Legal Opinions in International Transactions Fourth Edition

Michael Gruson Stephan Hutter Michael Kutschera



and International Bar Association



the global voice of the legal profession

Legal Opinions in International Transactions

Fourth Edition

Michael Gruson New York/Frankfurt

Stephan Hutter New York/Frankfurt

Michael Kutschera Vienna

Report of the Subcommittee on Legal Opinions of the Committee on Banking Law of the Section on Business Law of the International Bar Association



and International Bar Association Published by: Kluwer Law International, P.O. Box 85889, 2508 CN The Hague, The Netherlands sales@kluwerlaw.com http://www.kluwerlaw.com

Sold and distributed in North, Central and South America by: Aspen Publishers, Inc. 7201 McKinney Circle, Frederick, MD 21704, USA

Sold and distributed in all other countries by: Turpin Distribution Services Limited Blackhorse Road, Letchworth, Herts., SG6 1HN, United Kingdom

ISBN 90-411-9902-0 Series ISBN 1-85333-096-5 © International Bar Association 1997 First published 1987 Second edition published 1989 Reprinted 1992 Third edition published 1997 Fourth edition published 2003

Library of Congress Cataloguing-in-Publication Data is available.

This publication is protected by international copyright law. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Typeset in 10/11pt Garamond by EXPO Holdings, Malaysia Printed and bound in Great Britain by Antony Rowe Limited.

International Bar Association: the global voice of the legal profession

In its role as a dual membership organization, comprising 16,000 individual lawyers and 180 Bar Associations and Law Societies, the International Bar Association (IBA) influences the development of international law reform and helps shape the future of the legal profession. Its Member Organizations cover all continents and include the American Bar Association, the German Federal Bar, the Japan Federation of Bar Associations, the Law Society of Zimbabwe and the Mexican Bar Association.

Grouped into three Sections – Business Law, Legal Practice and Energy & Natural Resources Law – more than 60 specialist Committees provide members with access to leading experts and up-to-date information as well as top-level professional development and network-building opportunities through high quality publications and world-class Conferences. The IBA's Human Rights Institute works across the Association, helping to promote, protect and enforce human rights under a just rule of law, and to preserve the independence of the judiciary and the legal profession worldwide.

Section on Business Law

The largest of the three Sections, the Section on Business Law (SBL) has a membership of 12,500 legal professionals. The SBL has a particular focus on practices and procedures affecting business, financial and commercial activities throughout the world, and was established to promote the discussion and exchange of information on key issues in all jurisdictions. There are 26 specialist Committees within the Section, each addressing a specific area of law.

Banking Law Committee (E)

The Banking Law Committee (E) has over 2,400 members in 134 countries and membership is increasing steadily. Cross-border activities have become a dominant feature in the banking field, thus increasing the need for constant exchange of experience and for joint action among professionals. The Banking Law Committee supports this need through its regular specialized Conferences and working sessions at the major International Bar Association and Section on Business Law Conferences, as well as its regular Newsletter.

To find out more about the International Bar Association and its activities, please contact:

International Bar Association 271 Regent Street London W1B 2AQ United Kingdom Tel: +44 (0)20 7629 1206

Fax: +44 (0)20 7409 0456 e-mail: member@int-bar.org Website: www.ibanet.org

Author Profiles

Michael Gruson

Michael Gruson has been a partner of Shearman & Sterling since 1973 and is now Of Counsel. Practicing in their New York and Frankfurt offices, he has been primarily engaged in international financial transactions and in the representation of banks. He received his legal education in Germany (University of Mainz, 1962; Dr. jur., Freie Universität Berlin, 1966) and the United States (M.C.L., Columbia University, 1963; LL.B. cum laude, Columbia University, 1965). He is a member of the New York Bar, Mr. Gruson is past Chairman (1984-1995) of the Subcommittee on Legal Opinions of the Committee on Banking Law and past member of the Council of the International Bar Association's Section on Business Law. He has served as a lecturer or visiting professor at the University of Illinois (Champaign-Urbana) Law School, Columbia University School of Law, the University of Osnabrück, Germany and Bucerius Law School, Hamburg, Germany. Mr. Gruson is Visiting Professorial Fellow at the Centre for Commercial Law Studies, Queen Mary College, University of London, England. Mr. Gruson is the author of several books and many articles on issues of conflict-of-laws, legal opinions, securities laws, monetary law and on US and European banking law and has frequently lectured on these topics. Mr. Gruson is a member of The American Law Institute.

