THE THEORY OF THE RIEMANN ZETA-FUNCTION

E. C. TITCHMARSH

SECOND EDITION

REVISED BY

D. R. HEATH-BROWN

Oxford University Press, Walton Street, Oxford OX26DP
Oxford New York Toronto
Delhi Bombay Calcutta Madras Karachi
Petaling Jaya Singapore Hong Kong Tokyo
Nairobi Dar es Salaam Cape Town
Melbourne Auckland

and associated companies in Beirut Berlin Ibadan Nicosia

Oxford is a trade mark of Oxford University Press

Published in the United States by Oxford University Press, New York

The Executors of the late Mrs K. Titchmarsh and D. R. Heath-Brown, 1986

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in at y form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of Oxford University Press

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form of binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Titchmarsh, E. C.
The theory of the Riemann zeta-function.
1. Calculus 2. Functions, Zeta
3. Riemann Hilbert problems
1. Title

515.9'82 **QA**320 ISBN 0-19-853369-1

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Titchmarsh, E. C. (Edward Charles), 1899 The theory of the Riemann zeta-function. Bibliography: p.

1. Functions, Zeta. 1. Heath-Brown, D. R. Il. Title.

QA246.T44. 1986 512',73 86--12520 ISBN 0-19-853369-1 (pbk.)

Set by Macmillan India Ltd., Bangalore 560 025 Printed in Great Britain by Biddles Ltd. Guildford and King's Lynn

PREFACE TO FIRST EDITION

This book is a successor to my Cambridge Tract *The Zeta-Function of Riemann*, 1930, which is now out of print and out of date. It seems no longer practicable to give an account of the subject in such a small space as a Cambridge Tract, so that the present work, though on exactly the same lines as the previous one, is on a much larger scale. As before, I do not discuss general prime-number theory, though it has been convenient to include some theorems on primes.

Most of this book was compiled in the 1930's, when I was still researching on the subject. It has been brought partly up to date by including some of the work of A. Selberg and of Vinogradov, though a great deal of recent work is scantily represented.

The manuscript has been read by Dr. S. H. Min and by Prof. D. B. Sears, and my best thanks are due to them for correcting a large number of mistakes. I must also thank Prof. F. V. Atkinson and Dr. T. M. Fleet for their kind assistance in reading the proof-sheets.

OXFORD 1951 E. C. T.

PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION

SINCE the first edition was written, a vast amount of further work has been done. This has been covered by the end-of-chapter notes. In most instances, restrictions on space have prohibited the inclusion of full proofs, but I have tried to give an indication of the methods used wherever possible. (Proofs of quite a few of the recent results described in the end of chapter notes may be found in the book by Ivic [3].) I have also corrected a number of minor errors, and made a few other small improvements to the text. A considerable number of recent references have been added.

In preparing this work I have had help from Professors J. B. Conrey, P. D. T. A. Elliott, A. Ghosh, S. M. Gonek, H. L. Montgomery, and S. J. Patterson. It is a pleasure to record my thanks to them.

OXFORD 1986 D. R. H.-B.

