

案例教程影印系列
CASEBOOK
SERIES

第四版 Fourth Edition

产品责任

PRODUCTS LIABILITY

问题与程序

Problems and Process

[美] 小詹姆斯·A·亨德森 (James A. Henderson, Jr.) / 著
阿伦·D·特沃斯基 (Aaron D. Twerski)



中信出版社
CITIC PUBLISHING HOUSE

案例教程影印系列
CASEBOOK
SERIES

第四版 Fourth Edition

产品责任

PRODUCTS LIABILITY

问题与程序

Problems and Process

[美] 小詹姆斯·A·亨德森 (James A. Henderson, Jr.) / 著
阿伦·D·特沃斯基 (Aaron D. Twerski)



中信出版社
CITIC PUBLISHING HOUSE

图书在版编目 (CIP) 数据

产品责任: 问题与程序 (案例教程影印系列) / (美) 亨德森等著. —影印本. —北京: 中信出版社, 2003.7

书名原文: Products Liability: Problems and Process

ISBN 7-80073-753-5

I. 产… II. 亨… III. 产品质量-法律责任-案例-美国-英文 IV. D971.222.9

中国版本图书馆CIP数据核字 (2003) 第041715号

This volume of Products Liability: Problems and Process, by James A. Henderson, Jr. and Aaron D. Twerski, is an English Reprint Edition meant solely for publication in the country of China, published and sold by CITIC PUBLISHING HOUSE, by permission of ASPEN PUBLISHERS, INC., New York, New York, U.S.A., the owner of all rights to publish and sell same.

本书由中信出版社与Aspen Publishers, Inc.合作出版, 未经出版者书面许可, 本书的任何部分不得以任何方式复制或抄袭。

产品责任: 问题与程序

CHANPIN ZEREN WENTI YU CHENGXU

著 者: [美] 小詹姆斯·A·亨德森, 阿伦·D·特沃斯基

责任编辑: 袁婉君

出版发行: 中信出版社 (北京市朝阳区东外大街亮马河南路14号塔园外交办公大楼 邮编 100600)

经 销 者: 中信联合发行有限公司

承 印 者: 霸州市长虹印刷厂

开 本: 787mm × 1092mm 1/16 印 张: 49.75 字 数: 995千字

版 次: 2003年7月第1版 印 次: 2003年7月第1次印刷

京权图字: 01-2003-2510

书 号: ISBN 7-80073-753-5/D · 49

定 价: 118.00元

版权所有·侵权必究

凡购本社图书, 如有缺页、倒页、脱页, 由发行公司负责退换。服务热线: 010-85322521

E-mail: sales@citicpub.com

010-85322522

总 序

吴志攀

加入世界贸易组织表明我国经济发展进入了一个新的发展时代——一个国际化商业时代。商业与法律的人才流动将全球化，评介人才标准将国际化，教育必须与世界发展同步。商业社会早已被马克思描绘成为一架复杂与精巧的机器，维持这架机器运行的是法律。法律不仅仅是关于道德与公理的原则，也不单单是说理论道的公平教义，还是具有可操作性的精细的具体专业技术。像医学专业一样，这些专业知识与经验是从无数的案例实践积累而成的。这些经验与知识体现在法学院的教材里。中信出版社出版的这套美国法学院教材为读者展现了这一点。

教育部早在2001年1月2日下发的《关于加强高等学校本科教学工作提高教学质量的若干意见》中指出：“为适应经济全球化和科技革命的挑战，本科教育要创造条件使用英语等外语进行公共课和专业课教学。对高新技术领域的生物技术、信息技术等专业，以及为适应我国加入WTO后需要的金融、法律等专业，更要先行一步，力争三年内，外语教学课程达到所开课程的5%-10%。暂不具备直接用外语讲授条件的学校、专业，可以对部分课程先实行外语教材、中文授课，分步到位。”

