



ANNUAL REVIEW OF GENETICS

VOL. 25 1991

首都师范大学
生物系资料室



ANNUAL REVIEW OF GENETICS

VOLUME 25, 1991

ALLAN CAMPBELL, *Editor*

Stanford University, Stanford

BRUCE S. BAKER, *Associate Editor*

Stanford University, Stanford

ELIZABETH W. JONES, *Associate Editor*

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh



ANNUAL REVIEWS INC.
Palo Alto, California, USA

COPYRIGHT © 1991 BY ANNUAL REVIEWS INC., PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA, USA. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. The appearance of the code at the bottom of the first page of an article in this serial indicates the copyright owner's consent that copies of the article may be made for personal or internal use, or for the personal or internal use of specific clients. This consent is given on the condition, however, that the copier pay the stated per-copy fee of \$2.00 per article through the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (21 Congress Street, Salem, MA 01970) for copying beyond that permitted by Sections 107 or 108 of the US Copyright Law. The per-copy fee of \$2.00 per article also applies to the copying, under the stated conditions, of articles published in any *Annual Review* serial before January 1, 1978. Individual readers, and nonprofit libraries acting for them, are permitted to make a single copy of an article without charge for use in research or teaching. This consent does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as copying for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. For such uses, written permission is required. Write to Permissions Dept., Annual Reviews Inc., 4139 El Camino Way, P.O. Box 10139, Palo Alto, CA 94303-0897 USA.

International Standard Serial Number: 0066-4197

International Standard Book Number: 0-8243-1225-2

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 63-8847

Annual Review and publication titles are registered trademarks of Annual Reviews Inc.

Ⓢ The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of American National Standard for Information Sciences—Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANZI Z39.48-1984.

Annual Reviews Inc. and the Editors of its publications assume no responsibility for the statements expressed by the contributors to this *Review*.

Typesetting by Kachina Typesetting Inc., Tempe, Arizona; John Olson, President; Janis Hoffman, Typesetting Coordinator; and by the Annual Reviews Inc. Editorial Staff

PRINTED AND BOUND IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

SOME RELATED ARTICLES IN OTHER ANNUAL REVIEWS

From the *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, Volume 60, 1991:

- Protein-Priming of DNA Replication*, M. Salas
Bacteriophage Lambda DNA Maturation and Packaging, H. Murialdo
Primary Response Genes Induced by Growth Factors and Tumor Promoters,
H. R. Herschman
Signal Transduction Pathways Involving Protein Phosphorylation in Prokaryotes,
R. B. Bourret, K. A. Borkovich, and M. I. Simon
Fidelity Mechanisms in DNA Replication, H. Echols and M. F. Goodman
Eukaryotic DNA Polymerases, T. S.-F. Wang

From the *Annual Review of Biophysics and Biophysical Chemistry*, Volume 20, 1991:

- Statistical Methods and Insights for Protein and DNA Sequences*, S. Karlin, P. Bucher, V. Brendel, and S. F. Altschul

From the *Annual Review of Cell Biology*, Volume 7, 1991:

- Replication and Transcription of Vertebrate Mitochondrial DNA*, D. A. Clayton
The Notch Locus and the Cell Biology of Neuroblast Segregation, S. Artavanis-Tsakonas, C. Delidakis, and R. G. Fehon
Activation of Replication Origins within Yeast Chromosomes, W. L. Fangman and B. J. Brewer
Biochemical Mechanisms of Constitutive and Regulated Pre-mRNA Splicing,
M. R. Green
*Cell Cycle Regulation in the Yeasts *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Schizosaccharomyces pombe**, S. L. Forsburg and P. Nurse
Centromeres: An Integrated Protein/DNA Complex Required for Chromosome Movement, I. Schulman and K. S. Bloom
Signal Transduction During Pheromone Response in Yeast, L. Marsh, A. M. Neiman, and I. Herskowitz
Spindle Fiber Action and Chromosome Movement, J. R. McIntosh and G. E. Hering

From the *Annual Review of Entomology*, Volume 36, 1991:

- Gene Amplification and Insecticide Resistance*, A. L. Devonshire and L. M. Field
Prospects for Gene Transformation in Insects, A. M. Handler and D. A. O'Brochta

viii **OTHER RELATED ARTICLES OF INTEREST** (Continued)

