

# Systems Modeling For Business Process Improvement

David Bustard Peter Kawalek Mark Norris

editors

# Systems Modeling for Business Process Improvement

David Bustard Peter Kawalek Mark Norris

Editors



#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Bustard, David, 1949-

Systems modeling for business process improvement/ David Bustard, Peter Kawalek,

p. cm. — (Artech House computing library)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 1-58053-050-8 (alk. paper)

1. Information resources management. 2. Management information systems.

3. Information technology. I. Kawalek, Peter. II. Norris, Mark. III. Title. IV. Series.

T58.64. B88 2000 658.4'032—dc21

00-02744

CIP

### British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Bustard, David, 1949-

Systems modeling for business process improvement.—

(Artech House computing library)

1. Industrial engineering—Data processing 2. Reengineering (Management)—Computer network resources 3. Systems

engineering 4. Software engineering

I. Title II. Kawalek, Peter III. Norris, Mark

658.5'0285'51

ISBN 1-58053-050-8

Cover design by Igor Valdman

© 2000 ARTECH HOUSE, INC.

685 Canton Street

Norwood, MA 02062

All rights reserved. Printed and bound in the United States of America. No part of this book may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

All terms mentioned in this book that are known to be trademarks or service marks have been appropriately capitalized. Artech House cannot attest to the accuracy of this information. Use of a term in this book should not be regarded as affecting the validity of any trademark or service mark.

International Standard Book Number: 1-58053-050-8 Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 00-027445

10987654321

## **FOREWORD**

Hammer and Champy, in their analysis of the impact of information technology (IT) on organizations (Reengineering the Corporation, Nicholas Brealey Publishing, 1993), identify innumerable ways in which the rapid evolution of technology can have a massively disruptive effect. They argue that to identify and meet new business objectives, an organization needs inductive thinking, building on the possibilities of the technology and the business opportunities that it provides. Yet, such systems thinking requires skills from two quite distinct cultures: information systems and software engineering. Traditionally, these two communities have taken quite different views being respectively socioeconomic and technically oriented. Increasingly, the two communities are being pushed together as the rapid evolution of business, driven by the explosion in IT, leads to ever-more complex, interrelated and interworking systems whose development can no longer be achieved without considerations from both sides.

In this book, Dave Bustard and his colleagues have brought together representatives of both communities who present their views in a way that is sympathetic to the idea that recognizing a shared problem is important. The emphasis on modeling is well chosen because it is shared models of systems that will lead to the common understanding on which rapid progress can be made. It is clear that the two communities take a very different approach to modeling.

Information systems models tend to be soft, manifesting themselves as diagrams that on purpose leave some aspects of interpretation open. Software engineering models tend to be hard, ideally being sufficiently accurate and complete that they can actually become part of the system. Bridging this gap in modeling is the core theme of this book.

Lest you think there is less of a gap here than I make out, consider the use of terminology by the two cultures. Many words are shared, but few meanings. A speaker using the words *ontology* or *ethnography* is probably from the information systems community. A speaker using the words *component* or *agent* is probably from the software engineering community. But what of a speaker using the words *process* or *system*? Such a speaker could be from either community, but you would need to know from which to understand what each word meant. You will see the authors of the papers in this book valiantly trying to bridge this gap in understanding.

The difficulty we have in researching topics such as those addressed here arises from the fact that systems-level research is necessarily interdisciplinary and necessarily bridges many cultures. This is a major challenge for research in the United Kingdom and worldwide. It is, however, an area of research in which we might expect the United Kingdom to excel having, as we often claim, a tradition for breaking down the barriers between institutions. This book makes an excellent contribution to the important objective of uniting the systems thinkers (the inductive thinkers) from both information systems and software engineering. Much remains to be done—but this is a good place to start.