CONTENTS

I.	THE FUNCTION $\zeta(s)$ AND THE DIRICHLET SERIES RELATED TO IT Definition of $\zeta(s)$. Dirichlet series connected with $\zeta(s)$. Sums involving $\sigma_a(n)$. Ramanujan's sums.	1
II .	THE ANALYTIC CHARACTER OF $\zeta(s)$, AND THE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION Analytic continuation. Functional equation. The functions $\chi(s)$, $\zeta(s)$, and $\Xi(s)$. Values at negative integers. Siegel's proof of the functional equation. Zeros and the Hadamard product. Hamburger's theorem. Selberg's method, Eisenstein series and the Maass Selberg formula. Tate's thesis. The p -adic zeta functions.	13
III.	THE THEOREM OF HADAMARD AND DE LA VALLÉE POUSSIN, AND ITS CONSEQUENCES Methods of Hadamard, de la Vallee Poussin, and Ingham. The prime number theorem Quantitative zero free regions and bounds for $\zeta(s)^{-1}$, $\zeta'(s)/\zeta(s)$. Elementary estimates. Sieve methods. Proof via the Maass-Selberg formula.	45
IV.	APPROXIMATE FORMULAE Estimates for exponential integrals. The approximate functional equation. The Riemann Siegel formula. Weighted approximate functional equations. The approximate functional equation for $\frac{1}{2}(8)^{\circ}$.	. 71
V.	THE ORDER OF $\zeta(s)$ IN THE CRITICAL STRIP The function $\mu(\sigma)$. Weyl's method. Van der Corput's method. An improved zero-free region. Other methods for bounding $\mu(\frac{1}{2})$. The method of exponent pairs. Multiple sums and further work on $\mu(\frac{1}{2})$.	95
VI.	VINOGRADOV'S METHOD Vinogradov's mean-value theorem. Vinogradov's zero-free region. Application to exponent pairs, The Vinogradov Korobov estimate.	119
VII.	MEAN-VALUE THEOREMS The mean square. The fourth-power moment. Higher moments. Convexity bounds. Fractional moments. Weighted mean-values. The error term in the formula for the mean square. Atkinson's formula The twelfth-power moment. More on fractional moments. Applications of Kloosterman sums. The mean-value theorems of Iwaniec, and of Deshouillers and Iwaniec.	13
VIII.	$Ω$ THEOREMS Results for $ζ(1+it)$ and $1 : ζ(1+it)$. The range $\frac{1}{2} : σ < 1$. Sharper bounds.	18-

IA.	The Riemann-von Mangoldt formula. The functions $S(t)$ and $S_1(t)$. Gaps between ordinates of zeros. Estimates for $N(\sigma, T)$. Selberg's bound for	210
	$\int_{1}^{1} N(\sigma, T)' d\sigma$. Mean-values of $S(t)$ and $S_{1}(t)$. More on the distribution of	
	the ordinates of zeros. Sign changes and distribution of $S(t)$. Further work on $N(\sigma, T)$. Halasz' lemma and the Large Values Conjecture.	
X.	THE ZEROS ON THE CRITICAL LINE Riemann's memoir. The existence of an infinity of zeros on $\sigma=\frac{1}{2}$. The function $N_0(T)$. The Hardy-Littlewood bound. Selberg's theorem. Functions for which the Riemann hypothesis fails. Levinson's method. Simple zeros. Zeros of derivatives $\xi^{(m)}(s)$.	254
XI.	THE GENERAL DISTRIBUTION OF VALUES OF $\zeta(s)$ Values taken by $\zeta(\sigma+it)$. The case $\sigma>1$. The case $\frac{1}{2}<\sigma<1$. Voronin's universality theorem. The distribution of $\log\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+it)$.	292
XII.	DIVISOR PROBLEMS Basic results. Estimates for α_k . The case $k=2$. Mean-value estimates and Ω -results. Large values of k . More on the case $k=2$. More Ω -results. Additional mean-value theorems.	312
XIII.	THE LINDELÖF HYPOTHESIS Necessary and sufficient conditions. Mean-value theorems. Divisor problems. The functions $S(t)$, $S_1(t)$, and the distribution of zeros.	328
XIV.	CCNSEQUENCES OF THE RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS Deduction of the Lindelöf hypothesis. The function $\nu(\sigma)$. Sharper bounds for $\zeta(s)$. The case $\sigma=1$. The functions $S(t)$ and $S_1(t)$. Bounds for $\zeta(s)$ with σ near $\frac{1}{2}$. Mean-value theorems for $S(t)$ and $S_1(t)$. The function $M(x)$. The Mertens hypothesis. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the Riemann hypothesis. More on $S(t)$, $S_1(t)$, and gaps between zeros. Montgomery's pair-correlation conjecture. Disproof of the Mertens conjecture, and of Turán's hypothesis.	336
χV	CALCULATIONS RELATING TO THE ZEROS Location of the smallest non-trivial zero. Results of computer calculations.	388
	ORIGINAL PAPERS	392
	FURTHER REFERENCES	406

