTR
House of Commons, Westminster

Larry Sabato LJS University of Virginia

David Sanders DJS University of Essex

Alberta Sbragia AMS University of Pittsburgh

John R. Schmidhauser JRS University of Southern California

George Schöpflin GSch London School of Economics & Political Science

S.R. Schram SRS
School of Oriental and African Studies, London

Raymond Seidelman RMS Sarah Lawrence College, New York

Patrick Seyd **PS**University of Sheffield

Byron E. Shafer BES Nuffield College, Oxford

Hyun Shin HS
Nuffield College, Oxford

Barbara L. Sinclair BLS University of California, Riverside

Anthony D. Smith ADS

London School of Economics & Political Science

Gordon Smith GSm London School of Economics & Political Science

Albert Somit AS
Southern Illinois University at Carbondale

Donald Southgate DGS Bridford, Devon

John L. Stanley JLS
University of California, Riverside

Michael Steed MS University of Manchester

D.L. Stockton DLS
Brasenose College, Oxford

J.A.A. Stockwin JAAS
Nissan Institute of Japanese Studies, Oxford

Kaare Strom KS University of Minnesota

Gerald Studdert-Kennedy GS-K University of Birmingham

Michael Taylor MJT University of Essex

Michael Taylor MWT Lincoln College, Oxford

Niels Aage Thorsen NT University of Copenhagen

Henry Tudor HT University of Durham Derek W. Urwin DWU University of Warwick

Henry Valen HV University of Oslo

Elizabeth Vallance EMV Queen Mary College, London

Douglas Verney DVV York University, Ontario

Maurice Vile MJCV
University of Kent at Canterbury

K. von Beyme KvB University of Heidelberg

Helen Wallace HW
The Royal Institute of International Affairs,
London

W.H. Walsh WHW
Late of University of Edinburgh

Ronald L. Watts RLWa Queen's University, Ontario

Stephen Welch SW St Antony's College, Oxford

Patrick Weller PMW
Griffith University, Queensland

Roger L. Wettenhall RLW
Canberra College of Advanced Education

Michael Wheeler-Booth MAJW-B House of Lords, Westminster

Stephen White SLW University of Glasgow

Paul Wilkinson PW University of Aberdeen

G.L. Williams GLW University of Sheffield

Roger Williams RW University of Manchester

Raymond E. Wolfinger **REW** University of California, Berkeley

David Worswick GDNW Magdalen College, Oxford

Anthony Wright AWW University of Birmingham

Deil Wright **DSW**University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

V. Wright VW
Nuffield College, Oxford

David Yardley DCMY
Commission for Local Administration in
England

Zvi Yavetz ZY
Tel-Aviv University

John Zvesper JZ
University of East Anglia

Contents

Editor's Introduction	vii
List of Contributors	ix
THE BLACKWELL ENCYCLOPAEDIA OF POLITICAL INSTITUTIONS	1
Index	647

A

absentee voting Many democracies provide facilities for absentee voting for those who might have difficulty through infirmity or absence in recording their vote at the polling booth. There are five types of absentee voting: advance voting in Canada, Finland, Israel, Japan, Norway and New Zealand by which the polling booth is open before the date of the election either for all those unable to vote on the appointed day or, as in Israel, Japan and Norway, for special categories; postal voting in fifteen countries; proxy voting in eight countries; special polling booths in hospitals, prisons, old people's homes etc. in eight countries and constituency transfer in seven countries.

The various arrangements make little difference to rates of TURNOUT. Postal voting, the most important of the provisions, is only taken advantage of in Britain by approximately 2 per cent of the electorate.