From the *Annual Review of Immunology*, Volume 9, 1991:

- Avian B-Cell Development: Generation of an Immunoglobulin Repertoire by Gene Conversion*, W. T. McCormack, L. W. Tjoelker, and C. B. Thompson
LPR and GLD: Single Gene Models of Systemic Autoimmunity and Lymphoproliferative Disease, P. L. Cohen and R. A. Eisenberg
The SCID Mouse Mutant: Definition, Characterization, and Potential Uses, M. J. Bosma and A. M. Carroll
Immunoglobulin Gene Transcription, L. M. Staudt and M. J. Lenardo

From the *Annual Review of Microbiology*, Volume 45, 1991:

- Control of Carbon and Nitrogen Metabolism in Bacillus subtilis*, S. H. Fisher and A. L. Sonenshein
Plant Genetic Control of Nodulation, G. Caetano-Anollés and P. M. Gresshoff
Nuclear Fusion in Yeast, M. D. Rose
Prokaryotic Osmoregulation: Genetics and Physiology, L. N. Csonka and A. D. Hanson

ANNUAL REVIEWS INC. is a nonprofit scientific publisher established to promote the advancement of the sciences. Beginning in 1932 with the *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, the Company has pursued as its principal function the publication of high quality, reasonably priced *Annual Review* volumes. The volumes are organized by Editors and Editorial Committees who invite qualified authors to contribute critical articles reviewing significant developments within each major discipline. The Editor-in-Chief invites those interested in serving as future Editorial Committee members to communicate directly with him. Annual Reviews Inc. is administered by a Board of Directors, whose members serve without compensation.

1991 Board of Directors, Annual Reviews Inc.

J. Murray Luck, Founder and Director Emeritus of Annual Reviews Inc.

Professor Emeritus of Chemistry, Stanford University

Joshua Lederberg, Chairman of Annual Reviews Inc.

University Professor, The Rockefeller University

James E. Howell, Vice Chairman of Annual Reviews Inc.

Professor of Economics, Stanford University

Winslow R. Briggs, *Director, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Stanford*

W. Maxwell Cowan, *Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Bethesda*

Sidney D. Drell, *Deputy Director, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center*

Sandra M. Faber, *Professor of Astronomy, University of California, Santa Cruz*

Eugene Garfield, *President, Institute for Scientific Information*

William Kaufmann, *President, William Kaufmann, Inc.*

Daniel E. Koshland, Jr., *Professor of Biochemistry, University of California, Berkeley*

Donald A. B. Lindberg, *Director Emeritus, National Library of Medicine*

Gardner Lindzey, *Director, Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences, Stanford*

Charles Yanofsky, *Professor of Biological Sciences, Stanford University*

Richard N. Zare, *Professor of Physical Chemistry, Stanford University*

Harriet A. Zuckerman, *Professor of Sociology, Columbia University*

Management of Annual Reviews Inc.

John S. McNeil, Publisher and Secretary-Treasurer

William Kaufmann, Editor-in-Chief and President

Mickey G. Hamilton, Promotion Manager

Donald S. Svedeman, Business Manager

Richard L. Burke, Electronic Communications Manager

ANNUAL REVIEWS OF

Anthropology

Astronomy and Astrophysics

Biochemistry

Biophysics and Biomolecular Structure

Cell Biology

Computer Science

Earth and Planetary Sciences

Ecology and Systematics

Energy and the Environment

Entomology

Fluid Mechanics

Genetics

Immunology

Materials Science

Medicine

Microbiology

Neuroscience

Nuclear and Particle Science

Nutrition

Pharmacology and Toxicology

Physical Chemistry

Physiology

Phytopathology

Plant Physiology and

Plant Molecular Biology

Psychology

Public Health

Sociology

SPECIAL PUBLICATIONS

Excitement and Fascination
of Science. Vols. 1, 2,
and 3

Intelligence and Affectivity,
by Jean Piaget

For the convenience of readers, a detachable order form/envelope is bound into the back of this volume.



