METHODS IN CELL BIOLOGY

B

VOLUME 21

Normal Human Tissue and Cell Culture Endocrine, Urogenital, and Gastrointestinal Systems

Edited by

CURTIS C. HARRIS

BENJAMIN F. TRUMP

GARY D. STONER

METHODS IN CELL BIOLOGY

Prepared under the Auspices of the American Society for Cell Biology

VOLUME 21

Normal Human Tissue and Cell Culture B. Endocrine, Urogenital, and Gastrointestinal Systems

Edited by

CURTIS C. HARRIS

NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE
NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH
BETHESDA...MARYLAND



NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE
NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH
BETHESDA, MARYLAND



1980

ACADEMIC PRESS

A Subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers

New York London Toronto Sydney San Francisco

COPYRIGHT © 1980, BY ACADEMIC PRESS, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

NO PART OF THIS PUBLICATION MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPY, RECOKDING, OR ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, WITHOUT PERMISSION IN WRITING FROM THE PUBLISHER.

ACADEMIC PRESS, INC.
111 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10003

United Kingdom Edition published by ACADEMIC PRESS, INC. (LONDON) LTD. 24/28 Oval Road, London NW1 7DX

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOG CARD NUMBER: 64-14220

ISBN 0-12-564140-0

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

80 81 82 83 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Numbers in parentheses indicate the pages on which the authors' contributions begin.

- NABIL ABAZA, Cancer Bioassay Laboratory, Department of Pathology, Medical College of Pennsylvania. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19129 (287)
- THOMAS A. ADAMEC, Department of Pathology. University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514 (1)
- ARNE ANDERSSON, Department of Histology, University of Uppsala, Biomedicum, P.O. Box 571, S-751 23 Uppsala, Sweden (135)
- HERMAN AUTRUP, Human Tissue Studies Section, Laboratory of Experimental Pathology, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland 20205 (385)
- MERRILL S. BABCOCK, Pasadena Foundation for Medical Research, Pasadena, California 91101 (195)
- THERESA L. BEN, Endocrine Carcinogenesis Section. Frederick Cancer Research Center. Frederick, Maryland 21701 (107)
- R. J. BERRY, Department of Oncology, Middlesex Hospital Medical School, London W1 England (257)
- CHARLES N. CARNEY, Department of Pathology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514 (1)
- J. W. COMBS, Department of Pathology, University of Pennsylvania at Hershey, Hershey, Pennsylvania, 17033 (79)
- M. HALL-CRAGGS, Department of Pathology, Maryland Cancer Program and Maryland Institute for Emergency Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (309)

- ROBERT M. DONALDSON, JR., Department of Internal Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut 06510 (349)
- B. HUGH DORMAN, Department of Pathology. University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514 (1)
- L. M. FRANKS, Department of Cellular Pathology, Imperial Cancer Research Fund, Lincoln's Inn Fields, London WC2A 3PX. England (153)
- VALERIO M. GENTA, Department of Pathology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514 (1)
- JOE W. GRISHAM, Department of Pathology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514 (1)
- CURTIS C. HARRIS, Human Tissue Studies Section, Laboratory of Experimental Pathology. National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20205 (79, 331)
- BARRY M. HEATFIELD, Department of Pathology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (171)
- CLAES HELLERSTRÖM, Department of Histology, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden (135)
- R. M. HICKS, School of Pathology, Middlesex Hospital Medical School, London W1, England (257)
- ELIZABETH A. HILLMAN, Department of Pathology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (79, 107, 331)

¹Present address: Laboratory of Experimental Pathology, National Center Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20205.

- J. C. IRWIN, Department of Hormone Biochemistry, Imperial Cancer Research Fund, Lincoln's Inn Fields, London WC2A 3PX, England (51)
- DOUGLAS H. JANSS, Department of Pathology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21201 and Endocrine Carcinogenesis Section, Frederick Cancer Research Center, Frederick, Maryland 21701 (79, 107)
- RAYMOND T. JONES, Department of Pathology and Maryland Institute for Emergency Medical Services, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (429)
- M. W. KAHNG, Department of Pathology, Maryland Cancer Program and Maryland Institute for Emergency Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore 21201 (309)
- M. EDWARD KAIGHN, Pasadena Foundation for Medical Research, Pasadena, California 91101 (195, 253)
- Cyrus R. Kapadia, Department of Internal Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut 06510 (349)
- DAVID G. KAUFMAN, Department of Pathology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514
- Dana J. Kessler, Department of Medical Microbiology, College of Medicine, University of Vermont, Burlington. Vermont 05405 (457)
- D. Kirk, Department of Hormone Biochemistry, Imperial Cancer Research Fund, Lincoln's Inn Fields, London WC2A 3PX, England (51)