Reading

Crewe, I.: Electoral participation. In *Democracy at the Polls*, ed. D. Butler, H.R. Penniman and A. Ranney. Washington, DC: American Enterprise Institute, 1981.

absolute government/absolutism is government with plenary powers, freed from legal constraints (*legibus absolutus*, hence the word) and constitutional controls; normally monarchical. The term enjoys common use in European history, but there is much disagreement about the period to which it may properly be applied, as also about the relation between its status in political practice and in the writings of theorists. Despite antecedents in Plato and the medieval canonists, and

notwithstanding the arguments for strong monarchy deployed from the Renaissance onwards, the main impetus towards absolute government seems to have come from an extension of the activities of rulers and consolidation of their courts which proceeded swiftly after the early sixteenth century. The first stage was heavily confessional in character. as the state gained dominance over the church - especially in Protestant countries, where the process is often described as Erastianism and used religion as a legitimating channel ('divine right of kings'). The most important manifestations were in Habsburg Spain and in the France of Louis XIV. Yet the executive authority of such rulers remained comparatively weak. Only the eighteenth century saw a more thoroughgoing development of absolutism, as monarchs - controlling large bureaucracies and standing armies - mounted major reform programmes in the economic, social, and legal spheres, which were justified in largely secular terms as serving the public good. The most celebrated 'enlightened absolutists' were Frederick II of Prussia (1740-86), Catherine II of Russia (1762-96) and Joseph II of Austria (1780-90). Most parts of Europe experienced such regimes, the main exceptions being Britain, the Netherlands, and Poland. Obstacles to efficient government, however, remained great, and in France the inability of absolutism to promote change led directly to the Revolution. Absolutist forms were widely reinstated in the period of restoration after the French Revolution; Napoleon I has frequently been claimed as a ruler in this tradition. Yet Bonapartism, with its plebiscitary style and extensive social mobility, introduced

different accents, and by the time of its final fling during the 1850s and 1860s absolute government in Europe was losing its distinctive features.

As a construction in political philosophy, absolutism was fed by the experience of anarchy and the fear of barbarism. Its greatest expositors were Niccolo MACHIAVELLI, who drew on the Roman imperial example and exalted the strong prince over his own indecisive Florentine republic; Jean BODIN, who stressed the need for undivided sovereignty against a background of religious strife in France; and Thomas HOBBES, whose Leviathan written at the time of the English civil war. proposed a free and total subjugation of individual wills (pactum subjectionis) to the will of a single governor in return for protection. Not least because of its associations with reason of state, and above all in Britain and America, absolutism has often carried negative connotations, being identified with arbitrary rule, alien and bureaucratic government, social and economic regimentation, and sometimes with militarism. But its advocates, especially in central Europe, have pointed to the achievements of absolute monarchs in promoting equality before the law, rational administration, state education, economic development, public order and welfare. In fact absolutism embodied a complex blend of old assumptions and new initiatives; while frequently able to rally the support of rising middle-class commercial, professional, and intellectual interests, its proponents usually remained conservative in their view of society, maintaining the status of nobles while undermining their political privileges. Properly speaking, absolutism should be distinguished from DESPOTISM, which describes perverted or oriental forms of government, though enlightened absolutists have often been mischievously described as 'enlightened despots', and Russian AUTOCRACY (samoderzhavie) which represents an intermediate stage. It should also be distinguished from twentieth-century TOTALITARIANISM, since absolute monarchs were restrained, not only by a much less efficient repressive apparatus which usually confined its attention to the public sphere, but also by the claims of custom. Christian morality, and natural law.

Reading

Anderson, P.: Lineages of the Absolutist State. London: New Left Books, 1974.

Behrens, C.B.A.: The Ancien Régime. London: Thames & Hudson, 1967.

Bluche, F.: Le Despotisme éclairé. Paris: Fayard, 1968.

Meinecke, F.: Machiavellism: the doctrine of raison d'état and its place in modern history, ed. W. Stark, and trans. D. Scott. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1957.

Raeff, M.: The Well-Ordered Police State: social and institutional change through law in the Germanies and Russia 1600–1800. New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 1983.