CONTENTS

THE INHERITANCE OF ACQUIRED CHARACTERISTICS, <i>Otto E. Landman</i>	1-20
IMPORT OF PROTEINS INTO MITOCHONDRIA, <i>Benjamin Glick and Gottfried Schatz</i>	21-44
TEN UNORTHODOX PERSPECTIVES ON EVOLUTION PROMPTED BY COMPARATIVE POPULATION GENETIC FINDINGS ON MITOCHONDRIAL DNA, <i>John C. Avise</i>	45-69
DIFFERENT TYPES OF MESSENGER RNA EDITING, <i>Roberto Cattaneo</i>	71-88
TRANSCRIPTION ACTIVATION BY ESTROGEN AND PROGESTERONE RECEPTORS, <i>Hinrich Gronemeyer</i>	89-123
SPONTANEOUS MUTATION, <i>John W. Drake</i>	125-46
GENE TRANSFER BETWEEN DISTANTLY RELATED BACTERIA, <i>Philippe Mazodier and Julian Davies</i>	147-71
GENETIC AND DEVELOPMENTAL CONTROL OF ANTHOCYANIN BIOSYNTHESIS, <i>Hugo K. Dooner, Timothy P. Robbins, and Richard A. Jorgensen</i>	173-99
TOWARDS A GENETIC DISSECTION OF THE BASIS OF TRIPLET DECODING, AND ITS NATURAL SUBVERSION: PROGRAMMED READING FRAME SHIFTS AND HOPS, <i>J. F. Atkins, R. B. Weiss, S. Thompson, and R. F. Gesteland</i>	210-28
MECHANISMS AND BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF MISMATCH REPAIR, <i>Paul Modrich</i>	229-53
GENETIC RISK ASSESSMENT, <i>Udo H. Ehling</i>	255-80
LOSS OF CONSTITUTIONAL HETEROZYGOSITY IN HUMAN CANCER, <i>D. Lasko, W. K. Cavenee, and M. Nordenskjöld</i>	281-314
REGULATION OF BACTERIAL OXIDATIVE STRESS GENES, <i>Bruce Demple</i>	315-37
MODULATION OF MUTAGENESIS BY DEOXYRIBONUCLEOTIDE LEVELS, <i>Bernard A. Kunz and Susanne E. Kohalmi</i>	339-59

REGULATION OF GENE EXPRESSION IN FERMENTATIVE AND RESPIRATORY SYSTEMS IN <i>ESCHERICHIA COLI</i> AND RELATED BACTERIA, <i>E. C. C. Lin and S. Iuchi</i>	361-87
PHYTOCHROME: A LIGHT-ACTIVATED MOLECULAR SWITCH THAT REGULATES PLANT GENE EXPRESSION, <i>Peter H. Quail</i>	389-409
GENETIC CONTROL OF CELL INTERACTIONS IN NEMATODE DEVELOPMENT, <i>E. J. Lambie and Judith Kimble</i>	411-36
REGULATION OF EXPRESSION OF THE LATE GENES OF BACTERIOPHAGE T4, <i>E. Peter Geiduschek</i>	437-60
PLANT MITOCHONDRIAL MUTATIONS AND MALE STERILITY, <i>Maureen R. Hanson</i>	461-86
MOLECULAR AND GENETIC INSIGHTS INTO T CELL ANTIGEN RECEPTOR STRUCTURE AND FUNCTION, <i>Arthur Weiss</i>	487-510
SEGREGATION DISTORTERS, <i>Terrence W. Lyttle</i>	511-57
GENETIC ANALYSIS OF YEAST PHOSPHOLIPID BIOSYNTHESIS, <i>D. Michele Nickoloff and Susan A. Henry</i>	559-83
RESTRICTION AND MODIFICATION SYSTEMS, <i>Geoffrey G. Wilson and Noreen E. Murray</i>	585-627
GENETIC MECHANISMS FOR ADAPTING TO A CHANGING ENVIRONMENT, <i>Dennis A. Powers, Tod Lauerman, Douglas Crawford, and Leonard DiMichele</i>	629-59
INDEXES	
Subject Index	661
Cumulative Index of Contributing Authors, Volumes 21-25	676
Cumulative Index of Chapter Titles, Volumes 21-25	678

