Special English Peter Strevens General Editor

Michael Molyneux

Legal Problems

SPECIAL ENGLISH

LEGAL PROBLEMS

The material on pages 19, 22 and 37 is reproduced by permission of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Drawings by Gareth Floyd.

Cover photograph: Mikel Gelinas

Cassell Ltd.
35 Red Lion Square
London WC1R 4SG
and at Sydney and Toronto
an affiliate of Macmillan Publishing Co. Inc.
New York.

© Collier Macmillan 1972

Fourth Printing 1981

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the Publisher.

First published 1972

ISBN 0 304 30672 X

Printed and bound in Great Britain at The Camelot Press Ltd, Southampton

SPECIAL ENGLISH

LEGAL PROBLEMS

Other titles in this series:

Accounting Advertising Air Travel British Banking British Banking Overseas Computers Computer Applications Computer Programming The Department Store Import/Export Insurance The Jet Engine Marketing Petroleum Products The Motor Car: Books 1 & 2 Nursing Office Practice: Books 1, 2 & 3

Seafaring

General Editor: PETER STREVENS

Michael Molyneux

Legal Problems

Cassell London

PREFACE

This Special English series from Cassell Publishers introduces titles on a wide range of technical subjects that will be of interest to students of English as a second language. Each volume illustrates the special English of a particular trade or profession in both its spoken and written forms. It is not possible, of course, for books of this size to cover the subject matter exhaustively, so the authors have concentrated on those topics and activities that should have the widest appeal. The conversations which are the basis of each chapter or unit are deliberately written in the colloquial and idiomatic speech used by technicians and specialists as they go about their everyday activities.

It must be emphasized that these books are *not* intended to teach the subject matter itself, although the technical content is accurate in every respect. Nor are they intended to teach the introductory stages of English. It is assumed that the reader is already familiar in his own language with the subject matter of the book, and has a good grounding in the basic grammatical patterns and vocabulary of English. He will use these books to improve his knowledge of English within the framework of a technical vocabulary that is of interest to him either privately or professionally.

The authors in this series each have their individual approach, but all the volumes are organized in the same general way. Typically, each book is based on a series of situational dialogues, followed by narrative passages for reading comprehension. Exercises give the student practice in handling some of the useful and more difficult patterns, as well as lexical items, that occur in each unit. Tape recordings, of the dialogues and selected exercises. may be used either in the language laboratory or for private study. Each volume is provided with a glossary of technical terms, with i.p.a. equivalents as used in the Daniel Jones Pronouncing Dictionary.

PETER STREVENS

General Editor

INTRODUCTION

This book is intended for students of English as a second language who wish to become familiar with the vocabulary of English law. It is intended mainly for classroom work with a teacher, but it should also be useful to those who want to study the language on their own.

Each unit consists of three parts: dialogue, exercises, reading and comprehension. Keys to the exercises and a fairly detailed glossary will be found at the back of the book. The international phonetic alphabet equivalent of the words in the glossary is also given as a guide to pronunciation.

Legal English is primarily a written rather than a spoken language so the dialogues are used more to set the scene and advance the story than to illustrate legal terminology, although each one does contain a number of relevant words and phrases which might be used in ordinary conversation.

It is in the reading and comprehension sections, therefore, that the most typical usages of legal English will be found, although even here some of the more specialized constructions have been omitted or rephrased in the interests of intelligibility.

In both the dialogues and the reading and comprehension sections, words which appear in the glossary are marked with an asterisk and idiomatic phrases are explained in footnotes on the pages where they occur.

The exercises give practice in the natural and flexible use of some of the everyday constructions found in the dialogues. Practise these orally and in writing until you can use them correctly without having to think first.

The tape recording that accompanies the book may be used in the classroom or language laboratory. For the student working alone it will provide a model for pronunciation as well as a means of taking dictation to practise spelling.