Peter Henderson Professor of Computer Science, University of Southampton Coordinator, Systems Engineering for Business Process Change (an EPSRC research program)

September 1999

# **PREFACE**

Organizations need to improve to survive. Information technology (IT) currently plays a significant part in helping to achieve that improvement. This book examines the nature of such organizational change and considers, in particular, the supporting contribution of systems modeling to the change process.

Through IT, modern organizations can improve both their efficiency and their effectiveness. The Internet, for example, offers businesses access to potential customers, in any part of the developed world, 24 hours a day, 365 days a year, with minimal overhead costs.

Unfortunately, the many cited cases of failure make it clear that the potential gains through IT are not easily realized. The essence of the problem is that it can be *very hard* to achieve beneficial organizational change. This is true whether the goal is to introduce imaginative new ways of working, migrate to new technologies, or simply make improvements in areas of known weakness. The resulting upheaval is met with resistance—often with justifiable cause.

Making good use of IT is therefore a challenging design task. If we want to bring about genuine improvement within an organization, if we want outcomes to be managed and not left to chance, then we need appropriate design techniques and processes. This is the subject matter of this book. The particular focus is the development and use of *system models*. These provide a basis for understanding

where change is desirable and describing how it can be achieved. A model can cover any relevant aspect of a business, its IT support, or the change process.

The title of the book brings together two concepts that have become dominant themes in the design of IT systems: one is systems and the other business process improvement.

#### WHY SYSTEMS?

Any organizational change program is likely to be *systemically* complex. That is, it will be characterized by a network of interdependent factors. Any tug at this network is likely to have ramifications elsewhere. For example, the task of developing a new database can quickly become associated with issues of work practices, levels of training, reward schemes, and alternative political manifestos for the development of the organization. Within this complex organizational context is the technical task itself, namely the challenge that the IT development team faces in trying to comprehend, define, and support the organizational activities of current and potential IT users.

Systems approaches strive to provide a means for understanding and managing such complex situations. Many techniques have been in use for a long time, such as sociotechnical systems design and soft systems methodology. It is reassuring that their value is now becoming better recognized in the IT community. By taking a systems approach, the IT practitioner is seeking to find a way of appreciating the complex relationships that affect change, resisting the urge to ignore soft factors that are difficult to control and yet trying to preserve sufficient rigor and thoroughness in the work.

#### WHY BUSINESS PROCESS IMPROVEMENT?

Any IT intervention will have to account for its value in some terms or other, such as reduced costs, greater opportunities generated, or higher user satisfaction. Therefore, both at the design stage and at an evaluation stage, it is necessary to define the scope of IT in a way that allows a thorough appreciation of its contribution. By considering this impact of IT on business processes, IT designers can take a sufficiently rich vantage point from which to consider a computing system's true implications for the business. The design task becomes still more complex, however, as any business process will be a function of organizational, technological, and human resource factors.

Thus, the design task is enlarged. Far from being a technical intervention, we are likely to find ourselves undertaking a sociotechnical and, perhaps, multidisciplinary project. Such enlargement of scope and perspective carries with

it its own risks but has the potential to enhance our understanding and improve the quality of the IT design.

It follows that at the design stage, the IT system should be described in a way that allows its impact on the business process to be understood. The business can then sensibly debate the merits of the new design and can compare it with existing or previous designs. At the evaluation stage, a rich set of process metrics can be assembled to provide evidence of the value of the new process. These metrics might be quantitative, such as costs, customer contacts, or cycle time, or they might be qualitative, such as accounts of customer experience or descriptions of user satisfaction.

Interpreted in this way, the business process design is used as the fulcrum of a broader systemic intervention. It is not the only concern, but the design of the business process does allow the impact of a wide range of variables to be discussed. It can be used to help build a rich model of the organization—a model that can then be used alongside other models in the quest to bring out the full potential of IT support.

#### WHY IS THIS BOOK IMPORTANT?

Arguably, IT has been the defining technology of recent years. Advances in IT have shaped and reshaped our lives. This book is concerned with furthering this process. As IT becomes ever-more deeply embedded in our work and social activities, it becomes more important that we are able to manage it, to use it efficiently, and above all to use it effectively.