引进优质教育资源，快速传播新课程，学习和借鉴发达国家的成功教学经验，大胆改革现有的教科书模式成为当务之急。

按照我国法学教育发展的要求，中信出版社与外国出版公司合作，瞄准国际法律的高水平，从高端入手，大规模引进畅销外国法学院的外版法律教材，以使法学院学生尽快了解各国的法律制度，尤其是欧美等经济发达国家的法律体系及法律制度，熟悉国际公约与惯例，培养处理国际事务的能力。

此次中信出版社引进的是美国ASPEN出版公司出版的供美国法学院使用的主流法学教材及其配套教学参考书，作者均为富有经验的知名教授，其中不乏国际学术权威或著名诉讼专家，历经数十年课堂教学的锤炼，颇受法学院学生的欢迎，并得到律师实务界的认可。它们包括诉讼法、合同法、公司法、侵权法、宪法、财产法、证券法等诸多法律部门，以系列图书的形式全面介绍了美国法律的基本概况。

这次大规模引进的美国法律教材包括：

伊曼纽尔法律精要 (Emanuel Law Outlines) 美国哈佛、耶鲁等著名大学法学院广泛采用的主流课程教学用书，是快捷了解美国法律的最佳读本。作者均为美国名牌大学权威教授。其特点是：内容精炼，语言深入浅出，独具特色。在前言中作者以其丰富的教学经验制定了切实可行的学习步骤和方法。概要部分提纲挈领，浓缩精华。每章精心设计了简答题供自我检测。对与该法有关的众多考题综合分析，归纳考试要点和难点。

案例与解析 (Examples and Explanations) 由美国最权威、最富有经验的教授所著，这套丛书历

经不断的修改、增订，吸收了最新的资料，经受了美国成熟市场的考验，读者日众。这次推出的是最新版本，在前几版的基础上精益求精，补充了最新的联邦规则，案例也是选用当今人们所密切关注的问题，有很强的时代感。该丛书强调法律在具体案件中的运用，避免了我国教育只灌输法律的理念与规定，而忽视实际解决问题的能力培养。该丛书以简洁生动的语言阐述了美国的基本法律制度，可准确快捷地了解美国法律的精髓。精心选取的案例，详尽到位的解析，使读者读后对同一问题均有清晰的思路，透彻的理解，能举一反三，灵活运用。该丛书匠心独具之处在于文字与图表、图例穿插，有助于理解与记忆。

案例教程系列 (Casebook Series) 覆盖了美国法学校院的主流课程，是学习美国法律的代表性图书，美国著名的哈佛、耶鲁等大学的法学院普遍采用这套教材，在法学专家和学生中拥有极高的声誉。本丛书中所选的均为重要案例，其中很多案例有重要历史意义。书中摘录案例的重点部分，包括事实、法官的推理、作出判决的依据。不仅使读者快速掌握案例要点，而且省去繁琐的检索和查阅原案例的时间。书中还收录有成文法和相关资料，对国内不具备查阅美国原始资料条件的读者来说，本套书更是不可或缺的学习参考书。这套丛书充分体现了美国法学教育以案例教学为主的特点，以法院判例作为教学内容，采用苏格拉底式的问答方法，在课堂上学生充分参与讨论。这就要求学生不仅要了解专题法律知识，而且要理解法律判决书。本套丛书结合案例设计的大量思考题，对提高学生理解概念、提高分析和解决问题的能力，非常有益。本书及时补充出版最新的案例和法规汇编，保持四年修订一次的惯例，增补最新案例和最新学术研究成果，保证教材与时代发展同步。本丛书还有配套的教师手册，方便教师备课。

案例举要 (Casenote Legal Briefs) 美国最近三十年最畅销的法律教材的配套辅导读物。其中的每本书都是相关教材中的案例摘要和精辟讲解。该丛书内容简明扼要，条理清晰，结构科学，便于学生课前预习、课堂讨论、课后复习和准备考试。

除此之外，中信出版社还将推出教程系列、法律文书写作系列等美国法学教材的影印本。

美国法律以判例法为其主要的法律渊源，法律规范机动灵活，随着时代的变迁而对不合时宜的法律规则进行及时改进，以反映最新的时代特征；美国的法律教育同样贯穿了美国法律灵活的特性，采用大量的案例教学，启发学生的逻辑思维，提高其应用法律原则的能力。