MICHAEL MOLYNEUX

CONTENTS

	Preface	vi
	Introduction	viii
1	SETTING UP IN BUSINESS	1
2	MAKING CONTRACTS (1)	7
3	MAKING CONTRACTS (2)	12
4	ARBITRATION	17
5	RESTRAINT OF TRADE	23
6	NUISANCE	28
7	DAMAGES	34
8	NEGLIGENCE	40
9	CONDITIONS AND WARRANTIES	45
10	THE DUTIES OF AN EMPLOYER	49
11	RAISING MONEY	55
12	EMPLOYER'S LIABILITY	61
13	BREACH OF CONTRACT	66
14	WINDING UP A COMPANY	71`
,	APPENDIX	
	Key to Exercises	79
	Glossary	95

UNIT 1

SETTING UP IN BUSINESS

Dialogue

The scene is the private office of Peregrine Cringe, senior *partner of Cringe, Bluster & Co., *Solicitors, *Commissioners for Oaths and *Notaries Public, of 10, Shovel Lane in the City of London. The office, which was originally furnished by Peregrine's grandfather in the Edwardian taste, is once again in the height of fashion.

Peregrine is *taking instructions from two young industrial designers, Simon Thorpe and Cynthia Twine.

Mr. Cringe: If I understand you correctly, you have, between you, invented some kind of collapsible furniture and you want me

to tell you how to go about starting a business to sell this

invention.

Simon: That's it. We'll supply the bright ideas; I all you have to do



is to give us a few tips² so that we know where we stand with the *law.

Mr. Cringe: Just a few tips.

Cynthia: You don't sound very enthusiastic, Mr. Cringe. If you

can't handle it we'll find some other lawyer who can.

Mr. Cringe: I didn't say that I couldn't handle it, Miss Twine. I just feel that you do not fully appreciate the implications of your

casual request.

Simon: Like what, for instance?

Mr. Cringe: Well, for a start, have you done anything about taking out

a *patent for this invention of yours?

Cynthia: Of course not. That's just the sort of thing we want you to

tell us about.

Mr. Cringe: Very well. First of all you will need the services of a good

*patent agent.

Simon: Can't you act for us?

Mr. Cringe: Good heavens no! Patent law is a very specialized business.

There is the question of³ preparing the specification for submission to the *Patent Office, and then proving that you

are the true and first inventor.

Cynthia: All right, you've convinced me. But where will all that get

us ?4

Mr. Cringe: If you are eventually granted a patent, it will give you the

sole right to manufacture your furniture in the United

Kingdom for sixteen years.

Simon: What about other countries? I'm sure there's a big market

for this idea overseas. Would we have to go through the

same procedure every time?

Mr. Cringe: It would depend on the country. There's a move towards

standardizing the law on patents but so far the United Kingdom has not *ratified the Council of Europe *Con-

vention on Patent Law.

Cynthia: But if you can't help us with the patents, what can you help

us with?

Mr. Cringe: A great deal, young woman. To begin with you must

decide whether the two of you wish to trade as a *partner-

ship or to set up a *company.

² tips: suggestions

³ question of: matter of

⁴ where will all that get us: how will that help us?

Cynthia:

We don't care what you call it as long as we don't have to get married. Just draw up the papers and we'll sign.

Mr. Cringe: It's not quite as simple as that, I'm afraid. It is a question of *liability. In a partnership each of you would be *liable for all the debts of the business up to the full extent of your personal fortunes.

Simon:

Personal fortunes. I like the sound of that.

Mr. Cringe:

On the other hand, if you set up a company then your liability would be limited to the amount that each of you put into the business in the first place. *Creditors would not be able to go behind the company and get at your personal . . . private money.

Cynthia:

What happens if we just go ahead and start making the stuff⁵ and selling it? Do we have to have a partnership or a company? It all sounds very expensive to me.

Mr. Cringe: I'm afraid you have no choice. Section 1 of the Partnership Act, 1890, defines a partnership as "the relation which subsists between persons carrying on a business in common with a view to profit". So, even if you go ahead without any formalities, you will have created a partnership whether you like it or not.

Simon:

Which would you advise us to do?