THE INHERITANCE OF ACQUIRED CHARACTERISTICS*

Otto E. Landman**

Department of Biology, Georgetown University, Washington, DC 20057

KEY WORDS: inheritance of acquired characteristics, Lamarckism, epinucleic inheritance, extranucleic inheritance, Lamarckian inheritance, saltatory evolution, punctuated equilibrium, Lysenkoism, nonmendelian inheritance

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
DEFINITION OF "INHERITANCE OF ACQUIRED CHARACTERISTICS" (IAC); CLASSIFICATION OF IAC SYSTEMS.....	2
EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEMS THAT DEMONSTRATE IAC.....	3
<i>Systems Based on Heritably Stabilized Gene Expression</i>	3
<i>Cortical Inheritance</i>	9
<i>Systems Based on Inherited Modification of DNA</i>	11
<i>Systems Based on Induced Loss of Nonessential Nucleic Acid (NA) Sequences</i>	13
<i>Systems Based on Acquisition of Foreign Nucleic Acid</i>	15
IAC IN EVOLUTION AND EVOLUTIONARY SALTATION.....	16
RETROSPECT AND PROSPECT, SUMMARY.....	17

INTRODUCTION

Can environmentally induced or acquired changes in organisms be transmitted to future generations? Does the inheritance of acquired characteristics (IAC)—if it occurs at all—play a significant role in evolution? These questions were the subject of passionate debate and heated political controversy in the late 19th century and in the first six decades of the 20th (11, 30, 56, 71).

*Dedicated to the Memory of Tracy M. Sonneborn, Pioneer

**Professor Emeritus. Current address: 8408 Peck Place, Bethesda, Maryland 20817

The decisive successes of classical genetics and molecular biology submerged this debate, and the take-home lesson for most biologists and geneticists has been that there is no such thing as inheritance of acquired characteristics. Thus, among 30 of the most widely used college textbooks of genetics published since 1962, none indicated that actual examples of IAC had been found and only seven even mentioned IAC or Lamarck. The following statements are typical in their dismissal of the concept of IAC:

Inheritance of acquired characteristics': The idea (*apparently false*) that features developed during the life of an organism can be passed on to offspring by altered heredity (53).

Lamarck's hypothesis of the inheritance of acquired modifications has been *discarded* because *no molecular mechanism exists or can be imagined* that would make such inheritance possible (76).

This paper reconsiders the subject of IAC in light of our present, much-improved understanding of the molecular mechanisms of both long-known and newly described IAC systems. Five fundamentally different mechanisms are distinguished that all give rise to IAC. Essential experimental details of eight prototype systems are described and their underlying mechanisms outlined.

It is shown that the observations concerning IAC are fully compatible with current concepts of molecular genetics and that IAC and Mendelian inheritance coexist comfortably in the universe of molecular biology. In this new context, a fresh perspective on inheritance and evolution is presented.

DEFINITION OF INHERITANCE OF ACQUIRED CHARACTERISTICS (IAC); CLASSIFICATION OF IAC SYSTEMS

The chief features of ten IAC systems are shown in Table 1. The relationship of these systems to each other and to classical Mendelian inheritance is depicted in Figure 1.

The systems described in lines 1-8 of Table 1 are defined operationally as IAC systems because they conform to the following experimental pattern: Individual organisms or cultures of cells incubating in a particular environment are exposed briefly to a chemical or physical treatment under conditions that allow little or no growth (thereby ruling out selection of mutants). *Following the exposure, and upon being returned to the original environment, all or a large proportion of the treated cells (or organisms) exhibit new characteristics that are passed on heritably to succeeding generations.* This phenomenology is completely contrary to the behavior expected of Mendelian traits but it accords well with behavior anticipated of acquired traits.

The word "acquire" is used in this paper in conformance with two of the

definitions of Webster's Dictionary: (a) "to come into possession of" and (b) "to come to have as a characteristic". A more active mode of acquisition described by a third definition, (c) "gained as a result of effort or experience" is not exemplified by any of the systems under review (e.g. the giraffe's long neck; the blacksmith's strong arm). Historically, discussions of IAC have often ignored this distinction.