- M. A. KNOWLES, Department of Oncology, Middlesex Hospital Medical School, London W1 England (257)
- JOHN F. LECHNER, Pasadena Foundation for Medical Research, Pasadena, California 91101 (195)
- JOSEPH LEIGHTON, Cancer Bioassay Laboratory, Department of Pathology, Medical College of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19129 (287)
- EDMUND LEIPUS, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Rush Medical College, Rush Presbyterian St. Lukes Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois 60612 (29)
- MAREEN MARNELL, Pasadena Foundation for Medical Research, Pasadena, California 91101 (195)
- MARC J. MASS, Department of Pathology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514 (1)
- ROGER J. MAY, Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, and The Gastrointestinal Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02114 (403)
- Susan A. Melin, Department of Pathology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514 (1)
- E. MILROY, Department of Urology, Middlesex Hospital Medical School, London W1, England (257)
- K. SHANKAR NARAYAN, Pasadena Foundation for Medical Research, Pasadena, California 91101 (195)
- GUY J. PHOTOPULOS, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Tennessee

¹Deceased

²Present address: Pasadena Foundation for Medical Research, 99 North El Molino Avenue, Pasadena, California 91101.

- School of Medicine, Memphis, Tennessee 38163 (1)
- HENRY C. PITOT, Departments of Oncology and Pathology, McArdle Laboratory for Cancer Research, The Medical School, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706 (441)
- JUDITH POWELL, Department of Pathology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514 (1)
- ANDREA QUARONI, Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, and The Gastrointestinal Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02114 (403)
- T. ROBBINS, Department of Pathology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore. Maryland 21201 (79)
- NANCY T. RODGERS, Department of Pathology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514 (1)
- HAYATO SANEFUЛ, Department of Pathology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (79, 171)
- T. SATO, Department of Pathology, Maryland Cancer Program and Maryland Institute for Emergency Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (309)
- WARREN I. SCHAEFFER, Department of Medical Microbiology, College of Medicine, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont 05405 (457)
- W. SCHÜRCH, Department of Pathology, Hotel-Dieu Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada (331)

- LOUISE B. MALAN-SHIBLEY, Endocrine Carcinogenesis Section, Frederick Cancer Research Center, Frederick, Maryland 21701 (107)
- ALPHONSE E. SIRICA, Departments of Oncology and Pathology, McArdle Laboratory for Cancer Research, The Medical School, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706 (441)
- M. W. SMITH, Department of Pathology, Maryland Institute for Emergency Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (309)
- ROBERT STEIN, Cancer Bioassay Laboratory, Department of Pathology, Medical College of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19129 (287)
- GARY D. STONER, Human Tissue Studies Section, Laboratory of Experimental Pathology, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20205 (429)
- KURT STROMBERG, Viral Pathology Section, Laboratory of Viral Carcinogenesis, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland 20205 (227)
- RUY TCHAO, Cancer Bioassay Laboratory, Department of Pathology, Medical College of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19129 (287)
- JERRY S. TRIER, Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, Peter Bent Brigham Hospital and Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115 (365, 475)
- A. TRIFILLIS, Department of Pathology, Maryland Cancer Program and Maryland Institute for Emergency Medicine, University of Mary-
- ¹ Present address: Department of Pathology, University of Kentucky School of Medicine, Lexington, Kentucky 40506.

- land, School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (309)
- B. F. TRUMP, Department of Pathology, Maryland Cancer Program and Maryland Institute for Emergency Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (79, 171, 309, 327, 331, 429)
- DAVID TSURUMOTO, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Rush Medical College, Rush Presbyterian St. Lukes Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois 60612 (29)

- M. J. Vocci, Department of Pathology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (79, 331)
- LESLIE A. WALTON, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514 (1)
- GEORGE D. WILBANKS, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Rush Medical College, Rush Presbyterian St. Lukes Medical Center, Chicago, Iliinois 60612 (29)

PREFACE

One of the central problems in biomedical research is the extrapolation of data from experimental animals to the human situation. This is especially a problem in studies of chronic diseases such as atherosclerosis, diabetes, and cancer. Extrapolation is made difficult by variation among species as well as variation among individuals within a species. Such variation may be wide, particularly in outbred populations such as humans. Finally, within a single individual one may find variations in response to exogenous agents among different tissues and within a tissue among different cell types.