Mr. Cringe: Personally, I think you should set up a *limited company. It may cost a bit more than starting a partnership but in the long run6 it's probably safer. Shall I go ahead and draw up the *Memorandum and *Articles of Association, then?

The Companies Acts 1948 to 1967

COMPANY LIMITED BY SHARES

Memorandum

Articles of Association

⁵ stuff; things (in this case, the furniture)

⁶ in the long run: after considering all the advantages and disadvantages

4 LEGAL PROBLEMS

Simon: We're in your hands.7

Mr. Cringe: Good. Now we're getting somewhere. I think a little

celebration is called for. Would you care for a glass of

sherry?

Cynthia: Well, thank you, but I don't really see what there is to get

excited about yet.

Mr. Cringe: Perhaps I am just rather romantic, my dear, but I always

feel a certain thrill when I am called upon to assist at the birth of a new company. In the eyes of the law, you know, a limited company is almost human—it is, in fact, an

artificial legal person. So I am a sort of legal midwife.

Simon:

Come on, Cynthia, let's drink to Mr. Cringe's new baby!

EXERCISE 1: STRUCTURAL PRACTICE

Notice this structure from the conversation:

If you can't handle it we'll find some other lawyer who can.

Use this structure to complete the following statements:

Example: Can you handle it?

Prompt: some other lawyer

Response: If you can't, we'll find some other lawyer who can.

Now you do it.

1.	Can you handle it?	some other lawyer
2.	Can you do this?	a company
3.	Can you give us a few tips?	s omebody
4.	Can you act for us?	a solicitor
5.	Can you help us parent it?	a patent agent
6.	Can you draw up the papers?	a lawyer
7.	Can you tell us about it?	someone
×	Can you help us?	another lawyer

⁷ We're in your hands: We'll do what you tell us to do

EXERCISE 2: PROGRESSIVE SUBSTITUTION DRILL

Statement: You will need the services of a good patent agent.

Prompt: solicitor

Response: You will need the services of a good solicitor.

Now you do it.

Statement: You will need the services of a good patent agent.

5. They

Prompts:

1. solicitor

2. lawyer 6. some other

have to have
 He
 might
 another

EXERCISE 3: FURTHER STRUCTURAL PRACTICE

Notice this structure:

Have you done anything about taking out a patent?

Use this structure to complete the following sentences.

- 1. they / prepare the specification
- 2. the company / patent this invention
- 3. she / find a patent agent
- 4. the manufacturers / market the furniture
- 5. our lawyer / set up the company
- 6. you / prove that you are the inventor
- 7. the lawyer / draw up the papers
- 8. he/contact a solicitor

Reading.and Comprehension

The phrase *"sources of law" has at least two meanings: it may mean the places where you must look to find the law, or it may mean the ways in which law is made. In England you can find the law in *Acts of Fachiament, or *statutes, and in the *Law Reports, which are written records of the decisions of the courts. From this it follows that the law is made by *Parliament, when it *legislates, and by the courts, when they decide cases.

6 LEGAL PROBLEMS

The importance of *decided cases as a source of law is a peculiar feature of the English, or *Common Law, system. An example, from the field of company law, is the leading case of Salomon v. Salomon. This case was decided by the House of Lords, the highest court in England, in 1879 and it lays down the principle that a company is a separate entity from its members. This is perhaps the essential difference between a company and a partnership, because, in a partnership, the members or partners are the partnership. And this is why partners are liable, to the full extent of their personal fortunes, for all the debts of the partnership business.

EXERCISE 4: COMPREHENSION QUESTIONS

- 1. What is meant by the "sources of law"?
- 2. Where can you find English law written down?
- 3. What institutions make law in England?
- 4. What is one special feature of the Common Law system?
- 5. Which is the highest court in England?
- 6. What principle of law was laid down by the case of Salomon v. Salomon?
- 7. To what extent are partners liable for partnership debts?

