Applied Differential Games

Alexander Mehlmann



Applied Differential Games

Alexander Mehlmann

University of Technology Vienna, Austria

此为试读, 需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Mehimann, Alexander,

Applied differential games.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

1. Differential games, I. Title.

QA272.M44 1988 ISBN 0-306-42897-0 519.3

88-15248

Permission to reproduce the copyrighted material listed below is gratefully acknowledged.

Penguin Books, Ltd.: From Faust, Part One by J. W von Goethe, translated by Philip Wayne. Copyright © 1949 by the Estate of Philip Wayne University of California Press: From The Gallow Songs by Christian Morgenstern, translated by Max Knight. Copyright © 1963 by Max Knight.

© 1988 Plenum Press, New York
A Division of Plenum Publishing Corporation
233 Spring Street, New York, N.Y. 10013

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher

Printed in the United States of America



Preface

This book grew out of a set of lecture notes for a one-semester course on dynamic game theory held at the University of Technology, Vienna. It is intended primarily at the graduate level for students in operations research, management science, applied mathematics, and economics. I hope that I have been able to give the reader an accessible introduction to the subject of nonzero-sum differential games with particular emphasis on applications.

It would be irrational to try to reach total comprehensiveness in a single volume. Therefore, I have resisted the temptation to "over-cannibalize" previous textbooks and monographs on the subject. It has rather been my desire to cover material that (I think) is important and interesting, but gets left out of these publications.

Writing a book is quite a game. In the beginning—before closing the binding agreement* with Plenum—I believed this to be a finite horizon game. Time, however,

^{*} Key words will be explained in the text.

was a merciless arbiter. I am grateful to the Senior Editor, Dr. Ken Derham, for allowing manuscript delivery to become a (restricted) free terminal time problem.

Most of all, I thank my wife Grace for offering me the needed spiritual support, and my two-year-old daughter Sabrina for ignoring the paradoxical situation that there are games which prevent Dad from playing with her.

The Department of Operations Research (under Professor Gustav Feichtinger's mild Stackelberg leadership) ensured playability of the game's actions. Access to information has been made possible by a grant (S 3204) from the Austrian Science Foundation.

In reading many preliminary versions, Gerhard Sorger provided an invaluable and perfect feedback of the state of the game. I am also grateful to my student Mr. Horst Holzweber who (by producing the drawings) made the game illustrative. Richard F. Hartl taught me that we are living in a tragedy of the commons world (by simultaneously using scarce resources such as paper, printer, and personal computers).

During the last stages of manuscript preparation, I deliberately engaged in an extreme version of a zero sum differential game—kendo, the art of Japanese fencing; hopefully an efficient and credible threat in any future game of refereeing.

Alexander Mehlmann

Vienna

Contents

1.	Introduction	13				
	1.1 The Glass Bead Game					
	1.1.1. Isaacs's Decade	16				
	1.1.2. The Aftermath	17				
	1.2. Dynamic Models of Conflict	18				
	1.2.1. A Compact with the Devil	18				
	1.2.2. A Game of Capitalism	21				
	1.2.3. Strike Negotiation	23				
	1.2.4. A Model of Duopolistic Competition	24				
	1.2.5. The Competitive Limited-Access Fishery	25				
	1.3. Symbols and Terminology	26				
	1.3.1. Notation	26				
	1.3.2. Related Terms	29				
2	Playing the Game	35				
	2.1. Rules of the Game	37				
	2.1.1. Basic Definitions	37				
	2.1.2. The Loop Model					
		44				
	2.1.3. Generalized Concepts	44				

	2.2.	The I	Noncooperative Approach	49
		2.2.1.	Equilibria of (Normal Form) Actions	49
			Strategic Features	
	Exe	rcises		71
•	N 4.	4 L - J	of Colorators	~~
3,			of Solution	73
	5.1.		Itaneous Play	
			Sufficiency under Generalized Constraints	77
		3.1.2.	Necessary Conditions under	
			Generalized Constraints	
	3.2.		archical Play	
			Equilibria in Two-Person Games	
			The Stackelberg Solution	
	Exe	rcises	•••••	109
4	Т.,,,	.alaa:	on of Differential Comes	111
•			es of Differential Games	
	4.1.		ar-Quadratic Games	
			Affine Equilibria	
			Stackelberg Solutions	
	4.2.		ct Games	129
		4.2.1.	Trilinear, State-Redundant, and	
•	•		Exponential Games	
:			Identifying Perfect Games	
	4.3.		itatively Solvable Games	
		4.3.1.	Phase Diagram Analysis of Perfect Games	141
		4.3.2.	Symmetric Games with a Single	
			State Variable	147
	Exe	rcises	•••••	150
_				4 8 6
5.			Applications	
	5.1.		—The Game	
			First Scenario—Goethe's Play	
		5.1.2.	Second Scenario—The Original Source	160

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

Contents	11
5.2. The Dynamics of Oligopoly	162
5.2.1. The Finite Horizon Oligopoly	
5.2.2. The Infinite Horizon Oligopoly	
. 5.3. R & D Games	
5.3.1. The Race for Priority	
5.3.2. Market Structure and Research Intensity	
5.4. Fishery Games	179
5.4.1. The Oligopolistic Fishery Game	
5.4.2. Extinction, Conservation, and	
Economic Regulation	183
Exercises	
References	189
Selected Bibliography	197
Index	201

1

Introduction

Everything has been thought of before, but the problem is to think of it again.

J.W. von Goethe

In this short chapter, we shall explain what is meant by differential games and sketch a history of this essential branch of game theory. Despite its convincing success in applied modeling, the discipline of differential games has gained a rather controversial reception in game theory circles. This is mainly due to the control-theory pronunciation of classical game theory vocables, apparently a violation of rules according to which some scientists play the game of game theory.

In the first part of the book, we will consequently prove that an accurate presentation of differential game concepts can be realized without neglecting basic gametheoretic rules of strategic interaction. The main emphasis, however, will be placed on the important features of dynamic informational play, which may be detected even after reduction of the differential game description to normal form. We shall furthermore illustrate how these dynamic properties may be translated into control-theoretic terms.

1.1. The Glass Bead Game

Hermann Hesse's "The Glass Bead Game" appeared in Switzerland in 1943. The conspicuous parallels between this literary fiction of "a game of thoughts called the Glass

Bead Game" and the theory of games, (1) born in 1944, can be taken as evidence that the times were ripe for the scientific and intellectual evolution of a discipline able to incorporate significant values of our culture.

Von Neumann and Morgenstern's⁽¹⁾ construct lacked the classical mathematical achievements in the spirit of Newton—a language of symbols in which science and art could play an equivalent role. It was Isaacs⁽²⁾ who thought of such effective tools: differential equations. His optimal pursuit and evasion schemes represented a variation of an old theme, played once by Leonardo da Vinci.

The applications Isaacs had in mind were mainly combat problems. By its very nature the mathematics of (zero-sum) differential games seemed to restrict the Glass Bead Game to matters of martial arts.

1.1.1. Isaacs's Decade

The origins of differential game theory can be traced back to the late 1940s. Rufus Isaacs, then on appointment with Rand, formulated missile versus enemy aircraft pursuit schemes in terms of descriptive and navigation (state and control) variables, and found the main principle: the tenet of transition.

Further innovations in differential game techniques and basic concepts followed, but due to lack of financial support Isaacs's work did not appear in print until 1965. In the meantime the subject of control theory had reached its maturity; the principle of optimality—a one-player special case of the tenet of transition—had been put on the map.

Research in differential games seemed to concentrate

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com