从历史上看，我国的法律体系更多地受大陆法系的影响，法律渊源主要是成文法。在法学教育上，与国外法学教科书注重现实问题研究，注重培养学生分析和解决问题的能力相比，我国基本上采用理论教学为主，而用案例教学来解析法理则显得薄弱，在培养学生的创新精神和实践能力方面也做得不够。将美国的主流法学教材和权威的法律专业用书影印出版，就是试图让法律工作者通过原汁原味的外版书的学习，开阔眼界，取长补短，提升自己的专业水平，培养学生操作法律实际动手能力，特别是使我们的学生培养起对法律的精细化、具体化和操作化能力。

需要指出的是，影印出版美国的法学教材，并不是要不加取舍地全盘接收，我们只是希望呈现给读者一部完整的著作，让读者去评判。“取其精华去其糟粕”是我们民族对待外来文化的原则，我们相信读者的分辨能力。

是为序。

Preface to the Fourth Edition

We have undertaken the Fourth Edition of this casebook one year earlier than has been our norm. The following are our reasons: First, the completion of the Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability and its publication in final form in 1998 were major catalysts. The structure of the final draft of the Restatement is different from earlier drafts. Sections were renumbered and substantive changes were made to both the black letter and comments. Because we have sought to integrate the Restatement into the fabric of the book rather than treat it as supplemental material, the need to revise the Third Edition to include the new Restatement became apparent. The Fourth Edition has been fully revised to contain all the most current information on Products Liability.

Second, the courts have been prolific in 1998 and 1999. A host of new decisions were musts for inclusion in the casebook. Some of the decisions are pathbreaking, including *Perez v. Wyeth Laboratories, Inc.* and *Khumo Tire, Ltd. v. Carmichael*. The Fourth Edition also includes a trilogy of cases dealing with the issue of reasonable alternative design versus consumer expectations test. These deserve the attention of any student of the subject.

Third, we wanted to streamline the last section of the book and shorten it considerably so we could include some very exciting new cases that we believe can make the study of this dynamic subject even more interesting.

Finally, the authors, who served as co-reporters of the Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability, are gratified at the frequency that courts have come to accept and rely on the Restatement in the short time that it has been in print. Unlike the prognostications of some of its critics that the Restatement reflected the values of the "tort reform" movement and would be used to quash legitimate claims by plaintiffs, the very opposite has come to be. The Restatement has been used creatively by both plaintiff and defense. We always believed that we fashioned a fair and evenhanded document. The student will see this played out in the cases that have been identified in the casebook.

Moreover, in addition to accepting and relying on the Restatement, a number of courts have adopted Section 5 of the Restatement limiting the scope of liability for manufacturers of component parts. Taking the court's lead, we have included some new material on component parts.

One Concluding Word: As always, we have enjoyed revising, updating, and organizing this book and invite readers, both professionals and stu-

dents, to share their views about this casebook with us. We continue to learn.

James A. Henderson, Jr.
Aaron D. Twerski

March 2000

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Jylanda Diles, at Cornell, and Rose Patti, at Brooklyn, who helped to prepare the manuscript. We could not have seen this through without them.

Research assistants provided invaluable help in assembling these materials. John Baumann (Cornell '86), Jay Bohn (Cornell '88), and Grace Lee (Brooklyn '87) helped us on the first edition. On the second edition, Ron Jenkins and David Ludwick (Cornell '93), Claire Kelly (Brooklyn '93), and Marni Schlissel (Brooklyn '92) provided invaluable assistance. On the third edition, Jordan Anger (Cornell '98), Hanna Liebman (Brooklyn '98), Allison Sealove (Brooklyn '97), and Victoria Ostrovsky (Brooklyn '97) were all of great help to us. On the fourth edition, Thomas Ciarlone (Cornell '01), Jesse Eggert (Cornell '01), Debbie Sternberg Tyler (Brooklyn '00), Kim Houghton (Brooklyn '01), and Michael Heydrich (Brooklyn '00) helped us meet very tight deadlines. We are grateful to them for their contributions.