This book is the work of prominent researchers and practitioners in the application of IT in organizations. The ideas and approaches that are described deal with various aspects of organizational change, taken from business, information systems, and software engineering perspectives. Together they address the major concepts and issues in systems thinking and business process improvement. An emphasis on systems modeling provides a unifying theme across the contributions and gives a practical emphasis in the work. The net effect is a book that is *really* useful—one that can help inform any analysis, design, or evaluation of organizational change involving IT.

#### WHO SHOULD READ THIS BOOK?

This book is written for all those concerned with deploying IT in organizations. The chapters are written by and for those with software engineering and information systems backgrounds, but the material is also of value to those more concerned with business and management. We anticipate that both academic and

company researchers will find it interesting to consider the value of the thinking and approaches offered. Students, especially those at a Master's level and above, will also benefit from access to the ideas, methods, and techniques described.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

This book has been developed and refined from material presented at a workshop titled *Systems Modeling for Business Process Improvement*, held in March 1999 at the University of Ulster, Coleraine, Northern Ireland. The workshop was partly funded by the U.K. Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) through the Systems Engineering for Business Process Change (SEBPC) program, coordinated by Peter Henderson and Dominic Semple.

We are very grateful to those who reviewed the papers submitted to the workshop from which the material in this book has been selected. The main reviewers were Bruce Campbell, Albert Alderson, Alistair Sutcliffe, Bill Hutchinson, Steve Polyak, Zimin Wu, Hussein Zedan, Pat Lundy, and George Wilkie. The quality of the final papers has also benefited from indirect contributions from the workshop attendees who participated in the many lively debates on the ideas involved.

We thank Pauleen Marshall and Zhonglin He for their help with the cameraready layout of the contributions, and Viki Williams, Susanna Taggart, Ruth Young, and Jon Workman of Artech House for their guidance and encouragement throughout the production process.

> Dave Bustard, University of Ulster Peter Kawalek, University of Warwick Mark Norris, Norwest Communications

# **CONTENTS**

Chapter 1	Over	view		1	
	1.1	Introdu	iction	1	
	1.2				
Chapter 2	Simulation Modeling and Change Management Panaceas: The				
	Missing Link				
		2.1 Introduction			
	2.2		Sanagement Innovation and Change Programs	14	
		2.2.1		15	
		2.2.2	Just-in-Time	16	
		2.2.3	Business Process Reengineering	17	
		2.2.4	Process Innovation	19	
	2.3	Simula	tion Modeling	21	
	2.4 Simulation Modeling and Change Panaceas				
		2.4.1		23	
		2.4.2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	24	
		2.4.3		24	
		2.4.4		24	
		2.4.5		25	
	2.5	A Com	parison of Change Management Programs	26	
	2.6	Conclusions			
Chapter 3	System Dynamics in Information Systems Analysis: An				
	Evaluation Case Study				
	3.1	Overvi	ew of Gigante	33	
	3.2	The Problem			

