

Ronald L.Watts Douglas M. Brown

121 207

Options for a New Canada

512 E31 207

Edited by Ronald L. Watts and Douglas M. Brown

Institute of Intergovernmental Relations,
Queen's University and the
Business Council on National Issues,
Ottawa

University of Toronto Press
Toronto Buffalo London

© University of Toronto Press 1991 Toronto Buffalo London Printed in Canada

ISBN 0-8020-5921-X (cloth) ISBN 0-8020-6901-0 (paper)

(00)

Printed on acid-free paper

Canadian Cataloguing in Publication Data

Main entry under title:

Options for a new Canada

"Published in association with the Institute of Intergovernmental Relations, Queen's University and the Business Council on National Issues, Ottawa."

ISBN 0-8020-5921-X (bound) ISBN 0-8020-6901-0 (pbk.)

- 1. Canada Constitutional law Amendments.
- 2. Federal government Canada. 3. Federal-provincial relations -Canada.* I. Watts, Ronald L. II. Brown, Douglas Mitchell, 1954 - . III. Queen's University (Kingston, Ont.). Institute of Intergovernmental Relations, IV. Business Council on National Issues.

JL65 1991.077 1991

342.71'03 C91-093812-1

FOREWORD

Canada is one of the world's most successful countries. By any measurement — economic prosperity, social justice, quality of life — Canadians enjoy a range of advantages among the most generous in the world. Little understood or appreciated by many Canadians is how important a role our political system and government institutions have been in the realization of these achievements. In consistently delivering a high degree of "peace, order and good government," they have provided the stability and the confidence upon which so much has been built. Much of the credit for this success is due to federalism which has allowed for flexibility and diversity in a country marked by its great size, and significant differences in its regions and peoples.

Today, status quo federalism is under attack. In western Canada, the demands for change are growing stronger. And in Quebec, the failure of the Meech Lake Accord has sparked a powerful movement among some for greater autonomy, and among others, for full political sovereignty. These rising expectations for change to Canada's political system come at a time when the country is engaged in a profound economic adjustment, to a large extent imposed by global economic forces.

Recognizing that a sound and workable political system is the prerequisite of progress and success in the economic and social domains, the Business Council on National Issues decided in July 1990, to launch a number of initiatives aimed at achieving a better understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of Canadian federalism as we know it now and to examine ways of making federalism work better in the future. A key part of this initiative was to assemble a group of Canada's leading authorities on constitutional reform to consider options for the country's future constitutional development. Under the leadership of Professor Ronald Watts, Director of the Institute of Intergovernmental Relations at Queen's University, a team of political scientists, economists, lawyers, and constitutional scholars drawn from all parts of Canada worked on the project. The papers in this volume reflect the views of the individual members of the team as they were presented at an all-day symposium sponsored by the Business Council on National Issues in Toronto on 16 January 1991. It is with pride that the Council has agreed to sponsor the work of the project team and to make possible this publication.

As the debate about Canada's future in the coming months intensifies, it is vital that the discussion be based on a sound understanding of the problems and opportunities that face us as a people. The Business Council looks forward to playing a constructive role in the debate and to being guided in part by the excellent insights offered by the papers in this volume.

Thomas P. d'Aquino
President and Chief Executive
Business Council on National Issues

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

I would like to offer some thoughts about why this volume has been put together. The Business Council on National Issues has as one of its principal goals the commitment of senior business leaders to the building of strong political institutions in Canada. In the past, this commitment led the Council and its task forces to undertake research, consultation, and advocacy in areas such as the reform of parliament — including the House of Commons and the Senate; ways of improving intergovernmental relations and the operation of the federal system; the reform of the federal public service; and both in the early 1980s and more recently, the reform of the Canadian constitution.

Our work in these areas has been consistent with our mandate as a nonpartisan, nonprofit, organization devoted to resolving national issues — both economic and noneconomic in nature. Our work on governmental matters also is consistent with the belief of every chief executive in the Business Council that a healthy political system is a vital underpinning of a strong economy.

Following the failure of the Meech Lake Accord which, as an organization, we greatly regretted, we immediately faced up to the fact that vital constitutional questions facing Canada remained unresolved and that the debate about Canada's political future would intensify. Accordingly, we were determined that the next and perhaps decisive chapter of this debate should be conducted on the basis of fresh and rational thinking, and that Canadian business leaders should contribute to the debate in a most responsible way. We sought out some of Canada's leading thinkers and practitioners on constitutional matters and launched a major study on Canada's constitutional options under the leadership of Professor Ronald Watts, the Director of the Institute of Intergovernmental Relations, Queen's University. At the Symposium on 16 January 1991, the research team led by Professor Watts tabled their findings and we are pleased to share them with a wider public.