Deans Lee Teitelbaum at Cornell and Joan Wexler at Brooklyn also deserve thanks for their generous support.

We would like to thank the authors and publishers of the following works for permitting us to include excerpts from these works:

American Bar Association, Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 3.3, Candor Towards the Tribunal (1980). Model Code of Professional Responsibility, Disciplinary Rule 7-102, Representing a Client Within the Bounds of the Law. Copyright © 1983 by the American Bar Association. Reprinted by permission of the American Bar Association. Copies of the ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct and the ABA Model Code of Professional Responsibility are available from the Service Center, American Bar Association, 750 North Lake Shore Drive, Chicago, IL 60611, (800) 285-2221.

American Law Institute. Restatement of Torts, Second, §310, §311, §402A and Comments *f*, *i*, *k*, and *n*; §402B; §431. Copyright © 1965, 1977 by The American Law Institute. Reprinted with permission.

American Law Institute, Restatement of Torts, Third: Products Liability, Tentative Draft No. 2: §2, §3, §4, §7. Copyright © 1995; with permission. Tentative Draft No. 3; §11, §11, Comment *c*. Copyright © 1996; reprinted with permission. A Tentative Draft does not represent the position of the Institute on any issue with which it deals. Thus, the

material reproduced here is subject to further revision, and should be cited only as a Tentative Draft.

American Law Institute, Restatement of Torts, Third: Products Liability, §1, §2, §2, Comments *c, d, e, f, g, i, j, k, m* and *n*, §3, §3, Comment *b*, §4, Comment *e*, §5, Comment *b*, §6, §6, Comment *b*, §8, §9, §9, Comments *a* and *b*, §11, §15, §16, §16, Comment *f*, §17, §18, §21. Copyright © 1998 by the American Law Institute. Reprinted with permission.

American Law Institute, Restatement of Torts, Third: Apportionment of Liability (2000), §2, Reporters Note to §7, §32, §33.

U.C.C. §2-725, U.C.C. §2-316, U.C.C. §2-302, U.C.C. §2-719, U.C.C. §2-313, U.C.C. §2-315. Copyright © 1995 by the American Law Institute and the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws. Reprinted with permission.

Birnbaum, Unmasking the Test for Design Defect: From Negligence [to Warranty] to Strict Liability to Negligence, 33 Vand. L. Rev. 596, 606. Copyright © 1980 by Vanderbilt University School of Law. Reprinted by permission of the author and Vanderbilt Law Review.

Comparative Negligence: Law and Practice §19.10[6]. Copyright © by Matthew Bender & Co., Inc. Reprinted by permission of Comparative Negligence: Law and Practice.

Davis, Design Defect Liability: In Search of a Standard of Responsibility, 39 Wayne L. Rev. 1217, 1236-1237 (1993). Reprinted by permission.

Easterbrook & Fischel, Limited Liability and the Corporation, 52 U. Chi. L. Rev. 89, 110-111, 113. Copyright © 1985 by the University of Chicago Law Review.

Hansmann & Kraakman, Toward Unlimited Shareholder Liability for Corporate Torts. Copyright © 1991 by the Yale Law Journal. Reprinted by permission of the authors, the Yale Law Journal Company, Inc., and the Fred B. Rothman Company from the Yale Law Journal, vol. 100, pp. 1879-1934.

Henderson, Coping with the Time Dimension in Products Liability. Copyright © 1981 by the California Law Review. Reprinted from California Law Review, vol. 69, No. 4, 919, 931-939 by permission of the Review.

———, Judicial Review of Manufacturers' Conscious Design Choices: The Limits of Adjudication. Copyright © 1973 by The Directors of the Columbia Law Review Association, Inc. All rights reserved. This Article originally appeared at 73 Colum. L. Rev. 1531, 1534-1536, 1538-1542, 1552, 1558, 1578 (1973). Reprinted by permission.