THE FUNCTION $\zeta(s)$ AND THE DIRICHLET SERIES RELATED TO IT

1.1. Definition of $\zeta(s)$. The Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ has its origin in the identity expressed by the two formulae

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s},$$
 (1.1.1)

where n runs through all integers, and

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1},$$
 (1.1.2)

where p runs through all primes. Either of these may be taken as the definition of $\zeta(s)$; s is a complex variable, $s = \sigma + it$. The Dirichlet series (1.1.1) is convergent for $\sigma > 1$, and uniformly convergent in any finite region in which $\sigma \geqslant 1 + \delta$, $\delta > 0$. It therefore defines an analytic function $\zeta(s)$, regular for $\sigma > 1$.

The infinite product is also absolutely convergent for $\sigma > 1$; for so is

$$\sum_{p} \left| \frac{1}{p^s} \right| = \sum_{p} \frac{1}{p^{\sigma}},$$

this being merely a selection of terms from the series $\sum n^{-\sigma}$. If we expand the factor involving p in powers of p^{-s} , we obtain

$$\prod_{p} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right).$$

On multiplying formally, we obtain the series (1.1.1), since each integer n can be expressed as a product of prime-powers p^m in just one way. The identity of (1.1.1) and (1.1.2) is thus an analytic equivalent of the theorem that the expression of an integer in prime factors is unique.

A rigorous proof is easily constructed by taking first a finite number of factors. Since we can multiply a finite number of absolutely convergent series, we have

$$\prod_{p \in P} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right) = 1 + \frac{1}{n_1^s} + \frac{1}{n_2^s} + \dots,$$

where $n_1, n_2,...$, are those integers none of whose prime factors exceed P.

Since all integers up to P are of this form, it follows that, if $\zeta(s)$ is defined by (1.1.1),

$$\left| \zeta(s) - \prod_{p \le P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \right| = \left| \zeta(s) - 1 - \frac{1}{n_1^s} - \frac{1}{n_2^s} - \dots \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(P+1)^\sigma} + \frac{1}{(P+2)^\sigma} + \dots$$

This tends to 0 as $P \to \infty$, if $\sigma > 1$; and (1.1.2) follows.

This fundamental identity is due to Euler, and (1.1.2) is known as Euler's product. But Euler considered it for particular values of s only, and it was Riemann who first considered $\zeta(s)$ as an analytic function of a complex variable.

Since a convergent infinite product of non-zero factors is not zero, we deduce that $\zeta(s)$ has no zeros for $\sigma > 1$. This may be proved directly as follows. We have for $\sigma > 1$

$$\left(1-\frac{1}{2^s}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{3^s}\right)...\left(1-\frac{1}{P^s}\right)\zeta(s) = 1+\frac{1}{m_1^s}+\frac{1}{m_2^s}+...,$$

where m_1 , m_2 ,..., are the integers all of whose prime factors exceed P. Hence

$$\left| \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s} \right) ... \left(1 - \frac{1}{P^s} \right) \zeta(s) \right| \ge 1 - \frac{1}{(P+1)^{\sigma}} - \frac{1}{(P+2)^{\sigma}} - ... > 0$$

if P is large enough. Hence $|\zeta(s)| > 0$.

The importance of $\zeta(s)$ in the theory of prime numbers lies in the fact that it combines two expressions, one of which contains the primes explicitly, while the other does not. The theory of primes is largely concerned with the function $\pi(x)$, the number of primes not exceeding x. We can transform (1.1.2) into a relation between $\zeta(s)$ and $\pi(x)$; for if $\sigma > 1$,

$$\log \zeta(s) = -\sum_{s} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{s}} \right) = -\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \pi(n) - \pi(n-1) \right\} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^{s}} \right)$$

$$= -\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \pi(n) \left\{ \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^{s}} \right) - \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)^{s}} \right) \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \pi(n) \int_{n}^{n+1} \frac{s}{x(x^{s}-1)} dx = s \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x(x^{s}-1)} dx. \tag{1.1.3}$$

The rearrangement of the series is justified since $\pi(n) \leqslant n$ and

$$\log(1-n^{-s})=O(n^{-\sigma}).$$

Again

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right),$$

and on carrying out the multiplication we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s} \quad (\sigma > 1), \tag{1.1.4}$$

where $\mu(1) = 1$, $\mu(n) = (-1)^k$ if n is the product of k different primes, and $\mu(n) = 0$ if n contains any factor to a power higher than the first. The process is easily justified as in the case of $\zeta(s)$.