Despite the similarities in the experimental procedures that trigger the changes in heritable characteristics shown in lines 1-8 of Table 1, four sharply different mechanisms of heritability are responsible: (a) heritably stabilized gene expression (lines 1, 2, 3); (b) cortical inheritance, i.e. clonally transmitted physical alterations in morphology (line 4); (c) DNA modification, e.g. clonally transmitted changes in DNA methylation (lines 5, 6); (d) induced loss of specific nonessential nucleic acid elements (lines 7, 8). A fifth mechanism—acquisition of foreign nucleic acid sequences—(lines 9, 10) is discussed later.

EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEMS THAT DEMONSTRATE IAC

Systems Based on Heritably Stabilized Gene Expression

TRANSFORMATION OF SEROTYPES IN *PARAMECIUM AURELIA* The cilia and surface of each paramecium display characteristic proteins, 250-310 kd in size, which determine their serotype (20). The serotypes are identified by means of specific antisera: Two hours of incubation in dilute homologous antiserum immobilize the paramecia. Immobilization is followed by transformation to a new serotype (5). A particular stock (strain) of *Paramecium* has the potential to express about 12 different serotypes. These are determined by genes at 12 different loci, but, except during transitional states, each homozygous animal normally displays only one serotype at a time ["Mutual exclusion" (16, 19)]. The expression of a serotype is clonally transmitted, i.e. once transient incubation in antiserum effects a change in serotype, the new serotype is heritably and stably transmitted to the progeny. Changes in serotype can be induced not only by antisera but also by a series of other environmental agencies, namely, changes in temperature, pH, abundance of food supply or salinity, and treatment with trypsin or chymotrypsin and other substances (5). The initial serotype of the treated paramecia also plays an important role in channeling the induced serotype changes.

The changes are reversible since animals from a particular stock can be induced to go back to their "original" serotype by controlled changes in the environment. The flexibility of this system is quite impressive, especially if one recalls that each serotype locus is represented by about 1000 copies in the macronucleus of each animal (19).

In a representative experiment, paramecia of variety 1, serotype 41G, growing at 24°C were exposed to 36°C for 2 1/2 hr and then moved back to 24°C (one fission takes about 4 hr). The heat-treated paramecia showed no outward change immediately after treatment but some hours later 50% had changed from serotype 41G to 41D. With longer treatments 100% could be transformed (6). In the absence of heat treatments, serotypes 41G and 41D each reproduced at 24°C without change for a long period of time. A plausible interpretation of the observations is as follows: A controlling mechanism or substance heritably suppresses expression of all but one of the serotype-determining genetic loci. [Only one serotype-determining mRNA was detected (12, 64)]. The suppression pattern can be destabilized by a great variety of environmental treatments (5). These shift suppression to a new set of serotype genes, leaving one gene active (19) (Table 1, line 1 and footnote a).

INHERITANCE OF THE WALL-LESS CONDITION IN BACTERIA (*BACILLUS SUBTILIS* MASS-CONVERSION STABLE L FORMS) (40, 41, 43) In most bacterial species it is a fairly routine procedure to remove the cell wall. Removal may be achieved by using the enzyme lysozyme that depolymerizes peptidoglycan (the principal rigid constituent of most bacterial walls), or by inhibiting peptidoglycan synthesis in growing cultures with penicillin or other inhibitors. Once peptidoglycan has been removed, the other wall constituents are usually lost, leaving only protoplasts—cells completely devoid of cell wall. In our model system, *Bacillus subtilis*, each rod-shaped bacillus gives rise to 1–3 protoplasts after 20–30 minutes of lysozyme treatment. Media of high solute content must be used to prevent lysis of the protoplasts. Even when they are suspended in hypertonic media, protoplasts only increase in size but are unable to divide or to replace the previously removed cell wall. In liquid media, the presence of the cell wall is evidently required for cell division to take place or new cell wall to form.

The situation changes in a most surprising way when the protoplasts are transferred to soft-agar media. In this medium, each protoplast can give rise to an L colony—a slow-growing colony consisting of spherical, membrane-bounded “L bodies” of very heterogeneous size. The soft agar evidently allows the burgeoning protoplasts to be subdivided into viable fragments. The fragments, L bodies, in turn are capable of indefinite further propagation: Upon transfer to fresh soft-agar media, they give rise to new L colonies. By contrast, if the L bodies or protoplasts are plated on hard agar or gelatin media, prompt reversion to the walled, rod-shaped state occurs and only normal bacterial colonies are produced (40, 43).