William W. Stinson Co-chairman, Symposium on Canada's Constitutional Options

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

I am pleased to be associated with this initiative because it deals with a subject of great importance to all of us as Canadians. Bill Stinson has stressed that the business community must get involved. He has pointed to the critical linkage between economic strength and political stability. I would add another perspective. Reform of the constitution and deciding on how to govern ourselves is too important to be left only to politicians and officials. It is the responsibility of all citizens to understand what the issues are and what is at stake. This requires an open mind and access to carefully developed points of view.

Professor Watts and his team who have been working on this project for some six months, were asked at the outset to examine a variety of options for shaping Canada's constitutional future. In the presentations in this volume a number of options are discussed and diverse perspectives are offered on a wide range of issues that cover politics, economics, social dimensions, and culture. The objective of the symposium was not to reach any definitive conclusions but rather to inform and to stimulate thought and debate.

In the coming months, whether we like it or not, the debate about Canada's political future will intensify. It is critical that the debate be constructive and that it lead to change that will be welcomed by the vast majority of Canadians. Canada is a remarkable country. It has a record of success with few parallels in the world. But it is apparent now that some changes to how we govern ourselves will be necessary to ensure that we enter the twenty-first century with the political, economic, and social cohesion that befits a leading industrial power.

I am delighted that so many members of the Business Council demonstrated their interest in this vital subject by being at the symposium on 16 January 1991, and that so many of the special guests we invited accepted our invitation. The presentations throughout the day were stimulating and rewarding.

Guy Saint-Pierre Co-chairman, Symposium on Canada's Constitutional Options

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

On behalf of the Institute of Intergovernmental Relations at Queen's University and the authors in this volume, we would like to acknowledge our appreciation to the Business Council on National Issues for the support required to pursue the ideas expressed in this volume. The Council funded the independent studies, organized a one-day symposium which provided an opportunity for comment and discussion prior to their revision for publication, and provided resources for the revision and editing of the papers in this volume. The views expressed by the authors are their own and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Business Council on National Issues or the Institute of Intergovernmental Relations.

The publication of a volume of papers so soon after their first delivery at the symposium sponsored by the Business Council on 16 January 1991 has required the cooperation of many people. The editors would like to thank in particular each and every one of the authors for their cheerful acceptance of our very tight publication deadlines. Thanks are also due to Tom D'Aquino and the staff at the Business Council, and to Virgil Duff and the staff at the University of Toronto Press for ensuring the arrangements for timely publication.

We would like to express our appreciation to the staff of the Institute of Intergovernmental Relations for a superb effort in preparing this text under the pressure of a very constrained schedule. We would especially thank Valerie Jarus who with the help of Patti Candido, Darrel Reid and Lita San Pedro prepared the text for publication, and Marilyn Banting for proofreading.

Ronald L. Watts Douglas M. Brown Kingston February 1991

NOTES ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Robin Boadway is the Sir Edward Peacock Professor of Economics at Queen's University. He has been at Queen's University since 1973 and served as Head of the Department of Economics from 1981-86. He is editor of the Canadian Journal of Economics. His research interests are in tax policy and fiscal federalism. He is author or co-author of the following books or monographs in the area: Public Sector Economics (1984); Welfare Economics (1984); Canadian Tax Policy (1984); Intergovernmental Transfers in Canada (1980); Equalization in a Federal State (1982); Taxes on Capital Income in Canada (1987), and Taxation and Savings in Canada (1988).

Alan C. Cairns is Professor of Political Science at the University of British Columbia and, from 1983-85 was a Research Director for the Royal Commission on the Economic Union and Development Prospects for Canada. He is the author of numerous articles, some of which have been collected in the volume edited by Douglas Williams, Constitution, Government and Society in Canada (1988). He is also the author of Constitutional Change in Contemporary Canada: Interpretive Essays (forthcoming, McGill-Queen's Press).

Thomas J. Courchene is the Director of the School of Policy Studies at Queen's University, where he holds the Stauffer-Dunning Chair and is a member of the Department of Economics, the School of Public Administration and the Faculty of Law. He is the author of well over a hundred books and articles on Canadian policy issues, including Social Policy in the 1990s: Agenda for Reform (1987); Equalization Payments: Past, Present and Future (1984); Economic Management and the Division of Powers (1986); and What Does Ontario Want? (1988) Robarts Lecture, York University) (1988).