The function $\mu(n)$ is known as the Möbius function. It has the property $\sum_{d|q} \mu(d) = 1 \ (q = 1), \quad 0 \ (q > 1), \tag{1.1.5}$

where $d \mid q$ means that d is a divisor of q. This follows from the identity

$$1 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s} = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^s} \sum_{d|q} \mu(d).$$

It also gives the 'Möbius inversion formula'

$$g(q) = \sum_{d|q} f(d), \tag{1.1.6}$$

$$f(q) = \sum_{d|q} \mu \left(\frac{q}{d}\right) g(d), \qquad (1.1.7)$$

connecting two functions f(n), g(n) defined for integral n. If f is given and g defined by (1.1.6), the right-hand side of (1.1.7) is

$$\sum_{d|q} \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \sum_{r|d} f(r).$$

The coefficient of f(q) is $\mu(1) = 1$. If r < q, then d = kr, where $k \mid q/r$. Hence the coefficient of f(r) is

$$\sum_{k|q/r} \mu\left(\frac{q}{kr}\right) = \sum_{k'|q/r} \mu(k') = 0$$

by (1.1.5). This proves (1.1.7). Conversely, if g is given, and f is defined by (1.1.7), then the right-hand side of (1.1.6) is

$$\sum_{d|q} \sum_{r|d} \mu\left(\frac{d}{r}\right) g(r),$$

and this is g(q), by a similar argument. The formula may also be

derived formally from the obviously equivalent relations

$$F(s)\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^s}, \qquad F(s) = \frac{1}{\zeta(s)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^s},$$
$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s}.$$

where

Again, on taking logarithms and differentiating (1.1.2), we obtain, for a > 1, $r(a) = \log n / (-1)^{-1}$

$$\frac{\gamma'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = -\sum_{p} \frac{\log p}{p^s} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

$$= -\sum_{p} \log p \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{ms}}$$

$$= -\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s}, \qquad (1.1.8)$$

where $\Lambda(n) = \log p$ if n is p or a power of p, and otherwise $\Lambda(n) = 0$. On integrating we obtain

$$\log \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_1(n)}{n^s} \quad (\sigma > 1), \tag{1.1.9}$$

where $\Lambda_1(n) = \Lambda(n)/\log n$, and the value of $\log \zeta(s)$ is that which tends to 0 as $\sigma \to \infty$, for any fixed t.

1.2. Various Dirichlet series connected with $\zeta(s)$. In the first place $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(n)$

 $\zeta^{2}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(n)}{n^{s}} \quad (\sigma > 1), \tag{1.2.1}$

where d(n) denotes the number of divisors of n (including 1 and n itself). For $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{$

 $\zeta^{2}(s) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu^{s}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu^{s}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}} \sum_{\mu\nu=n} 1,$

and the number of terms in the last sum is d(n). And generally

$$\zeta^{k}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{k}(n)}{n^{s}} \quad (\sigma > 1),$$
 (1.2.2)

where k = 2, 3, 4,..., and $d_k(n)$ denotes the number of ways of expressing n as a product of k factors, expressions with the same factors in a different order being counted as different. For

$$\zeta^k(s) = \sum_{\nu_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu_1^s} ... \sum_{\nu_k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu_k^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{\nu_1 ... \nu_k = n} 1,$$

and the last sum is $d_k(n)$.