Experiments have shown that the sharp difference in heritable persistence of protoplasts and L bodies on soft agar on the one hand and on hard agar or gelatin on the other is due to a changed equilibrium between peptidoglycan

Table 1 Descriptive outlines of prototype systems showing inheritance of acquired characteristics

Line #	Mechanism			Process of trait acquisition				References	
	Passes germ line	Description	System	Heritable state #1	Inducing treatment → ← Reversing conditions	Heritable state #2	Efficiency of conversion		
1	1 Extra-nuclear	Switch in stable blockage of all-but-one of the serotype genes ^a	Eukaryote Single cell	Paramyxium of serotype 41G replicating at 24°C	36°C for 5 hr → induces loss of 41G antigen, gain of 41D ← temp. manipulat.	Paramyxium of serotype 41D replicating at 24°C	→ 100% ----- 100% ←	Serotype 41G if 41D	6, 19
2	1 Extra-nuclear	Change in equilibrium between post-translational gene products	Prokaryote Single cell	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> bacteria propagating in soft agar	30 min in Lysozyme removes wall. → ← 60 min in gelatin: L forms revert	L-forms (protoplast-derived) propagating in soft agar	→ 100% ----- 100% ←	± cell wall ± ability to divide in liquid	40, 43
3	1 Extra-nuclear	Transcription switch maintained in "on" position	Prokaryote Single cell	<i>E. coli</i> w/Lac operon uninduced replicating in maintenance conc. of inducer, 5 × 10 ⁻⁶ M TMG	3 doublings in → inducing conc. of inducer 5 × 10 ⁻⁶ M TMG ← replication in absence of TMG	<i>E. coli</i> w/Lac operon induced replicating in maintenance conc. of inducer, 5 × 10 ⁻⁶ M TMG	→ 100% ----- 100% ←	± β-galactosidase and permease	60, 63
4	2 Extra-nuclear	Cortical inheritance ^b	Eukaryote Single cell	<i>Oxytricha fallax</i> singlets (normal animals) reproducing by normal fission	2 singlets fuse → to form doublet ← longitudinal cut cleaves doublet into 2 singlets	<i>Oxytricha fallax</i> doublets reproduce by fission, producing more doublets	N.A. ----- 100% ←	2 singlets if 1 doublet	3, 25, 26

Table 1 (Continued)

Line #	#	Type	Mechanism		Process of trait acquisition					References	
			Phases	Description	System	Heritable state #1	Inducing treatment → ← Reversing conditions	Heritable state #2	Efficiency of conversion		Changing trait
5	3	Epi-nucleic	n.a.	DNA demethylation converts uncommitted cells to determined ones ^c	Eukaryote tissue culture line	Undifferentiated mouse fibroblasts dividing	3 μ M 5-azacytidine triggers → demethylations ← not reversible	Stem cell line producing myocytes	→ 25% of clones produce myocytes not rev. ←	uncommitted cells ↓ determined cells	14, 39
6	3	Epi-nucleic	n.a.	Restriction-modification mediated by glucosylation of DNA ^d	Phage	Phage T2, with glucosylated DNA replicating in <i>E. coli</i> strain B	Glucosylation lost → growing in B rg1/4, ← Glucosylation regained growing in <i>Shigella</i>	Phage T2 with unglucosylated DNA replicating in <i>E. coli</i> B rg1/4,	→ 100% ----- 100% ←	± DNA glucosylation	21, 51, 68
7	4	Nucleic	n.a.	Streptomycin induces loss of chloroplasts and chloroplast DNA ^e	Eukaryote Single cell	<i>Euglena</i> with functional chloroplasts replicating in 0.15% butyrate medium in the light	Incubation for → 6 days in 160 μ g/ml streptomycin medium ← not reversible	<i>Euglena</i> devoid of chloroplasts replicating in 0.15% butyrate medium in the light	→ 100% ----- not ← reversible	± chloroplasts	66, 67