The use of cultured human tissues and cells for investigations in biomedical research can be a logical bridge between experimental animals and the beneficiary of our research, humans. Volumes 21A and 21B of Methods in Cell Biology describe the current state of methodology in culturing human tissues and cells. The organization is on the basis of organ systems and both explant and cell culture approaches are included. A common strategy in this field of research is initially to develop the methodology with cells and tissues from experimental animals and then to adapt this methodology for use with human cells and tissues. Thus far, some investigations have been conducted only in cells and tissues from experimental animals. Studies with human material are still at an early stage of development. A few chapters are included that reflect the progression in methodology from experimental animals to humans. In general, this progression has been easier than most of us would have predicted.

The primary objective of these methodologic studies is to provide experimental tools for investigating the pathogenesis of human disease. In fact, as noted in many of the chapters, cultured human cells and tissues are already being used in conjunction with sophisticated methods to investigate a wide variety of important problems in biomedical research. The purpose of these volumes is to further encourage future investigations.

CURTIS C. HARRIS BENJAMIN F. TRUMP GARY D. STONER

Contents

List	of Contributors	xiii
Pref/	ACE	xvii
1.	Studies of Human Endometrium in Organ Culture David G. Kaufman, Thomas A. Adamec, Leslie A. Walton, Charles N. Carney, Susan A. Melin, Valerio M. Genta, Marc J. Mass, B. Hugh Dorman, Nancy T. Rodgers, Guy J. Photopulos, Judith Powell, and Joe W. Grisham	
	I. Introduction II. Materials and Methods III. Results IV Discussion V. Perspectives References	2 3 7 19 24 27
2.	Tissue Culture of the Human Uterine Cervix George D. Wilbanks. Edmund Leipus, and David Tsurumoto	
	I. Introduction II. Materials and Methods III. Results and Discussion IV. Perspectives References	29 31 40 47 50
3.	Normal Human Endometrium in Cell Culture D. Kirk and J. C. Irwin	
	I Introduction II. Materials III. Methods IV. Results V. Discussion VI. Perspectives References	52 53 54 59 71 74 76
4.	Human Breast Organ Culture Studies E. A. Hillman, M. J. Vocci, J. W. Combs, H. Sanefuji, T. Robbins, D. H. Janss, C. C. Harris, and B. F. Trump	
	Introduction Materials and Methods	80 80

	III. Results	83
	IV. Discussion	97
	V. Perspectives	103
	References	105
5.	Methods for the Isolation and Culture of Normal Human	
	Breast Epithelial Cells	
	Douglas H. Janss, Elizabeth A. Hillman, Louise B. Malan-Shibley, and	
	Theresa L. Ben	
	I. Introduction	108
	II. Materials and Methods	110
	III. Results	116
	IV. Discussion	125
	V. Perspectives	128
	References	133
•		
6.	Explant Culture: Pancreatic Islets	
	Arne Andersson and Claes Hellerström	
	I. Introduction	135
	II. Structure of the Human Endocrine Pancreas	136
	III. Review of Previous Work on Human Islet Cell Culture	139
	IV. Specific Requirements for Culture of Pancreatic Endocrine Cells	142
	V. Optimal Methodology	146
	VI. Perspectives	149
	References	150
7.	Primary Cultures of Human Prostate	
	L. M. Franks	
	I. Introduction	1.52
		153
	II. Outgrowth Culture	154
	III. Epithelial Suspension Culture	162
	IV. Organ Culture V. Conclusions	164
	References	166 167
	References	167
^		
8.	Long-Term Explant Culture of Normal Human Prostate	
	Barry M. Heatfield, Hayato Sanefuji, and Benjamin F: Trump	
	I. Introduction	172
	II. Methods and Materials	172
	III. Results	175
	IV. Discussion	185
	V. Perspectives	190
	References	193

9.	*Normal Human Prostate Epithelial Cell Cultures John F. Lechner, Merrill S. Babcock, Maureen Marnell, K. Shankar Narayan, and M. Edward Kaighn	
	I. Introduction	196
	II. Materials and Methods	196
	III. Results	203
	IV. Discussion	217
	V. Perspectives	221
	References	224
10.	The Human Placenta in Cell and Organ Culture	
	Kurt Stromberg	
	I. Introduction	227
	II. Methods	228
	III. Results	23€
	IV. Discussion	245
	V. Perspectives	248
	References	250
11.	Overview: Endocrine Systems	
	M. Edward Kaighn	
	I. Overview of Endocrine Systems II. Differentiation vs. Growth	253 254
12.	Organ Culture of Normal Human Bladder: Choice of Starting	
	Material and Culture Characteristics	
	M. A. Knowles, R. M. Hicks, R. J. Berry, and E. Milroy	
	I. Introduction	257
	II. Materials and Methods	259
	III. Results	261
	IV. Discussion	278
	V. Perspectives	282
	References	283
	Note Added in Proof	283
13	Histophysiologic Gradient Culture of Stratified Epithelium	
	Joseph Leighton, Ruy Tchao, Robert Stein, and Nabil Abaza	
	I. Introduction	287
	II. Methods and Materials	292
	III. Results	296
	IV. Discussion	302
	V. Perspectives	304
	References	306