Henderson & Twerski, Closing the American Products Liability Frontier: The Rejection of Liability Without Defect, 66 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1263, 1298-1300, 1305-1306, 1316-1318. Copyright © 1992 by the New York University Law Review. Reprinted by permission of the New York University Law Review

- , *Doctrinal Collapse in Products Liability: The Empty Shell of Failure to Warn*, 65 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 265, 292-294. Copyright © 1990 by the New York University Law Review. Reprinted by permission of the New York University Law Review.
- , *Product Design Liability in Oregon and the New Restatement*, 78 Or. L. Rev. 1, 25 (1999). Reprinted by permission. Copyright © 1999 by University of Oregon.
- Intuition & Technology in Product Design Litigation: An Essay on Proximate Causation*, 88 Geo. L. Rev. No. 4 (2000). Reprinted by permission.
- Keeton, *Products Liability — Design Hazards and the Meaning of Defect*. Reprinted by permission of the author and the Cumberland Law Review from the Cumberland Law Review, vol. 10, No. 2, p. 310. Copyright © 1979 by the Cumberland Law Review. This article was originally published at 10 Cumb. L. Rev. 293 (1979) and is reprinted with permission.
- Kobayashi, H., & Furuta, Y., *Products Liability Act and Transnational Litigation in Japan*, 34 Tex. Intl Law J. 93, 94-101 (1999). Reprinted by permission.
- Kraakman, *Corporate Liability Strategies and the Costs of Legal Controls*. Copyright © 1984 by The Yale Law Journal Company, Inc. Reprinted by permission of the author, the Yale Law Journal Company, Inc., and Fred B. Rothman & Company from the Yale Law Journal, Vol. 93, pp. 857, 897.
- LoPucki, *The Death of Liability*, 106 Yale L.J. Copyright © 1996 by The Yale Law Journal Company and Fred B. Rothman & Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 106, pages 1-92.
- , *Virtual Judgement Proofing: A Rejoinder*. Reprinted by permission of The Yale Law Journal Company and Fred B. Rothman & Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 107, pages 1413-1434.
- Note, *The Manville Bankruptcy: Treating Mass Tort Claims in Chapter 11 Proceedings*, 96 Harv. L. Rev. 1121, 1121-1131. Copyright © 1983 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted by permission.
- Posner, *A Theory of Negligence*, 1 J. Legal Stud. 29, 32. Copyright © 1972 by the University of Chicago. Reprinted with permission of the author and the publisher.
- Schwartz, *Product Liability and Medical Malpractice in Comparative Context*, in Huber and Litan, *The Liability Maze*, 36-51, 63-67, 70-75 (Huber & Litan eds.). Copyright © 1991 by The Brookings Institution. Reprinted with permission of the author and The Brookings Institution.
- Stayin, *The U.S. Product Liability System: A Competitive Advantage to Foreign Manufacturers*, 14 Can. — U.S.L.J. 193, 199. Copyright © 1988 by the Canada — United States Law Journal. Reprinted by permission of the author and the Canada — United States Law Journal.

- Twerski & Cohen, Informed Decisionmaking and the Law of Torts: The Myth of Justifiable Causation, 1988 U. Ill. L. Rev. 607, 660. Copyright © 1988 by the University of Illinois Law Review. Reprinted by permission of the authors and the University of Illinois Law Review. Copyright is held by the Board of Trustees of The University of Illinois.
- Twerski, Weinstein, Donaher, & Piehler, The Use and Abuse of Warnings in Product Liability: Design Defect Litigation Comes of Age, 61 Cornell L. Rev. 495, 526 (1976). Reprinted by permission of The Cornell Law Review.
- Wade, J.W., On the Effect in Products Liability of Knowledge Unavailable Prior to Marketing, 58 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 734, 751-753 (1983). Reprinted by permission of The New York University Law Review.
- Wheeler, The Use of Criminal Statutes to Regulate Product Safety, 13 J. Legal Stud. 593, 618 (1984). Reprinted by permission.
- White, Corporate Judgement Proofing: A Response to Lynn LoPucki's The Death of Liability. Reprinted by permission of The Yale Law Journal Company and Fred B. Rothman & Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 107, pages 1363-1412.
- Wolfram, C., Modern Legal Ethics 594-596, 653-660. Copyright © 1986 by West Publishing Co. Reprinted with permission of the author and the West Group.