8	4 Nucleic	Yes	Heat cures infection by SS RNA rhabdovirus ^a	Eukaryote Insect	Drosophila sensitive to CO ₂ , carrying virus Sigma, reproducing	Hold flies above → 30°C for six days during gametogenesis ← inject flies with virus	Drosophila resistant to CO ₂ , virus-free, reproducing	→ 100%	± CO ₂ sensitivity	10, 48, 65
9	5 Nucleic	n.a.	Acquisition of multiple antibiotic resistance plasmid (DNA)	Prokaryote Single cell	rif ^r <i>E. coli</i> strain replicating ¹	Conjugal transfer → of plasmid R26 from "any" gram-donor to rif ^r <i>E. coli</i> ← cure plasmid	rif ^r <i>E. coli</i> carrying → R26 plasmid, replicating	→ 100%	± plasmid ± resistance ± conjug. factor	27, 72
10	5 Nucleic	Yes	Acquisition of retrovirus; becomes endogenous provirus SS RNA → DS DNA	Eukaryote Mouse	"Brown" mice free from infection by Gross murine leukemia virus, reproducing	Infection by murine leukemia virus → ← Excision of virus from chromosome #9	"Dilute brown" mice carrying Gross murine leukemia virus on chromosome #9, reproducing ²	→ Rare 3.3 × 10 ⁻⁴ ←	± virus	32

^a In *Trypanosoma*, also, all but one of many surface antigen genes is suppressed, but switch-over to new antigen is due to mutation. The mechanism of mutual exclusion is unknown in either system (16).

^b Two metazoan systems reminiscent of cortical inheritance are sketched below:

1. In transdetermination of imaginal discs of *Drosophila*, the discs—developmentally determined cell aggregates—can switch from one fate to another, e.g. from leg precursor to antenna precursor. Several adjacent cells switch simultaneously and then each transmit the switched potential clonally (polyclones) (79).

2. Flatworms of the genus *Spirostomum* *incandens* were grown in dilute lead acetate for four generations. A single individual, "C", then produced the following offspring: **an inviable animal **two normals **a double monster (M1); two ventrally joined animals. This M1, observed for ten generations, gave rise to 180 double monsters, **another double monster (M2); two dorsally joined animals. M2, observed for eight generations produced 94 similar offspring (73).

^c Table 1 of Holliday's review (29) cites 18 references to 5-azacytidine-promoted activation of eight different mammalian enzymes. 5-azacytidine also activates integrated retroviruses and inactive x-chromosomes of females.

^d The environments sustaining heritable states #1 and #2 are not identical: the genotypes of the bacterial hosts differ.

^e Other nucleic acid elements subject to curing: I. Mitochondria of yeast (cured by ethidium bromide, acridine dyes, heat, etc) (23, 62). II. The male-progeny killing "sex ratio" spiriplasma of *Drosophila* (cured by heat) (81). III. The bacterium-like agent Kappa of *Pseudomonas*—produces toxin paracetamol and "killer" phenotype—(cured by heat, x-rays, chloramphenicol, etc.) (33). IV. The double stranded RNA reovirus of yeast—produces toxin and "killer" phenotype—(cured by heat, cycloheximide, 5-fluorouracil) (8). V. Nonlysogenic col. (9). Proviruses can often be dislodged from their sites in animal chromosomes by iodo-o-bromodeoxyuridine (80).

^f The rif^r trait is used in counter-selection against multiply resistant donor strains.

^g "Dilute brown" is due to inactivation of the brown locus by insertion of the leukemia virus (32).

^h n.a.: not applicable

biosynthesis and peptidoglycan destruction by autolysins in the two media. (Autolysins are wall-depolymerizing enzymes required by bacteria to loosen the rigid peptidoglycan envelope as growing bacilli expand and, perhaps, to aid in separating the rods in the final step of division). Protoplasts are continuously synthesizing peptidoglycan chains and continuously excreting autolysin (37, 42, 69; S. Fox, O. E. Landman, unpublished observations). In soft-agar and liquid medium the continuous destruction of nascent peptidoglycan chains prevents accumulation of a priming quantity of cell wall; in gelatin medium (or in the presence of trypsin or other proteases) autolysin activity is inhibited (destroyed) and new cell wall can accumulate (15, 41). In different bacterial species the equilibrium between the walled and naked states is much less delicately balanced than in *B. subtilis*. Thus, mass-conversion stable L forms of *Salmonella* almost never revert to the walled state, whereas protoplasts of *B. megaterium* can scarcely be prevented from reinitiating synthesis of new cell wall (40; Table 1, line 2).