14.	Cell and Explant Culture of Kidney Tubular Epithelium B. F. Trump, T. Saio, A. Trifillis, M. Hall-Craggs, M. W. Kahng, and M. W. Smith			
	I. Introduction	309		
	II. Methods and Results	310		
	III. Perspectives	324		
	References	325		
15.	Summary—Urinary Tract			
	Benjamin F. Trump			
	Text	327		
16.	Human Esophageal Organ Culture Studies E. A. Hillman, M. J. Vocci, W. Schürch, C. C. Harris, and B. F. Trump			
	I. Introduction	332		
	II. Materials and Methods	333		
	III. Results	334		
	IV. Discussion	341		
	V. Perspectives	. 344		
	References	347		
17.	Organ Culture of Gastric Mucosa: Advantages and			
	Limitations Robert M. Donaldson, Jr. and Cyrus R. Kapadia			
	I. Introduction	349		
	II. Methods	351		
	III. Results	353		
	IV. Discussion	358		
	V. Perspectives	360		
	References	363		
18.	Organ Culture of the Mucosa of Human Small Intestine Jerry S. Trier			
	I. Introduction	365		
	II. Methods	366		
	III. Results	369		
	IV Discussion and Perspectives	381		
	V. Conclusions References	383		
	REIGIGICES	383		

26	E
418	_

	CONTENTS	хi
19.	Explant Culture of Human Colon Herman Autrup	
	I. Introduction	385
	II. Materials and Methods	386
	III. Results	388
	IV. Discussion	394
	V. Application and Perspective References	396 400
20.		
	Cell Cultures	
	Andrea Quaroni and Roger J. May	
	I. Introduction	403
	II. Methods and Materials	404
	III. Results	411
	IV. Discussion and Perspectives	423
	References	426
21.	Culture of Human Pancreatic Ducts Raymond T. Jones, Benjamin F. Trump, and Gary D. Stoner	
	I. Introduction	429
	II. Materials and Methods	430
	III. Results IV. Discussion	432 438
	V. Perspectives	438
	References	439
22.	Methodology and Utility of Primary Cultures of Hepatocytes	
	from Experimental Animals	
	Henry C. Pitot and Alphonse E. Sirica	
	I. Introduction	441
	II. Methods of Liver Cell Culture	442
	III. Utilization of Primary Cultures of Adult Hepatocytes	447
	IV. Perspectives and Conclusions	452
	References	453
23.	Human Liver Cells in Culture	
	Warren 1. Schaeffer and Dana J. Kessler	
	I. Introduction	457
	II. Methods and Materials	457
	III. Results	463

•	ē	÷
x	1	1

CONTENTS

IV. Discussion and Perspectives References	470 472
24. Overview Jerry S. Trier	
Text	475
INDEX	479
CONTENTS OF PREVIOUS VOLUMES	493

Chapter 1

Studies of Human Endometrium in Organ Culture

DAVID G. KAUFMAN, THOMAS A. ADAMEC, LESLIE A. WALTON, CHARLES N. CARNEY, SUSAN A. MELIN, VALERIO M. GENTA, MARC J. MASS, B. HUGH DORMAN, NANCY T. RODGERS, GUY J. PHOTOPULOS, JUDITH POWELL, AND JOE W. GRISHAM

> Department of Pathology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

ŧ	Inter-Austin
	Introduction
II.	Materials and Methods
	A. Obtainment of Human Endometrial Tissue
	B. Sampling of Tissue and Transport to Laboratory
	C. Organ Culture Procedures
	D. Methods for Morphologic Evaluation
	E. Methods for Biochemical Evaluation
•	Results
	A. Transportation Techniques
	B. Organ Culture Support
	C. Optimization of Culture Conditions
	D. Biochemical Observations
	Discussion
	Perspectives
	References

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology.

²Current address: Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Tennessee School of Medicine, Memphis, Tennessee 38163.

³Current address: Department of Pathology, University of Kentucky School of Medicine, Lexington, Kentucky 40506.