Summary of Contents

Contents	XIII
Table of Problems	XXIII
Preface to the Fourth Edition	XXV
Acknowledgments	XXVII

PART I

	Liability for Manufacturing Defects	1
Chapter One	Establishing Defect and Assigning Responsibility	3
Chapter Two	Strict Liability in Tort	81
Chapter Three	Causation	147
Chapter Four	Basic Elements of the Plaintiff's Recovery	219
Chapter Five	Affirmative Defenses	271

PART II

	Liability for Generically Dangerous Products	311
Chapter Six	When the Defendant Says Too Much in Marketing the Product: Express Warranty and Misrepresentation	313
Chapter Seven	When the Defendant Says Too Little in Marketing the Product: Failure to Instruct or Warn	337
Chapter Eight	When What the Defendant Says in Marketing the Product Isn't Controlling: Liability Based on Defective Design	473

PART III

	Institutional Perspectives	645
Chapter Nine	Special Features Reflecting the Fact That Most Products Defendants Are Corporations	647

Chapter Ten	Adjusting the Liability System to the Demands of a National Economy	677
Chapter Eleven	International Perspectives on Products Liability	703
Table of Cases		729
Table of Statutes		743
Index		749

Contents

Table of Problems	XXIII
Preface to the Fourth Edition	XXV
Acknowledgments	XXVII

PART I

Liability for Manufacturing Defects 1

CHAPTER ONE	Establishing Defect and Assigning Responsibility	3
A.	Carryover Concepts from First-Year Torts	4
1.	Negligence	4
2.	The Fall of the Privity Rule	8
	Process and Procedure: No-Duty Rules	10
3.	The Rise of Res Ipsa Loquitur	11
	<i>Escola v. Coca Cola Bottling Co.</i>	12
4.	Strict Liability and Proof of Original Defect	16
	<i>Myrlak v. Port Authority of New York and New Jersey</i>	17
	Problem One	29
B.	Novel Strategies for Assigning Responsibility	29
1.	Assigning Responsibility to the Entire Distributive Chain	29
	<i>Anderson v. Somberg</i>	31
	Problem Two	38
	C. Wolfram, Modern Legal Ethics	44
2.	Assigning Responsibility in Product-Related Workplace Accidents	46
a.	Direct Attack against the Employer	47
	<i>Blankenship v. Cincinnati Milacron Chemicals, Inc.</i>	47
b.	Coordinating Responsibility between the Employer and the Product Manufacturer	56
	<i>Kotecki v. Cyclops Welding Corp.</i>	56
	Social Control of Product-Related Accidents:	
	Coordinating the Worker Compensation and Tort Recovery Systems	59

Problem Three	62
3. Utilizing Government-Mandated Product Recalls to Assign Responsibility	63
<i>Barry v. Manglass</i>	63
Problem Four	69
C. Allocating Responsibility among Members of the Distributive Chain	69
1. Joint and Several Liability	70
2. Contribution among Joint Tortfeasors	73
3. Indemnity	76
4. Settlement and Release	77
 CHAPTER TWO Strict Liability in Tort	 81
A. Implied Warranty as a Bridge to Strict Liability	81
<i>Greenman v. Yuba Power Products, Inc.</i>	83
Problem Five	87
B. The Restatements (Second) and (Third) of Torts: Defining the Standard for Manufacturing Defect	87
Philosophical Implications: Policies Supporting Strict Liability in Tort	91
Henderson, Coping with the Time Dimension in Products Liability	91
<i>Pulley v. Pacific Coca-Cola Bottling Co.</i>	94
Practical Implications: What Does Strict Liability Actually Accomplish?	97
Problem Six	98
<i>Cronin v. J.B.E. Olson Corp.</i>	99
Problem Seven	106
C. Who Is Strictly Liable?	108
1. In General	108
2. Special Categories at the Boundaries of Strict Liability	115
a. Commercial Sellers of Used Products	115
<i>Crandell v. Larkin and Jones Appliance Co.</i>	115
Note: Tort and Contract — Something Old, Something New	119
b. Disclaimers: New and Used Products	121
Problem Eight	126
c. Sale-Service Hybrids	128
<i>Magrine v. Krasnica</i>	128
<i>Winter v. G.P. Putnam's Sons</i>	136