Since we have also

$$\zeta^{2}(s) = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{s}} \right)^{-2} = \prod_{p} \left(1 + \frac{2}{p^{s}} + \frac{3}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right), \quad (1.2.3)$$

on comparing the coefficients in (1.2.1) and (1.2.3) we verify the elementary formula

$$d(n) = (m_1 + 1) \cdot (m_r + 1) \tag{1.2.4}$$

for the number of divisors of

$$n = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \dots p_r^{m_r}. \tag{1.2.5}$$

Similarly from (1.2.2)

$$d_k(n) = \frac{(k+m_1-1)!}{m_1!(k-1)!} \dots \frac{(k+m_r-1)!}{m_r!(k-1)!}.$$
 (1.2.6)

We next note the expansions

$$\frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu(n)|}{n^s} \quad (\sigma > 1), \tag{1.2.7}$$

where $\mu(n)$ is the coefficient in (1.1.4);

$$\frac{\zeta^2(s)}{\zeta(2s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{\nu(n)}}{n^s} \quad (\sigma > 1), \tag{1.2.8}$$

where $\nu(n)$ is the number of different prime factors of n;

$$\frac{\zeta^3(s)}{\zeta(2s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(n^2)}{n^s} \quad (\sigma > 1), \tag{1.2.9}$$

and

$$\frac{\zeta^4(s)}{\zeta(2s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\{d(n)\}^2}{n^s} \quad (\sigma > 1). \tag{1.2.10}$$

To prove (1.2.7), we have

$$\frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2s)} = \prod_{p} \frac{1-p^{-2s}}{1-p^{-s}} = \prod_{p} \left(1+\frac{1}{p^{s}}\right),$$

and this differs from the formula for $1/\zeta(s)$ only in the fact that the signs are all positive. The result is therefore clear. To prove (1.2.8), we have

$$egin{align} rac{\zeta^2(s)}{\zeta(2s)} &= \prod_p rac{1-p^{-2s}}{(1-p^{-s})^2} = \prod_p rac{1+p^{-s}}{1-p^{-s}} \ &= \prod_p (1+2p^{-s}+2p^{-2s}+...), \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. To prove (1.2.9),

$$\begin{split} \frac{\zeta^3(s)}{\zeta(2s)} &= \prod_p \frac{1 - p^{-2s}}{(1 - p^{-s})^3} = \prod_p \frac{1 + p^{-s}}{(1 - p^{-s})^2} \\ &= \prod_p \left\{ (1 + p^{-s})(1 + 2p^{-s} + 3p^{-2s} + \ldots) \right\} \\ &= \prod_p \left\{ 1 + 3p^{-s} + \ldots + (2m + 1)p^{-ms} + \ldots \right\}, \end{split}$$

and the result follows, since, if n is (1.2.5),

$$d(n^2) = (2m_1 + 1)...(2m_r + 1).$$

Similarly

$$\begin{split} \frac{\zeta^4(s)}{\zeta(2s)} &= \prod_p \frac{1-p^{-2s}}{(1-p^{-s})^4} = \prod_p \frac{1+p^{-s}}{(1-p^{-s})^3} \\ &= \prod_p (1+p^{-s})\{1+3p^{-s}+\ldots+\frac{1}{2}(m+1)(m+2)p^{-ms}+\ldots\} \\ &= \prod_p \{1+4p^{-s}+\ldots+(m+1)^2p^{-ms}+\ldots\}, \end{split}$$

and (1.2.10) follows.

Other formulae are

$$\frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} \quad (\sigma > 1), \tag{1.2.11}$$

where $\lambda(n) = (-1)^r$ if n has r prime factors, a factor of degree k being counted k times;

$$\frac{\zeta(s-1)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(n)}{n^s} \quad (\sigma > 2), \tag{1.2.12}$$

where $\phi(n)$ is the number of numbers less than n and prime to n; and

$$\frac{1-2^{1-s}}{1-2^{-s}}\zeta(s-1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^s} \quad (\sigma > 2), \tag{1.2.13}$$

where a(n) is the greatest odd divisor of n. Of these, (1.2.11) follows at once from

$$\frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)} = \prod_{s} \left(\frac{1-p^{-s}}{1-p^{-2s}} \right) = \prod_{s} \left(\frac{1}{1+p^{-s}} \right) = \prod_{s} \left(1-p^{-s}+p^{-2s}-\ldots \right).$$