I. Introduction

Approximately 37,000 new cases of endometrial carcinoma will be diagnosed in the United States this year. Thus, endometrial carcinoma is now the most prevalent cancer of the female genital tract in the United States, but only limited insight into the etiology of this disease has been elucidated by epidemiological studies. Consequently, we have attempted to learn more about the pathogenesis of endometrial cancer by studying this target tissue directly as organ cultures in vitro.

Efforts to study structurally intact endometrial tissue are not new. As early as the 1920s, attempts were made to maintain endometrial tissue in vitro. These investigations used menstrual effluent or tissue from endometrial curettings and evaluated the morphology of cellular outgrowths from explants maintained for up to 1 month on plasma clots. Randall, Stein, and Stuermer were the first group to systematically investigate the cytodynamic properties of human endometrial tissue derived from biopsies. In their first study, Randall et al. (1950) maintained tissue fragments immersed in fluid media for up to 35 days. Parameters investigated for their effect on culture maintenance included gas composition, pH, and temperature. Other studies (Stuermer and Stein, 1950) utilized the hanging drop and roller tube culture techniques. Later studies (Stuermer and Stein, 1952) evaluated variations in biochemical properties of the tissue including respiration. anaerobic and aerobic glycolysis, and representative enzyme pathways as a function of the menstrual cycle. Ehrmann et al. (1961) maintained endometrial fragments in organ culture on serum-agar plates. Although their cultures often evidenced extensive stromal necrosis, the epithelium was well maintained, in one case for as long as 12 weeks. Morphologic evidence of secretory activity was observed in the epithelium of the cultured tissue in the absence of supplemental hormones, as well as in the presence of estrogen, progesterone, or both hormones. Hughes et al. (1969) placed endometrial organ cultures on filter membranes supported by stainless-steel grids in organ culture dishes. Using fluid medium supplemented with serum, they observed biochemical and morphologic responses to estrogen and progesterone in endometrial cultures maintained for up to 18 days in vitro. Specifically, the morphology of cultures was better preserved when media were supplemented with both estrogen and progesterone at near physiologic levels as compared to media without both hormones. More recent studies by a number of investigators have dealt largely with the variations of macromolecular synthesis and hormone receptor levels as functions of the menstrual cycle state. In these studies tissue generally has been maintained in vitro for short periods of time to characterize biochemical properties or observe hormonal effects. These observations usually were made with short-term culture, typically within 1 or 2 weeks.

The ultimate goal of this project is to gain a greater understanding of the

process of chemical carcinogenesis in human endometrium. One possible avenue for accomplishing this goal is to observe long-term toxic and carcinogenic effects of chemicals on this tissue. Such observations require that methods be developed for the long-term maintenance of this tissue in vitro as organ cultures. Furthermore, such methods should preserve, as far as possible, the morphologic and biochemical properties of the endometrium. In this chapter we describe such an in vitro model designed for long-term maintenance of human endometrial explants in organ culture.

II. Materials and Methods

A. Obtainment of Human Endometrial Tissue

The use of human tissue for experimental studies requires far more clinical judgment than would be required for use of animal tissues. Scientific investigations with human tissue must not compromise the clinical care of the patient or impair a complete and accurate pathological diagnosis. Our studies have utilized endometrial tissue obtained from hysterectomy specimens. Effort has been made to select carefully a small amount of tissue for experiments, in order not to interfere with pathological diagnosis. For this reason we have developed a detailed procedure for evaluating patients and their tissue prior to use in our studies. Obtainment of tissue from other human organs poses problems because operative specimens generally are removed for intrinsic disease. Obtainment of human endometrial tissue is in a different category because there are other gynecologic disorders in addition to endometrial disease that necessitate hysterectomy. Nonetheless, the procedures which have been utilized to obtain human endometrial tissue may benefit investigators who wish to use this or other human tissues.

Our tissue obtainment procedure requires a judgment to be made by the patient, the physician, and the laboratory investigator. Patients admitted for elective hysterectomy undergo preliminary screening to eliminate individuals who cannot freely offer informed consent (mentally incompetent persons, minors, prisoners, acutely ill patients, etc.) and those whose clinical history suggests the presence of intrinsic endometrial disease. The remaining patients are informed about the objectives of the project, the method of specimen collection, and the possible benefit to humanity. They are informed fully that no direct benefit will accrue to them as individuals. Possible risks related to their participation are outlined. Only those patients who freely offer their informed consent are considered as donors. (In fact, no patient so informed has refused to participate.) During the operation the surgeons re-evaluate the patient's condition to determine whether unsuspected findings mitigate against including the patient in the