Problem Nine	140
d. Nonsale Product Suppliers	141
e. Retailers and Wholesalers	142
Model Uniform Product Liability Act, §105	143
Problem Ten	145
 CHAPTER THREE Causation	 147
A. Did the Product, in Fact, Harm the Plaintiff? (Herein of Judicial Reliance on Statistics and Expert Testimony)	 148
1. Traditional Cause-in-Fact	148
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i>	150
<i>Kumho Tire Co. Ltd. v. Carmichael</i>	159
Problem Eleven	171
2. Exposure to Risk of Future Injury	172
<i>Mauro v. Raymark Industries, Inc.</i>	172
B. Did the Defendant Supply the Product That Harmed the Plaintiff? (Herein of Market Share)	178
<i>Collins v. Eli Lilly Company</i>	179
Market Share: The Fallout	188
One More Example of Imposing Liability on a Defendant That Didn't Cause the Plaintiff's Injury: Successor Corporation Liability	193
Problem Twelve	193
C. Did the Defect in the Defendant's Product Contribute to Causing the Plaintiff's Harm?	194
1. All-Or-Nothing Causation	195
<i>Midwestern V.W. Corp. v. Ringley</i>	195
Problem Thirteen	197
2. Enhanced Injury	198
<i>Lahocki v. Contee Sand & Gravel Co., Inc.</i>	198
3. Elimination of the Opportunity to Avoid Injury: Loss of a Chance	205
Problem Fourteen	207
D. Was the Nature of the Plaintiff's Harm Reasonably Foreseeable? (Herein of Proximate Cause)	207
<i>Union Pump Co. v. Allbritton</i>	207
Problem Fifteen	215
E. Proximate Cause — A Rose by Any Other Name . . .	216

CHAPTER FOUR	Basic Elements of the Plaintiff's Recovery	219
A.	Recovery of Compensatory Damages	219
1.	In General	219
2.	Major Legislative Reforms	220
a.	Limitations on Noneconomic Damage Awards	220
b.	Reform of the Collateral Source Rule	222
c.	Reforms Allowing Periodic Payment of Damages	222
B.	Recovery for Emotional Upset	223
	<i>Kennedy v. McKesson Co.</i>	226
	Problem Sixteen	231
	Social Control of Product-Related Accidents:	
	Assessing the Costs of Dread	232
C.	Recovery for Pure Economic Loss	236
	<i>East River Steamship Corp. v. Transamerica Delaval, Inc.</i>	238
	<i>The Board of Education of City of Chicago v. A, C, and S, Inc.</i>	248
	Problem Seventeen	253
	C. Wolfram, Modern Legal Ethics	254
D.	Recovery of Punitive Damages	255
	<i>Wangen v. Ford Motor Co.</i>	255
	Problem Eighteen	264
	Note: Federal Constitutional Control of Punitive Damages	266
CHAPTER FIVE	Affirmative Defenses	271
A.	Conduct-Based Defenses	271
1.	Plaintiff's Conduct	271
a.	Plaintiff's Conduct as Total Bar	272
	<i>Andren v. White-Rodgers Co.</i>	274
	Problem Nineteen	277
b.	Comparative Fault	278
(1)	Introduction to Basic Principles	278
(a)	Multiple Defendants	280
(i)	Unit Rule	280
(ii)	Modified Unit Rule	280
(iii)	Individual Unit Rule	281
(b)	Assumption of the Risk of Last Clear Chance	281
(2)	Comparative Fault in Products Liability	281
	<i>Murray v. Fairbanks Morse</i>	281
	Plaintiff's Conduct Under Comparative Fault:	
	The Costs of Categorization	287