	3.3	Initial Investigation	35				
	3.4	Problems With Traditional IS Modeling Techniques	36				
	3.5	A Systems View	36				
	3.6	Some Findings From the Systems Dynamics Model	39				
	3.7	Comments on Use of System Dynamics for Business Process Modeling	40				
	3.8	Toward Integrating the Views	42				
Chapter 4	Business Process Modeling With Objects, Costs, and Human Resources						
	4.1						
	4.2	Types of Modeling and Object Orientation					
	4.3	Model and Metamodel	50 51				
	4.4	Activities and Their Costs	55				
	4.5	Human Resource Management and Skills	57				
	4.6	Conclusions	59				
Chapter 5	The Organization, the Process, and the Model						
	5.1	Introduction	61				
		5.1.1 The Problem	62				
	5.2	Organizational Process Modeling A Case Study					
	5.3	A Case Study					
		5.3.1 The What, the Why, and the How	64				
		5.3.2 Designing the Process	70				
		5.3.3 Software Support for the Process	73				
	5.4	Discussion and Conclusions	75				
		5.4.1 The Value and Basis of OPM	75				
		5.4.2 The Task of Designing Software Support	76				
		5.4.3 The Task of Designing Processes	78				
Chapter 6	Exploiting Organizational Knowledge in Adaptive Workflow Systems						
	6.1						
	6.2						
	6.3	Knowledge-Based Capability Matching					
	0.5	6.3 Motivation for Adding Knowledge About Organization and Authority					
	6.4	Organizational Structure Modeling Language 8					
	6.5	Authority Modeling Language Proposal	87				
		6.5.1 The Culture Perspective	88				
		6.5.2 Using Organizational Structure and Authority					
		6.5.3 Further Authority Modeling Issues	90				
	6.6	Conclusions	90				

Chapter 7	A Common Process Methodology for Engineering				
	Process Domains				
	7.1 Introduction				
	7.2	Building on Past Research	97		
		7.2.1 COntrolled Requirements Expression	97		
		7.2.2 Task Formalism Method	98		
	7.3 Fitting Into a Framework				
	7.4	65			
	7.5	7.5 Aim of CPM			
	7.6	Main CPM Activities			
		7.6.1 Viewpoint Generation	102		
		7.6.2 Functional Viewpoint Structuring	106		
		7.6.3 Information Gathering	107		
		7.6.4 Viewpoint Analysis	109		
		7.6.5 Systems Analysis	110		
		7.6.6 Operational Analysis	111		
		7.6.7 Constraints Analysis	111		
	7.7	Tool Support	112		
	7.8	Conclusions	113		
Chapter 8	Business Modeling Interprocess Relationships				
	8.1 Introduction				
	8.2	A Framework for Business Process Modeling	118		
		8.2.1 Process Models	119		
		8.2.2 Structural Models of Organizations	119		
		8.2.3 Domain and Environmental Context	121		
	8.3	Designing Interorganizational Relationships	122		
		8.3.1 Applying Transaction Cost Theory to	123		
		Process Reengineering			
		8.3.2 Defining Business Process Relationships	123		
	0.4	8.3.3 Stages in Process Engineering	124		
	8.4	A Case Study of Process Reengineering	127		
		8.4.1 Preparatory Phase	127		
		8.4.2 Transaction Analysis	128		
	0.5	8.4.3 Implications for Organizational Change	130 131		
	8.5 Discussion				
Chapter 9		ess Improvement Using ISO 15504	135 135		
	9.1 Introduction				
	9.2	Process Assessment	136		
	9.3	The ISO 15504 Standard	137		
		9.3.1 The Process Categories	138		

		9.3.2 The Capability Levels	139			
		9.3.3 The Two-Dimensional Model	140			
	9.4	A Case Study	140			
	9.5	Conclusions	144			
Chapter 10	Metrics-Based Process Modeling With Illustrations From the FEAST/1 Project					
	10.1	Introduction	147			
	10.2	Antecedents	148			
	10.3		150			
	10.4	10.4 Feedback and the Laws of Software Evolution				
	10.5	FEAST/1	155			
	10.6	Some FEAST/1 Results	156			
		10.6.1 Black-Box Studies	156			
		10.6.2 The Models as Predictors	160			
		10.6.3 White-Box Studies	162			
	10.7	Further Work—FEAST/2	164			
	10.8	Conclusions	166			
Chapter 11	Modeling Information System Requirements for Complex Systems					
	11.1 Introduction					
	11.1	UMISD	171			
	11.2		172			
	11.3	:	172			
	11.4	, ,	173			
			178			
	11.6	Representing the Client's IS Requirements	179			
	11.7	J 11	180			
	11.8	Organizational Analysis, Information, and Natural Language	181			
	11.9	Conclusions	182			
Chapter 12	An Interpretivist Approach to Modeling Client Requirements					
1	An Interpretivist Approach to Modeling Client Requirements 1 for Information Systems					
	12.1	•				
	12.2	Traditional Methods of Design: The Problems	187 188			
	12.3	Interpretivism: A Different Approach	188			
	12.4	Action Research	189			
	12.5	Appreciating the Situation	190			
	12.6	Linking a Rich Analysis to Object-Oriented Design	191			
	12.7	Conversation Modeling	191			
	12.8	Coordination Maps	193			
	12.9	Present Research	193			
			1/3			