Also

$$\begin{split} \frac{\zeta(s-1)}{\zeta(s)} &= \prod_{p} \left(\frac{1-p^{-s}}{1-p^{1-s}} \right) = \prod_{p} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{s}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{p}{p^{s}} + \frac{p^{2}}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right) \right\} \\ &= \prod_{p} \left\{ 1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{p}{p^{s}} + \frac{p^{2}}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right) \right\}, \end{split}$$

and (1.2.12) follows, since, if $n = p_1^{m_1} ... p_r^{m_r}$,

$$\phi(n) = n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) ... \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_r}\right).$$

Finally

$$\frac{1-2^{1-s}}{1-2^{-s}} \zeta(s-1) = \frac{1-2^{1-s}}{1-2^{-s}} \prod_{p} \frac{1}{1-p^{1-s}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-2^{-s}} \frac{1}{1-3^{1-s}} \frac{1}{1-5^{1-s}} \dots$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{2^{2s}} + \dots\right) \left(1 + \frac{3}{3^s} + \frac{3^2}{3^{2s}} + \dots\right) \dots,$$

and (1.2.13) follows.

Many of these formulae are, of course, simply particular cases of the general formula

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p} \left\{ 1 + \frac{f(p)}{p^s} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right\},$$

where f(n) is a multiplicative function, i.e. is such that, if $n = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \dots$, then $f(n) = f(p_1^{m_1}) f(p_2^{m_2}) \dots$

Again, let $f_k(n)$ denote the number of representations of n as a product of k factors, each greater than unity when n > 1, the order of the factors being essential. Then clearly

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{f_k(n)}{n^s} = \{ \zeta(s) - 1 \}^k \quad (\sigma > 1). \tag{1.2.14}$$

Let f(n) be the number of representations of n as a product of factors greater than unity, representations with factors in a different order being considered as distinct; and let f(1) = 1. Then

$$f(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(n).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{\zeta(s) - 1\}^k = 1 + \frac{\zeta(s) - 1}{1 - \{\zeta(s) - 1\}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2 - \zeta(s)}.$$
(1.2.15)

It is easily seen that $\zeta(s) = 2$ for $s = \alpha$, where α is a real number greater than 1; and $|\zeta(s)| < 2$ for $\sigma > \alpha$, so that (1.2.15) holds for $\sigma > \alpha$.

1.3. Sums involving $\sigma_a(n)$. Let $\sigma_a(n)$ denote the sum of the ath powers of the divisors of n. Then

$$\zeta(s)\zeta(s-a) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu^{s}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu^{a}}{\nu^{s}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}} \sum_{\mu\nu=n} \nu^{a},$$

$$\zeta(s)\zeta(s-a) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{a}(n)}{n^{s}} \quad (\sigma > 1, \ \sigma > R(a) + 1). \tag{1.3.1}$$

Since the left-hand side is, if $a \neq 0$,

$$\prod_{p} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^{s}} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right) \left(1 + \frac{p^{a}}{p^{s}} + \frac{p^{2a}}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right) \\
= \prod_{p} \left(1 + \frac{1 + p^{a}}{p^{s}} + \frac{1 + p^{a} + p^{2a}}{p^{s}} + \dots \right) = \prod_{p} \left(1 + \frac{1 - p^{2a}}{1 - p^{a}} \frac{1}{p^{s}} + \dots \right) \\
= \text{have} \qquad \sigma_{a}(n) = \frac{1 - p_{1}^{(m_{1}+1)a}}{1 - p_{1}^{a}} \dots \frac{1 - p_{r}^{(m_{r}+1)a}}{1 - p_{2}^{a}}, \qquad (1.3.2)$$

we have

if n is (1.2.5), as is also obvious from elementary considerations.