EXERCISE 5

Use the following words and phrases in sentences of your own to show that you understand their meaning and use:

- for instance
- 2. act (verb)
- 3. specialized
- 4. sole right
- 5. depend on
- 6. to the full extent of
- 7. draw up
- 8. source of law
- 9. principle (noun)
- 10. liable



UNIT 2: Booker of it consider way, But just be reported by the construction of the con

MAKING CONTRACTS (1)

Dialogue

Simon is working in a shed in his back garden which serves, for the timebeing, as both factory and office for the newly formed company, Cynamon Products Ltd. Cynthia comes down the garden path and pushes open the door of the shed.

figure in a general galax of secon while

ole about the lead to a decide though the

Cynthia: And how's the joint managing-director this morning?

at this fact. It's from this breach company and they want us

Simon: In no mood for bright chatter. We've run out of varnish, and

anyway, you're late. A daineav lant has we have

Cynthia: There's no need to be like that. I've been out on the company's business since the crack of dawn¹—well, since 10 o'clock anyway. And it so happens that I noticed we were running short of varnish yesterday so I made a special journey to that dreadful smelly paint works to order some more. And that's why

tales or to set boy a read of all alder freets t

I'm late.

¹ crack of dawn: early in the morning

Well, aren't you a clever little girl. It may interest you to Simon:

know that I, too, have just ordered some varnish—only I decided it was time we got a bit cost-conscious in this business. so I sent off to this cut price firm that's always advertising in the Cabinet Maker's World. They had a special offer this month—fifteen gallons for the price of ten. So now, thanks to you, we're stuck with3 two lots of the stuff. How much did

you order, by the way?4

Ten gallons . . . that's not much really. We'll easily use it all. Cvnthia:

If it doesn't go off's first. And what about the fifteen gallons I Simon:

ordered?

Oh. well. You'll just have to cancel your order, won't you? Cynthia:

Simon: Why should I cancel? You're the one who messed things up -you should have consulted me. In fact, I doubt if you've

got the power to order stuff on behalf of the company. Anyway, I can't cancel. I've already posted the letter and

accepted their offer.

Oh, that does make it a bit difficult. I suppose I could always Cvnthia:

cancel mine. It was only *verbal anyway so it's not binding or

anything.

All right, you'd better do it straight away. But just have a look. Simon:

at this first. It's from this French company and they want us to supply five hundred collapsible chair kits. What do you

think about that?

How long is it going to take us to make that many? Cynthia:

Oh, about six months, if we do nothing else. Simon: That's far too long. Tell them we can't do it. Cynthia:

We don't want to turn down an opportunity like that. Why Simon:

don't we say we'll make one hundred to start with and see

how they like that?

All right. You write to them now. Say we're extremely busy vnthia:

> but we could manage to let them have one hundred kits in three months. Go on, do it now. You can't do anything else

until we get that varnish. And that reminds me

Simon: Yes. I'll write the letter while you cancel your order.

All right. Don't rub it in.7 Cynthia:

2 sent off: wrote

3 stuck with: forced to keep 4 by the way: as a matter of interest; incidentally

5 so off: so bad; become unusable

turn down: refuse

7 Don't rub it in: Don't remind me of my mistake.

EXERCISE 1: STRUCTURAL PRACTICE

Notice this structure from the conversation:

I'll write the letter while you cancel your order.

Use this structure to respond to the following questions:

Example: Will you write the letter?

Prompt: cancel your order

Response: Yes, I'll write it while you cancel your order.

Now you do it.

Will you write the letter?
 Will you order the varnish?
 Will you contact the solicitor?
 Will you stay here?
 Will you write the letter?
 Will you send off for the varnish?
 Will you do that?

8. Will you have a look at this? go out

EXERCISE 2: PROGRESSIVE SUBSTITUTION DRILL

Statement: They want us to supply five hundred chair kits.

Prompt: chairs

Response: They want us to supply five hundred chairs.

Now you do it.

Statement: They want us to supply five hundred chair kits.

Prompts:

- 1. chairs
- 2. two hundred
- 3. them
- 4. ten gallons of varnish
- 5. order
- 6. buy
- 7. He told her
- 8. didn't want