Chapter 13	Information Systems Specifications Within the Framework of					
	Client-Led Design					
	13.1					
	13.2	Feasibility of a Link Between Soft and Hard Methods	200			
	13.3	Strategies to Cross the Gap	200			
	13.4	CLD: A Possible Framework for Integrating SSM With Hard Systems Development Techniques	201			
	13.5					
	13.6	Integration of OO Into CLD	202 203			
	13.7	Integration of Situation Theory Into CLD	206			
	13.8	Conclusions	209			
Chapter 14	Deve	Developing a Business-IT Coevolutionary Change Plan				
	14.1	Introduction	213			
		14.1.1 Basic Change Model	214			
	14.2	The Coevolutionary Change Process	215			
	14.3	Stage 1: Understanding the Situation of Concern	218			
	14.4	Stage 2: Defining the Target System	221			
		14.4.1 Root Definitions	221			
		14.4.2 Conceptual Models	222			
		14.4.3 IT Support	223			
	14.5	Stage 3: Defining the Initial System	225			
		14.5.1 Activity Mapping	226			
		14.5.2 Organizational Mapping	227			
		14.5.3 Surplus Activity Identification	227			
		14.5.4 IT Mapping	227			
		14.5.5 Surplus IT Identification	228			
	14.6	Stage 4: Developing Recommendations for Change	228			
		14.6.1 Recommendation Summary	228			
		14.6.2 Change Increments	229			
	14.7	Conclusions	230			
Chapter 15		Relating Organizational Semiotics, Process Modeling, and				
	Stakeholder Viewpoints to Elucidate and Record Requirements					
	15.1	15.1 Introduction 2				
	15.2	Semantic Analysis and Ontology Charts	234			
	15.3	Features of Ontology Charts	236			
		15.3.1 Insurance Claim Example	236			
		15.3.2 Affordances and Processes	237			
		15.3.3 Roles and Legitimate Concerns	238			
		15.3.4 Limitations of Ontology Charts	239			
	15.4	The Elicitation Process	239			

	155	A Cono	Childre	240		
	15.5	A Case	Problem Definition	240		
		15.5.1	Candidate Term Generation	240		
				241		
			Candidate Grouping	241		
			Ontology Charting			
			Gathering User Viewpoints	242		
	15.0		Modeling Processes	243		
	15.6	Conclu	sions	245 249		
Chapter 16	Modeling Organizational Communication: Top-Down					
	Analysis and Bottom-Up Diagnosis					
	16.1 Introduction					
	16.2	A Fram	nework for Modeling Organizations	250		
		16.2.1	A Model of a Learning Organization	250		
		16.2.2	Measures of Performance—Communication	251		
			Effectiveness			
	16.3	Top-Do	own Analysis	252		
			Extended Structure Analysis	252		
		16.3.2	Tools for Top-Down Analysis	254		
	16.4	Bottom	-Up Diagnosis	257		
		16.4.1	Message Coding	257		
		16.4.2	Mapping Communication	258		
	16.5	Top-Do	own and Bottom-Up	259		
	16.6	Conclu	sions	260		
Chapter 17	Social	l Analysi	s in the Requirements Engineering Process:	263		
F	From Ethnography to Method					
	17.1	Introdu	± •	263		
	17.2		raphers Working With Designers	264		
	~ · · · –	17.2.1		266		
		17.2.2	· ·	267		
		17.2.3		267		
	17.3		ing Ethnography	267		
		17.3.1		267		
		17.3.2	<u> </u>	268		
		17.3.3	Strengths	270		
		17.3.4	Weaknesses	270		
		17.3.5	Outcomes	271		
	17.4		raphically Informed Method	271		
			Viewpoint-Oriented Requirements	272		
		17.4.2	Social Viewpoints and Concerns	272		
		17.4.3	Linking With System Models	276		
		17.4.4	Strengths	278		
			~	4/0		