Alain-G. Gagnon is Associate Professor in the Department of Political Science at McGill University, and is a member of the International Political Science Association Research Committee on Comparative Federalism and Federations. In 1983-84, he worked for the Federal-Provincial Relations Office, of the Government of Canada. His publications include Quebec: State and Society (1984); Social Scientists and Politics in Canada (1988); Canadian Parties in

Transition (1989); Canadian Politics: An Introduction to the Discipline (1990); and Quebec: Beyond the Quiet Revolution (1990).

David C. Hawkes is Adjunct Professor in the School of Public Administration at Carleton University. From 1975 to 1982 he held senior posts with the Government of Saskatchewan, including Deputy Minister of Intergovernmental Affairs. As the former Associate Director of the Institute of Intergovernmental Relations, Queen's University he was both manager and principal researcher for the major project on "Aboriginal Peoples and Constitutional Reform." His recent works include Aboriginal Peoples and Government Responsibility: Exploring Federal and Provincial Roles (1989).

Guy Laforest is Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, Université Laval. He received his Ph.D. from McGill in 1987, and taught for two years at the University of Calgary. He is currently working on a book-length manuscript on the fate of political duality in Canada. His articles have been published in journals such as Government and Opposition; Les Cahiers de Droit; Canadian Journal of Political Science; Recherches sociographiques; and Politique. He serves as French book-review editor for the Canadian Journal of Political Science.

Peter M. Leslie is Professor of Political Studies and former director of the Institute of Intergovernmental Relations at Queen's University. He received his M.Sc. (Economics) from the University of London School of Economics and his Ph.D. from Queen's University. From 1988 to 1990, he was Assistant Secretary to the Cabinet (Policy Development) in the Federal-Provincial Relations Office, Government of Canada. His interests have focused on Canadian politics and political economy, federalism and public policy. Among his publications are: Canadian Universities: 1980 and Beyond (1980); Federal State, National Economy (1987); and, as editor, Canada: The State of the Federation, 1985, 1986, and 1987-88.

John N. McDougall is Associate Professor of Political Science at the University of Western Ontario and a Visiting Professor at the School of Policy Studies, Queen's University, for the 1990-91 academic year. He received a Ph.D. in political science from the University of Alberta in 1975. He is the author of Fuels and the National Policy (1982), a history of Canadian energy policy, and several articles on Canadian resource policies. His recently-completed book on Eric Kierans' ideas on political economy is being reviewed for publication.

Peter Meekison is Professor of Political Science and Vice President (Academic) at the University of Alberta. During the period 1974-84 he served for ten years with the Alberta Department of Federal and Intergovernmental Affairs, the latter seven years as Deputy Minister. He was involved in constitutional discussions during that period. He has served as an advisor on constitutional

matters to the Alberta Government since 1986. He received his Ph.D. from Duke University and has published in the area of Canadian federalism.

David Milne is Professor of Political Studies at the University of Prince Edward Island. A graduate of the University of Toronto and of Queen's University, he is a well-known analyst of Canadian federalism and constitutional law. His books include The Canadian Constitution (1989), soon to be issued in a third edition, and Tug of War: Ottawa and the Provinces Under Trudeau and Mulroney (1986).

Patrick Monahan is Associate Professor at Osgoode Hall Law School of York University and Director of the York University Centre for Public Law and Public Policy. He served as Senior Policy Advisor in the Office of Ontario Premier David Peterson during the Meech Lake negotiations. He is the author of Meech Lake: The Inside Story (forthcoming), and Politics and the Constitution: The Charter, Federalism and the Supreme Court of Canada (1987).

Bradford W. Morse is Professor of Law and Director of Graduate Studies in Law at the University of Ottawa. He has been involved in many facets of aboriginal legal issues over the past 17 years including serving as legal counsel to a number of First Nations and aboriginal organizations, as well as currently serving as Director of Research to the Aboriginal Justice Inquiry of Manitoba. His recent works include Aboriginal Peoples and the Law: Indian, Metis and Inuit Rights in Canada (1989).

Peter H. Russell is Professor of Political Science and Director of Graduate Studies in the Department of Political Science at the University of Toronto. He was educated at the University of Toronto and Oxford University. He has written several books and articles on constitutional and judicial politics including Leading Constitutional Decisions (1982); and (with R. Knopff and T. Morton) Federalism and the Charter (rev. ed. 1989). Currently he is President of the Canadian Political Science Association.

Dan Soberman received his B.A. and LL.B. from Dalhousie University and his LL.M. from Harvard University. He taught at Dalhousie, 1955-57, and has been at Queen's University since 1957, where he was Dean of the Faculty of Law, 1968-77. His main areas of research have been business law and federalism. In addition to a number of articles in these fields, he is co-author of *The Law and Business Administration in Canada* (1987). He also chairs federal and provincial human rights tribunals.