The formulat

$$\frac{\zeta(s)\zeta(s-a)\zeta(s-b)\zeta(s-a-b)}{\zeta(2s-a-b)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_a(n)\sigma_b(n)}{n^s}$$
(1.3.3)

is valid for $\sigma > \max\{1, R(a)+1, R(b)+1, R(a+b)+1\}$. The left-hand side is equal to

$$\prod_{p} \frac{1-p^{-2s+a+b}}{(1-p^{-s})(1-p^{-s+a})(1-p^{-s+b})(1-p^{-s+a+b})}.$$

Putting $p^{-s} = z$, the partial-fraction formula gives

$$\begin{split} \frac{1-p^{a+b}z^2}{(1-z)(1-p^az)(1-p^bz)(1-p^{a+b}z)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-p^a)(1-p^b)} \left\{ \frac{1}{1-z} - \frac{p^a}{1-p^az} - \frac{p^b}{1-p^bz} + \frac{p^{a+b}}{1-p^{a+b}z} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-p^a)(1-p^b)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (1-p^{(m+1)a}-p^{(m+1)b}+p^{(m+1)(a+b)})z^m \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-p^a)(1-p^b)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (1-p^{(m+1)a})(1-p^{(m+1)b})z^m. \\ &+ \text{Ramanujan (2), B. M. Wilson (1).} \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\zeta(s)\zeta(s-a)\zeta(s-b)\zeta(s-a-b)}{\zeta(2s-a-b)} = \prod_{p} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-p^{(m+1)a}}{1-p^{a}} \frac{1-p^{(m+1)b}}{1-p^{b}} \frac{1}{p^{ms}},$$

and the result follows from (1.3.2). If a = b = 0, (1.3.3) reduces to (1.2.10).

Similar formulae involving $\sigma_a^{(q)}(n)$, the sum of the *a*th powers of those divisors of *n* which are *q*th powers of integers, have been given by Crum (1).

1.4. It is also easily seen that, if f(n) is multiplicative, and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s}$$

is a product of zeta-functions such as occurs in the above formulae, and k is a given positive integer, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(kn)}{n^s}$$

can also be summed. An example will illustrate this point. The function $\sigma_a(n)$ is 'multiplicative', i.e. if m is prime to n

$$\sigma_{a}(mn) = \sigma_{a}(m)\sigma_{a}(n).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_a(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_a(p^m)}{p^{ms}},$$

and, if $k = \prod p^l$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_a(kn)}{n^s}=\prod_{p}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_a(p^{l+m})}{p^{ms}}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_a(kn)}{n^s} = \zeta(s)\zeta(s-a) \prod_{p|k} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_a(p^{l+m})}{p^{ms}} \middle/ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_a(p^m)}{p^{ms}} \right\}.$$

Now if $a \neq 0$,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_a(p^{l+m})}{p^{ms}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-p^{(l+m+1)a}}{(1-p^a)p^{ms}} = \frac{1-p^{a-s}-p^{(l+1)a}+p^{(l+1)a-s}}{(1-p^a)(1-p^{-s})(1-p^{a-s})}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_a(kn)}{n^s} = \zeta(s)\zeta(s-a) \prod_{p|k} \frac{1-p^{a-s}-p^{(l+1)a}+p^{(l+1)a-s}}{1-p^a}. \quad (1.4.1)$$

Making
$$a \to 0$$
,
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d(kn)}{n^s} = \zeta^2(s) \prod_{p|k} (l+1-lp^{-s}). \tag{1.1.2}$$

1.5. Ramanujan's sums.† Let

$$c_k(n) = \sum_{h} e^{-2nh\pi i/k} = \sum_{h} \cos \frac{2nh\pi}{k},$$
 (1.5.1)

where h runs through all positive integers less than and prime to k. Many formulae involving these sums were proved by Ramanujan.