		17.4.5	Weaknesses	278		
		17.4.6	Outcomes	279		
	17.5	Conclu	sions	279		
Chapter 18	Overcoming the Legacy Dilemma: Modeling Sociotechnical Change Options					
	18.1 Problems of Legacy Systems and Some Solutions					
	18.2	The SA	ABA Model	285		
	18.3	The Or	ganizational Scenarios Tool	286		
	18.4	The Te	chnology Scenarios Tool	288		
	18.5	An Exa	ample	291		
	18.6	Conclu	sions	293		
Chapter 19			ams, and Their Importance to Information vsis and Design	295		
	19.1	Introdu	ection	295		
	19.2		ation Systems Analysis	296		
	19.3	An His	torical Context for Models and Modeling	297		
	19.4	Catego	rizations of Models	298		
		19.4.1	Infological Versus Datalogical	299		
		19.4.2	Interpretivist Versus Functionalist	300		
	19.5	Inform	ation Systems Analysis and Modeling	301		
	19.6	Diagra	ms and Diagramming	304		
		19.6.1	Diagrams and ISA	306		
	19.7	Conclu	sions—The Need for and Nature of Awareness	307		
Chapter 20	Ontol	ogical Su	apport for Business Process Improvement	313		
	20.1					
	20.2	Models	s, Goals, and Meaning	315		
		20.2.1	Inherited Models From	315		
			Mechanistic Organization			
		20.2.2	The Demise of the Mechanistic Concept	316		
			and the Consequences on Models			
		20.2.3	The ABC/ABM Approach	318		
	20.3		on and Similarities	319		
		20.3.1	Improvement in Procedures	320		
		20.3.2	System Redesign	320		
		20.3.3	The Coevolution of Information and	321		
			Management Systems			
	20.4	Similar		322		
	20.5		ion Through Metamodeling	323		
		20.5.1	UML: A Modeling Notation	323		
		20.5.2	Ontologies	325		

	20.5.3	From Object-Oriented Programming to Ontology-Driven Modeling	328
20.6	Conclus	-	329
Compositional Modeling: The Formal Perspective			333
21.1	Introduc	etion	333
21.2	Interval	Temporal Logic	335
	21.2.1	ITL: Syntax and Semantics	335
		•	336
21.3		<u> -</u>	336
		· •	337
21.4		•	338
	-		340
			341
l A			347
21A.1	Frequen	itly Used ITL Constructs	347
	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	348
	•		348
			349
		•	352
			355
	Compo 21.1 21.2 21.3 21.4 21.5 21.6 A 21A.1	20.6 Conclus  Compositional 21.1 Introduc 21.2 Interval 21.2.2 21.3 Public S 21.3.1 21.4 Compos 21.5 Animati 21.6 Discuss  A 21A.1 Frequen 21A.2 ITL Spe 21A.2.1 21A.2.2	Ontology-Driven Modeling  20.6 Conclusions  Compositional Modeling: The Formal Perspective  21.1 Introduction  21.2 Interval Temporal Logic 21.2.1 ITL: Syntax and Semantics 21.2.2 Data Representation in ITL  21.3 Public Service Systems: A Case Study 21.3.1 System Description  21.4 Compositional Modeling  21.5 Animations and Execution  21.6 Discussion