Stephan Hutter

Stephan Hutter has been a partner of Shearman & Sterling since 1995. Practicing in their New York and Frankfurt offices, he specializes in mergers and acquisitions, corporate finance and project finance transactions. Mr. Hutter has extensive experience in international equity offerings of German, Austrian and Swiss companies, including public offerings and private placements of securities in the United States. Mr. Hutter is a graduate of the University of Vienna Law School (Dr. jur. 1984) and the University of Illinois (Champaign-Urbana) Law School (LL.M. 1986, Fulbright Scholar). He has been a member of the New York Bar since 1987. Mr. Hutter was Chairman of the International Bar Association's Subcommittee E-1 (Legal Opinions). Mr. Hutter is a co-author (with Mr. Gruson) of Acquisitions of Shares in a Foreign Country (Graham & Trotman, 1993) and author of Börsengang einer deutschen Aktiengesellschaft in den Vereinigten Staaten (Semler/Volhard, Arbeitshandbuch für Unternehmensübernahmen, Sept. 2001), Übernahmerecht in den USA (DAI Schriften zum Kapitalmarkt, vol. 2, 1999), Obligations of German Issuers in connection with Public Securities Offerings and Stock Exchange Listings in the United States (DAI Schriften zum Kapitalmarkt, vol. 1, 1998) and 'The Corporate Opinion in International

Transactions', 1989 *Columbia Business Law Review* 427. Mr. Hutter frequently lectures at seminars on international equity offerings as well as Neuer Markt and dual listings by German companies.

Michael Kutschera

Michael Kutschera has been a partner of Binder Grösswang Rechtsanwälte since 1989 and is admitted to the bars of Vienna and New York. He is a graduate of the University of Vienna Law School (Mag. jur., Dr. jur. 1979) and New York University Law School (M.J.C. 1983, Fulbright Fellow). He specializes in mergers and acquisitions, corporate, commercial, banking and financing law, including projects in the Czech Republic and the Slovak Republic, arbitration, and international litigation. Mr. Kutschera has played a key role as advisor to major Austrian industrial companies in connection with the settlement of World War II-related issues involving Austria and the Austrian private sector. He is vice-chairman of Committee E (Banking Law) of the International Bar Association and a former chairman of the committee's Subcommittee on Legal Opinions. He is a member of the executive committee of the Vienna Bar. Mr. Kutschera is the Austrian contributor to Neate & McCormick (eds.), Bank Confidentiality (3d ed., Butterworths 2003) and (with Barbist) to Verloop (ed.), Merger Control in the EU (3d ed., Kluwer, 1999); and co-author of Gruson & Kutschera, 'Opinion of Counsel on Agreements Governed by Foreign Law', 19 Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law 515 (1986).

Preface

I am delighted to welcome the fourth edition of *Legal Opinions in International Transactions*. Since it was first published in 1987, this publication has been used extensively by international lawyers, and its proposals have been widely accepted. The publication has helped to substantially reduce the time and effort spent by lawyers discussing the proper wording of legal opinions and has prevented lawyers from giving wrong or inappropriate legal opinions. There can be no doubt that *Legal Opinions in International Transactions* reflects the customary practice for opinions in the transborder context.

One of the central conclusions of *Legal Opinions in International Transactions* is that a lawyer in country A cannot give an opinion that an agreement that is governed by the law of country B is valid and enforceable. The lawyer in country A can only give an opinion that the governing-law clause is valid under the conflict-of-laws rules of country A. In 1987 this was a novel suggestion. I am pleased to say that now the American Bar Association and the three bar associations in New York have recognized that this view is correct. (See p. 183).

Also, in other areas the US opinion practice has taken positions that have been proposed since 1987 by this Opinion Report. For instance, this Opinion Report has always warned of broad 'factual' opinions, such as an opinion that the agreement in question does not contravene any other agreements of the borrower (see p. 13). US bar association reports are now also taking the position that an opinion must be limited to described or narrowly defined agreements of the borrower. The TriBar Report of the New York bar associations has now adopted the view long proposed by this Opinion Report that the pari passu opinion should not only qualify the remedies opinions but also other opinions.