Katherine Swinton is a Professor at the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto. She has a B.A. from the University of Alberta, an LL.B. from Osgoode Hall Law School of York University, and an LL.M. from Yale University. She has numerous publications in constitutional and labour law, including a recent book

on the Supreme Court and Canadian Federalism: The Laskin-Dickson Years (1990).

Charles Taylor is Professor of Philosophy and Political Science at McGill University. He took his B.A. at McGill, and his D.Phil at Oxford, where he also taught for a number of years. He was the Chichele Professor of Social and Political Theory at the University of Oxford and Fellow of All Souls College from 1976 to 1981. He is the author of numerous articles, and his books include Pattern of Politics (1970), Hegel (1975), Social Theory as Practice (1983) and Source of the Self (1989).

Ronald L. Watts is Director of the Institute of Intergovernmental Relations and Professor of Political Studies at Queen's University where he was Principal and Vice-Chancellor from 1974-84. He served as a commissioner on the Task Force on Canadian Unity and as a consultant to the Government of Canada during the constitutional negotiations in 1980. He specializes in the creation, operation, and disintegration of old and new federations. His publications include Federalism in Multicultural Societies (1970); (with D. Smiley) Intra-state Federalism in Canada (1986) and (edited with P.M. Leslie or D.M. Brown) Canada: The State of the Federation, 1987-88, 1989, and 1990.

CONTENTS

	For The	vii		
	Inti Wil Gu	ix		
	Acknowledgments		xiii	
	No	tes about the Authors	xv	
I	Introduction			
	1.	An Overview Ronald L. Watts	3	
II	An Outline of the Options			
	2.	Canada's Constitutional Options: An Outline Ronald L. Watts	15	
III	The Context			
	3.	The Context for Future Constitutional Options Thomas J. Courchene and John N. McDougall	33	
	4.	Shared and Divergent Values Charles Taylor	53	
	5.	Constitutional Change and the Three Equalities Alan C. Cairns	77	
IV	Basic Options and Processes			
	6.	Quebec Beyond the Federal Regime of 1867-1982: From Distinct Society to National Community Guy Laforest	103	
	7.	Options for the Future of Canada: the Good, the Bad, and the Fantastic Peter M. Leslie	123	

	8.	Towards a New Constitutional Process Peter H. Russell	141		
	9.	Riding the Constitutional Rollercoaster: A Commentary Patrick Monahan	157		
	10.	Alternative Methods for Aboriginal Participation in Processes of Constitutional Reform David C. Hawkes and Bradford W. Morse	163		
v	Models for Future Structures				
	11.	European Integration: Are There Lessons for Canada? Dan Soberman	191		
	12.	Other Federal and Nonfederal Countries: Lessons for Canada Alain-G. Gagnon	207		
VI	Areas of Adjustment				
	13	. Constitutional Design in a Federation: An Economist's Perspective Robin Boadway	237		
	14	. Distribution of Functions and Jurisdiction: A Political Scientist's Analysis J. Peter Meekison	259		
	15	. Equality or Asymmetry: Why Choose? David Milne	285		
	16	The Federative Superstructure Ronald L. Watts	309		
	17	. Areas of Adjustment: A Lawyer's Perspective Katherine Swinton	337		

I

Introduction



An Overview

Ronald L. Watts

INTRODUCTION

This book contains the papers prepared for a two-stage project sponsored by the Business Council on National Issues to examine possible options for Canada's constitutional future following the demise of the Meech Lake Accord. The first phase was the preparation of a paper by the Institute of Intergovernmental Relations at Queen's University, originally released in September 1990, identifying in broad terms the strategic options. That paper in revised and updated form is now included as Chapter 2 in this collection. In the second phase of the project 13 additional studies were prepared analyzing these options and various aspects and issues relating to them. These were written by a team of political scientists, economists, lawyers and constitutional scholars drawn from Queen's University and other parts of Canada coordinated by the Institute of Intergovernmental Relations at Queen's University. It must be emphasized that these are independent studies prepared at the request of the Business Council on National Issues, and that the views expressed are those of their authors and do not represent the official views of the Council or of the Institute. The original papers were presented at a one-day symposium sponsored by the Business Council on National Issues held in Toronto on 16 January 1991 which provided an opportunity for comment and reactions to this research prior to its formal publication. These papers together with two formal commentaries on them have been revised in the light of that discussion for inclusion in this volume.

Two preliminary points should be made about the papers in this collection. First, the authors were asked not simply to diagnose the current problems, but to seek solutions or at least possible directions for solutions. Second, the papers were not produced to advocate a single blueprint for future constitutional reform but rather to examine the implications of various possible alternatives.