Shennan, J.H.: The Origins of the Modern European State 1450-1725. London: Hutchinson, 1974.

----: Liberty and Order in Early Modern Europe: the subject and the state 1650–1800. London: Longman, 1986.

Skinner, Q.: The Foundations of Modern Political Thought. 2 vols. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1978.

acephalous political systems See PRESTATE POLITICAL SYSTEMS.

accountability See RESPONSIBILITY.

Act A BILL that has been sanctioned by a LEGISLATURE and that has also passed through any other procedure required by the constitution of a state before it is accepted as a law. Once a bill has become an act it will be enforced by the courts of law. An act or sections of it may not come into effect immediately if the act itself requires that these sections shall not come into force until a further STATUTORY INSTRUMENT has been issued. Lawyers commonly refer to acts as statutes.

Adams, John (1735–1826) Born in Braintree, Massachusetts, educated at Harvard College, and practised law. He was highly-strung and intensely ambitious, emotions which he transferred to his country in the form of resentment of British sovereignty. Adams's first publication, *Dissertation on the Canon and Feudal Law* (1765), was a violent attack on feudal and ecclesiastical influences in govern-

ment. A signer of the Declaration of Independence, diplomatic emissary in Europe and first US minister at the Court of St James, Adams was first Vice-President and Washington's successor as President of the USA (1797-1801). He wanted republican government genuinely deriving from the people, but he also wanted it securely based on the rule of law. The Massachusetts Declaration of Rights accompanying the Constitution of 1780, which he helped to draft, contains a full articulation of the principle of the SEPARATION OF POWERS 'to the end that it be a government of laws and not of men'. Similar views expressed in a pamphlet, Thoughts on Government (1776), influenced the constitution-makers of Virginia. During the War of Independence Adams became sceptical of the virtue of his fellow countrymen, but defended their political arrangements at great length in his learned but rambling Defence of the Constitutions of the United States (1787) evoked by French criticisms of the state constitutions. Here Adams defended separation of powers and balanced government against democratic unicameralism. He believed emulation to be the cardinal human motive - an insight into his own character - and also held that the haughtiness of the aristocracy would always render them difficult to govern. For this reason he advocated an upper chamber as 'a kind of ostracism'. He missed the Philadelphia Convention of 1787 (being in London) but soon came to support the stronger form of government as necessary to continental unity. Though still dedicated to separation of powers, he came to believe in a stronger executive, and signed the highly oppressive and questionably constitutional Alien and Sedition Acts. JRP

Reading

Adams, C.F. ed.: The Works of John Adams. 10 vols. Boston, Mass.: Little, Brown, 1850-6.

Bowen, C.D.: John Adams and the American Revolution. Boston, Mass.: Little, Brown, 1950.

Chinard, G.: Honest John Adams. Boston, Mass.: Little, Brown, 1933.

Howe, J.R. Jr: The Changing Political Thought of John Adams. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1966.

Shaw, P.: The Character of John Adams. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1976.

Smith, P.: John Adams. 2 vols. New York: Doubleday, 1962.

additional member system Term used for an ELECTORAL SYSTEM such as that in the Federal Republic of Germany in which a single-member constituency element is combined with proportional representation, the 'additional members' being derived either from a party list – whether national or regional – or, as in the electoral system of Baden-Württemberg, from losing candidates in the constituencies with the highest percentages of votes.

adjudication The application by courts or tribunals of legal rules to particular cases or controversies. Historically the theory of the SEPARATION OF POWERS treated adjudication as one of three governmental functions along with the making and execution of laws. In modern constitutions in which powers are constitutionally allocated to the legislative, executive and judicial branches there has been much difficulty in defining the idea of adjudication or judicial action. A similar difficulty arises in administrative law as to the precise meaning of acting 'judicially'. Most definitions emphasize the idea that an adjudicative act is one that decides upon the allocation in a binding manner in a suit between parties of rights that are presumed to be already determined in principle by existing law. The British Committee on Ministers' Powers in 1932 concluded:

A true judicial decision presupposes an existing dispute between two or more parties, and then invokes four requisites:

- (1) the presentation (not necessarily orally) of their case by the parties to the dispute;
- (2) if the dispute between them is a question of fact, the ascertainment of the fact by means of evidence adduced by the parties to the dispute;
- (3) if the dispute between them is a question of law the submission of legal argument by the parties;
- (4) a decision which disposes of the whole matter by a finding upon the facts in dispute and an application of the law of the land to the facts so found, including, where required, a ruling upon any disputed question of law.

ADMINISTRATION

The clarity of the distinction between the three functions of government is threatened by the fact that executive as well as judicial officers may be authorized to apply rules to individual cases, and judges or adjudicators may create new law or in effect legislate in the course of carrying out their adjudicative function.

In the United States the role of adjudication in constitutional cases has been a constant subject of debate among legal and political commentators, especially where federal and state legislation is reviewed by the federal courts. Major disagreements have arisen, for example, as to whether in applying the broad guarantees of the constitution, judges should attempt to discover and give weight to the historical intentions of those who draft it, or whether they should interpret the constitution in the light of present-day political morality. In all jurisdictions judges may also be divided between a concept of their function that emphasizes an active policy-making role and one that sees non-elected judicial officers as owing a duty in a democratic political system to exercise restraint and deference to the elective branch of government and to limit their own policy-making role.

To some extent this dilemma arises in all legal systems in the ordinary business of interpreting statutory enactments and developing judicial doctrine from case to case. In addition, in the interpretation of any instrument, adjudicators may be torn between efforts to infer the intentions of those who originated the document and treating the task of interpretation as one of drawing out the meaning of the terms in question with the aid of linguistic rules or conventions. In the United Kingdom recourse to the proceedings of the legislature as an aid to statutory interpretation is severely restricted. In the United States and many other countries recourse to such legislative material is permitted.

Among legal theorists, as well as administrative and constitutional lawyers, adjudication has been a major topic of debate. Legal realists in the United States and Scandinavia have always emphasized the factual and psychological elements in the judicial role as against the so-called formal character of the

legal rules. In recent years debate about the character of legal rules themselves as elements in a legal system has involved British and American legal theorists in extended arguments about the nature of the judicial process. A main feature of the controversy has been the theory of adjudication advanced in the writings of Ronald Dworkin and in particular the analysis of the judicial role in so-called 'hard cases' in which existing law presents no clear answers to a legal controversy and courts are involved in balancing apparently conflicting rights and weighing issues of public policy. These controversies reflect more general disagreements about the nature of legal rules, legal systems, and legal rights. GM

Reading

Abraham, H.J.: The Judicial Process. 4th edn. New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1980.

Bell, J.: Policy Arguments in Judicial Decisions. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1983.

Cross, R.: Statutory Interpretation. London: Butterworths, 1976.

----: Precedent in English Law. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1961.

Dworkin, R.M.: Taking Rights Seriously. 2nd edn, chs 2-6. London: Duckworth, 1978.

Fuller, L.L: The forms and limits of adjudication. Harvard Law Review 92 (1978) 358.

MacCormick, N.: Legal Theory and Legal Reasoning. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1978.

Marshall, G.: Constitutional Theory, ch. 4. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1971.

Report of the Committee on Ministers' Powers. London: HMSO Cmd 4060, 1932.

Twining, W. and Miers, D.: How to do things with Rules. 2nd edn. London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson, 1982.

administration In general terms, the tidying-up side of life. In an army camp, or a coal mine, or a hospital, the administration block is where paper is pushed around, in contrast with the 'proper' work of the place: soldiering, or digging coal, or treating patients. Professional men similarly tend to distinguish part of their work (which they often call administration) from what they are 'really there for'. Administration as 'the paperwork' is a widespread experience, whatever it is