The fourth edition of *Legal Opinions in International Transactions* reflects numerous changes in the law of the countries covered. Furthermore, the influential TriBar Report that reflects the prevailing US practice on legal opinions was substantially revised in 1998. This fourth edition reflects the new version of the TriBar Report. Finally, this fourth edition adds a discussion on legal opinions on governing-law clauses that exclude the conflict-of-laws rules of the chosen law and a new chapter on legal opinions of inside counsel.

Legal Opinions in International Transactions is the result of the collaboration of prominent lawyers from 26 countries, and it is a good example of how the International Bar Association can be an excellent forum for such cooperative efforts to improve the smooth working of international business law.

Fernando Pelaez-Pier Chair, Section on Business Law International Bar Association London

Acknowledgment

Extracts reprinted by permission of the American Bar Association Section of Business Law from: "Legal Opinions to Third Parties: An Easier Path," 34 Bus. Law. 1891 (1979), "Third-Party Legal Opinion Report," 47 Bus. Law. 167 (1991), "Third-Party 'Closing' Opinions," 53 Bus. Law. 592 (1998), and "Guidelines for the Preparation of Closing Opinions," 57 Bus. Law. 875 (2002). Copyright for all four documents ©1979, 1991, 1998, 2002, respectively, American Bar Association. All rights reserved. Copies of the entire *Report* include an introduction, the Legal Opinion Accord of 22 statements of position with commentary and technical notes accompanying each statement, a sample opinion letter, guidelines for negotiating and preparing third-party legal opinions, and a glossary. Copies of the *Report* may be purchased from Order Fulfillment, ABA, 750 North Lake Shore Drive, Chicago, IL 60611.

Table of Contents

Au. Pre	ernatione thor Prof face knowledg		v xiii xv xvi
Ch	apter 1	Introduction	1
I. II. III.	This Of	pinion project of the Banking Committee of the IBA pinion report projects of the Opinion Subcommittee	1 4 5
Ch	apter 2	Role of Legal Opinions	9
	Legal o Third-p	pinions as condition precedent pinions in international transactions arty opinions ' opinions	9 10 12 13
Ch	apter 3	Interrelation of the Laws of Several Countries	14
I. II.	foreign law		14 15
	Reliance on the other opinion or assumptions as to foreign law Cooperation between principal counsel and foreign counsel		16 18
Cha	apter 4	Inappropriate Opinion Requests and Responses	20
	Timing Formula	den rule ation disputes nefit analysis	20 21 21 22
Cha	apter 5	Purpose of the Study	23
Cha	apter 6	The Concept of the Sample Opinion	26
Cha	apter 7	The Sample Opinion	28
Cha	apter 8	Overview of the Analysis of the Legal Opinion	34
I. II. III.	The US	e of the analysis position se of the non-US lawyer	34 34 38

Ch	apter 9 Analysis of the Legal Opinion	41
I.	Date	41
	The US position	41
	Reporters' annotations	42
II.	Addressee	42
	The US position	42
III.	Description of transaction	43
	The US position	43
IV.	Definitions	43
	The US position	43
V.	Description of role of counsel	43
	The US position	43
	Reporters' annotations	44
VI.	Description of factual investigation	44
	The US position	44
	(1) Description of documents	44
	(2) Some general observations on facts	46
	(3) Information obtained from others	47
	(4) Information from others in the opinion giver's firm	51
	(5) Officers' and other certificates	52
	(6) The use of assumptions as fact substitutes	53
	Reporters' annotations	54
VII.	Charter and by-laws	55
	Reporters' annotations	55
	Response of the non-US lawyer	56
VIII	. Other documents	65
	Reporters' annotations	65
	Response of the non-US lawyer	65
IX.	Opinion introduction	78
	The US position	78
Χ.	The corporate status opinions	79
	The US position	79
	A. Duly incorporated	80
	The US position	80
	Response of the non-US lawyer	81
	B. Duly organized	93
	The US position	93
	Response of the non-US lawyer	94
	Reporters' annotations	99
	C. Validly existing	100
	The US position	100
	Response of the non-US lawyer	101
	Reporters' annotations	119
	D. Good standing	120
	The US position	120
	Reporters' annotations	121
777	Response of the non-US lawyer	122
XI.	The corporate power and corporate action opinions The US position	122 122