We shall first prove that

$$c_k(n) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid k, d \mid n}} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) d. \tag{1.5.2}$$

The sum

$$\eta_k(n) = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} e^{-2nm\pi i/k}$$

is equal to k if $k \mid n$ and 0 otherwise. Denoting by (r, d) the highest common factor of r and d, so that (r, d) = 1 means that r is prime to d,

$$\sum_{d|k} c_d(n) = \sum_{d|k} \sum_{(r,d)=1,r < d} e^{-2nr\pi i |d} = \eta_k(n).$$

Hence by the inversion formula of Möbius (1.1.7)

$$c_k(n) = \sum_{d|k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) \eta_d(n),$$

and (1.5.2) follows. In particular

$$c_k(1) = \mu(k). {(1.5.3)}$$

The result can also be written

$$c_k(n) = \sum_{dr=k,d|n} \mu(r)d.$$

Hence

$$\frac{c_k(n)}{k^s} = \sum_{dr=k,d|n} \frac{\mu(r)}{r^s} d^{1-s}.$$

Summing with respect to k, we remove the restriction on r, which now assumes all positive integral values. Hencet

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k(n)}{k^s} = \sum_{r,d|n} \frac{\mu(r)}{r^s} d^{1-s} = \frac{\sigma_{1-s}(n)}{\zeta(s)},\tag{1.5.4}$$

the series being absolutely convergent for $\sigma > 1$ since $|c_k(n)| \leqslant \sigma_1(n)$, by (1.5.2).

We have also

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k(n)}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{d|k|d|n} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) d$$

$$= \sum_{d|k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) d \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(md)^s} = \zeta(s) \sum_{d|k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) d^{1-s}. \tag{1.5.5}$$

[†] Ramanujan (3), Hardy (5). † Two more proofs are given by Hardy, Ramanujan, 137-41.

We can also sum series of the formt

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k(n)f(n)}{n^s},$$

where f(n) is a multiplicative function. For example,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k(n)d(n)}{n^s} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(n)}{n^s} \sum_{\delta | k, \delta | n} \delta \mu \binom{k}{\bar{\delta}} \\ & = \sum_{\delta | k} \delta \mu \binom{k}{\bar{\delta}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(m\delta)}{(m\delta)^s} \\ &= \zeta^2(s) \sum_{\delta | k} \delta^{1-s} \mu \binom{k}{\bar{\delta}} \prod_{p | \bar{\delta}} (l+1-lp^{-s}) \end{split}$$

if $\delta = \prod p^l$. If $k = \prod p^{\lambda}$ the sum is

$$\begin{split} k^{1-s} \prod_{p \mid k} (\lambda + 1 - \lambda p^{-s}) - \sum_{p \mid k} \left(\frac{k}{p} \right)^{1-s} & \{\lambda - (\lambda - 1) p^{-s}\} \prod_{\substack{p' \mid k \\ p' \neq p}} (\lambda + 1 - \lambda p'^{-s}) + \\ &+ \sum_{pp' \mid k} \left(\frac{k}{pp'} \right)^{1-s} & \{\lambda - (\lambda - 1) p^{-s}\} & \prod_{\substack{p' \mid k \\ p' \neq p, p'}} (\lambda + 1 - \lambda p''^{-s}) - \dots \\ &= k^{1-s} \prod_{\substack{p \mid k }} \left\{ (\lambda + 1 - \lambda p^{-s}) - \frac{1}{p^{1-s}} & \{\lambda - (\lambda - 1) p^{-s}\} \right\} \\ &= k^{1-s} \prod_{\substack{p \mid k }} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \lambda \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1-s}} \right) & \{1 - \frac{1}{p^{1-s}} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k(n)d(n)}{n^s} = \zeta^2(s)k^{1-s} \prod_{p \mid k} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \lambda \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1-s}} \right) \right\}. \quad (1.5.6)$$
 We can also sum
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k(qn)f(n)}{n^s}.$$

For example, in the simplest case f(n) = 1, the series is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{\delta | k, \delta | qn} \delta \mu \left(\frac{k}{\delta} \right).$$

For given δ , n runs through those multiples of δ/q which are integers. If δ/q in its lowest terms is δ_1/q_1 , these are the numbers δ_1 , $2\delta_1$,.... Hence the sum is

$$\sum_{\delta|k} \delta \mu \left(\frac{k}{\delta}\right) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(r\delta_1)^s} = \zeta(s) \sum_{\delta|k} \delta \mu \left(\frac{k}{\delta}\right) \delta_1^{-s}.$$
† Crum (1).