HERITABLE MAINTENANCE OF THE INDUCED STATE FOR β GALACTOSIDASE BIOSYNTHESIS IN *E. COLI* This experimental model, first described by Monod (60) and expanded by Novick & Weiner (63), illustrates how a slight modification of the ambient medium plus a brief exposure to inducing conditions can lead to a "permanent", "heritable" state of induction of the lac operon of *E. coli*.

A culture of strain B of *E. coli* growing at 37°C in a synthetic succinate medium with a 5×10^{-6} M "maintenance" concentration of the inducer thiomethyl- β -D-galactoside (TMG) is divided into subcultures A and B. Subculture A is left undisturbed. To subculture B, an "inducing" concentration of 5×10^{-4} M TMG is added and the culture is incubated until it is fully induced. The cells of the B subculture are now transferred again to medium with the 5×10^{-6} M maintenance concentration of TMG and allowed to grow indefinitely (e.g. for 180 cell generations).

Periodically both A and B are monitored for β -galactosidase activity. Culture A will not show appreciable β -galactosidase activity at any time—it was never induced. However, following the incubation in 5×10^{-4} M TMG, culture B will be permanently induced (acting like a constitutive [lac^{cs}] mutant).

In a modified, simple experimental protocol, individual induced and uninduced cells were inoculated into 5×10^{-6} M TMG medium and grown to full density. The induced cells all gave rise to fully induced cultures; the cultures grown from uninduced cells were all uninduced.

The explanation of these observations is as follows: During incubation of culture B in 5×10^{-4} M TMG inducing medium, high levels of β -galactosidase as well as β -galactoside permease were induced. Later, during growth in $5 \times$

10⁻⁶M TMG ("maintenance") medium, the cellular permease concentrated the dilute extracellular TMG to a much higher intracellular level (e.g. 100-fold higher), thus maintaining its own induction as well as that of β -galactosidase. Subculture A, lacking high level permease, could not concentrate the dilute inducer and hence remained uninduced. (Table 1, line 3)

The three systems described above—serotype inheritance in *Paramecium*, inheritance of the wall-less state in *Bacillus subtilis*, and maintenance of the induced state in the lac operon of *E. coli*—are all "extranucleic" (46) (Figure 1): in all three there is *no change in the DNA sequences* of the cell's genome (or in DNA modification) in either nucleus or cytoplasm. Nevertheless, the molecular basis of the heritable persistence of the expressed characteristics is quite different in the three: In the serotype system, we believe it is due to the stable blockage of transcription of all but one of the serotype genes. In the *B. subtilis* system, heritable persistence in the wall-less state depends on a stabilized equilibrium between posttranslational gene products: nascent cell wall and an enzyme, autolysin, that keeps destroying wall. In the lac operon system, a transcription switch is permanently kept in the "on" position by a dilute extracellular supply of inducer boosted to a concentrated intracellular "inducing" level by permease activity acquired during an earlier induction episode (Table 1, lines 1-3).

Cortical Inheritance

Among the IAC systems reviewed in this paper cortical inheritance is the least understood in molecular terms. Cortical inheritance describes the special mode of inheritance manifested by the structures of the cell cortex and cell surface of ciliates such as *Paramecium*, *Tetrahymena*, *Stentor*, *Oxytricha*, *Stylonichia*, and *Pleurotricha* (3, 74, 75). Briefly, the experiments show that *surgical or accident-caused alterations in morphological features are propagated clonally*. The changes are inherited stably through "fissions" (cell doublings) for hundreds of generations, through repeated autogamies (self matings), and through matings with morphologically normal partners. In such mixed matings it can be arranged that the two exconjugants emerge with identical cytoplasms as well as identical genic complements yet they retain their distinctive cortical differences. The exconjugants then pass these distinctive features on indefinitely to their progeny (74). Double monsters or doublets have been among the most informative objects in cortical inheritance studies.

Doublets are formed when mating pairs of ciliates fail to separate and, instead, fuse. The doublet morphology is inherited clonally through sexual and asexual reproduction as a cortically determined trait. Doublets and singlets of *Oxytricha fallax* form cysts devoid of ciliature and other cortical features so that cysts derived from doublets and singlets are indistinguishable,