Content	S	

ix

	(1)	Corporate power	122
	(2)	Corporate action	123
	Respor	ase of the non-US lawyer	124
	Report	ers' annotations	142
	(1)	Authority to act for corporation not based on	
		corporate action	142
	(2)	Authority to act for corporation based on a	
		power of attorney	144
		Scope of corporate action opinion	144
XII.		execution and delivery opinion	145
		S position	145
		ters' annotations	145
		Law applicable to execution and delivery	145
		Assumptions as to execution and delivery	147
XIII.		violation and the approval opinion	148
		violation of laws, charter and by-laws	148
		e US position	148
		porters' annotations	151
		lack of regulatory approvals and filings	152
		e US position	152
XIV.		edies opinion	153
		ters' annotations	153
		The traditional legal, valid and binding opinion	153
		S position	154
		Form and scope of the opinion	154
		Bankruptcy and equitable principles	156
		Practical realization	159
		Ambit of the remedies opinion	161
		Remedies opinion and arbitration	163
		ters' annotations	163
		Purpose of opinions (e)(i)–(iii)	163
		Conflict-of-laws basis of opinions (e)(i)–(iii)	164
		Structure of opinions (e)(i)–(iii) Unavoidable gaps in foreign counsel's opinion	165
		Unavoidable gaps in foreign counsel's opinion	167
		nse of the non-US lawyer ters' annotations	169 179
		Statement as to limited scope of the investigation	1/9
	(0)	by the forum	179
	(7)	Reliance on remedies opinion of principal counsel	1/9
	(1)	not necessary	179
	(8)	Remedies opinion (e)(iv)	180
		No equitable principles limitation in opinion (e)(iv)	182
		Opinions (e)(i)–(iv) change form, not substance, of	102
	(10)	traditional foreign counsel's opinion	182
	(11)	This Opinion Report's approach accepted in the	102
	(22)	United States	183
	(12)	Rome Convention on the law applicable to	100
		contractual obligations	185
	(13)	Governing-law clauses excluding	200
		conflict-of-laws principles	187

x Contents

XV. Opinion on the juri:	sdiction clause	192
Reporters' annota		192
(1) Submission	to the jurisdiction of the borrower's	
country		192
(2) Submission	to the jurisdiction of New York	194
(3) Appointmen	nt of agent for service of process	195
XVI. Opinion on enforce	ability of judgments	196
Reporters' annota	tions	196
Response of the r	ion-US lawyer	197
XVII. Opinion on soverei	gn immunity	207
Reporters' annota	tions	207
(1) Purpose of	opinion (h)	207
(2) US Foreign	Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976	208
(3) Immunity in	n borrower's country	211
(4) Waiver of in		212
XVIII. The pari passu opi	nion	212
Reporters' annota		212
Response of the r	ion-US lawyer	214
XIX. Other opinions		215
Reporters' annota	tions	215
Response of the r		215
XX. Executive emergence	y power qualification	217
Reporters' annota		217
Response of the r		219
XXI. Limitation of scope	of opinion	220
The US position		220
Reporters' annota		221
XXII. Reliance on opinio	n.	222
The US position		222
XXIII. Opinion signature		222
The US position		222
Reporters' annota		222
XXIV. Government appro	vals	223
The US position		223
XXV. Signature list		223
Reporters' annota		223
Response of the r	ion-US lawyer	224
Chapter 10 Sample O	pinion Addendum	229
Chapter 11 Analysis o	of the Sample Opinion Addendum	231
Reporters' annota	tions	231
The US position		231
(1) The no-bre	each opinion	231
	proceedings opinion	237
	edge exception	240
(4) Materiality	£ ************************************	241
Reporters' annotal	ions	241

Contents xi

Cha	pter 12 The ABA Opinion Accord and Opinions in	2/2
	International Transactions	243
I.	General	243
II.	The remedies opinion	244
III.	Implied opinion as to the law of the country of incorporation of a foreign corporation	248
IV.	Forum-selection clauses	249
V.	Act of state doctrine	250
	(1) General	250
	(2) Conflict-of-laws opinion and act of state doctrine	251
	(3) Remedies opinion and act of state doctrine	251
Cha	pter 13 Opinion on Agreements Governed by Non-US	
Calif	Law	254
Ι.	Reversed roles	254
II.	Opinion of non-US Principal Counsel	254
	A. The remedies opinion of non-US Principal Counsel	254
	B. Assumption of non-US Principal Counsel as to corporate	
	matters	255
	C. Mandatory conflict-of-laws provisions	257
III.	D. Due execution and delivery Opinion of New York Counsel acting as Foreign Counsel	258 258
111.	A. Corporate opinion of New York Counsel acting as Foreign Counsel	258
	B. Opinion on execution and delivery by a foreign party	259
	C. Conflict-of-laws opinion of New York Counsel acting as Foreign Counsel	259
	(1) Form of the conflict-of-laws opinion	259
	(2) New York's reasonable relationship requirement	262
	(3) Federal conflict-of-laws rules	263
	(4) Public policy of the forum	264
	(5) Public policy of another jurisdiction	265
	(6) Third-country illegality	267
	D. Opinion on enforceability	268
	 E. Opinions on governing-law clauses excluding conflict- of-laws principles 	269
	(1) Governing-law clauses excluding conflict-of-laws	209
	rules in US courts	269
	(2) The conflict-of-laws opinion of New York counsel	
	acting as Foreign Counsel on a governing-law clause	
	stipulating a law other than New York law excluding	
	such law's conflict-of-laws rules	271
	F. Opinion on jurisdiction clauses G. Opinion on enforceability of foreign judgments	276
	H. No qualification with respect to foreign acts of state	277 278
	The qualification with respect to foreign acts of state	4/0

xii Contents

Cha	apter 14	Opinion on Obligations of a Branch or Ageno of a Foreign Bank	cy 279
I.	Is the o	bligation entered into by the branch	
	an oblig	gation of the bank?	279
Π.	Opinior	n of foreign counsel to the foreign bank	282
Ш.	Opinior	n of New York counsel	283
	A. Sta	itus opinion	283
	B. Co	rporate action opinion	283
	C. Re	medies opinion	283
	D. Du	ie authorization opinion	285
	E. Pa	ri passu opinion	286
IV.	One ob	ligation only	286
V.	Bankruj	pty qualification	287
Cha	pter 15	Opinion of Inside Counsel	289
Cha	pter 16	Selected Opinion Literature	294

Chapter 1

Introduction

I. THE OPINION PROJECT OF THE BANKING COMMITTEE OF THE IBA

At the 20th Biennial Conference of the International Bar Association (Vienna, 1984) Committee E (Banking) of the Section on Business Law of the International Bar Association (IBA) presented a program on legal opinions in international business transactions. After the program it was suggested that the issues aired in Vienna should be further pursued and that an attempt should be made to reach an agreement on the interpretation of certain formulations commonly used in opinions requested in international transactions. A Subcommittee on Legal Opinions (E-1) of Committee E (Banking) was formed under the chairmanship of Michael Gruson (Shearman & Sterling) (who served in this position until 1995). The other initial officers were Pedro de Elizalde (Allende & Brea), Marcello Gioscia (Ughi & Nunziante), Francis Meyrier (then Shearman & Sterling), Francis W. Neate (Slaughter and May) and Hannes Schneider (Hengeler Müller Weitzel Wirtz). This Subcommittee produced a first draft report, which was submitted to lawyers from various countries for comments. Based on these comments ('Country Reactions'), an Exposure Draft of this Opinion Report was prepared for discussion at the 7th Conference of the IBA's Section on Business Law (Singapore, 1985). During the session of Committee E, a panel discussed various parts of the Exposure Draft and analyzed a form of opinion which is customarily requested by US counsel from non-US counsel in connection with a credit agreement governed by New York law. This Opinion Report incorporates the discussions of Singapore, additional and updated Country Reactions,² as well as further reflections by the Reporters.

Australia: Tony Browne (Arthur Robinson & Hedderwicks)

Austria: Michael Binder and Michael Kutschera (Binder, Grösswang & Partner)

The panel consisted of Marcello Gioscia (Ughi & Nunziante), Burkhardt Meister (Hengeler Müller Weitzel Wirtz), Francis Meyrier (then Shearman & Sterling), Michael Gruson (Shearman & Sterling), Patrick Balfour (Slaughter and May), Pedro de Elizalde (Allende & Brea), Paul Storm and Peter Verloop (both Nauta Dutilh).

The following Country Reactions were submitted: Argentina: Pedro de Elizalde (Allende & Brea)

This Opinion Report was presented by Michael Gruson as Reporter and Michael Kutschera as Co-Reporter to Committee E at the occasion of the 21st Biennial Conference of the International Bar Association in New York on 17 September 1986. Stephan Hutter had assisted in the preparation of the final version of this Opinion Report.

The Subcommittee on Legal Opinions continued its efforts to analyze various issues relating to legal opinions rendered in international transactions. At the 8th Conference of the IBA's Section on Business Law (London, 1987), Stephan Hutter (Shearman & Sterling) delivered a paper on the *pari passu* opinion. This opinion states that the obligations of a borrower to a specific lender rank at least *pari passu* with such borrower's other unsecured obligations.³ Michael Kutschera (Binder Grösswang Rechtsanwälte) and Claudio A.

(Continued)

Brazil: Ruben Fonseca e Silva (Noronha-Advogados)
Canada: John W. Teolis (Blake, Cassels & Graydon)

Denmark: Henrik Lind (Gorrissen Federspiel Kierkegaard) England: Martin Read (Slaughter and May)

Finland: Matti S. Kurkela (Dittmar & Indrenius)
France: Francis Meyrier (then Shearman & Sterling)
Germany: Burkhardt Meister (Hengeler Müller Weitzel Wirtz)

Italy: Marcello Gioscia (Ughi & Nunziante) Japan: Yusaku Ono (then Hamada & Matsumoto)

Korea: Kyung Jae Park (Lee & Ko)
The Netherlands: Peter Verloop (Nauta Dutilh)
Spain: Javier Sans Roig (Bufete Roig Aran)
Switzerland: Suzanne Wettenschwiler (Bär & Karrer)

Venezuela: Francisco Paz Parra (Travieso Evans Hughes Arria Rengel & Paz).

Country Reactions covering the enforceability of foreign judgments (Chapter 9, section XVI of this Opinion Report), sovereign immunity (Chapter 9, section XVII of this Opinion Report), the exception to the remedies opinion based on executive powers affecting existing contracts (Chapter 9, section XX of this Opinion Report), the opinions referred to under Other Opinions (Chapter 9, section XIX of this Opinion Report), and the conflict-of-laws rules as to the effectiveness of governing-law clauses and their limitation by the public policy (ordre public) of the country of the lawyer rendering the opinion, were in the case of some countries submitted by other lawyers:

Brazil: Antonio Mendes (Pinheiro Neto-Advogados)
Denmark: Niels Walther-Rasmussen (Kromann & Munter)

France: Emmanuel Gaillard (Shearman & Sterling)
Germany: Hans-Michael Giesen (Bruckhaus Westrick Stegemann)

Japan: Kenichi Fujinawa (Nagashima & Ohno)

Spain: Fernando de las Cuevas (Gomez-Acebo & Pombo)

Switzerland: Marco Jagmetti (Lenz & Staehelin)

Venezuela: Leopoldo Olavarria C.,

except that Country Reactions covering the conflict-of-laws rules as to the effectiveness of governing-law clauses and their limitation by the public policy (*ordre public*) of the country of the lawyer rendering the opinion were submitted for the following countries by:

Argentina: Claudio A. Onetto (Estudio Beccar Varela)

Switzerland: Cyril Troyanov (Secretan, Troyanov, Terracina & Fiechter)

Venezuela: Francisco Paz Parra (Travieso Evans Hughes Arria Rengel & Paz).

Stephan Hutter's paper was based on written contributions from the following countries:

Austria: Christian Dorda (Dorda, Brugger & Jordis)

Canada: John W. Teolis (Blake, Cassels & Graydon)
England: Martin Read (Slaughter and May)

France: Martin Read (Staughter and May)

Gérard Mazet (Jeantet et Associés)